

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 79

Press
MIS.

Dated

22-1-1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 104 of G. A.

Government Agents Office

20th January 1854

From

Surgeon E. G. Balfour

Government Agent at Chelmsford

To,

J. Bycroft Esq^r

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honour to forward
subjoined the explanation of the
Demand of the Durbar called for
on the matter of Mr. Johannes, in
Extract Minutes of Consultation,
dated 6th Instant, N^o 2.

I have the honour to be,

Yours faithfully

20th January 1854

Yours most obedient servant

E. G. Balfour
Government Agent

To,

To,

C. G. Balfour Esq^r

Government Agent

Sir,

Chepauk

With reference to your letter of the 6th Instant, forwarding the re-enclosed original documents, and that of the 15th Idem annexing copy of extract from the minutes of consultation of the same date, I have the honor to state that Gholam Moortooza Khan having appeared to the Istifa Catchery and claimed for seven lacs of Rupees as a debt contracted through him under a ~~Wan~~ ^{Wan} hearing His Highness the late Shahida's signature, His Highness ordered the Istifa Catchery never to admit the claim until the Khan produced the account of the expenditure of that sum, and also prohibited admittance into His presence. About three years after when the authorities of the Court intended to arrest the Khan he unexpectedly came

to His Highness secretly by night, and sued for mercy. The Shah was moved by his entreaties and directed the Istifa Catchery to allow Bonds to his creditors for seven lacs of Rupees - which was accordingly done. Two of these Bonds were granted in the name of Mr. Joannas, one for 120,000 and the other for 80,000 Rupees, in payment of which three instalments aggregating to nearly 40,000 Rupees were paid to him in the space of 3 years, each instalment being paid after the receipt of His Highness's Order - But now the claim upon these Bonds is inadmissible by the Shah's death, partly because it is the debt of Gholam Moortooza Khan and not that of His late Highness and partly because it is stipulated in the Bonds that every annual instalment will be issued after the receipt of His Highness's Order, and as the

the Chahab is now dead, who is to
direct the payment of such instalment,
consequently it cannot be perceived,
upon what Vouchers and proof the
Supreme Court, have issued an
award in Mr. Johannes favor
for the amount of such Bonds.

I have &c &c

Signed / W. W. S.

Madat. ool. Amrak

Deputy of the Durbar

True copy

Off. W. W. S.
Government Agent

Cherant Palace
14th January 1854

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis
 1833 Dec 31 (Jan 11 1834)

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis
 Political 1833 Dec 31

Dr. H. C. Lewis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

10-11

C. 24 long
 R 22 1/2

W. H. W. an order

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis
 Political 1833 Dec 31

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis

Dr. H. C. Lewis 730 St. Louis