

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 316

Press
~~Mis~~

Dated

26-6-1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 204

From The Honorable Company's Solicitor
To The Chief Secretary to Government

April 21st 1857.

Sir

With reference to my letter of this day's date N^o 203 and to the suit noted in the margin

Kamahee Doye Sahab
versus
The East India Company and
Soyemba Phoyee Comamba
Phoyee, Mentumbia Phoyee,
Soyeumba Phoyee, in
Daphumba Phoyee Rama
Kumaramba Phoyee
Soyeumba Phoyee Appavoo
Saramba Phoyee Geshwa
rumba Phoyee, And Sumba
Phoyee, Gaurumba Phoyee
Aroonumba Phoyee, So Rao
marumba Phoyee, Gesnumba
Phoyee and Chenumba Phoyee,
when they shall come within
the Jurisdiction of this
Honorable Court.

I have the honor to forward a
copy of certain Interrogatories
(framed upon the amendments
recently made by the Plaintiff
in her Bill pursuant to the
leave given by the Chief Justice
of the Supreme Court in his
order of the 7th instant) which
have been served on me (as
solicitor for the Honorable
Company) by the solicitor for
the Plaintiff, and which the
Honorable Company are
required to answer.

I beg to request that I may be furnished
with all the information which the Records
of Government afford relative to the matter
enquired after by the 2^d Interrogatory and
that

33

In the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras
(In Equity)
Between Kamache Boye Sahaba Plaintiff
and

The East India Company, and
Soyemba Bhojee, Comamba Bhojee,
Soyentamba Bhojee, Soyentamba
Bhojee, Deepumba Bhojee - Ra-
makumarumba Bhojee, Seegoo-
numba Bhojee, Appavoocharam-
ba Bhojee, Yeshtwarumba Bhojee,
Anasumba Bhojee Govrumba
Bhojee Aronumba Bhojee So-
koomarumba Bhojee, Sees-
numba Bhojee and Che-
numba Bhojee when they shall
come within the Jurisdiction of the
Honorable Court — Defendants.

Filed 20th April 1857

Interrogatories for the Examination of the above
named Defendants The East India Company in
answer to the Plaintiffs amended Bill of Complaint

1. Did not divorce in the Bill mentioned subsequently to his marriage with
Plaintiff and about 3 years previous to his divorce or some other and when
duly and lawfully or in fact many 14 or some other and how many other
and did not two of them named respectively Soyemba Bhojee and So-
koomarumba Bhojee or some other and which of them by name preceded him in
leaving any issue or how otherwise and are not the other 15 named re-
spectively Soyemba Bhojee Comamba Bhojee Soyentamba Bhojee Soyentamba
Bhojee Deepumba Bhojee Ramakumarumba Bhojee Seegoonumba Bhojee
Appavoocharamba Bhojee Yeshtwarumba Bhojee Anasumba Bhojee Gov-
rumba Bhojee Aronumba Bhojee So-koomarumba Bhojee Seesnumba Bhojee
Che-numba Bhojee or what else are their names and were they
some and which of them married in the order above set out
in some other and what order or how otherwise and are they

H. B. Poll.

(1)

that a copy of these Interrogatories may be
forwarded to the Collector and Resident at
Tanjore with a view to his obtaining and
furnishing to me all such information
on the subject as he can procure.

It is desirable that the Honorable
Company's Answer to these Interrogatories
should be filed with all practicable in-
dispatch.

I have the honor to be,
for
your most obedient servant,

M. Boyson
Honored Secy.

and which of them now alive and are they not Hindoo Inhabitants of and resident at Tanjore beyond the Jurisdiction of the Honorable Court or where else do they respectively reside.

2. Do not the Defendants assert and submit that by the Hindoo Law as prevailing among the Mahrattas the death of a Mahratta man who is not a member of an undivided family and who leaves no Son natural or adopted his Senior Widow does not succeed him as sole Heiress but that all the Widows of the deceased are the joint Heiresses and Representatives of his person or what else do they assert and submit. Did not Bawa Sahib the 5th or what other Rajah of Tanjore die without male issue but leaving him surviving 6 or some other and what number of Widows named respectively as is in the Amended Bill mentioned or what else were their names - and upon his death did not his eldest Widow ^{by name} Porjan Bhaye Sahib or some other and what name or some other and which of his Widows or who else succeed him as his Heiress and Personal Representative or how otherwise and did she not reign as Rani at Tanjore with the sole absolute control of the said Raj and all property real and personal of her late Husband or how otherwise and does not the same appear by the records of the said Raj and the genealogical trees of the said family of the Rajah of Tanjore or some and which of them now in the possession or under the control of the Defendants or how otherwise.

Wm Shaw
App. Solr.

John Bruce Norton

The Defendants The East India Company are required to answer all these Interrogatories.

John Bruce Norton

Corrected
A. Hughes

Dear Pycroft, I am glad
 just to hear of a note very much
 to the effect of that one was
 given, & amended in view.
 I am sure all your heart in -
 will be to the point that it is to
 be done. That the Govt is not to
 be a part of the whole place in
 the world. I am sure there are
 a Parliament power to observe
 to. Any sort of interference
 shall never be proved to
 be right & just. if one pre-
 cedent is held sufficient
 to establish it, without
 any reference to any
 thing but the fact.

O.P. to the Editor of the
 12.13.1857. 10.13.1857

Supreme Court
 Madras.
 John Dwyer /

Annashee Bopz
 Jachaba

The East India Company
 and the Indian Government
 when they shall come within
 the jurisdiction

Copy

Instructions for the
 Examination of the
 the East India Company
 the Government of the
 amended Bill of the

The State
 The State

1857. 10.13.1857. 10.13.1857

I would if possible visit the
able to read the accident
Lampyris - But the specimen
the records in the office of
I would like to see as soon as possible
Lampyris, which very important
Lampyris but the records of the
Lampyris the records of the
the evidence -

Very truly
yours
J. H. H.

J. Prescott

No. 14. Order the way, No. 316, Date 24 April 1857

Ordered that the copy of the Interro-
-gatories received with the foregoing
letter be forwarded to the Resident
of Tanjore and that he be requested
to furnish all such informa-
-tion on the subject as he can
procure.

To Mr. George, }
24 April 1857 }
Copy to Res at Tanjore with copy }
of the letter & of the Interrogatories }
Copy to Mr. Solicitor }
Sept. 24th

16-9-32 IV
13-6-86-4
Pol. L. to Eng. 11th Aug.
No 13 of 1857 Paris 185

In D. I. to Cons 28th April
Political 1857 Department

18-11-10

From

The Hon: C^o Solicitor
No 254.

20-11-16

Dated 21st & - }
Rec^d. 22nd April 1857 }

No 338.

Encl^{os} 1

Forwarding copy of
certain Submissions/for
instant served upon him - ed upon amendments
as Hon C^o Sol^r and which recently made by the Plain
are required to be answered. iff in her Bill filed ag^t
the E. I. Co & others pursuant
to the order given by the
Chief Justice of the Supreme
Court in his order of the 7th
With an order.

13-14

12-11-16
12-11-16