

1. Number of pages. 12
2. Date of receipt. 29-1-36
3. Initials of Receiver. *P*

M.R.O. 1-22 400-22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

XX 31690

~~Press~~
~~Misc.~~ *W*

Dated

7-2-1882 *1875*
27-2-1882

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
27th February 1875.*

Public Servants.

Read the following papers:—

No. 373. From E. B. POWELL, Esq., M.A., C.S.I., Director of Public Instruction, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 11th February 1875, No. 305.

I have the honor to annex copy of a letter from the Curator of Government Books, and to recommend that Mr. Kristnama Charlu's proposal be sanctioned.

From V. KRISTNAMA CHARRIAR, Curator of Government Books, to E. B. POWELL, Esq., M.A., C.S.I., Director of Public Instruction, dated Madras, 9th February 1875, No. 179.

I have the honor to bring to your notice, with reference to the Circular Order of Government, prescribing fifty-five years as the limit of age for effective service, that T. M. Krishnasawmy Pillai, the oldest clerk in my office, will reach that limit by the end of this month, and I request that the permission of Government may be obtained for this clerk remaining in his post for another year, as he is at present quite able to discharge his duties in this office notwithstanding his age.

Order, 19th February 1875, No. 50.

As the Chief of the Department has not required this person to retire on attaining the age of fifty-five, the permission of Government for him to remain is not necessary.

(Signed) D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Acting Chief Secretary.

No. 373-A. From R. W. LODWICK, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Fort St. George, 24th February 1875, No. 658.

Audit Department.

With reference to Proceedings of Government, Educational Department, No. 50, dated 20th instant, I have the honor to state that the practice now followed is to require the sanction of Government for the retention in the service of every one in any establishment who has attained the age of fifty-five years.

2. As Rule 1 of Section 53 of the Civil Pension Code says that such a servant *may* be required to retire, unless the Local Government consider him efficient, I request to be informed whether the above practice is correct, or whether this Department is authorized to admit the pay of such men, without the sanction of Government, if the Heads of Offices should consider the men efficient, and therefore not call on them to retire.

No. 373-B. From E. B. POWELL, Esq., M.A., C.S.I., Director of Public Instruction, to the Hon. D. F. CARMICHAEL, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 25th February 1875, No. 430.

On receiving G.O., No. 42, of the 13th instant, I notified that it was left by Government to the Director of Public Instruction to decide whether P. Ponumbala

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
27th February 1875.*

Mudaliyar should be called on to retire, and I announced that Ponumbala would cease to belong to the staff of the Presidency College after the 31st proximo.

2. The Deputy Accountant-General now states that the services of Ponumbala cannot be retained without the special sanction of Government, and he has returned the teacher's pay-bill.

3. I request that the special sanction asked for may be accorded.

No. 374.

ORDER THEREON, 27th February 1875, No. 463.

It is the Head of the Office, subject to the control of the Head of the Department, who requires a public servant to retire, if he thinks it proper to make such a requisition, on such public servant attaining the age of fifty-five.

2. The matter does not come before Government unless the public servant appeals on the ground that he is still efficient. If Government decide to consider him efficient, the order requiring retirement is cancelled, on such terms Government settle.

(True Extract.)

D. F. CARMICHAEL,

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.

„ the Director of Public Instruction, with reference to his letter,

„ all Heads of Offices.

dated 25th February 1875, No. 430.

Rd. J. Wright.

12/12/12

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th June 1882, No. 4544, on letter from the Collector of Vizagapatnam, dated 29 May 1882, No. 547.

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 2162, dated 29th July 1879, I have the honor to submit the following case for the orders of Government through the Board of Revenue.

2. In Jagannadharow, the Deputy Tahsildar and sub Magistrate of Palur fell seriously ill in November last. I granted him 3 months' privilege leave, as he was entitled to it. He availed himself of the leave from 8th November. On the 1st December 1881, the Treasury Deputy Collector reported to me that Jagannadharow had completed his 55 years of age.

Gobt. of 1875 Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

Secy. to Govt.

Dated February 27th.

Recd.

G.O., 27th February 1875, No. 463.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 373-374.

Fifty-five Years' Order.—The retirement of public servants under this order left entirely to the Head of the Office, subject to the control of the Head of the Department.

on the 20th of the previous month and that his pay could not be passed unless I sanctioned his retention in office under G.O. dated 22nd February 1875 No. 463. As I entertained a doubt as to his fitness for further service, I directed Jagannatharow to submit a certificate from the Civil Surgeon on the state of his health and ability for further service.

On the 25th February last he sent me a certificate obtained from Dr. Harrison in which that officer states that Jagannatharow was in a bad state of health, and recommended leave for a further period of 3 months. Jagannatharow having passed 55 years of age, sick leave could not be granted to him under the rules in force. His 3 months' privilege leave was therefore converted into six months' special leave. This leave also expired on the 7th May 1872.

In the medical certificate granted by the Civil Surgeon on the 29th March last, he states that Jagannatharow is fit for fur-

ther

7-
ther service of a less laborious character than that which he has been doing, and in his letter No. 320, dated 5th May 1872, he explains that what he means by the word underlined is that Jagannatharow is still capable of performing sedentary work not requiring much physical exertion. Jagannatharow's present appointment is that of a Sub Magistrate and Deputy Tahsil-dar. Officers of this grade are required now a days to be constant on the move. I saw Jagannatharow some time ago and I am of opinion that he is unfit for further service as a Sub Magistrate and Deputy Tahsil-dar. He has completed 25 years' service in qualifying grades and is therefore entitled to half pension. I have therefore advised him to retire, as he is unfit for further service as a Sub Magistrate, and as in the event of his still wishing to continue in service, he will have to take up an appointment

of less salary which will effect the amount of pension he is now entitled to. In reply to this, Jagannatharow persisted in his desire to continue in service and requested me to transfer him to some other sub magis-
tracy of equal salary. When he is unfit for the sub magistrate's place at Salur, he is in my opinion equally unfit for any other appointment of that grade. As my English Head Accountant is now acting for this man at Salur, I proposed to con-
firm him there and to appoint Ja-
gannatharow to the post of my Head Accountant, or if he is found un-
fit for it to some other appoint-
ment in filling up that place.
Jagannatharow does not consent to this arrangement.

I therefore request that the Board of Revenue will move the Government to direct this officer to retire from service, as he is un-
fit to continue as sub magistrate
and

and Deputy Tahsildar any longer. Pending the orders of Government, I have directed Jagannatharow not to join his appointment at Salur.

Submitted to Government under the provisions of G.O. dated 23rd June 1879, Pension Depart-
ment, in Board's Proceedings, dated 29th July 1879, No 2182, with the request that sanction may be given to relieve Ch. Jagannatha-
r-ow, Deputy Tahsildar of Salur,
of duty.

Order thereon 14th July 1882, No 2221.

Approved and sanctioned.
(True Extract.)

Witness my hand
af. apth. Secy.
for Secy. to Govt.

To The Board of Rev.
" Pension Deptt. ✓

Done

Pension 1882 (Robert
Govt. of (1882) Madras.
REVENUE.

To

Rs 800

The Pension Dept.

Dated

Encl.

Recd.

Mis. G.O., 14 July 1882, No. 2221.

20-7-82

SUBJECT.

Directing the re-
-irement from the ser-
-vice of Mr. Jagannatha
-row, Dy. Tahsildar
& Sub Magistrate of
Salem, Vizagapatnam
District, aged 55 years.

With order by

Act.

Order (1882) 7-2

Recorded.

1/18
1/18
1/18

1/18