

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Police **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	496	Press Mis
Dated	26-6-	1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 496.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 26th June 1857.

Read again letter from Government Agent at Chepauk, dated 23rd February 1857, No. 26. -

Read also the following Appeal Petitions

From Moostuqueen Jung.

Appeals against an Endorsement of the Chepauk Agent ruling that Petitioner is not entitled to any portion of the Stipend assigned to his late Grandmother. } (Here enter 27th May 1857, No. 534.)

From Mahundy Begum, a Carnatic Stipendiary.

Complains of an Endorsement of the Chepauk Agent, ruling that Petitioner is not entitled to any portion of the Stipend assigned to her late Mother, Ikram oon Nissa Begum. } (Here enter 15th June 1857, No. 533.)

The Government do not see cause to adopt the course recommended by the Agent, and cancel entirely the Pension of Rupees 200 which is entered in the name of the deceased Ikram oon Nissa Begum. Government observe from the documents before them, that although the Pension of Rupees 200 stood in the name of the deceased Begum, the Petitioner, Moostakeen Jung, has received from it a monthly sum of Rupees (75) Seventy-five as his own separate Stipend, and it is not the wish of Government that he should be deprived of his allowance. He is therefore to continue to enjoy this provision, and to receive payment of it in arrears from the date of Ikram oon Nissa Begum's decease.

2. There thus remains a sum of Rupees 125 to be disposed of out of the Stipend of Rupees 200, and Government consider that a moiety of it, or Rupees (62½) Sixty-two and Annas eight, should be continued to the Claimant Mahundy Begum, and the other moiety lapse to Government under the orders given in Para: 13 of the letter from the Supreme Government, dated 8th September last, No. 4644. They therefore direct the Agent to follow this course from the death of Ikram oon Nissa Begum, but if she has left other heirs who are entitled to share the half allowance now continued to Mahundy Begum, this point is open to future adjustment.

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Government Agent at Chepauk.

„ Accountant General.

„ Civil Auditor.

Exd. J. A. Wilson.

To
The Right Hon^{ble} Lord Geo. Murray
Governor in Council
Fort St George

My Lord.

I With every sentiment of respect and submission
I beg leave to state that I had forwarded an Address
to the Govern^t Agent on the 4 April 1857 to be submi-
ted to your Lordships in Council. He in spite of
my request returned it to me with his endorsement
thereon, which is herein enclosed for your Lordships
perusal, and in so doing I embrace the opportunity
of laying my faithful case before your Lordships
with sanguine hope that it would meet with your
Lordships ever benign consideration.

II That owing to my enjoying a small pension,
21 Rs a month, as per by Govt Minutes of 1852, dated
14th Feb^y 1856, deprived of that allowance, I am
justly entitled to by right of my parents. I would
earnestly request your Lordships kind reference to the
case of some of the late Stipendiaries, similarly si-
tuated, wherein, besides their scanty pensions, they
have of late received additional allowances from Govt.
In proof of which, I beg to recall to your Lordships
memory, the favour experienced by the families of
my late uncle Mr. Good Durrani, according to

1. 1857 of C of 16 June 1857 regarding Debar & Jangay families
do do 27 Nov 1857 do they were given 1000 Rs

the Ed. M/C dated 11 March 1836, it would be a matter of much unpleasantness, were I to be excluded from the same, without consideration.

That, as I am in receipt of 21 R^s out of 100 R^s the share of my late mother, I was unjustly debarred from enjoying any portion out of my father's pension. Having deemed it necessary to represent the circumstances connected with the said pension, I have submitted an address to your Lordship, and in regard to which the Agent made his report on the 29 Nov^r 1855. I have besides forwarded with a letter, certain papers bearing upon the said pension to the Chief Secretary on the 1st Dec^r 1855, on the perusal of which, especially the copy of my letter to the Agent dated 27 Oct^r 1855, your Lordship will perceive that the sum of 100 R^s the share of my late mother, daughter of the late Shurpool Comrah, was born in my name after her demise for 13 years, but owing to the error in the family of the present Shurpool Comrah, my said share was reduced to 21 R^s. My claim for the said 100 R^s was admitted to be just and reasonable by the Ed. M/C 20 Jan^r 1846 & 28 Oct^r 1848 passed in the case of present Shurpool Comrah, but the Agent has taken a wrong view of my claims from his misapprehension of the Report of the Surar dated 14 Nov^r 1849 - a full explanation of which is contained in the 3rd part of my letter of the 27 Oct^r 1855 to the Agent, and the last part of my letter of the 1st Dec^r 1855 to

the Chief Secretary. I understand that the Gov^t have expressed their inability on Ed. M/C of 13 Dec^r 56, to grant me any addition to my present scanty pension, and I apprehend that the cause for such a consideration is then, entirely reliance upon the report of the Agent dated 29 Nov^r 1849, enclosing the report of the Surar of the 14 Nov^r 49, the Agent not understanding the explanation of the Surar Officers drawn up a report quite contrary to their sentiments - for this very reason I imagine that the Gov^t considered that the Distribution List of Shurpool Comrah sanctioned on the 27 March 1847, should be rigidly attended to. I therefore humbly beg to state that if your Lordship be pleased to refer to the resolutions dated 10 Dec^r 1849 regarding the regularity of the said List, and the said incorrect report of the Agent, your Lordship will at once see the necessity of rectifying the said report and take my claim for 100 R^s to your Lordship's kind consideration.

IV That, adverting to the Agent's endorsement herein enclosed I beg the liberty of offering my remarks as follows. - 1st It is mentioned "that on the List of the dependants of the late Sadrompha Begum received on her demise from her principal Officers the Gentlemen's ^{names} ~~names~~ was inserted as a receipt of 200 R^s for her pension". It is true that my grandmother

was, except of 200 Rs, but this sum was not her
personal allowance, and it was the total of the joint
share. consequence of her being the head of the
family, it was recorded in her name; and that the
circumstances connected with it will be clearly known
from the letter of the said principal Officers and
that of the Dewan of the Durbar annexed to the
enclosed address with marks D & C. 2^d "That it
is recorded that since the long period of 53 1/2 years
in the Lists here alluded to, the Gent^l woman's
name was not in the books of deceased." This is
quite incorrect, for the said enclosure marked D
points out that my name is not written in the present
records, and by which it is evident that my name
was entered in the former records as of the year 1822
when this allowance was first granted. If your Lordship
entertains any doubt regarding this, a reference of the
case to the principal Officers of Her late Highness
would convince your Lordship of the truthfulness of
my assertion. 3^d "As the deceased did not appear a
separate stipend for this Gent^l (me) during her life
time, this Office does not feel able to recognize such
a separation". I beg to say that when the joint
allowance was first paid when my grandmother was
she inquired Her late Highness, as to whose shares

are, summed up in the said allowance, she in
reply stated that 75 Rs is the share of Most High
Mrs. Bak^t 8th. This confession of Her Highness
is authenticated by both of the documents; the letter
of Prince Azem Sahi Bak^t, as also the last para
of the letter of the principal Officers of Her late
Highness, annexed to the enclosed address with
mark B & D. 4th "The pension granted to the dependants
of Her late Highness were for the lives only." Her
Highness the liberty to state that the said declaration
does not stand as an obstacle to my lawful portion
because my claim is not for any pension out of my
late grandmother's, as being her heir, but for the
reversion of my personal share which I have enjoyed
till I died last through my grandmother.

5th That by glancing over the above facts, and by
perusal of the enclosures & their appendices, it will
be manifest to your Lordship in Council, that I
am not only deprived of my parental rights, but
my personal also, and that among the whole of
the Carnatic Dependents, there would be none
so impotent, so destitute, so oppressed and so
deserving of your Lordship's clemency, as I am
that by my deprivation of my stated rights and por-
tion, I am not only involved in the abyss of hard-

and misery. I therefore, earnestly implore your
Lordship in favour with gracious and benevolent
be pleased to take my petition to your Lordship's
favourable consideration and extend your Lordship's
mercy towards me (as was done in many other
cases) and grant and confirm my personal share
of 75 R^s in my name.

I have the honor to be

My Lord

May 1857 Your Most Obedient Humble Serv^t



Wm. Pitt Rivers

Pol Sept

Memo.

Extract. Para 13 of Supreme Govt's letter. No 4644.
dated 8th Sept 56.

"In the case of women of the Rajah's (Nabob's)
family to whom stipends may now be granted,
it will be for the Govt. & Heads to enquire, upon
the death of any of them, whether any & what rela-
tives of the deceased were supported from the stipend,
& to give to such as may be judged worthy of consid-
eration, life pensions, the aggregate of which shall
not exceed the moiety of that which was accorded to the
deceased, but full transmission shall stop here, &
there shall be no second inheritance of any portion
of the stipend.

Patn of Moon Begum Ling. appealing 2nd 2nd. C.
dated 18th March last. No 229.

It appears here, that on the
13th Jan & last, she was granted
to her Shamoon Nissa Begum
the monthly allowance of Rs 200,
in consideration of her relation-
ship to Her Highness Saeed
oon Nissa Begum - deceased.
In February following the Ben-
dowan, a very old woman, herself
deceased.

From Govt of India
2nd Sept.
No 6321.

Patn of 18th Sept 56
dated 18th March 56

From Moon Begum
Lang -

2nd Sept 56
No 6321

No 533

Patn of 18th Sept 56
dated 18th March 56
From Govt of India
2nd Sept.
No 6321.

The Petitioner states that he is
her grandson, and that, although
the allowance granted to her by the
late Saeed Begum and continued
at the Begum's death by the Govt.,
has always stood in the deceased's
own name, yet that a portion
of it was always, under the direc-
tion of the Dowry, paid to him.
The enclosed letter from Prince
Ayaz Ali, and the chief officer
of the late Wazir's chaklary,
in confirmation of his state-
ment - & being that the same
portion (75 Rs. a month) may
be continued to him.

He does not quote (perhaps
he does not know of) the order
of the Govt. of India at the
back of his Papers, under
which it will seem that a
mortgage on 100 Rs. of deceased's
pension may be continued, at
the pleasure of Govt., to those
who were dependent on that
allowance.

Petition of Muhammad Begum.

States that she is the
daughter of Ikrām Begum, de-
ceased, as aforesaid, & was
mainly dependent on her for
her support.

Encloses an endorsement of
Dr. H. J. - who observes that
she is already a Carnatic Shi-
vendian; being a slave,
to the extent of Rs. 61 monthly,
in the pension of her husband
Shiraf ul Omar B.N.

S.D.

12-7-17.

Memorandum

Both these cases appear to me to merit li-
beral treatment at the hands of Govt. - Moosteguem
"being" ought not, I think, to lose his distend
personal allowance of Rs. 75, because in the
account it has been entered with the deceased
Saeed on Kappa Begum's - There then remain
out of the Rs. 200, a sum of Rs. 125 and half
of this ought in my opinion to go to the heirs

of the deceased under
the orders of the Govt of
India - If the present
Ratnam Mahendy Begum
is the only heir, her claim
to enjoy it seems good
& reasonable -

The orders of Govt are
requested -

Yr

I approve

X

M. J.

Political Depart^t

No. 146.

Extracts of Cons: Dated 26 June 1857.

Rec^d again letter from Govt Agent
at Chepauk d. 23 Feb. 7/57 R. 21. &
recorded in D^t Cons. of 24 March 1857.

Rec^d also appeal Petitions
presented by Moostahem Jung
to Mahady Begum. —

Rec^d order of Man 4 15 June 57 R. 21.

The Govt do not see cause to
adopt the course recommended
by the Agent & cancel the
pension of Rs 200 which
is entered in the name of the
deceased Shamsun Nissa
Begum. — Govt observe from
the documents before them
that ^{altho} the pension of Rs 200 stood
in the name of the deceased
Begum the P^r Moostahem
Jung has received from it
a monthly sum of Rs 75 as
his own separate ^{stipend} allowance
and it is not the wish of
Govt that he should be de-
prived of this ^{allowance} maintenance
— He is therefore to continue
to enjoy this provision &
to receive payment of it

2-10

3-9-10
18-7-11

F. 4.364 of 38

Ch. of the Hon. of the

(2) 1857

Ch. of the Hon. of the

in arrears from the ^{date} ~~time~~ of
Shramoon Kifra Begum's decease.
2. There thus remains ^{a sum of} Rs 125
to be disposed of out of the sta-
-pend of Rs 200, and Govt
consider that a moiety of it
i.e. Rs 62½ should be continued
to the claimant Mahandiy
Begum & the other moiety
deposited to Govt under the order
given in ^{Para 13 of} the letter from the
Supreme Govt dated 8 Sept
last No 4644. They therefore
direct the Agent to follow
this course from the ^{estate} ~~time~~ of
Shramoon Kifra Begum, but
if she has left other heirs who
are entitled to share the ^{key}
allowance now continued
to Mahandiy Begum this
point is open to future
adjustment.

Ull

To the Agent at Calcutta Dated 20th
Account General }
Joint Auditor } Dated 1st

Official Memo.

With reference to the
Chepauk Agent's report of
the 9th Instant. 1878 on the
Petition of Motamud and
Aowlah, styled the late
known Physician, the
S^r Chief S^r to Gov^t has
the honor to request that
D. Balfour will state
whether the Petitioner is
the same person entered
as "No. 1320. Motamud and
Aowlah Bahadur. S^rheer-
Naman," the second in
the List No. 2 of the Chief
Officers of the C^ommun-
tion, submitted with
the letter of the 25th March
last. 1878. If not, D.
Balfour will be good
enough to point out in
what other List the Pe-
titioner's name is entered,
furnishing at the same
time an extract from such
List containing the par-
ticulars of his case.

If the Petitioner is
the same party, ~~above~~
advised

Reported by 2. 19 42.
 by the Agents
 Endorsement of 33 56
 9 Feb 1857

entered as 2^d in the above
 List No 2, D Balfour
 will be so good as to
 explain the discrepancy
 noticed in the margin
 in the Service and Age
 of the Patrons, and how
 it is ^{that} he is credited there
 as a Chief Officer and
 allowed a pension equiva-
 lent to the full amount
 of the salary which he
 received from the Sirkar.
 a claim which is not
 admitted by the Agent
 in his report of the 9th
 Instant.

Forth George
 21 May/57

J. H.

54-30 6 June 1860

The Govt Agent at the same

21 May 1857

21 May 1857