M.R.O. 1—38,0f0—16-10-29.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 886 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 15 10 ~~19~~ 1857

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 11. From Surgeon E. G. BALFOUR, Government Agent at Chepauk; to E. MALTBY, Esq., Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 8th October 1857, No. 257 of P. C. S.

SIR,

In accordance with the orders in Extract Minutes Consultation of the 22nd Ultimo, No. 808, I have the honor to report to the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that, in the lists received from the Dewan of the Durbar, the individual, the continuation for life of whose Allowance is now claimed by his Grand-mother, was returned as per margin.*

* "*Hakeem Meer Azeem Ood Deen, Grand-son of Hakeem Mahomed Saeed Khan.*"

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is aware that the suggestion to support Sircar Infants, Children and Lads, until their 18th year, emanated from the Agency, and that Government have only permitted the carrying out of the suggestion subject to the sanction to be obtained from the Supreme Government.

3. As it was my recommendation, I can state and reference to paras: 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of my letter, dated 24th December 1856, No. 155, will show that my sole object was to rear, out of charity, till their 18th year, the helpless Infants, &c., found on the Sircar pay: was to keep such from the possibility of starving. As they are all of ages varying from 1 to 18 years, even had any of them really performed duties as servants, they had no claim under the 25 years' order of the Government of India, but, having themselves performed no Services, the periods they were severally in receipt, from the Sircar, of charitable Allowances cannot, as Khadeejah Begum claims, be reckoned along with the Services of Ancestors. This Lad, 9 years of age, is of course no Physician, and he never did an hour's duty.

4. The custom of the Sircar was to give such charitable Allowances only during infancy and youth, and to exact Services from the Lads so soon as they attained to years of discretion, even with the Nabobs therefore, it was but a charity for rearing to maturity.

5. The question, therefore, for decision is. Is this Lad a fit object for the charity of the State? In the list No. 14, returned with my letter, No. 128, dated 19th May 1857, and sanctioned in Extract Minutes Consultation, No. 567, dated 23rd July 1857, he is entered as per margin,* and, in explanation, I have the honor to mention that his Father is Carnatic Stipendiary, No. 879, in receipt of Rupees eleven per mensem: Is a Contractor or supplier in the Executive Engineer's Office, where his earnings are reported to me to be from Rupees 150 to Rupees 200 per mensem. He is besides reported to be a man very well to do, in house and money. The Lad's Grand-father's brother* and Cousin's husband are the persons to whom Government have granted full Pensions as per margin.

* O	1418	Hakeem Meer Azeem Ood Deen.	Physician.	15	0	0	20th Zehujjah 1267.	6	9	15	0	0	
		Not recommended his Father being alive and well off.											

* *No. 2112 Hakeem Motamim Ood Dowlah Bahadoor, Rs. 250-0-0, as per Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 22nd July 1857, No. 565.*

No. 2341 Surfuraz Ood Dowlah Bahadoor, Rs. 140-0-0, as per Extract Minutes Consultation, dated 10th August 1857, No. 619.

6. I would have thought it a gross wasting of Public money to have recommended the Son of such a man as an object for the charity of the State.

7. The Petition of 23rd Zenlkaida 1267, which Petitioner alludes to, is not in the records of the Buksheegherry and the order merely entertains on Rupees 15 per mensem, her Grand-son in the place of his deceased Grand-father who had Rupees 20 per mensem.

(Signed) EDWARD BALFOUR,
Government Agent.

Con'd Agent at Chepauk } Dispd 21st

Fort Saint George, Political Consultation, 20th October 1857.

No. 12. ORDER THEREON, No. 886; dated 15th October 1857.

The Government having received from the Chepauk Agent a report on the Petition presented by Khadeejah Begum, Widow of the late Hakeem Mahomed Saeed Khan, resolve to inform the Petitioner that her claim to the continuance of the sum of Rupees 15 per mensem, allowed by the Carnatic Sircar to the lad Hakeem Meer Azeem Ood Deen, is inadmissible. The Agent will communicate this decision to the Petitioner.

Exd. J. A. Wilson.

Political Depart.

No 886.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 15 October 1857.

Read letter from the Govt Agent at Chepauk:

Dated 8 October 1857 No 257/

The Govt having received from the Chepauk Agent a report on the Petition presented by Khadeejah Begum, widow of the late Hakeem Mahomed Saeed Khan, resolve to inform the Petitioner that her claim to the continuance of the Sum of Rs. 15. per mem. allowed by the Carnatic Sircar to the lad Hakeem Meer Azeem ood Deen is inadmissible. The Agent will communicate this decision to the Petitioner.

To the Govt Agent at Chepauk & Dispd 21st

28-1-10 A

Affected Lost Accounts

s63

22-9-67

1967

Cochran's Mount Pleasant

Ms Stephens

Ms Mcstephens

11 — 12 —