

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 282

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

9-4-1918

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To
The Honourable the Court of Directors

The humble Petition of Sathmah
Begum widow of the late Raja
Rupam Khan a Carnatic
Stipendiary.

Most humbly sheweth

With the utmost respect and submission
your Petitioner begs permission to bring these few lines
to your kind consideration hoping that they will
meet with your favourable reception.

That after the death of her husband
on the 23^d September last your Petitioner presented
a Petition on the 29th October to the Government Agent,
through the Dewan of the Durbar of the Carnatic, soliciting
for an adequate allowance being vouchsafed to her,
out of her late husband's Stipend for her main-
tenance, from the consideration of her destitute state
and that of her little children agreeably to the
Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 8th Oct.
1849 authorizing allowance to the widow of the late
Mahomed Daffer Khan Son of your Pet^r's husband's
lawful wife; and the Orders of your Hon^{ble} Court dated
31st January 1855 and 30th April 1856 touching to the
maintenance of widows in destitute condition, but to
your Pet^r's greatest misfortune the Government Agent
returned

returned the Petition on the 18th Novem^r last stating
the reasons that present him to transmit it to Govern-
ment with his Recommendation.

Para 2. That as the Government invested a full
power to the Government Agent in respect of the
Consultation dated 17th 1853 to settle matters
of like nature himself without having recourse to
prescriptive forms, she has little or no hope left
in this Government in consequence. The Copy of the
Petition with the Indorsement thereon is therefore
herewith enclosed for the kind consideration of your
Hon^{ble} Court with a firm belief that your Hon^{ble}
Court shall Judge whether her case is justly deserving
of your liberal support or not. Your Petitioner
apologizes for her presuming to bring a few facts
to substantiate her claim for the indulgence of Govt.

Para 3. Firstly that notwithstanding her late
husband being related to the late Padsha Begum
Sahiba widow of the late Nabob Wallajah was also
connected with the late Syed Husein Khan
Senior Lawful grandfather of her late father-in-law
Syed Husein Khan Junior and his younger brother
Syed Husein Khan father of the late Padsha
Begum Sahiba who the both had enjoyed Jagheers
of Tikramony and Calwah yielding on the aggregate
a Revenue of 80000 Rupees annually from the time
of the Ancient Sovereigns of Hindustan The documents
connected

connected thereto bearing the seal of Tickers and other autho-
rities at the time were in possession of Humrooddeen Khan
Khan deceased Lawful brother of her father-in-law and
they are now kept with his Humrooddeen Khan
Khan Son. But the Nabob Wallajah consequent
to the revolt of the French transferred the first mentioned
Jagheer to his Estate and in lieu thereof granted an
allowance greater than the revenue to the family
of both the Jagheers for their maintenance and
that they continued to enjoy the Jagheer of Calwah
until the transfer of the Carnatic to the British
Government Besides this some Villages were allotted
by the late Nabob Oudatool Oorah for the ex-
posed purpose of meeting the expenses of the ceremonies
at the Tomb, Mosques and Sherins Durgahs and
for the support of the family of the Jagheers in
question. The Perquisites of the Villages alluded to
exist to this day with the seal of the Nabob himself
The villages in question were unjustly taken possession
of by Government though on the other hand several
other Villages intended for similar purposes continue
to be enjoyed even to the present period. That since
the taking possession of the said Villages & Jagheers by
the British Government your Petitioner father in law Syed
Husein Khan Junior and his brother Humrooddeen
Khan had invariably been in the habit
of submitting to Govt their claims concerning their
Villages and Jagheers but their attempts were rather
unsuccessful

unsuccessful consequence of the enjoyment of 5000 Rupees
per Annum the Stipend of late Padcha Begum Sahiba
widow of the Nabob Kallajah by the family of the two
Sajheersclars Syed Hussain Khan the Son the grand
father of her Pet^r's father in law and Syed Hussain
Pet^r's father of the said Begum in as much as the Gov^t.
Subsequent to the grant of 216 R^s to Annuroodren Hussain
Khan as the head of the family agreeably to the
Minutes of Consultation dated 4th March 1825 declined
to comply with his Annuroodren Hussain Khan's
request passing an Endorsement that the Pet^r's and his
family having received what is due by their rights
as the descendants of the Sajheersclars that in the
application of Annuroodren Hussain to the Council
of His late Highness during the month of June 1847
requesting that the Stipend of such persons as are
included in his prescript may be transferred to him
at their death The fact of the pension of 216 R^s being
granted in his name in consideration of his claims
to the said Sajheers &c and the Endorsement of the
Gov^t admitting such facts is apparent therefrom
It is obvious under such circumstances that the
ratification of the allowance of 216 R^s in the year
1825 in the name of Annuroodren Hussain Khan
for the support of the several members of the family
in which the Stipend of 30 R^s per Annum
received by your Pet^r's late husband was in lieu

of Sajheers &c

3
Secondly That while her husband and others in the
family of the late Padcha Begum Sahiba who enjoyed
pensions are claimed by Gov^t Agent as being disentitled
for such enjoyment how is it that the very Governor
Agent recommended for the grant of 17 R^s to the widow
of Mohamed Saffer Khan on the 29th Sept^r 1849 without
crediting to Gov^t his own lawful portion and how did
the Government approve of the same in Minutes of
Consultation dated 8th Oct^r 1849

Thirdly As it is obvious from the Order of your
Hon^{ble} Court under date the 31st January 1855 and 30th April
1856 that no distinction whatever has been made by
your Hon^{ble} Court in the Application of the said orders
to the widows whether nearly or distantly connected
would it be just and proper to deprive your Pet^r's from
the benefits of your generous orders

Fourthly That your Petitioner does not reside at
Tellore as supposed by the Gov^t Agent but that her
husband two months previous to his demise proceed-
ed there for a change of Climate with your Pet^r's
and the rest of the family your Pet^r's after the
lamentable death of her husband and the performance
of his funeral ceremonies &c with drew herself from
the place as she could not find any means of
support there she therefore returned to Madras where
she is now much exposed to much difficulties and
inconveniences -

Para 4 Your Hon^{ble} Court out of its usual genera-
lity issued orders respecting the maintenance of widows
in destitute condition and it is extremely regretful to
observe the Local Authorities do not enforce the said
Orders considering the benefit of such Consultation
as well as the ever lasting favor thus conferred from to
your Hon^{ble} Court.

In conclusion your Pet^r humbly begs to submit
that she entertain firm belief that your Hon^{ble} Court
shall be graciously pleased to take her case into your
serious consideration and grant that relief which she
had vainly sought from the Local Authorities by
fixing upon her a sufficient pension for the main-
tenance of your Pet^r and her helpless Children now
dying away from starvation.

For which Act of Kindness and Charity
your Pet^r shall as in duty bound

1st April 1857

Ever Pray



علاء دین محمد
سید محمد علی

Ms. No. 281

To

Edward Balfour Esq^r

Government Agent at Chepauk

The humble Petition of Fatima Begum
widow of the deceased Raza Hussain
Khan a Carnatic Sudderdy.

Respectfully sheweth,

With due respect and humble submission
your Petitioner most humbly begs leave to inform you
that her husband Raza Hussain Khan nephew of
the deceased Kamroobeen Hussain Khan who was in
the receipt of 30 Rs per mensem out of the pension of
216 Rs granted for the support of the family of the
late Padsha Begum Subhan as per Minuti of fons
dated 4 March 1825 departed this life on the 16th
Sept^r 1856 leaving your Pet^r and her undermentioned
children in an unprovided state. Verily the death
of her husband having thrown your Pet^r and
her children in sudden destitute state and help-
lessness and your Pet^r having no means
of support whatever they became a prey to the
craving wants of poverty and all its concomitant
ills more particularly that of starvation. Only
a particle of life is cherished with the hope of
superinducing the same kind protection as that done

to the family of the late Muhammed Saffer Khan the cousin of her husband when the Hon^{ble} Govt^y protected chiefly upon your recommendation made at the time when there was no order of the Hon^{ble} Court of Directors passed specially for the support of the widows of Pensioners and also pursuant to their former Govt^y orders as per Minute of the Govt^y dated 8 Oct. 1849.

As the repair of your P^{tr}'s distressed condition lies into the charitable hands of your honor, your P^{tr} begs to throw herself upon your liberal partiality and leave her case entirely to your favorable & benevolent consideration with a fervent hope that innate mercy and generosity will induce you better than on the former inconsequence of being rendered of a good opportunity to you to recommend her case on the ground of the new orders of the Hon^{ble} Court dated 31st Jan^y 1855 and also the 30th Apr^l 56 regarding the support of the unprotected widows of all the Dependents without any distinction betⁿ the nearest or distant relation, to take your P^{tr} and her children under the kind shadow of your patronage and to pity her deplorable circumstances and protect herself and children by recommending her case to the Hon^{ble} Govt^y so that they may be graciously pleased to order a handsome allowance

for her relief.

For which act of kindness indulgence your P^{tr} is in duty bound

Shall ever pray

29 Oct. 1856

List of the surviving heirs of the deceased Raja Muhammed Khan.

Fatimah Begum the widow of the deceased aged about 45 years

Moham^d Afsoodullah the eldest son aged about 19 years

Mohammed Khan the elder son aged about 17 years

Moham^d Momooddeen the youngest aged about 6 years

The reasons given for lapsing this stipend are

Because the Dewan of the Darbar in his letter of the 22 nd announces his demise on the 16 th Sept ^r 56. & because he is not a relative of the Mullah family but only a dependent of convenience he being a son of the late Syed Muhammed Khan who was a nephew of the late Pashua Begum who was the milk widow of Mullah who is he is the grand nephew of Nizka widow of Mullah who has pension lapses to the State from the date of his demise	placed on the margin and P ^{tr} made see that this Office has no
--	---

ground for recommending her case, the more so as the family have for the past 30 years been deriving a pension to which they had no claims. As P^{tr} resides in Vellur she should remain there

Govt^y Agent Office

18th Nov^r 1856

1 Sept^r E. G. Bullpur

Govt^y Agent

Feb 1/2 1851

6

To

The Right Hon^{ble} Lord George Harris
Governor in Council
Fort Saint George

The humble Petition of Fatima
Begum widow of the late
Raja Muhammad Khair Khan
natai Sepoy

Most humbly sheweth,

With greatest respect and submission
your Petitioner begs permission to bring to your Lordship
Kind notice that after the death of her husband
on the 22^d Sept last your Petitioner presented a
Petition on the 29th Oct to the Govt Agent
through the Dewan of the Durbar of the family
requesting for an adequate allowance being vouch-
safed to her out of her late husband's stipend
for her maintenance from the consideration of
her destitute condition and that of her little
children agreeably to the Minute of Council dated
8th Oct 1849 authorizing allowance to the widow
of the late Muhammad Saif Khan son of your
Petitioner's husband's lawful uncle, and the orders of
the Court of Directors dated 31st Jan 1855
and 30th April 1856 touching to the maintenance
of Widows in destitute state; but to your
Petitioner's greatest misfortune the Govt Agent

returned the Petition on the 18th Novem^r last -
stating the reasons that prevented him to trans-
mit it to Gov^t or to his recommendation.
Your Pet^r begs therefore to submit herewith the
original Petition to your Lordships favorable
consideration with a fervent hope that your Lordships
will judge the merit of her or whether deserving of
your Lordships compassion or not. Your Petitioner
in submitting the above begs also to bring to your
Lordships notice the following a few facts connected
with her case.

Firstly that notwithstanding her late husband
being related to the late Padshah Begum widow of
the Nabob Wallajah, was also connected with the
late Syed Husein Khan Sen^r (lawful grandfather
of her late father-in-law Syed Husein Khan Sen^r)
and his younger brother Saifur Husein Khan
(father of the late Padshah Begum Sahiba) who
the both had enjoyed Sagher of Vickramony and
Oulwath yielding in the aggregate a revenue of 8000
Rup^s Annually from the time of the Ancient
Sovereigns of Hindustan. The documents connected
thereto bearing the seals of Viziers & other authorities
at the time were in possession of Kumsodeen Husein
Khan deceased lawful brother of her father-in-law
and they are now kept with his Kumsodeen
Husein Khan's sons. But the Nabob Wallajah
consequent to the Revolt of the French, transferred
the first mentioned Sagher to his estate and

in lieu thereof granted an allowance greater than
the revenue to the family of both the Sagherdars for
their maintenance and that they continued to enjoy
the Sagher of Oulwath until the transfer of the
Comand^r to the British Gov^t. Besides this
some Villages were allotted by the late Nabob
Omdatol Omdatol for the expressed purpose of
meeting the expenses of the ceremonies at the Tomb
Mosques, and Shrines / Dargahs / and for the support
of the family of the Sagherdars in question.
The P^rsumptions of the Villages alluded to exist to
this day with the seal of the Nabob himself. The
Villages in question were originally taken possession
of by Gov^t, though on the other hand several
other Villages intended for similar purposes continued
to be enjoyed even to the present date by others.
That since the taking possession of the said Villages
and Sagher by the British Gov^t, your Pet^r
father-in-law Syed Husein Khan Sen^r and
his brother Kumsodeen Husein Khan had
invariably been in the habit of submitting to Gov^t
their claims concerning their Villages & Sagher,
but their attempts were rather unsuccessful in consequence
of the enjoyment of 5000 Rs per annum the depend^t
of late Padshah Begum Sahiba Widow of the Nabob
Wallajah, by the family of the two Sagherdars
Syed Husein Khan the Sen^r / the grandfather
of your Pet^r father-in-law, and Saifur Husein
Khan father of the said Begum) in as much

as much as the Govt. subsequent to the grant of 216 Rs to Kinnooden Huseini Khan as the head of the family agreeable to the Minute of Cons dated 4 March 1825 declined to comply with his (Kinnooden Huseini Khan's) request having an endorsement that the P. and his family having received what is due by their rights as the descendants of the Saghirdars. That in the Supplication of Kinnooden Huseini Khan to the Govt of His Lali Highness during the month of Janr 1847 requesting that the stipend of such persons as are included in his receipt may be transferred to him at their death. The fact of the pension of 216 Rs being granted in his name in consideration of his claims to the said Saghirds &c and the endorsement of the Govt. admitting such facts is apparent therefrom. It is obvious under such circumstances that the ratification of the allowance of 216 Rs in the year 1825 in the name of Kinnooden Huseini Khan for the support of the several members of the family in which the stipend of 30 Rs per mensem received by your Pet. Lali husband was in lieu of the Saghirds &c.

Secondly. That while her husband and others in the family of the Lali Patika Begum Sahiba who enjoyed pensions were deemed by Govt. Agent recommended for the grant of 17 Rs as being disentitled for such enjoyment, how is it

that the very Govt. Agent recommended for the grant of 17 Rs to ^{Shaym Alpha Begum} the Widow of Mahomed Saffer Khan in the 29 Sept. 1849 without crediting to Govt. his undoubted position, and how did the Govt. approve of the same in Minute of Cons dated 8th Oct 49.

Thirdly. As it is obvious from the orders of the Govt. the Govt of Directors under date the 31st Janr 55 and 30 April 56, that no distinction whatever has been meant in the application of the said orders to the widows whether merely or distinctly connected, would it be just and proper to deprive your Pet. from the benefit of such generous orders.

Fourth. That your Pet. does not reside at Villard as supposed by the Govt. Agent but that her husband two months previous to his demise resided there for a change of air, with your Pet. and the rest of the family. Your Pet. after the lamentable death of her husband and the performance of his funeral ceremonies &c withdrew herself from the place as she could not find any means of support there she returned to Madras where she is now much exposed to many difficulties and inconveniences.

In concluding your Pet. humbly begs to enclose herewith 3 Petitions and request that your Lordship in Council will be most graciously pleased to take into your Lordship's consideration the

If your Pet^y may not be so fortunate as to expect your kind patronage Your Pet^y begs most deferentially to request your Lordship will be most graciously pleased to transmit the said 3 Petitions to the Hon^{ble} the Lords of Directors

For which act of kindness and charity your
 P^r. is in duty bound

Shall ever pray

1. April 1857



سلامه و سحر و طم منکم
سبحان رحمتها و رحمتهم

27 The Rev. Albert at
1011 1/2 Ave. C
Chicago, Ill. 60640
1011 1/2 Ave. C
Chicago, Ill. 60640
1011 1/2 Ave. C
Chicago, Ill. 60640

[illegible]

1/4
P.O. 521. E. 49.
8 - Box No. 174/53
Barry
M. L. 1567. Study
No. 8/53

to Con 21st April
Critical 1857

Memorial from
Justice Deane - Governor
of the State of Oregon
to a Canadian Agent

Autos 1st

2282 April 1857

with my Aunt

No 281

O that the
 light for our adoption
 in the kingdom of heaven and children
 which the Father begeth
 to the Father
 may be lawfully said and
 the accompanying Memorial for
 the church and community to the
 Father.

With an Order