

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Political* DEPARTMENT.

|          |        |                          |
|----------|--------|--------------------------|
| G.O. No. | 293    | Press<br><del>Mis.</del> |
| Dated    | 17. 4. | 1918. 7                  |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 41 of P. C. S.

Govt. Agents Office  
28<sup>th</sup> March 1857

From

Surgeon E. G. Balfour

Government Agent at Chepauk

To,

T. Pycroft Esquire

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George

Sir,

In obedience to the orders of Govern-

\* No 221 Dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 1857

Ordered that the foregoing Petition,  
with one copy of the Memorial to the Honorable  
The Court of Directors, be forwarded to the  
Government Agent at Chepauk for his report.

ment, as per  
margin I have  
the honor to  
report on the  
petition No 221,  
and its enclos-

Herewith  
returned

ed Memorial from the person styling  
herself Dyut Kharum alias Dil Probah  
the Wickah widow of the late Gholam  
Allee Khan, Ikram Jung Bahadur.

2

On the demise of Ikram  
Jung Bahadur, I addressed Govern-  
ment on the 8<sup>th</sup> March 1855; and

in

in para 3 of that letter were the remarks  
as per margin;

The report from  
the servant of  
the Sircar the  
Serishtadar of the  
Khandan having  
been as follows

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| * The Serishtadar likewise states that deceased has left the dependants<br>as per margin besides who<br>* Proprietary Begum Shadde widow aged 39 years who<br>* Proprietary right of her father as Stipendiary of Rupees<br>* High Serishtadar son aged 26 years<br>* Tasuddook Hoosain " " 25 years | also styled themselves<br>16 and Dilroba aged 35<br>the deceased's Nickah son and Nickah widow and have furnished<br>a Mahazur to that effect from Carnatic Stipendiaries and<br>others, but the Serishtadar states that the relations of<br>the deceased who are Noble relatives of the Wallajah<br>family have no cognizance of these two people<br>are quite well aware that these two never received<br>any thing from the deceased and have furnished a<br>Mahazur to that effect. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

"Translation of a Persian

"report from the Serishtadar of the  
"Khandan &c to His Highness the Nabd  
"of the Carnatic.

"In pursuance of your

"Highness' order, I have the honor to state  
"with reference to the petition of abbas  
"Hoosain and Tasuddook Hoosain  
"(Shadee sons of the late Ghulam Ally  
Khan styled Ikram Jung, shadee in  
"son of the late Abdool Hameed Khan  
"entitled Mhezaudool Moolk) and that  
"of Suddur Hoosain and Dilroba who  
"call themselves the Nickah son and  
"widow of the said Ikram Jung, that  
"the deceased who was in the enjoyment  
"of Rupees 107-12- per mensem on a  
"separate receipt for himself as per letter  
from

"from the Right Honorable The Governor  
"dated 20<sup>th</sup> December 1836 and Extract  
"from Minutes of consultation 12<sup>th</sup>  
"February 1839, died on the 19<sup>th</sup> November  
"1854 leaving the undermentioned family  
"beside whom there is one Suddur Hoosain  
"aged about 16 and Dilroba about 35  
"years who style themselves the Nickah  
"son and widow of the deceased, these  
"have submitted the annexed Mahazur  
"bearing the seals and signatures of  
"some Carnatic Stipendiaries &c that  
"the relatives &c of the deceased who  
"are the Nobles of the Khandan &c  
"are not acquainted with them, and  
"they are well aware that the said  
"Ikram Jung allowed them nothing  
"on which account they have forwarded  
"a Mahazur with their seals annexed  
"hereunto. The allowance of Rupees  
"40-0-0 per month drawn by the  
"said Ikram Jung for the expenses  
"of the tomb of his late grand mother  
"Zaiboon Nissa Begum being for  
"life lapsed to the State as per Govern-  
"ment Agents' communication of  
the

The 24<sup>th</sup> November 1854 -

Family of the deceased.

Hoosainy Begum, shadee widow draws  
90 Rs monthly from the Honorable Company's  
Treasury, on a separate receipt in right  
of her late father Surfraz Khan aged about  
39 years  
Abbas Hoosain son by the said shadee  
widow aged about 26 yrs  
Tasud dink Hoosain 25 yrs

Serishtadar's cutcherry  
29<sup>th</sup> January 1855  
Signed / Eshwar Dof  
Sect<sup>y</sup> of the Khanda

Ordered to be communicated to the Government Agent.

3 By Extract Minutes of Court  
substitution of 17<sup>th</sup> March 1855, No 110 the

Supond of Ikram Jung Bahadur was  
declared to have lapsed, & The Honorable  
The Court of Directors, in para 55 of Letter  
dated 30<sup>th</sup> April No 3 of 1856, concurred  
in that Order.

4 On the 22<sup>nd</sup> June 1855 Dilrooda  
petitioned this Office for transferring her Petition  
to His Highness's Mahakumal where she would  
prove her relationship to the late Ikram  
Jung and

received the  
endorsement  
as per margin  
and, subsequently on another petition  
dated  
\* No 152 of 1855  
Petitioner is informed that His Highness the Mahal of the  
Carnatic has not recognized her claim to be a Rikabi  
widow of the deceased.  
Govt Agents Office  
22<sup>nd</sup> June 1855  
Signed / S. G. Balfour  
Govt Agent

dated 26<sup>th</sup> December 1856 she received the  
endorsement which she alludes to in the  
petition accompanying her present  
Memorial.

5 The Right Honorable The  
Governor in Council will therefore observe  
that petitioner is incorrect in stating  
that she never submitted any petition  
to the Government Agent on any subject  
for her name was sent and her case  
reported on to Government in my first  
letter. But this woman has waited for  
two years and now produces a paper  
purporting to be certificate of a person  
of the name she takes to herself having  
been married Twenty years ago  
to Ikram Jung, but there is nothing  
to show that the certificate is genuine  
nor if even genuine, petitioner is  
that Dilroobah nor that even if she be that  
Dilroobah, she was not long ago divorced  
for it was shown in  
my report of the 8<sup>th</sup> March 1855, and  
in the Sircar report above quoted that  
none of all the family of Ikram  
Jung was aware of such a person's  
existence and that they positively  
knew

knew she had never received from  
Ikram Jung, any support.

6 Under this state of the  
case her claim seems to me wholly  
inadmissible: and the disposal of the  
deceased's ~~Stipend~~ having been sanction-  
ed by the Honorable Court, it does not  
seem to me to be necessary to transmit  
her Memorial.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your most obedient servant

*John M. B. Agha* Govt. Agents Office  
28<sup>th</sup> March 1857  
Government Agent

To:

J. Pycroft Esquire

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St. George

P.C. 17 March 1857. R. 221

P.C. 17 March 1857. R. 221  
P.C. 17 March 1857. R. 221  
P.C. 17 March 1857. R. 221

Patience's claim seems  
wholly inadmissible and  
it does not seem neces-  
sary to transmit her  
memorial.

21-27

1857  
R. 15

Patience's claim seems  
wholly inadmissible and  
it does not seem neces-  
sary to transmit her  
memorial.

Patience's claim seems  
wholly inadmissible and  
it does not seem neces-  
sary to transmit her  
memorial.

Patience's claim seems  
wholly inadmissible and  
it does not seem neces-  
sary to transmit her  
memorial.

Patience's claim seems  
wholly inadmissible and  
it does not seem neces-  
sary to transmit her  
memorial.

Patience's claim seems  
wholly inadmissible and  
it does not seem neces-  
sary to transmit her  
memorial.

No 293

Resolved that the

Memorial of the Woman alive  
Liberal, with the Chaplain's report  
thereon, be forwarded to the  
Honorable the Court of Directors in  
the ordinary course, with the  
opinion of the Court that the claim to  
a provision from the husband's  
share of the late William's share  
is inadmissible, as the  
husband is not known to have ever  
received any support from the  
deceased or to be known to the  
members of his family.

To the Hon. Secy.  
17 March 1857

Copy to Lord & Great St. Andrew. Dec 1857