

1. Number of pages. 24
2. Date of repair. 80-1-36
3. Initials of Referee. *[Signature]*

M.R.O. 1-32400-22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 686

Press &
Mis.

Dated

7. 8

18 82

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Pension

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 633 from the Accountant General
 .. 713 from the Kammer P.W. Accounts
 From .. 662 from the Accountant General.
 Respectively Dated 13th June: & 15th June 1882.

FOR ORDERS.

Maistries in the D.P.O. are inferior amounts
 under no. 89. C. P. C.

II But in accordance with G.O. 17th Sept
 1877. no 505 their service has been
 treated as inferior if their pay
 exceeded Rs. 10.

III G.O. 29th April 1874 no 226 recorded
 letters from India allowing service as
 Maistries can pay above Rs. 10 to
 count as superior service but his
 Govt. have gone on their own order
 G.O. 15th Sept 1877 which explained
 that the so called Maistries were really
 Taluk over-seers - probably an overstatement
 as no term is more generally or loosely applied.

IV Thereafterward Govt. have dealt with
 each case on its merits & decided
 from time to time to forward for
 confirmation of Govt. of India till
 10th Nov^r 81 when general sanction
 was requested for treating the service

of members of the Petty Estab^t. of the
Public Works Dept on pay above
Rs. 25 as superior.

Govt. of India replied that in next
edition of the Code a note will be
inserted under sec: 90 - that Masters
on 25 mpees upwards shall be
superior ^{general} to all but the authority
asked for was not given for the
present. - G.O. 27th Jan 82. 175.

The Govt. of India gave sanction in
the particular case sent up
but obviously desire to leave matters
between themselves & the Madras
Govt. in statu quo till the new ^{edition of the} Code
comes out.

Amend 633

By G.O. 16th March 82. no 256 - Govt.
fratid omce on Imp. Petty Estab^t.
B. Rengaswamikulu as superior
though on Rs. 20 only. The Secy. of
Public Works has ascertained that B.
Rengaswamikulu's duties were not those
of a Taluk officer but that he performed
duty of workmen. Hence he argues
that G.O. 505. 17th Sept. 1877 does
not apply to his case. The object
with good ground is submitted

borders of 1861 of this post being made
on this subject in the face of sec

1. Belmang Sepu^t to Financial Com.
it might have added
the ~~late~~ ^{subsequent} orders & correspondence & the Ac.
Govt. in his letter (encl 633) shows
that G.O. 22nd Feb 61. no 98 to be a
apology in view of the fact that the
nature of the services rendered by
Rengaswamikulu were distinctly inferior

Amend 713

A similar objection is raised to
15th April 82. no 324 giving pension
to A. Jumsami Aidiu carter
2 years service as Master (on Rs. 14
only) as superior. at/mt (8
27th Oct. 81. no 1107) this point was
considered & noted & the H.M. decided
that such service should not be his
as superior but G.O. 16th March
255 having issued in the mean
time Govt. - (the letter of the
recorded in G.O. 27th Jan 82. no
no 1010/1011) - reserved to the
the right to deal with cases on
merits (as decided by G.O. 17th Sep
a revised order was issued in form
case & the Govt. of India.

Whether some as a master on pay
under R: 25 shall rank as inferior
or superior. force : --

FOR ORDERS.

The King of the Sea

Submitted

S.O. 7th August 1882 no 683.
S.O. 7th August 1882 no 686.
S.O. 2nd Sept. 1882 no 809.

(a) that P.O. 17th Sept. 1877. 605 concerned the question whether service as trainee was permanent or not rather than whether it was superior or inferior for para 1 which relates to the latter question is a justification on the ground that Govt. have always gone on the nature of the duties performed, not the name of the position -

† settled by 30. 15th Feby 81. (no fol 44)

(b) that in any case when put under their letter no 1194 dated 10th Nov 81 - see LV of this note, they were ready to hold the pay as is - what it is - the best test of the nature of the services to rank over 25 as superior to under as inferior.

(r) that the refusal of the first India

- S. 1. 27th Aug 82. no 76 - approves this principle but as it ^{not give} does this for. The
 general authority sought for means that cases of magistries above 25 rupees
 should be referred to India who will give favorable orders that cases
 under should be treated as inferior: that this is a sound & good principle
 to save future trouble & influences. If it is approved ~~and~~ it is doubtful if
 1st 16th March 82. no 255 is altogether sound - and a G.O. might issue to this
 effect cancelling it & ^{bona fide} all the old orders on the subject = In any cases orders
 are solicited for adopting this principle in future for disposing in accordance
 with its spirit of the three ^{points} put up. 10/13/82

Pension DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,

Accountant-General's Office,

13th June 1882

Lead also the following papers:-

No.

318
76

From

M. F. Chogotain Esqre

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Pension Department.

SIR,

I am transmitting herewith a letter No. 37 P dated the 16th May 1882, received from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, requesting reconsideration of the orders of Government, No. 256 dated the 16th March 1882, sanctioning the grant of an Invalid pension of Rs. 10. " " a month to B. Rangamayathulu, late a Maistry, Imperial Petty Establishment, Gudawari District, I have the honor to state that service in the capacity of Maistry in the Public Works Department was originally classed as Inferior in all cases, and this is still the general

rule.

rule embodied under Section 89 of the Civil
Business Code. - This general rule however,
has since been ~~so far~~ modified that in
passing orders upon the Case of Aroob
Assary, a Carpenter Maistry in the late
Nilgiri Division of the Public Works
Department, the Government of India in
their orders of 16th January 1882 N:241,
decided that a Maistry in receipt of pay
of not less than Rs. 25. a month should
be deemed a Superior Servant and added
that a note to this effect would be
made in the next Edition of the Code.

2. This appears to have been the
utmost concession granted by the Go-
vernment of India, and as in the
Case under reference, Rungamaya Tin-
-lu's pay, as Maistry was only Rs. 20/
it is not clear how his service could
be reckoned as Superior, and I am
therefore with the Examiner of Public Works
Accounts in thinking that the Case
should be reconsidered.

3. The ruling laid down in G.O.
N:98 dated the 22nd February 1861
Financial, does not seem to affect
the present case, for the service as
Maistry

21
Maistry having been shown above
to have been in applicants' case
distinctly Inferior Service, he would
gain no benefit by reckoning it for
pension. His pension would be de-
termined simply by the remaining
23 years of Superior Service. -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient Servant.

H. J. L. S.
Offg. Accountant General.
M

G.B. No. 255 of 16-3-82
- 9-4-1882 No. 438

Pension (1882) Department
From No. 633
The Accountant General
Madras

To
The Secretary to Govt.
Pension Department
Colombo
No. 146
76

Dated 13th } June 1882.
Recd 17 }

No. 3
Em 3.

Subject

Submits for consideration
of Government Order No. 256
dated 16th March 1882 regarding
the sanction of a grant of
an Invalid Pension to B.
Runganaikulu who was
Maistry Imperial Petty
Estt Godavari Dist.

With order

1 A
From,

3P
The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.
Thro' the Accountant General Madras.

No. 37
Madras, 16th May 1882.

Sir,

Adverting to the orders of Government contained
in G.O. Pension Department No. 256 of the 16th March 1882,
I have the honor to forward herewith for the information
of Government copy of a letter received from the Superin-
tending Engineer Ist. Circle No. 241 G.D. dated 26th Ultimo,
from which it will be observed that B. Runganaikulu was
employed as a maistry on the Imperial Petty Establishment
of the Godavari District, in supervising gangs of workmen
etc. engaged in the construction and repairs of masonry
buildings and works, and that therefore, the ruling contain-
ed in G.O. Pension Department No. 505 dated 17th September
1877 which applied only to "Taluk Maistries", which
designation remained in force for only a period of three
months from 1st April 1863 to 30th June 1863, does not
appear applicable to his case. Attention is also invited
to G.O. P.W. Department No. 840 dated 27th March 1865, a copy
of which is enclosed for ready reference.

Further as men who held the appointments of

150

"Taluk Maistries", subsequently called "Taluk Overseers", were engaged on duties of a superior character and quite different from those who were employed merely to supervise gangs of workmen etc., it appears to me that the orders of Government No. 505 quoted above which were issued in the case of ^{the} former cannot be held to apply also to the latter.

3. As regards the orders contained in G.O. Financial Department No. 98 dated 22nd. February 1861, it appears doubtful with reference to section 1 under "Preliminary and Definitions" of the Codes of the Financial Department 5th. Edition, whether they can still be considered in force, inasmuch as they have not been embodied therein.

4. Under the above circumstances, I beg to request that the orders passed in the case of B. Runganaikulu may be reconsidered.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.



P. P. Choudhury
Examiner P.W. Accounts.

20p

87 15
76/15

From,

The Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Madras.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.
Thro' the Accountant General,
Madras.

No. 370

Dated

16th

May 1882.

Recd.

Enclos. 2.

B. Runganaikulu. Requests that
the sanction of the Government of
India may be obtained to the in-
valid pension of Rs. 10 sanctioned
for-----

In P. 635

Enclosures

Copy of letter No. 241 G. D. dated
25th April 1882, from the Superintending
Engineer, 1st Circle, to the Examiner P. W.
Accounts, Madras.

According to your letter No.
34 S.E. No. of the 18th April 1882,
I have the honor to inform you that
the duties of B. Runganaikulu
as Maistry on the Petty Establish-
ment were Superintending the
construction and repairs of masonry
Buildings and masonry works
generally.

(True copy)

G. P. Statton

Supdt. Correspondence

29
16/5

15.5.82

58p
Proceedings of the Madras Government,
Public Works Department, 27th March 1865.

N^o 554. Read the following Proceedings of
 the Madras Government in the
 Pension Department; D

Passing orders on the application
 for the grant of a pension
 to Moorookistiah Moodelli,
 late Superintending Maistry
 in the Public Works Dept. (Here enters
 2nd March 1865,
 N^o 103.)

N^o 555. (Pub. Works)
 Order ~~dated~~ 27th March 1865. N^o 840.

1. With reference to paragraph 2. of the
 foregoing Proceedings, it will be intimated
 to the Pension Department that there is no
 unrequented servant now employed on the
 permanent establishment of the Public
 Works Department, and serving in a grade
 eligible to pension, whose designation is
 likely to lead to his services being hereafter
 excluded under Clause I, Section I. of the
 Revised Pension Rules.

2. The term "Maistry" is at present only
 used in cases of men temporarily employed
 as foremen or gangs of Brick layers, Carpenters,
 Laborers &c. (To be extracted) — Col. R.E.
 (To be copy) "H. Mattons" Secy: to Govt.
 29/4/65. Correspondence Bk

In N^o 633

[Faint handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

No. 633

68-2-23 1833 210
PENSION DEPARTMENT

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Pen. Secy.:-
G.O. 16th March 1882 N^o 256
also
Read the following papers:-

From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts dated 16 May 1882 N^o 379

" Accountant-General, dated 13th June 1882 N^o 148/76

ORDER THEREON, *by the G.O. above*
read UNDER Sections 102 and 109 b of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to *Blaka durtha Ranganayakulu late Master Imperial Petty Esultish* : *ment Godavery District* an invalid pension of Rupees (6-10-8) *five annas ten and pice eight per mensem* payable at *Rajahmundry* from 20th January 1880 in consideration of his qualifying *Superior* service of *twenty three* years, months and *ten* days.

2 In accordance with Section 83 Rule I of the above code Rs 4-3-10 above sum will be debited to General

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Examiner of P.W. Accounts
" Superint^{nt} of Works Godavery
" Collector Godavery
2188 2188 2188
Kept 22-8-82 (Revenue)

Revenues and R. 2-6-10 to
Spend Funds.-

3 The excess of pension
drawn by the applicants
under G.C. 16th March 1882
N^o 256 will ~~be~~ be
recovered from ~~the~~ future
payments of the pension
now ~~functioned~~ ^{on}

31-7-82.

200
1/8

Memorandum
Govt. of 1882 Madras.

PENSION.

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

7th August 1882

Despd.

22nd

Reed.

G.O.

7th August 1882

Granting ~~and~~ invalid pension

of Rs 6-10-0 to B. Ranga
Mangakulu late Master

Imperial Petty Establish
Godavery Dist in Super.

Revision of that granted
to him in G.O. No 256

of 16 March 1882.

[dist from orders.]

passed on note and
with amount 662 on
which O.M. is put
up for acc. paid

Connected with G.O. No

2856 Wd. 31/10/82.