

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Political DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 24

Press
~~Mis~~

Dated

8-1-1887

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To
The Right Honble.

George Lord Harvie

Governor in Council of

Fort St. George

The humble Petition of Ram
abudra Rosalay, Inhabitant
of Tanjore.

Respectfully Sheweth,

That with reference to his Petition
dated the 21st Decr. 1856. your Petitioner
now craves to forward to your Lordships
in Council copy of a document just
issued in his case by the Court in the
Fort of Tanjore styling itself the "Original
"Court of His Highness."

2. This document purports to be an
"injunction" and your Petitioner forwards
it, not as marking the utter ignorance
of the Court as to the principles on which,
or the occasion when, an Injunction
may issue, but as explanatory of the
further course of the intrigue whereby
the Court has been put in operation
against him.

3. That there is not a shadow of
ground for the issue of an Injunction,
if the Court has any such power under

any circumstances, your Petitioner submits is too plain for argument with any one understanding the principles on which Courts of Equity issue injunctions; and your Petitioner cannot but suspect that the "Injunction" lately obtained in the Supreme Court against the East India Company has suggested the present proceeding.

4. To maintain the lawfulness of the present step, would be to affirm, that in every case in which a Defendant is sued, his entire property is to be tied up and taken from him until the termination of litigation! and if there can be any doubt of this, your Petitioner would crave a reference to the Law Officers of Government.

5. But to explain the motive causes of this proceeding, your Petitioner craves leave to enter somewhat more into detail.

6. Some time since the Accountant General wrote to Petitioner informing him that the Company's paper in his name (54100) could no longer be retained in the Accountant General's office, but that it must be either placed in the Madras Bank

or returned to your Petitioner.

7. Your Petitioner thereupon wrote to the Accountant General requesting that the securities might be forwarded to your Petitioner. Shortly after, two letters from the Accountant General to your Petitioner's address were received in Tanjore but were delivered by the post office authorities to Govind Row Venkiah Row otherwise called Chinna Annam, your Petitioner's late agent. Your Petitioner though he has applied to the said Chinna Annam to know if the said letters contained the said securities, can get no answer; nor can he get any redress though he has applied to Mr Cherry, and the Post Office Authorities.

8. He has now written to the Accountant General to ascertain whether the securities were forwarded in either of the said letters.

9. Further he has been in the habit of receiving treasury orders for his interest payable by the Collector of the District. About four months ago, he sent the last orders to the Collector to be cashed; but he has never received his money. A few days since he wrote to Mr Cherry requesting him to forward the Cash. The reply was

a letter requesting to know whether your Petitioner had in his former letter requested Mr Cherry to pay the money to your Petitioner direct, or to any other person. Your Petitioner immediately replied that he had kept no copy of his first letter, which he presumed must be in the Collector's Office, but that he had no doubt that his directions were to pay the money to your Petitioner.

10. Scarcely was this letter dispatched, before the "Injunctions" issued. It has been forwarded by the Court to the Resident, and by the Resident to the Collector.

11. These facts will show your Lordship the true aim and meaning of the "Injunctions": and the simple fact is that the Durbar and the Butcherry Servants in the Residency and the Collector's Office are so intimately mixed up and leagued together, that there exists no possibility of any check, what takes place in one office is immediately known in the other, and the Court is put into operation accordingly.

12. Thus the Court is running its course. A few days more, and its decree

3
so called Court, under cover of which the various parties in possession of his property will now justify their refusal to deliver to him any portion thereof. With this additional evidence before your Lordship of the fashion in which the "Court" is being worked to carry out the intrigues of interested parties, he prays that an Order may be promulgated declaring the Courts of his Highness to have become extinct with the Raj, and your Petitioner will ever pray &c

Tanjore
5th January 1857.

Romabadra Bosalay

decree will have stripped your Petitioner of his property. His house is filled with the creatures of his late agent; he is forced to live elsewhere, and exists on the daily liberality of Her Highness Rammachi Ohoys Sahib. His entire property (if the Government Securities have, as he fears been declared to ground Row Vankut Row otherwise called Chinna Anna) is in the hands of those who are bent upon his ruin, who have extorted illegal and fraudulent agreements from him, and are now putting in force the machinery of the Courts to consummate their own ends and objects.

13. Your Petitioner had hoped that the illegality of the "Court of His Highness," now that there is no longer any "Highness" of whom it can be the Court, would have been so clear a point that it only required to be brought to your Lordship's notice, in order to secure the immediate official declaration of such Courts extraneous and he once more throws himself upon your Lordship's protection, since he is in a position further to unmask the proceedings of the

Settlement 5267
Settlement 40 of 1837.
Para 14

Settlement 40 of 1837
Para 14

Before Saunmy Sakhree
Civil Judge in the original Court
of His Highness.
No 1380.

Plaintiff	Defendant.
Soubakryavathy Aganaboyee the wife of Ram- aludra Bocalay residing in the Street of Monojee Appah.	Ram aludra Bo- calay

According to the agreement
executed upon stamp paper by the
Defendant, with respect to the claim
laid upon the Interests and docu-
ments, jewels &c against the de-
fendant, it is stated in the motion
presented by the Plaintiff that, the
Interest for Rs 50,000 as well
as other estates granted by the
late Highness for the maintenance
of both parties, which are under
the charge of Govind Rao Venkai
Rao, together with the Interest
which was sent in the name of
Sahib.

Sahib and which is in the Cutchery
of Police Amara of Karoontatong
:dy and other interests which may
be sent in future, may not be
given to the Defendant until the
decision shall be passed.

2nd January 1857 /signed/ Atheyatcha Sawmy
Shastree

/A true Copy/

Romabatra Bosakay

To
The Right Honorable
George Lord Harris
Governor in Council of
Fort St. George
The humble Petition of
Ramaiah Chettiar
Inhabitant Tanjore.

Respectfully sheweth,

That with reference to his
Petition dated the 21st December 1856

your Petitioner now craves forward
to your Lordship in Council copy
of a document just issued in his
case by the Court in the Fort of
Tanjore styling it as the "Original
Court of His Highness".

2. This document purports to
be an "injunction" and your
Petitioner forwards it, not as marking
the utter ignorance of the Court

as

At St. Paul's 20th
Feb. 1857
Council

Q. 1857
In 1857

as to the principles on which, or
the occasion when, an Injunction
may issue, but as explanatory
of the further course of the intrigue
whereby the Court has been put
in operation against him.

3 That there is not a shadow
of ground for the issue of an Injunc-
-tion, if the Court has any such
power under any circumstances,
your Petitioner submits is too plain
for argument with any one, under-
-standing the principles on which
Courts of Equity issue injunctions,
and your Petitioner cannot but
suspect that the "Injunctions"
lately obtained in the Supreme
Court against the East India Com-
-pany has suggested the present
proceeding.

4 To maintain the lawfulness
of

of the present step, would be to
affirm, that in every case in
which a Defendant is sued, his
entire property is to be tied up
and taken from him until the
termination of litigation! and if
there can be any doubt of this,
your Petitioner would have a
reference to the Law Officers of
Government.

5 But to explain the motive
causes of this proceeding, your
Petitioner craves leave to enter
somewhat more into detail.

6 Some time since the
accountant General wrote to Peti-
-tioner informing him that the
Company's paper in his name
(54400) could no longer be retained
in the accountant General's Office,
but that it must be either placed
in

in the Madras Bank or returned
to your Petitioner.

4. Your Petitioner thereupon
wrote to the Accountant General
requesting that the securities might
be forwarded to your Petitioner.
Shortly after, two letters from the
Accountant General to your Pe-
titioner's address were received in
Tanjore but were delivered by the
Post Office authorities to Goond
Rao Venkat Rao otherwise called
Chinna Annab your Petitioner's
late Agent. Your Petitioner though
he has applied to the said Chinna
Annab to know if the said letters
contained the said securities, can
get no answer, nor can he get
any redress though he has applied
to Mr. Cherry, and the Post Office
authorities.

He

8. He has now written to
the Accountant General to as-
certain whether the securities were
forwarded in either of the said
letters.

9. Further he has been in the
habit of receiving treasury orders
for his interest payable by the
collector of the District. About
four months ago, he sent the
last order to the collector to be
cash'd, but he has never received
his money. A few days since
he wrote to Mr. Cherry requesting
him to forward the cash. The
reply was a letter requesting to
know whether your Petitioner had
in his former letter requested Mr.
Cherry to pay the money to your
Petitioner direct, or to any other
person. Your Petitioner immediately
replied that he had kept no copy
of

of his first letter, which he presumed
must be in the Collector's Office, but that
he had no doubt that his directions
were to pay the money to your Petitioner.

10. Scarcely was this letter dis-
patched, before the "Injunction" issued.
It has been forwarded by the Court
to the Accountant, and by the Accountant
to the Collector.

11. These facts will show your
Lordship the true aim and meaning
of the "Injunction," and the simple fact
is that the Durbar and the Mutcherry
servants in the Accountant and the
Collector's Office are so intimately
mixed up and bagged together, that
there exists no possibility of any
check, what takes place in one office
is immediately known in the other,
and the Court is put out of action accordingly.

12. Thus the Court is pursuing its
course as before, and it is
there

decreed will have stripped your
Petitioner of his property. His house
is filled with the creatures of his
late Agent, he is forced to live else-
where, and exists on the daily li-
berality of Mrs. Highness's Franchise
Rhoge Sahiba. His entire property,
if the Government securities have, as he
feels been declined to Govind Row
Vantant Row otherwise called
Chinnu Annal, is in the hands
of those who are bent upon his
ruin; who have extorted illegal
and fraudulent agreements from
him, and are now putting in
force the machinery of
the Courts to consummate
their own ends and objects.

13. Your Petitioner had
hoped that the illegality
of

of the "Court of His Highness,"
now that there is no longer
any "Highness" of whom it
can be the Court, would have
been so clear a point that
it only required to be brought
to your Lordships' notice, in
order to secure the immediate
official declaration of such final
extinction and he once more
throws himself upon your
Lordships' protection since
he is in a position further to
annul the proceedings of
the so called Court, under cover
of which the various parties in
possession of his property will
now justify their refusal to deliver
to him any portion thereof.
With

With this additional evidence before
your Lordships of the fashion in which
the "Court" is being worked to carry out
the intrigues of interested parties, he
prays that an Order may be promul-
gated declaring the Courts "of his High-
ness" to have become extinct with the
Raj, and your Petitioner will ever
pray &c.

Signed Ramabadra Bosalag

Tanjore,
5th January 1857.

Before Saamy Sastree
Civil Judge in the Original Court
of His Highness.
N^o 1380.

Plaintiff
Soubakayavathy
Ayanaboyee the wife of
Ramabudra Bosalag
Residing in the Street of
Moujee Appah.

Defendant
Ramabudra Bosalag.

Recording

According to the agreement
executed upon stamp paper by the
Defendant, with respect to the claim
laid upon the Interests and Documents
Serials H^o against the Defendant, it
is stated in the Motion presented by
the Plaintiff that, the Interest for
Rupees 50,000 as well as other estates
granted by the late Highness for the
maintenance of both parties, which
are under the charge of Govind Row
Ventur. Row, together with the
Interest which was lent in the
name of Sahib and which is in
the Culcherry of Police Ameen
of Karontatungoody and other
interests which may be sent in
future, may not be given to the
Defendant

Defendant until the decision shall
be passed.
2^d January 1857. Signed Atheyalcha Saamy
Shastree.

(A true Copy)

Ramabadr Bosalay

Handwritten: Handwritten

10-3-94

Thy friend is the

Martha G. W. C. Hall

Forbes & Henderson

one of his letters - The

Plain C/H is this mean

wife - the object is

to prevent his getting

other possibilities

Oct 1857
in Dec 29

but in for the service
 in which she has
 a great interest &
 leading the process
 to R. M. M. M. M. M.
 to see in the world

I mean the only
 thing that can be
 done with it is to

100 100 100 100
 in the 10

send it for the
 report of the
 United -

From

Ramabudra Basalay

To

J. Pycroft Esq^r

Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

I have the honor to request that
you will lay the accompanying Petition
with its enclosure before the Right
Honorable the Governor in Council
for his consideration.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Yours most Obedient Servant

Tanjore

5th January 1857

Ramabudra Basalay

No. 24

Ordered that the Petition w:
accompanied the above letter
and its enclosure be referred
to the Comptroller of the Revenue
of Tanjore.

Yrs

Wm. D. Long
8th Aug. 1854

Cy to Resident of Tanjore
with right Petition & its
enclosure

From Comptroller
of the Revenue
of Tanjore

1854

Vol. 548

Vol. 4 24th

7-1-57

Left Tanjore 20th
July 1854
Canna 24

16th