

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	372	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	14.5.1888	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Fort Saint George, Political Consultation, 18th May 1858.

No. 5. From H. D. PHILLIPS, Esq., Commissioner of Tanjore; to T. PYCROFT, Esq.,
Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 7th May 1858, No. 298.

SIR,

Minute of Consultation, dated the Adverting to the communication marginally noted, I have the
5th April 1858, No. 237. honor to report that the Government Vakeel at Combaconum
has intimated to me that the Civil Court there has adjourned
for six weeks from the 26th Ultimo, and has suggested the propriety of his being supplied before
the 8th proximo, with the answer to be presented in the Appeal against the decision of the Sub
Court in the Suit filed by Ramkistnien against the late Acting Collector Mr. Cherry and others.

No. 6. ORDER THEREON, No. 312; dated 14th May 1858.

It is observed that the Draft Petition of Appeal prepared by the Government Pleader was
forwarded to the Commissioner of Tanjore under date the 4th Instant.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. A. Wilson.

No. 312.

It is observed that
the Draft Petition of appeal pre-
pared by the said Pleader was
forwarded to the Commissioner
of Tanjore under date the 14th
Instant.

To Mr George, &
14 May, 1858.



To the Commissioner
of Tanjore. } Dispd 19"

In D. 16. 1858 May

At Tanjore 1858

1858

At Tanjore 1858

14th May 1858

948

PC9: April 153 N: 15416
Internal memo 7/5/78

In 34 1/2 (cont. 18 to 24)
Political. 1889. Sept

Political Letter to Aug 2/18 2/18 (cont. 18 to 24)
of 1858.

From the Commissioner
of Finance. — 290

Page 25.

27
1/11 May 1880.

10315

With ref. to C. M. C. & S. v. U.S.
pro 237 reports that the
Govt valued at 10000.
C. M. C. & S. has intimated
to him that the Civil
Court there has adjourned
for 6 weeks from 26
July. It has suggested the
propriety of his being
supplied before the 31
Aug. with the answer
to the petition in the
Appeal of the decision
of the Sub Court in the
suit filed by Mr. Henry
Lott of the Order.