

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <i>610</i>	Press Mis. —
Dated <i>11-7-</i>	18 <i>82</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

21/11/30

11TH JULY 1882, No. 610, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

Letter from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, dated 30th December 1881, No. 79 W.
Letter from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 20th and 23rd January 1882, Nos. 192 W. and 1043.
Endorsement of Public Works Department, dated 24th and 25th January 1882, Nos. 186 and 196.
Memorandum to the Accountant-General, dated 31st January 1882, No. 13.
Report of the Accountant-General, dated 9th February 1882, No. A.D.J.-444.
Memorandum to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 15th February 1882, No. 17.
Report of the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 24th March 1882, No. 1016-X.
Letter to the Government of India, dated 13th May 1882, No. 448.

From R. LOGAN, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Simla, 13th June 1882, No. 1644.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 448, dated 13th May 1882, submitting an application for the grant of a pension to Cokrakulam Ramaswami Naidu, late Head Surveyor, Madras Harbour Works; and with reference to this and similar cases, requesting confirmation of the Order of the Government of Madras, declaring that service rendered in the Madras Harbour Works by officers transferred thereto from permanent employ under Government shall be treated as qualifying service.

2. In reply, I am desired to request that, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, arrangements may be made to bring the cases referred to within the scope of Section 71 of the Civil Pension Code; or, if this is not possible in any instance, to refer each case to the Government of India for decision on the merits.

3. In regard to the particular case of Cokrakulam Ramaswami Naidu, I am to observe that even if it were possible to apply Section 71 of the Civil Pension Code he could not, under the operation of Rule 1, Section 123, Civil Pension Code, be allowed to count for pension the increased pay he received in the Madras Harbour Works. He will be entitled to a pension of one-third of average emoluments for his service before his transfer to the Harbour Works; and this is all that is admissible to him, although this pension will doubtless be less than he would have received had his service in the Harbour Works been qualifying service.

4. The original enclosures of your letter are herewith returned.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant-General, Madras, with reference to his report to the Government of Madras, No. A.D.J.-444, dated 9th February 1882.

11TH JULY 1882, No. 610, PENSION.

ORDER—dated 11th July 1882, No. 610, Pension.

Under Sections 102 and 109 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Cokrakulum Ramaswami Naidu, late Head Surveyor, Madras Harbour Works, an invalid pension of Rs. (11-10-8) eleven, Annas ten, and Pies eight per mensem, payable at Madras from 16th June 1881, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of sixteen years and twenty-five days.

2. The two periods of absence without leave in 1868 have been commuted into leave without allowance under Section 93, Rule 7, of the above Code.

3. The recommendation of the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, for the grant of a bonus of one month's pay is inadmissible.

4. The Accountant-General will be requested to report without delay on the services of officers at present serving on the Harbour Works who are in the same position as the present applicant for pension, and will advise in what manner effect may best be given to the wishes of the Government of India expressed in paragraph 2 of their letter, dated 13th June 1882, No. 1644.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Public Works Department.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th July 1882, No. 610.

JULY.

Pension.

Granting an invalid — of Rs. 11-10-8 per mensem to C. Ramaswami Naidu, late Head Surveyor, Madras Harbour Works, and requesting the Accountant-General to report on the services of officers at present serving on the Harbour Works who are in the same position as the present applicant for pension.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers:—

From the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works
d/- 30 December 1881 No 796
" " Examiners of Public Works d/- 20
Accountant-General, dated 23 Jan 1882 No 192 W 1
Indorsement of P. W. Dept d/- 24 + 25 Jan 1882 No 186 & 187

ORDER THEREON,

UNDER Sections 100 and 109 of
of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the
Right Honorable the Governor in Council is
pleased to grant to Cotriakulum
Ramaswami Naidu
late Head Surveyor
Harbour Works a Consolidated
Salary Pension

of Rupees (14-15-4)
fourteen and annas five
teen per mensem

payable at Madras from 16th
June 1881

in consideration of his qualifying Superior
service of twenty years, nine
months and seventeen days.

2. Two periods of absence
without leave have been

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Examiners of Public Works
" Super. Madras Harbour Works
" Public Works Dept
M.G.

7
without allowance under
Section 93 (rule) of the above
Code.

3. To Rameswami.
Rameswami is further granted
a gratuity of Rs. 750 Security
- free in lieu of notice
under Section 100, Rule 2.

4. The recommendation
of the Superintendent, Madras
Harbour Works, for the grant
of a bonus of one month's
pay is inadmissible if
by the 'bonus' is meant
a gratuity other than, and
in addition to, the one sanc-
tioned in para 3.

Gobt. of (1881) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

Recd.

G.O., dated 13th May 1882 447

Granting a compensation
pension of Rs. 44-15-0
to Mr. M. S. C. Ramaswami
Swami Naidu late
Head Surveyor Madras
Harbour Works.

11 9/12 9
CERTIFIED that we have carefully examined *C. Ramaswami Naidu*
son of *C. Jeeralwan Naidu*, a *Head Surveyor*
in the *Madras Harbour Works* Department.

His age is, by his own statement, - *44* years, and, by appearance,
about *44* years. We consider *C. Ramaswami Naidu*
to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service
of any kind in the department to which he belongs, in consequence
of *Dropsy and Debility*

His incapacity does not appear to us to have been caused by
irregular or intemperate habits.

GENERAL HOSPITAL,
Madras, 11th Decr 1882

Henry King M. B.,
Surgeon-Major. } President.

Rowland

J K Rogers

Surgeon *Major*
Surgeon. } Members

9. 1087

11

Copy of letter from the Superintendent
Madras Harbour Works to the Examiner Public
Works Accounts Madras No. 716, dated 6 March 1882.

In reply to your letter No. 928 A of the
27 February 1882, I have the honor to inform you
that C. Ramasawmy Naidu was transferred from
the Survey Department to the Madras Harbour
Works in July 1876 chiefly in the interests of the
Public Service, for at the time I had not a
Surveyor and did not know where to get one.
He also wished to come, and I think obtained
a small increase of salary by the exchange.
Copies of the correspondence are enclosed.

Immediately on receipt of your letter I
directed C. Ramasawmy to appear before the
Medical Officer of this district with regard
to the certificate you desire, but have not yet
received that Officer's report.


(True Copy.)

J. E. Ratten

Supt. Correspondence Branch

27
24/3

24/3



13.
Pension (1882) DEPARTMENT.

No. 156

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Madras,

To

THE Accountant General

No. 444

Dated

9th February

Received

10th

1882.

No.

Subject.

Reporting on the
application for pension on
behalf of C. Ramaswamy
Nayadu late Magistrate
and Surveyor in the District
of the Madras Presidency
H. P.

List of men on the regular Establishment of the Madras Harbour works who have joined from other permanent Govt. appointment.

Names	Nature of appoint-ment held previous to transfer	From what office transferred	Present appoint-ment	Remarks
Mr Jas. Hart	Accountant	Office of Ex. Secy. Store-keeper.	Hold a lien on appt ^t in Examiner's office up to 5 th June 1880 after which date his name was struck off owing to re:duction of Establishment	
" C. A. Hutton	do	do	H ^d Account ^t . Has a lien on appt ^t in Examiner's office	
" E. D'coster	Clerk	do	H ^d Clerk	
K. R. Masammyhoide	Draughtsman	Supt's office H ^d Surveyor.	Specially mentioned in letter with G.O. 1829 Waugust 2 nd 1880	
Mr J. Manual	clerk	Mily. Pay Ex's office	Clerk	Specially remarked upon in letter no 38 Waugust 27, 1880

Madras 27th August 1880.

(Signed) F. N. Thoracogood
Suptt M. H. Works.

G. L. L.

Revised.

List of men now in the service of the Madras Harbour Works who attained the age of 25 years after engagement therein

Names	Nature of Employment	Date of Birth	Date of Engagement	Date on which attained age of 25 years	Remarks
A. Jagannairolloo	{ Draughtsman	15 th Dec 1853	1 st Jan 1877	15 th Dec 1878	impaired
Chidoo					
M. Albonoo Sawmy					
Roa	do	7 th Sep 1854	17 th Apr 1876	7 th Sep 1879	
P. K. Narayana					
Sawmy Saiker	Clerk	12 th June 1852	1 st Feb 1876	12 th June 1877	
V. Ramasawmy	do.	1 st Apr 1855	1 st June 1875	1 st Apr 1880	impaired.
C. Thaniachellu					
elloodelly	do.	17 th Feb 1854	10 th Sep 1876	17 th Feb 1879	

(Signed) J. N. Thorewood
Superintendent M. H. Works.

6th September 1880.

E. J. do L

List of Men who have exceeded 25 years of age while in the
Service of the Madras Harbour Works now discharged

Name	Nature of Employment	Date of Birth	Engagem ^t on Works	Date of discharge	Remarks.
M. Govindoopappa Naidoo	Clerk	18 th Decr 1852	21 st Nov ^r 1877	1 st Sept ^r 1878	Service dispensed with by reduction Restricted from permanent employ- ment owing to having exceeded the age of 25 while serving in the Harbour works.

Madras 27th August 1880. /Signed/ F. N. Thorowgood
Supt. M. H. Works.

[Signature]

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following paper :—

No. 78. From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. INST. C.E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 27th August 1880, No. 38 W.

I HAVE the honor to submit herewith three lists of men employed on these works who are in some way or other affected by the G.O., No. 1,829 W., of August 2nd, 1880.

2. The list No. 1 shows the names of those who were in Government employ before they came to the harbour works. The last name on this list is Mr. J. Manuel, about whom I wish to make a few remarks.

3. From February 1879 to August 31st, 1879, his salary was charged to the works and not to establishment; consequently during those months his pay was not audited by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts. His service, however, has been continuous since he first joined this office, and I beg that it may be considered so whenever his case comes to be tried on its own merits.

4. List No. 2 contains names of those now on the establishment of the works who have attained the age of twenty-five years since their employment here. No remark need be made on this list, beyond asking that it may be recorded for reference at any future date when any of those whose names are upon it may seek other employment, as I can speak very highly of the good qualities of several of the individuals mentioned.

5. List No. 3 bears the solitary name of a very creditable employé, M. Govindoorajooloo Naidoo, whose services I dispensed with in 1878 when reducing the staff as much as possible. I venture to draw special attention to his case, as he has, I fear, lost a permanent situation in an office where he was acting temporarily because he had attained the age of twenty-five years and had only been working in this office establishment, which was not recognised as Government employ. I beg to recommend his case strongly, as he left my office for no fault of his own and believing that he would be able to obtain employment elsewhere under Government.

6. I shall endeavour to give due notice of the termination of the employment on these works of any of those men mentioned in these lists, so that each case may be separately considered on its own merits; and I would respectfully remark that I consider the office staff under me have every reason to be satisfied in every way with the order under reply, which meets every case in the clearest way.

No. 79. ORDER THEREON, 15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

It has already been decided in G.O., No. 1,829 W., of 2nd August 1880, that service on the Madras Harbour Works will, in the case of men transferred to these

2 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, 15TH SEPTEMBER 1880, No. 2,166 W.

works from permanent employment under Government, count as service for pension and gratuity; this ruling will not be affected in the case of Mr. Manuel by the fact of his salary, during a portion of his employment on the harbour works, having been charged to "works" instead of to "establishment."

2. The individuals named in lists No. 2 and No. 3 will be exempted from the operation of the rule prohibiting the entertainment in the public service of men whose age exceeds twenty-five years, but those named in the margin will not be exempted by this order from the operation of the examination rules.

A. Jaganaikooloo Naidu.
V. Ramasawmy.

3. With these remarks the lists will be recorded for future reference.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Pension Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of (1880) Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
The SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated September, 15th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 78-79

Service on the Madras Harbour Works
counting towards Pension or Gratuity.—
Passing orders on letter from the Superin-
tendent submitting the names of certain
employés who are affected by G.O.,
No. 1,829 W., of 2nd August 1880, regard-
ing—

25

Pension Dept

No 36

Memorandum.

It is requested that this
Department may be furnished
with a complete file of G. O's
and correspondence with the
Govt. of India on the subject
of "Service on the Madras
Harbour Works counting to-
wards pension or gratuity."

By order
Potacumudy
14th April 1882

J. S. Davis
By Under Secretary

To
The Secretary to Govt
Public Works Dept

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of (1880) 39

P. br. C. No. 239

Recd 17 April 1880

No. 509 DD/19/4/82

The undermentioned
Pro. are furnished.

No. 1829 WD of 2 Aug 80
u 2166 W of 15 Sept 80.

[Signature]
At Col. V. B.

Ag. Under Secy to Govt.

[Signature]
Pension

Am. G. O. No. 147/48.
Employees who
No. 1,829 W., of 2nd August
ing.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following papers:—

No. 6. From Major D. H. TRAIL, R.E., Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 16th June 1880, No. 44 W.

In forwarding herewith for the orders of Government an extract of paragraph 3 from letter No. 10-C., dated 3rd May 1880, received from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, inquiring whether, under the circumstances represented therein, the services of Mr. Hart during the period of his employment on the Harbour Works count towards pension, I have the honor to state as follows:—

- (a.) Mr. Hart was borne on the permanent strength of the establishment of this office as a Clerk doing duty as Accountant on Rs. 150 per mensem prior to his joining the Harbour Work's office. On the 23rd April 1877 he was allowed to take up the appointment of Storekeeper on those works by my predecessor, Major L. Conway-Gordon, R.E., under the condition specified in his letter, No. 66-X., dated 11th May 1877 (copy attached).
- (b.) In consequence of the reduction of establishment in the Account's Branch recently ordered by Government, Mr. Hart's services were dispensed with, and he was accordingly served with the usual notice of three months on the 5th March 1880 which expired on the 5th instant.
- (c.) The Superintendent now inquires whether Mr. Hart will be allowed to count the period of his employment on the Harbour Works towards pension, and as it is clear from Major Gordon's letter above alluded to that he was allowed to retain a lien on his substantive appointment in this office, I am of opinion that though he did not resume the duties of his substantive appointment in this office his case falls within the scope of Section 28 of the Civil Pension Code, and that therefore he is entitled to count his services on the Harbour Works up to the 5th June 1880, the date on which the notice given him will expire.

2. The favor of early orders of Government on the case is solicited.

Copy of an extract of paragraph 3 of letter from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 3rd May 1880, No. 10-C.

I HAVE already addressed you on the general question of service on this Government work counting for pension, and I now wish to state that I hope Mr. Hart's services since March 1877 on the Harbour Works will be reckoned as Government service, for most certainly all previous transactions have tended to point that his service would be counted.

No. 6-A. From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. Inst. C. E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 22nd June 1880, No. 23 W.

I HAVE the honor to state that I have recently had occasion to ask the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, whether service on the fixed "establishment" of these works counts as Government service for pension or gratuity, in the case of those who have had to pass an examination before entering the service and who provide themselves with service books during their employment here.

2. From correspondence by means of office notes between the Examiner's Office and the then Under Secretary in the Public Works Department in February 1877, I supposed that there was no doubt that (except in some cases of a particular nature) service on this establishment would count as Government service, and this view was shared by those of the office staff who had to qualify by means of examination before the Examiner would pass their pay.

3. In reply to my question the Examiner informs me that the Government of India have decided that "service on the Harbour Works is temporary, and as such cannot qualify for gratuity or pension." He also explains to me that qualifying examinations are necessary for temporary as well as for permanent establishments. He further points out that by G.O., No. 899, of 16th March 1877 (in which I applied for the exemption from examination of a Store Clerk) it was understood that the service was temporary. The question now therefore appears perfectly clear, and I am sorry that it was not made so clear in 1875 when the staff was first formed.

4. There are several cases of individuals on this staff upon whom the intelligence that service on this establishment counts for nothing seems to have fallen severely, and as the length of time for which the establishment was supposed to be required (four or five years) put it quite beyond the definition of "temporary" in the code, I never for a moment doubted that those individuals to whom I shall refer were serving for pension or gratuity, otherwise I should not have understood why they were less under my individual control than men on the works. My mistake, based in the first instance upon the correspondence in office notes referred to above, has I fear only served to aggravate the feelings of those subordinates who have appealed to me in this matter.

5. The first grievance that is felt is the following:—
By G.O., No. 2,374, of 13th December 1879, the limit of age for entering Government service is fixed at twenty-five years. Now it so happens that many on the establishment of this office have already passed that limit; but having had to keep service registers and being under all the rules for Government service in other offices they have believed that they were not, as it were, losing their chance of obtaining Government service while they were engaged on a Government work, and I confess I shared this belief on their behalf. But, as a matter of fact, these service books which the staff are bound to keep by G.O., No. 151, of 26th October 1876 (and which order I am told to see carried out) are treated as nothing when the matter of service really comes to be tested, and I shall refer to a case of a man who was on the fixed establishment of these works duly qualified for Government service, and who on presenting his service book at another office was told that it was of no value whatever. The consequence is that many members of this establishment are now barred admission to Government service by the order of 13th December 1879, as they have failed to enter that service before they were twenty-five years of age, although they believed that on being placed on the establishment of these large Government works they were practically entering Government service.

6. But there are individual cases to which I must refer more in detail. I will first take the case of the principal Surveyor on this staff, C. Ramasawmy Naidoo, who in May 1859 obtained a Draughtsman's certificate and became Head Draughtsman in Colonel Priestley's office on the Revenue Survey. He was in Government employ in various places until in 1876 he was told off to assist Mr. May here temporarily. He returned in February 1876 to the Revenue Survey office, but was transferred back to these works in July 1876, and he has been working satisfactorily (except by reason of

occasional illness) ever since. I can hardly think that the Government will deprive him of four years' service out of twenty-one years because those four years have been passed on the Madras Harbour Works, and I would venture to appeal on his behalf that his service may be taken as continuous.

7. Another case that I will venture to mention is that of a Writer named M. Govindoo Rajooloo Naidoo, who was for a time on this establishment, but whose services I dispensed with, as I wished to reduce the staff as much as I possibly could. I had nothing whatever against the man. He is now past twenty-five years of age and cannot obtain Government employ because no Government office will recognise his service book as being a sign of his having ever been in the service of Government. I think this is very hard on the man, as he joined this establishment under the firm conviction that he was entering Government service when he was forced to provide himself with a service book, which events have since proved to be useless.

8. I would also mention the case of Mr. J. Manuel, who came here from the Military Pay Examiner's office, believing that he was only transferred from one department to another. I think his service on this establishment might be reckoned in addition to the time he served with Military Pay Examiner.

9. I have been appealed to so strongly by those under me on the subject about which I am writing that I am constrained to place the matter at some length before Government; and the two points that I would specially call attention to are—

Firstly, that those who have joined this office from other Government employ should not lose the time spent on these works as though the service were in no way connected with Government; and

Secondly, that those who are qualified to serve on the regular establishment of these works after the age of twenty-five years may not be prevented from obtaining other Government employment on the ground that this is not to be reckoned as regular Government service.

No. 6-B. From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 19th July 1880, No. 67 W.

No. 6-C. Office Note to Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 25th June 1880, No. 315, and reply thereto, dated 24th July 1880, No. 333.

No. 7. ORDER THEREON, 2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

SERVICE on the Madras Harbour Works will in the case of men transferred to those works from permanent employment under Government count as service for pension and gratuity.

2. Such men will not (except by special agreement) retain any lien on the particular appointments they held previous to transfer, but the question of their re-employment will be considered (separately in each case) on the termination of their employment on the Harbour Works, of which due notice should be given by the Superintendent.

3. A list of men affected by this order, showing the appointments they held previous to transfer to the Harbour Works, will be submitted for the information of Government.

4. With regard to men who are precluded from obtaining employment under Government by reason of having been employed on the Harbour Works until over twenty-five years of age, no general rule can be laid down, but each case must be dealt with on its merits.

33

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, 2ND AUGUST 1880, No. 1,829 W.

3. The Superintendent will submit a list of men so situated, showing in each case nature of employment, date of birth, of engagement on works, and of discharge therefrom (if yet discharged), with any remarks or recommendation he may desire to offer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Malacca Harbour Works
1- Forward of Public Works Accounts

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1880) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 2nd.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

AUGUST.
Nos. 6-7.

Services on the Madras Harbour Works.—
Passing orders regarding — counting
towards pension and gratuity.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following papers :—

No. 6. From Major D. H. TRAIL, R.E., Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 16th June 1880, No. 44 W.

IN forwarding herewith for the orders of Government an extract of paragraph 3 from letter No. 10-C., dated 3rd May 1880, received from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, inquiring whether, under the circumstances represented therein, the services of Mr. Hart during the period of his employment on the Harbour Works count towards pension, I have the honor to state as follows :—

- (a.) Mr. Hart was borne on the permanent strength of the establishment of this office as a Clerk doing duty as Accountant on Rs. 150 per mensem prior to his joining the Harbour Work's office. On the 23rd April 1877 he was allowed to take up the appointment of Storekeeper on those works by my predecessor, Major L. Conway-Gordon, R.E., under the condition specified in his letter, No. 66-X., dated 11th May 1877 (copy attached).
- (b.) In consequence of the reduction of establishment in the Account's Branch recently ordered by Government, Mr. Hart's services were dispensed with, and he was accordingly served with the usual notice of three months on the 5th March 1880 which expired on the 5th instant.
- (c.) The Superintendent now inquires whether Mr. Hart will be allowed to count the period of his employment on the Harbour Works towards pension, and as it is clear from Major Gordon's letter above alluded to that he was allowed to retain a lien on his substantive appointment in this office, I am of opinion that though he did not resume the duties of his substantive appointment in this office his case falls within the scope of Section 28 of the Civil Pension Code, and that therefore he is entitled to count his services on the Harbour Works up to the 5th June 1880, the date on which the notice given him will expire.

2. The favor of early orders of Government on the case is solicited.

Copy of an extract of paragraph 3 of letter from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 3rd May 1880, No. 10-C.

I HAVE already addressed you on the general question of service on this Government work counting for pension, and I now wish to state that I hope Mr. Hart's service since March 1877 on the Harbour Works will be reckoned as Government service, for most certainly all previous transactions have tended to point that his service would be so counted.

No. 6-A. From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. Inst. C. E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 22nd June 1880, No. 23 W.

I HAVE the honor to state that I have recently had occasion to ask the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, whether service on the fixed "establishment" of these works counts as Government service for pension or gratuity, in the case of those who have had to pass an examination before entering the service and who provide themselves with service books during their employment here.

2. From correspondence by means of office notes between the Examiner's Office and the then Under Secretary in the Public Works Department in February 1877, I supposed that there was no doubt that (except in some cases of a particular nature) service on this establishment would count as Government service, and this view was shared by those of the office staff who had to qualify by means of examination before the Examiner would pass their pay.

3. In reply to my question the Examiner informs me that the Government of India have decided that "service on the Harbour Works is temporary, and as such cannot qualify for gratuity or pension." He also explains to me that qualifying examinations are necessary for temporary as well as for permanent establishments. He further points out that by G.O., No. 899, of 16th March 1877 (in which I applied for the exemption from examination of a Store Clerk) it was understood that the service was temporary. The question now therefore appears perfectly clear, and I am sorry that it was not made so clear in 1875 when the staff was first formed.

4. There are several cases of individuals on this staff upon whom the intelligence that service on this establishment counts for nothing seems to have fallen severely, and as the length of time for which the establishment was supposed to be required (four or five years) put it quite beyond the definition of "temporary" in the code, I never for a moment doubted that those individuals to whom I shall refer were serving for pension or gratuity, otherwise I should not have understood why they were less under my individual control than men on the works. My mistake, based in the first instance upon the correspondence in office notes referred to above, has I fear only served to aggravate the feelings of those subordinates who have appealed to me in this matter.

5. The first grievance that is felt is the following:—

By G.O., No. 2,374, of 13th December 1879, the limit of age for entering Government service is fixed at twenty-five years. Now it so happens that many on the establishment of this office have already passed that limit; but having had to keep service registers and being under all the rules for Government service in other offices they have believed that they were not, as it were, losing their chance of obtaining Government service while they were engaged on a Government work, and I confess I shared this belief on their behalf. But, as a matter of fact, these service books which the staff are bound to keep by G.O., No. 151, of 26th October 1876 (and which order I am told to see carried out) are treated as nothing when the matter of service really comes to be tested, and I shall refer to a case of a man who was on the fixed establishment of these works duly qualified for Government service, and who on presenting his service book at another office was told that it was of no value whatever. The consequence is that many members of this establishment are now barred admission to Government service by the order of 13th December 1879, as they have failed to enter that service before they were twenty-five years of age, although they believed that on being placed on the establishment of these large Government works they were practically entering Government service.

6. But there are individual cases to which I must refer more in detail. I will first take the case of the principal Surveyor on this staff, C. Ramasawmy Naidoo, who in May 1859 obtained a Draughtsman's certificate and became Head Draughtsman in Colonel Priestley's office on the Revenue Survey. He was in Government employ in various places until in 1875 he was told off to assist Mr. May here temporarily. He returned in February 1876 to the Revenue Survey office, but was transferred back to these works in July 1876, and he has been working satisfactorily (except by reason of

occasional illness) ever since. I can hardly think that the Government will deprive him of four years' service out of twenty-one years because those four years have been passed on the Madras Harbour Works, and I would venture to appeal on his behalf that his service may be taken as continuous.

7. Another case that I will venture to mention is that of a Writer named M. Govindoo Rajooloo Naidoo, who was for a time on this establishment, but whose services I dispensed with, as I wished to reduce the staff as much as I possibly could. I had nothing whatever against the man. He is now past twenty-five years of age and cannot obtain Government employ because no Government office will recognise his service book as being a sign of his having ever been in the service of Government. I think this is very hard on the man, as he joined this establishment under the firm conviction that he was entering Government service when he was forced to provide himself with a service book, which events have since proved to be useless.

8. I would also mention the case of Mr. J. Manuel, who came here from the Military Pay Examiner's office, believing that he was only transferred from one department to another. I think his service on this establishment might be reckoned in addition to the time he served with Military Pay Examiner.

9. I have been appealed to so strongly by those under me on the subject about which I am writing that I am constrained to place the matter at some length before Government; and the two points that I would specially call attention to are—

Firstly, that those who have joined this office from other Government employ should not lose the time spent on these works as though the service were in no way connected with Government; and

Secondly, that those who are qualified to serve on the regular establishment of these works after the age of twenty-five years may not be prevented from obtaining other Government employment on the ground that this is not to be reckoned as regular Government service.

No. 6-B. From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 19th July 1880, No. 67 W.

No. 6-C. Office Note to Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 25th June 1880, No. 315, and reply thereto, dated 24th July 1880, No. 333.

No. 7. ORDER THEREON, 2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

SERVICE on the Madras Harbour Works will in the case of men transferred to those works from permanent employment under Government count as service for pension and gratuity.

2. Such men will not (except by special agreement) retain any lien on the particular appointments they held previous to transfer, but the question of their re-employment will be considered (separately in each case) on the termination of their employment on the Harbour Works, of which due notice should be given by the Superintendent.

3. A list of men affected by this order, showing the appointments they held previous to transfer to the Harbour Works, will be submitted for the information of Government.

4. With regard to men who are precluded from obtaining employment under Government by reason of having been employed on the Harbour Works until over twenty-five years of age, no general rule can be laid down, but each case must be dealt with on its merits.

4 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, 2ND AUGUST 1880, No. 1,829 W.


5. The Superintendent will submit a list of men so situated, showing in each case nature of employment, date of birth, of engagement on works, and of discharge therefrom (if yet discharged), with any remarks or recommendation he may desire to offer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies



GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1880) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 2nd.

Issued

Recd.

Enci.

G. O., 2nd August 1880, No. 1,829 W.

AUGUST.
Nos. 6-7.

Services on the Madras Harbour Works.—
Passing orders regarding — counting
towards pension and gratuity.

Copy of letter from the Accountant.
General Madras to the Examiner (W.)
Accounts No. 127 dated 7th July 1881.

In returning herewith the Service
Book of C. Ramaswamy Aiden received
with your letter No. 55 dated the 9th May 1881.
I have the honor to state that his services from
1st July 1859 to 14th July 1876 as detailed
therein have been verified with reference to
the records of the Revenue Survey Depart-
ment as will be seen from the letters
Nos. 96 and 97 of the 18th and 30th June 1881.
Respectively, received from the Superintendent
Madras Survey herewith forwarded in copy.
The verification of the Service has been con-
firmed by reference partly to the Annual
Returns, and partly to the Audit Registers
on record in this office.


/True copy/

G. S. S. S.

Supd. Correspondence Branch

29

20/1




Copy of letter from the Superintendent
Madras Survey to the Accountant-General
Madras No. 99 dated 30th June 1881.

With reference to your letter
dated the 28th June 1881, No. 136 C.A.E., I have
the honor to inform you that the entire period
of the services of B. Ramaswamy Naider
from 1st June 1859 (not 1st July 1859 as stated
in your letter under reply) to 24th July 1879
were duly verified, and that the Service
Book was returned with an entry at the
bottom of the 1st page of the Service Book
to say that the services in the Survey
Department were duly verified.

2. The enclosures to your letter under
reference are herewith returned.

(True copy)

G. B. Patton
Supt. Correspondence Branch
27/7/81



Extrad from the Register of Unrenewed Servants on the Establishment of
the Deputy Supdt. No 4. Census Survey Party

Establishment	Date	Appointment	Salary		Period of Service in each appointment			Remarks regarding punishment, service and formal reprimands and all special commendations or marks of appreciation
			Grp	Ann	Years	Months	Days	
			I	II	III	IV	VI	
Name: C. Ramaswami Nagudu - Age when first enlisted, 18 years & 5 months								
Gangam Bandung	25 th September/72	Ag Head Draughts	50				Transferred from Central Army Officer from 25 th Sept 1872. He may be considered 1 unit 7 C. D. B. - Remains to Agt Draughts Sec Light's letter No 1572 dated 23 July 1873. - 1 unit 7 C. D. B. Transferred to No III Stry from 6 th August 1873. Signed C. D. Ramaswami Cdt. 1st Agt Supdt. Bandung Enclasp Gangam Bandung	
	28 th May 1873	Agt Draughts	35					
	Sick leave for 6 months from 6 th July to 5 th August 73.							
No 4 - Census Survey Officer	(Services have been completed)							
1st Sencoro Mount								
8 th June 1881								
			W. C. Ramaswami					
			Deputy Supdt. No 4 - Bandung					

ENCLOSURE
1213
16 FEB 82
P. W. ACCO
ENCLOSURE
18 AUG 81
EXAMINER P. W. ACCO
ENCLOSURE
8 JUL 81
EXAMINER P. W. ACCO

Enclosed to be kept
in 1325 P. W. June 1881
1987
in P. W. 118800 bank

RECEIVED
1891
JUL 18
P. W. ACCO

491
Copy of letter from the Super-
intendent, Madras Survey to the Accountant
General Madras. No. 96 dated 18th
June 1881.

On returning duly verified
the Service book of G. Ramaswamy
Naidoo received with your letter
No. C.S.E. dated the 31st ultimo, I
have the honor to inform you that the
Service rendered by him in the Survey
Department, will count for pension and
that, all the leaves enjoyed by
him while in the Department have
been recorded in his Service book.

2. Two verified Statements of
his Service in the Ganjam Survey
Part and in the Coaldaloo Muni-
cipality are enclosed.

True copy

G. R. Naidoo
Subd. Commissioner to Govt.
27

Copy of letter from the Examiner P. H. Accounts
to the Accountant General Madras No. 220 P. dated —
15 August 1881

With reference to your letter No. ²⁸⁸₁₁₇
dated 7 July 1881 reporting the result of the verification
of the services of G. Ramaswamy Sagarudu late a Draughts-
man Madras Harbor Works. I have the honor to request
that you will furnish this Office with information
on the following points.

(1) Whether the applicant had secured a lien
on his substantive appointment of Draughtsman on Pass
per annum, when he accepted the temporary appoint-
ment of Surveyor Buddalore Municipality on the
17 June 1872 &c if so, for how long.

(2) The information required in the conclu-
ding portion of para 2 of this Office letter No. 55 P. dated
9 May 1881 has not been furnished in the letter
under reference. Please supply the omission and
also state whether the applicant's services were all
rendered in good & eligible to pension and gratuity.

(3) The nature of the applicant's reduction on the
31 August 1868.

2. An early reply is requested.

(True Copy)

J. K. K. K.

Sub. Engrs. & Surveyors Office
27/8/81

1215X
10. FEB. 1881
MINER P. W. ADAMS

Survey Office Madras.
2^d September 1881.

N^o 132
a.

From,

Col. H. J. Rogers R. E.
Superintendent Madras Survey.

To,

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

Referring to your letter N^o 348 of the 18th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that Co. Ramaswamy Naider hads during the period he served under the Cuddalore Municipality as Senior Surveyor and while he acted as Head Draughtsman N^o 6 Ganjam Survey Party, & his on his subsequent appointment of draughtsman. The Survey Office and that he has enjoyed by him, during his service in this department, recorded in his Service Book.

2. I beg further to state that the cause of his reduction on the 31st August 1868, cannot be ascertained from the records in this Office.

3. The original letter N^o 220 of the 10th August.

August 1881 from the Examiners Public works
Accounts, is herewith returned together with the
Service Book obtained direct from that Officer.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

(Signed) C. C. Sergeant Major

Asst. Superintendent

for Supt. Madras Survey.

No. S. A. C.
289

True copy forwarded to the Examiners

Public works Accounts with reference to his letter No. 220 P.
dated the 15th August 1881. This Office is unable to
say whether Ramaswamy Kaidu retained or not
any lien on his appointment in the Survey Deptt.
after his transfer to the Madras S. C. Harbour.

Signed the S. C. Officer

8th September 1881. Asst. Accountant General

for Accountant General

(True Copy)

G. H. Miller

Superintendent Correspondence Branch

LAST PAY CERTIFICATE.

No. _____

[To be used only in cases of transfer or of leave in India.]

(a) Cookerkalem Ramaswamy Kaidu late Head Surveyor of the
proceeding on

(b) Madras Harbour Works

(c) Retirement on Compensation Pension

Certified that C. Ramaswamy Kaidu made over charge of his duties on the
after noon of the 30th April 1881; that he has received his salary and allowances at the follow-
ing rates up to and for the 30th April 1881, and that the necessary deductions from his pay on
account of Service Funds have been made as follows up to and for the _____

RATES OF PAY AND ALLOWANCES OTHER THAN TRAVEL- LING ALLOWANCES.				DEDUCTIONS FROM PAY FOR SERVICE FUNDS.		
	Rate.			Name of Fund.	Rate.	
	RS.	A.	P.		RS.	A. P.
Pay as <u>Head Surveyor</u>	50					

2. The following sums are outstanding against C. Ramaswamy Kaidu in the
books of this Office:—

3. The following sums are due to the Officer:—

4. The following sums represent claims preferred by the Officer, but which have not been passed:—

20th September 1881

(a) Here enter title and Christian and Surname in full.
(b) Do. Corps or Service.
(c) Do. whether on transfer or on leave, and if on leave, the period and nature of the leave.

[illegible][illegible]

36

ENCLOSURE
1881
ENCLOSURE
8 JUL 81
ENCLOSURE
8 MAY 81
ENCLOSURE
1881
ENCLOSURE
8 JUL 81
ENCLOSURE
8 MAY 81

36.
The Jacob Mathew Vaidya

57.

Copy of letter from the Superintendent
Madras Survey to the Examiner Public works
Accounts Madras No 698 dated 29th November 1881.

With reference to your letter dated
the 23rd November 1881 No 651x, I have the
honor to inform you that it was noted
in the Service Book of G. Ramaswamy Aiyar
that he was absent without leave from
1st to 13th July 1868, and again from 14th to
22nd September 1868, as this absence was
shown in the column for absence without
leave in the extract from the Leave
Statements obtained from the Office of
the Accountant General. It is however
quite possible that the Applicant was
absent on leave without allowances, as in
the old leave statements no separate
column was provided for leave of this
nature.

2. At any rate I beg to recommend
that G. Ramaswamy Aiyar's case may
if necessary be brought before Government

1215X
in view to the period he is stated to have
been absent without leave being commuted
to leave without allowances under rule
7 of section 93 of Civil Pension Code. The
exemplary character of C. Ramasaamy Nair
would lead me to believe that what he
states in regard to the leave enjoyed by
him, is true.

3. The Service Book of C. Ramasaamy Nair
is herewith returned.

(True copy)

J. B. Patten

Supdt. Correspondence Branch

24/9

24/9

69
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following paper:—

No. 78. From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. INST. C.E., Superintendent,
Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works
Department, dated Madras, 27th August 1880, No. 38 W.

I HAVE the honor to submit herewith three lists of men employed on these works
who are in some way or other affected by the G.O., No. 1,829 W., of August
2nd, 1880.

2. The list No. 1 shows the names of those who were in Government employ
before they came to the harbour works. The last name on this list is Mr. J. Manuel,
about whom I wish to make a few remarks.

3. From February 1879 to August 31st, 1879, his salary was charged to the
works and not to establishment; consequently during those months his pay was not
audited by the Examiner of Public Works Accounts. His service, however, has been
continuous since he first joined this office, and I beg that it may be considered so when-
ever his case comes to be tried on its own merits.

4. List No. 2 contains names of those now on the establishment of the works who
have attained the age of twenty-five years since their employment here. No remark need
be made on this list, beyond asking that it may be recorded for reference at any future
date when any of those whose names are upon it may seek other employment, as I can
speak very highly of the good qualities of several of the individuals mentioned.

5. List No. 3 bears the solitary name of a very creditable employé, M. Govin-
doorajooloo Naidoo, whose services I dispensed with in 1878 when reducing the staff as
much as possible. I venture to draw special attention to his case, as he has, I fear,
lost a permanent situation in an office where he was acting temporarily because he had
attained the age of twenty-five years and had only been working in this office establish-
ment, which was not recognised as Government employ. I beg to recommend his case
strongly, as he left my office for no fault of his own and believing that he would be
able to obtain employment elsewhere under Government.

6. I shall endeavour to give due notice of the termination of the employment on
these works of any of those men mentioned in these lists, so that each case may be
separately considered on its own merits; and I would respectfully remark that I
consider the office staff under me have every reason to be satisfied in every way with
the order under reply, which meets every case in the clearest way.

No. 79. ORDER THEREON, 15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

It has already been decided in G.O., No. 1,829 W., of 2nd August 1880, that
service on the Madras Harbour Works will, in the case of men transferred to these

works from permanent employment under Government, count as service for pension and gratuity; this ruling will not be affected in the case of Mr. Manuel by the fact of his salary, during a portion of his employment on the harbour works, having been charged to "works" instead of to "establishment."

2. The individuals named in lists No. 2 and No. 3 will be exempted from the operation of the rule prohibiting the entertainment in the public service of men whose age exceeds twenty-five years, but those named in the margin will not be exempted by this order from the operation of the examination rules.

A. Jaganaikooloo Naidu.
V. Ramasawmy.


3. With these remarks the lists will be recorded for future reference.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Pension Department.

Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies



Govt. of (1880) Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated September, 15th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 15th September 1880, No. 2,166 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. 78-79

Service on the Madras Harbour Works
counting towards Pension or Gratuity.—
Passing orders on letter from the Superin-
tendent submitting the names of certain
employees who are affected by G.O.
No. 1,829 W., of 2nd August 1880, regard-
ing —.

43
Madras Harbour Works Office.
20 June 1876

No. 145 M.

From

M. St. B. Beardmore Esqre
Assistant Superintendent
Madras Harbour Works

To

Captain Sargant
Superintendent Revenue Survey

Sir,

Referring to my conversation with you on
May the 23^d will you kindly let me know if after
consultation you could let me have the Draughts
man Panamasamy Naideo transferred to this
Office or if not, could you recommend me one
of equal ability.

I am informed that the Government Plans
are sold at your Office, if this is the case I shall be
obliged by your letting me have a plan showing
the details of Saint Mary's Protestant Cemetery
near the Central Station.

I have &c.

(Signed) M. St. B. Beardmore
Asst. Superintendent
Madras Harbour Works

Survey Office
Ch. Pank Madras
28 June 1876

Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter of the 20th
regarding Surveyor Panamasamy. The Superintendent
desires me to inform you that he cannot place the
services of that Surveyor at your disposal.

Sub Assistant M. St. B. is at present
employed

employed in this Office and would I think perform such work as you require in an efficient manner. He is a far superior man to Ramaswamy I shall order him to wait on you if you wish to see him. Should you think him fitted for your work and his pay within what you wish to give, I will ask the Superintendent to have his services transferred to your Department.

I send the plan you require with a receipted bill.

I am &c.

Signed / C. A. C. Sergeant.

N. St. B. Beardmore Esq.

N. 160 N.

Madras Harbor Works
Madras, 29 June 1876

To
Major C. C. Sergeant
Superintendent Revenue Survey

Sir,
I am only allowed to pay a Surveyor 40 Rupees per mensem. If Mr. Patton's salary is within that sum, I should be glad to see him.

I have to thank you for forwarding me the Plan N. II of the Pussabolkum Division and return herewith the receipt with one rupee. I shall be extremely obliged if you could supply me per bearer with similar plans (scale of feet to an inch) of the beach roads between the Royapuram Station and Fort St. George inclusive.

I have &c.

Signed / N. St. B. Beardmore

Asst. Superintendent
Madras Harbor Works

N. 2110
595

Revenue Survey Office
Chepauk
14 July 1876

From

The Asst. Superintendent
Revenue Survey

To

The Offg. Superintendent
Madras Harbor Works

Sir,
In your letter N. 145 of the 20th ultimo you requested to be informed whether I could spare the services of draughtsman C. Ramaswamy Naides for transfer to your Department or any other person of equal ability. 2. In my D.O. of the 28th June last I stated that I could spare the services of Sub Assistant Mr. W. Patton.

3. In your letter N. 160 of the 29th idem you stated that the pay allowed for a Surveyor is only Rupees 40 per mensem and that if Mr. Patton's salary is within that sum you would be glad to see him.

4. I have the honour to state that as Mr. Patton's salary is Rs 150 per mensem I have transferred draughtsman C. Ramaswamy Naides to your Office. The transfer to take place from the 15th July 1876.

I have &c.

Signed / C. A. C. Sergeant
Asst. Superintendent
Revenue Survey.

(Two Copies)

Supdt. Correspondence & Branch
29. 24/3

1215
 16 FEB 82
 EXAMINED BY ACCOUNTANT
 1881
 Public Works Department.

1885

No. 196
 Dated 25-1-82
 Despatched
 Received 26

SUBJECT.

Transferring letter
 from the Suptt. In. H.
 works No 79 waf 30/12/81
 regarding the pension
 papers of Ramaswami
 Kaidu, for early
 disposal.

79
 Ramaswami Kaidu

From 1 May 76 to 14 July 76 — 0 2 14
 " 15 July 76 to 5 Aug 78 — 2 — 21
 " 6 Aug 78 to 31 Dec 78 — 0 4 — 25
 " 1 Jan 79 to 30 Apr 81 — 2 4 — 0
 " ————— 5 0 — 0

For 14 days at 35 Rs. for 21 days — 15 12 — 11
 — 2 days at — 70 —

For 17 days — 40 — 31 Rs. 3 28 6 2
 — 5 — 960 —
 — 24 months —

For 26 days at 45 — 31 Rs. 37 14 — 10
 — 4 Rs. — 180 —
 For 28 months at 50 — 1400 —

10 | 2681 — 14 — 11
 6 | 269 — 3 — 1
 44 — 13 — 10
 1/3 14 — 15 — 3

History of the Service (showing interruptions) of *C. Panasani Taidoo*

Born on the 20th February 1836.

[illegible]

Examiner P. W. Shick
 B.P.
 207

No	do	1 st Feb 1867	1 Nov 1867	21 Nov 1867	"	"	21	Sick leave
No	do	do	22 Nov 1867	31 Dec 1867	1	9	"	"
No	do	do	1 Jan 1868	31 May 1868	5	"	"	Promoted
No	do	do	1 st June 1868	31 Aug 1868	3	"	"	Reduced owing to transfer
No	do	do	1 st Sep 1868	30 April 1869	8	"	"	Promoted
No	do	do	1 st May 1869	16 June 1872	3	16	"	Temporary leave fellow promoted
No	do	do	17 June 1872	24 Sept 1872	3	8	"	Transferred & promoted
No	do	do	25 Sept 1872	3 Jan 1873	3	9	"	Reduced owing to transfer
No	do	do	4 Jan 1873	5 Feb 1873	"	"	1	Sick leave
No	do	do	6 Feb 1873	27 May 1873	"	"	3	20
No	do	do	28 May 1873	5 Aug 1873	"	"	2	9
No	do	do	6 Aug 1873	14 July 1876	2	11	9	"
No	do	do	15 July 1876	5 Aug 1878	2	22	"	"
No	do	do	6 Aug 1878	31 Dec 1878	4	26	"	"
No	do	do	1 st Jan 1879	30 April 1881	2	4	"	"
No	do	do	"	"	"	"	"	Reduction of Establishment

28

No. 683 $\frac{A}{R}$.

Certificate and Report of Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in

years

months

days; and that a

is admissible under Section

calculations have been duly verified. The

grades has been duly proved for

not exceeding Rs.

of the Civil Pension Code. The

is chargeable to

Certified that above Government has pleased to treat the period of the applicant's services from 15 July 1876 to 30 April 1881 as qualifying, qualifying service, in Superior Grade will amount to 12 (twelve) years and 10 (ten) days. A Compensation gratuity not exceeding Rs 600 (six hundred) equal to 12 months' emolument at Rs 50/- per annum will be admissible under sections 100 and 109(a) of Civil Pension Code, but as the applicant is reported not to possess the normal prospects of life, he will be entitled in lieu of the gratuity of Rs 600 shown as admissible, to a Compensation pension not exceeding Rs 4-8-6 per annum under the ruling contained in Section 99. Rule 3 of the Civil Pension Code.

Should Government be further pleased to commute the normal periods of the 93 Rule 7 of the Civil Pension Code, qualifying service in Superior Grade will amount to 20 years, equal to one third of his average emolument at Rs 44-13-10 per annum will be admissible under sections 100 and 109(b) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The Compensation pension or gratuity whichever may be sanctioned is chargeable to General Revenue.

Upon the remarks against entry No 3 on the 5th page of the application, it will be observed that the Superintendent of Public Works recommends the grant of a bonus of one month's pay in addition to any retiring allowance which may be admissible under existing rules and should Government feel disposed to comply with the Superintendent's request the special orders of the Government of India will be necessary.

The applicant was warned for discharge on the 16th March 1881 but was finally relieved of his duties on the afternoon of the 30 April 1881. He therefore appears entitled to a gratuity of Rs 75/- for shortness of notice under the provisions of Section 100 Rule 2 of the Civil Pension Code and if this gratuity be allowed, any Compensation pension that may be sanctioned for applicant should in his case be sanctioned from 16th June 1881 with reference to concluding service of Section 103 rule 1 of the Civil Pension Code.

To

The Secretary to Government
Pub. Wks. Dept.

D. P. Conway




Examined by P. W. Accts.

27/

27/


87

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR
GRATUITY.



No. Dated

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer,



Executive Engineer.

Date of application ..

Name of applicant ..

No. Dated 18

Transmitted to the Examiner, P. W. A.,
Madras, for verification.

S. H. Govindarajan
Sup. H. Madras Harbor Works
Supt. Engineer, Circle.

Last appointment ...

Class of pension or
gratuity

No. Dated 18

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government,
Pension Department, through the Accountant
General, Madras.

Examiner, P. W. A.,
Madras.

Amount of pension
sanctioned

Amount of gratuity
sanctioned

No. Dated 18

Transmitted to the Secretary to Govern-
ment, Pension Department.

Accountant General,
Madras.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction

Q. 24 147 &

100 15/10

Sub. 686/82

93
Pension 1882 kept
No 358

FROM THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

77
12P
Order thereon 13th May/82 No 447

Ordered that the
following letter be
despatched to the
Govt. of India.

NO. 1016 H.

DATED 24th MARCH 1882.

RECD. 27

[H.E. 13 May 82 No 447]

for
9/5/82

ENCLOS. 22

C. RAMASAMY NAIDU LATE DRAFTS-
MAN AND SURVEYOR MADRAS HARBOR
WORKS. RELATIVE TO THE PENSION
PAPERS OF-----

447/82

Pension (1882) DEPARTMENT.

From

No 447

Dated

Reed.

13th May 1882

SUBJECT.

*Ordering that the
papers relative to the pension
of C. Ramasami Naidu
late Draftsman be forward-
ed to Govt. of India for
favorable consideration.*

with Order 447

13TH MAY 1882, No. 448, PENSION.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE ACTING SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT St. GEORGE,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND COMMERCE.

SIR,

I am directed to forward, for the favorable consideration of the Government of India, the accompanying papers in connection with the pension application of Cokrakulam Ramasami Naidu, late Head Surveyor, Madras Harbour Works.

2. C. Ramasami Naidu entered the service of Government as Acting Draughtsman in the Revenue Survey Central Office on the 1st June 1859, and served in that capacity up to 31st October 1859. On the 1st November 1859 he was transferred to another substantive appointment in the Lithographic Establishment and served continuously in different departments till the 14th July 1876. On the 15th July 1876 he was transferred to the Madras Harbour Works and served there up to 30th April 1881, the date on which his services were dispensed with. His total service, after excluding acting service, leave, &c., and commuting the two short periods of absence without leave into leave without allowances, amounts to twenty years, nine months, and twenty-seven days, for which he would ordinarily be entitled to a one-third pension of Rs. 14-15-3 per mensem.

3. A compensation pension was at first proposed, but as there is no order of Government abolishing the appointment, or reducing the establishment, this was found inadmissible. It appears that the approaching completion at that time of the Harbour Works necessarily reduced the Drawing and Surveying work, and the services of applicant who held the appointment of Head Surveyor were consequently dispensed with. Subsequently, however, the applicant has appeared before a Medical Board and been declared to be unfit for further service, which from the papers he evidently was, at the time his services were dispensed with. So that now an invalid pension would appear admissible, with effect from the 1st May 1881; but he will not be entitled in that case to the six weeks' pay in lieu of notice that was proposed; and the claim to a bonus of one month's pay is altogether inadmissible.

4. The difficulty, however, still remains regarding the nature of the service from which he was invalidated, for it is reported to have been non-qualifying, and it is on this point that I am to solicit the orders of the Government of India.

5. It would appear from the papers that the transfer of C. Ramasami Naidu from the Revenue Survey Department to the Harbour Works on the 15th July 1876 was in the interest of the public service, and that had the question of the status of the Harbour Works employees been definitely settled at the time of his transfer to them provision would have been made for his retaining a lien on his substantive appointment in the Revenue Survey Department. This Government have however

in their Order, dated 2nd August 1880, No. 1829 W., Public Works Department (copy enclosed), permitted service in the Madras Harbour Works in the case of men transferred to those works from permanent employment under Government to count as service for pension and gratuity. But as this order is alleged by the Accountant-General to require the confirmation of the Government of India this Government are unable to sanction the grant of the one-third pension to which the applicant might even be considered entitled (though the amount would be somewhat less) if his service on the Harbour Works were excluded from the calculation.

	Ys.	M.	D.
Total service ..	20	9	27
Deduct Harbour service ..	4	9	2
Balance ..	16	0	25

6. His Excellency in Council therefore submits this case for the favorable consideration of the Government of India, and, to avoid references in similar cases, requests that the Order of the 2nd August 1880, No. 1829 W., may now be confirmed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

OOTACAMUND,
13th May 1882.

Acting Secretary to Government.

Exd. J. Wright.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following letter from F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. INST. C.E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 30th December 1881, No. 79 W. :—

On 6th April 1881, I had the honor to address you on the subject of granting a bonus of one month's pay and a pension to one C. Ramasami Naidu, lately Letter, No. 2 W., 6th April 1881. The letter was forwarded through the Examiner of Head Surveyor in this office. The letter was forwarded through the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

2. Since that date I have had several communications from the Examiner on the subject indicating various objections and difficulties, but apparently no result has as yet been arrived at. The applicant for pension or gratuity has been a most deserving and hard-working man and his health is failing. In addition to this he is, without any doubt, suffering most severely from actual want, as he has had no pay since last May, and I have been able to do nothing for him until I received orders from Government. More than eight months have now elapsed since his papers were forwarded direct to the Examiner's Office in the form required by the Examiner, and I would urgently beg that some decision may be arrived at so that the man may be relieved from his unmistakeably hard position after having been in Government service for twenty-two years.

3. If there is still a difficulty about determining his pension may I be permitted to grant him the bonus of a month's pay as asked in my letter of 6th April.

READ—the following certificate and report from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated —, No. 683-A.R. :—

Certified that, should Government be pleased to treat the period of the applicant's services from 15th July 1876 to 30th April 1881 as qualifying, qualifying service in superior grades will amount to twelve years and ten days, and a compensation gratuity not exceeding Rs. 600 (six hundred), equal to twelve months' emoluments at Rs. 50 per mensem, will be admissible under Sections 100 and 109 (a) of Civil Pension Code, but as the applicant is reported not to possess the normal prospects of life, he will be entitled in lieu of the gratuity of Rs. 600 shown above as admissible to a compensation pension not exceeding Rs. 4-8-6 per mensem under the ruling contained in Section 99, Rule III, of the Civil Pension Code.

2. Should Government be further pleased to commute the several periods of the applicant's absence without leave into leave without allowances under the ruling contained in Section 93, Rule VII, of the Civil Pension Code, qualifying service in superior grades will amount to twenty years, nine months, and twenty-seven days, and a compensation pension not exceeding Rs. 14-15-3 per mensem, equal to one-third of his average emoluments at Rs. 44-13-10 per mensem, will be admissible under Sections 100 and 109 (b) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The compensation pension or gratuity whichever may be sanctioned is chargeable to General Revenues.

3. From the remarks against entry No. 5 on the 5th page of the application, it will be observed that the Superintendent, Harbour Works, recommends the grant to the applicant of a bonus of one month's pay in addition to any retiring allowance which may be admissible under existing rules; and should Government feel disposed to comply with the Superintendent's request the special orders of the Government of India will be necessary.

4. The applicant was warned for discharge on the 16th March 1881, but was finally relieved of his duties on the afternoon of the 30th April 1881. He therefore appears entitled to a gratuity of Rs. 75 for shortness of notice under the provisions of Section 100, Rule II, of the Civil Pension Code, and if this gratuity be allowed, any compensation pension that may be sanctioned for applicant should in his case be sanctioned from 16th June 1881 with reference to concluding sentence of Section 163, Rule I, of the Civil Pension Code.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 20th January 1882, No. 192 W., and endorsement by Lieutenant-Colonel R. THOMPSON, R.E., Acting Under Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 24th January 1882:—

Adverting to paragraph 2 of my No. 16 W., dated 13th April 1881, I have the honor to forward herewith, duly completed for transmission to, and orders of, Government in the Pension Department, the papers of C. Ramasami Naidu, late Head Surveyor, Madras Harbour Works.

Endorsement, No. 186.

Transferred to the Pension Department.

Reference on the above, dated 31st January 1882, No. 13.

Referred to the Accountant-General for his remarks.

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

No. A.D.J.-444.

Report, dated 9th February 1882, of H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, on the application for pension on behalf of Cokrakulam Ramasami Naidu, late Draughtsman and Surveyor on the establishment of the Madras Harbour Works, received with endorsement from Government, No. 13, dated 31st January 1882, together with the report of the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Countersigned with the following remarks.

It is clear from the history of service that the applicant was transferred in July 1876 from a permanent appointment on the establishment of the Revenue Survey Department to an appointment on the Madras Harbour Works. The Government of India would appear to have decided that service on the Harbour Works is temporary and as such cannot qualify for gratuity or pension (*vide* paragraph 3 of the letter from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, No. 23-W, dated the 22nd June 1880, embodied in G.O., No. 1829 W., dated 2nd August 1880, Public Works Department). To enable the applicant to count for pension the period of service rendered in the Madras Harbour Works, it should be established that he was detached on temporary duty on the understanding that when the duty ceased he would return to his permanent appointment (*vide* Section 71 of Civil Pension Code) and that mean time he held a lien on his permanent appointment which precluded any other officer from counting service in that appointment for pension. From the papers accompanying,

there is nothing to show that such an understanding existed at the time of the transfer. The Government Order above quoted exempts the applicant among others from the operation of Section 71 of the Code, but the exemption is not in accordance with any provision of the Code, and cannot be acted on without the special orders of the Government of India.

There is no provision in the Code under which a pension or gratuity can be granted to a person who loses his appointment in a temporary establishment to which he was previously transferred from a permanent establishment, thereby forfeiting all lien on his appointment in the latter. The grant to the applicant of any compensation pension or gratuity as recommended by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, would, therefore, seem to be opposed to the provisions of the Civil Pension Code, and will need the previous sanction of the Government of India in the Financial Department (*vide* Section 132 of the Code).

Ordinarily, a compensation pension or gratuity cannot be granted to a person, the abolition of whose appointment on a permanent establishment has not produced a saving to Government sufficient to cover the cost of his retiring allowance.

To the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department.

MEMORANDUM—dated 15th February 1882, No. 17.

The accompanying papers relating to the pension application on behalf of C. Ramasami Naidu are referred to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts for remark with reference to the Accountant-General's report on the case. As in any case a compensation pension appears to be inadmissible, and as the applicant is reported to be in such bad health as to be thought unfit for re-employment, the Examiner should cause a medical certificate of incapacity to be procured, when the subject of an invalid pension will be considered and if necessary represented to the Government of India.

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

READ—the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 24th March 1882, No. 1016-X:—

Adverting to your memorandum, No. 17, dated the 15th ultimo, I have the honor to forward, herewith, for disposal, the medical certificate of incapacity for further service received from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, on behalf of C. Ramasami Naidu, late a Draughtsman and Surveyor on those works.

2. From the papers received with letter, No. 71-C, dated 6th March 1882, from the Superintendent, Harbour Works, copies of which are herewith forwarded, it would appear that the transfer of C. Ramasami Naidu from the Revenue Survey Department to the Harbour Works on the 15th July 1876 was in the interests of the public service, and that had the question of the status of the Harbour Works *employés* been definitely settled at the time of this transfer, provision would have been made for Ramasami Naidu securing a lien on his substantive appointment in the Revenue Survey Department.

3. As there is no specific rule in the Civil Pension Code which definitely meets this case, the certificate and report of this office previously given was a conditional one and subject to the final orders of Government in the Pension Department. This office concurs in the views expressed by the Accountant-General in the report furnished by him, dated the 9th February 1882, but would draw attention to the principle contained in Section 70, Rule VI, Civil Pension Code, with reference to the letter, No. 71-C, of 6th March 1882, from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.

4. The enclosures received with your memorandum are returned.

ENCLOSURES.

Copy of letter from the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, dated 6th March 1882, No. 71-C.

In reply to your letter, No. 928-X, of the 27th February 1882, I have the honor to inform you that C. Ramasami Naidu was transferred from the Survey Department to the Madras Harbour Works in July 1876 chiefly in the interests of the public service, for at the time I had not a Surveyor and did not know where to get one. He also wished to come, and I think obtained a small increase of salary by the exchange. Copies of the correspondence are enclosed.

2. Immediately on receipt of your letter, I directed C. Ramasami to appear before the Medical Officer of this district with regard to the certificate you desire, but have not yet received that officer's report.

Certified that we have carefully examined C. Ramasami Naidu, son of C. Jeeralwar Naidu, a Head Surveyor in the Madras Harbour Works Department. His age is, by his own statement, forty-four years, and, by appearance, about forty-four years. We consider C. Ramasami Naidu to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs, in consequence of dropsy and debility.

2. His incapacity does not appear to us to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

(Signed) H. KING, M.B., Surgeon-Major,

President.

(") R. W. COCKERILL, Surgeon-Major, } *Members.*
(") T. K. ROGERS, Surgeon, }

MADRAS,
11th March 1882.

ORDER—dated 13th May 1882, No. 447, Pension.

Ordered that the following letter be despatched to the Government of India:—

[Here enter letter, 13th May 1882, No. 448.]

(True Extract.)

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

1882.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From the Government of
Fort St. George.

Letter, dated 13th May 1882, No. 448.

Pensions—Submitting for favorable consideration, the case of Cokkakulam R. S. Naidu, late Head Surveyor, Madras Harbour Works, and requesting, both in the case of the applicant and of others similarly situated, the confirmation of the order of this Government, Public Works Department, No. 1820 W., dated 21st August 1880, counting as qualifying service that rendered in Madras Harbour Works by men transferred from other permanent employments.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,

DEPARTMENT OF

Finance & Commerce

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the favourable consideration of the Govt. of India the accompanying papers in connection with the pension application of Cokkakulam Ramasami Naidoo late Head Surveyor Madras Harbour Works.

2 C. Ramasami Naidoo entered the service of Govt. as Sq. Draftsman in the Revenue Survey Central Office, on the 1st June 1859 and served in that capacity ~~at Madras~~ up to 31st Oct. 1859. On the 1st Nov. 1859 he was transferred to another substantive appointment in the Lithographic Establishment and served

continuously

continuously in different departments ^{till the} 14th July 1876. On the 15th July 1876 he was transferred to the Madras Harbour Works and served ^{here} up to 30th April 1881, the date on which his services were dispensed with. His total service, after excluding Ag. Service, leave &c. and commuting the ^{short} two periods of absence without leave into leave without allowances, amounts to 20 years 9 months & 27 days, for which he ^{would normally be} entitled to a one-third pension of

Rs 14-15-3 per mensem.

3. A compensation pension was at first proposed, but as there is no order of Govt. abolishing the appointment, or reducing the

ment, or reducing the Establishment. ^{It appears that the} ^{the approach} ^{at that time}

being completion of the

works necessarily reduced the Drawing and Surveying work and the services of applicant who held the

he evidently was, at the time his services were dispensed with. So that now an invalid pension would appear admissible, with effect ^{commencing} from the 1st May 1881. but he will not be entitled in that case to the 6 weeks' pay in lieu of notice, that was proposed. And the claim to a bonus of one month's pay is altogether inadmissible.

4. The difficulty however still remains regarding the nature of the service from which he was invalided, for it is reported to have been non-qualifying, & it is on this point that I am to collect the views of the Govt. of India.

appointment of Head Surveyor were consequently dispensed with. ^{Subsequently however, the} applicant has appeared before a Medical Board and been declared to be unfit for further service, which from the papers

5. It would appear from the papers that the transfer of C. Ramasami Naidoo from the Revenue Survey Department to the Harbour Works on the 15th July 1876 was in the interest of the Public Service, and that had the question of the status of the Harbour Works employees been definitely settled at the time of his transfer, ^{to them} provision would have been made for Ramasami Naidoo. ^{When} receiving a lien on his substantive appointment in the Revenue Survey Department. This

Govt have however
~~This Govt have in their~~
 order dated 2nd August
 1880 No 1829 W, Public Works
 Dept. (copy enclosed) permit-
 ted service in the Madras
 Harbour Works in the
 case of men transferred
 to those works from
 permanent employment
 under Govt, to count as
 service for pension and
 gratuity. But as this order
 is alleged by the Accountant
 General to require the
 confirmation of the Govt.
 of India this ~~Madras~~ Govt.
 are unable to sanction
 the grant of the one-third

pension to which the
 applicant ^{might even be entitled} ~~is entitled~~ (though the amount would be somewhat less)
 if his service on the Harbour Works
 were excluded from the calculations.

His Excellency in Council
 therefore submits this
 case for the favourable
 consideration of the
 Govt. of India and to
 avoid references in similar
 cases, requests that the
 order of the 2nd August
 1880 No 1829 W may ^{be} confirmed.

Total service	20 y. 9 m. 27 d.
Deduct Harbour etc.	4 y. 9 m. 2 d.
Balance.	<u>16 y. - 25 d.</u>

Cotnam
 13th Dec 1882

W. C. Govt. to follow

9/5/83

21/5/83

No. 1644
109
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND COMMERCE.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Fort St. George,
Pension Department

Simla, the 13th June 1882.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter, No. 1,48, dated 13th May 1882, sub-
= mitting an application for the grant of a
pension to Co Kra Kulam Ramasami Naidu,
late Head Surveyor, Madras Harbour Works; and
with reference to this and similar cases, re-
= questing confirmation of the order of the
Government of Madras, declaring that service
rendered in the Madras Harbour Works by
officers, transferred thereto from permanent employ-
under Government, shall be treated as qualify-
= ing service -

2. In reply, I am desirous to request that
with the permission of His Excellency the
Governor in Council arrangements may be
made

J. No. 553 of 82
J. No. 686 of 82

1882 Pension Department.

No. 118.

The Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

Department of
Finance

Dated 13th May 1882.

Referring to the case of Co Kra Kulam
Ramasami Naidu late Head Surveyor,
Madras Harbour Works and re-
= questing, with reference to this and similar cases, re-
= questing confirmation of the order of the
Government of Madras, declaring that service
rendered in the Madras Harbour Works by
officers, transferred thereto from permanent employ-
under Government, shall be treated as qualify-
= ing service -

made to bring the cases referred to within the scope of Section 71 of the Civil Pension Code; or, if this is not possible in any instance, to refer each case to the Government of India for decision on the merits -

3. In regard to the particular case of Cokrakulam Ramasami Naidu, I am to observe that even if it were possible to apply Section 71 of the Civil Pension Code he could not, under the operation of Rule 1, Section 123, Civil Pension Code, be allowed to count for pension the increased pay he received in the Madras Harbour Works. He will be entitled to a pension of one third of average emoluments for his service before his transfer to the Harbour Works; and this is all that is admissible to him, although this pension will doubtless be less than he would have received had his service in the Harbour Works been qualifying service -

4. The original enclosures of your

letter

letter are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Rhodes.

Offs Under Secy: to the Govt of India

Copy forwarded to the Accountant General, Madras, with reference to his Report to the Government of Madras, 4th 44, dated 9th February 1832.

AcD.
12/6.

For: 13th Aug 82 No 448.

Pension (1882) Dept.

No 686.

From

The Govt. of India
Dept of Fin & Com.

No 1644

Of 13th }
R 21st } June 1882.
21

Requesting that arrangements be made to bring the cases of officers holding permanent employment under Govt transferred to the Madras Harbour works, within the scope of Sec 7 of the Civil Pension Code - if not to refer same to the Govt of India for decision into merits. With regard to the case of Ramasawmi Nayudw. he will be entitled to pension of 1/2 of average emolument before his transfer to the Harbour works, as Rule 1, 123, C.P.C will otherwise stand in his way.

70, 85 & 686
Pension Department.

113

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter papers.

Letter from the Supdt. Madras Harbour Works
df 30th December 1881 No 79 W.

— do — Exam^r of P. W. Accounts,
df 20th & 23rd Jan'y 82 No 192 W & 1043.

Endorse^{ts} of P. W. Dept^{ts} df 24th & 25th Jan'y 82 No 186 & 196.
Memo to the Accountant General dated
31st Jan'y 82 No 13.

Report of the — do — df 9th Feb'y 82 No 444.
Memo to the Exam^r of P. W. Accounts df 15th Feb'y
1882 No 17.

Report of the — do — df 24th March 82 No 1016.
Letter to Govt of India df 13th May 82 No 448.
— do — from Govt. of India df 13th June 1882 No 1644.

Order thereon 11th July 82 No 670.

Under sections 102 and 109 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition) the Right Hon^{ble} the Governor and Council are pleased to grant to Chokkikulam Ramasawmi Nayudw. the pension of 1/2 of average emolument before his transfer to the Harbour works, as Rule 1, 123, C.P.C will otherwise stand in his way.

Madras Harbour Works
 an Invalid pension
 of Rupees (11-10-8) Eleven
 annas ten and pice eight
 per mensem payable at
 Madras from ^{1st May 1881} ~~1st June 1881~~
 in consideration of his
 qualifying superior
 service of sixteen years
 and twenty five days.

* vide Memo No. 66
 of 27/7/81.

2 The two periods of
 absence without leave in 1868
 have been commuted into
 leave without allowance
 under section 93 Rule 7 of
 the above codes.

3 The recommendation
 of the Superintendent Madras
 Harbour Works for the grant
 of a bonus of one month's
 pay is inadmissible.

4. The act. Com. is requested to report
 without delay on the services of officers at present
 serving on the Harbour Works who are in the
 position of the present applicants for
 pension and in which cases the
 pension is payable.

The recommendation of the
 Superintendent Madras Harbour Works
 is not to be considered.
 JWC/7

27-10-92
24-7-93
13/5/94

Gobt. of 1882 Madras.
Pension

282
12-5-83
7. 12. 66. Incine. or
7. 2. 218783

Dated 11th July 1882, No. 610.
Recd 17th

Nos.

Pension -
Granting an Invalid
pension of Rs 11-10-8, per
mensure to C. Ramaswami
Naidu late H² Surgeon
Madras Harbour Works and
requesting the Hon^{ble} Secy to Govt
on the basis of officers at present
serving on the Harbour Works who are
in the same position as the present
applicant for pension.

M