

2. Date of repair
3. Initials or Reference
17/12/25

M.R.O. 1-22,400-22-11-20

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 259	Press
	~~Mis.~~
Dated 18-3-1882	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17/12/25

18TH MARCH 1882, No. 259, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—letter from the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 22nd August 1882.

READ—the following certificate and report of the Officiating Accountant-General, to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 2nd March 1882, No. A.D.J.-485 :—

Certified that, should Government be pleased to admit the portion of service claimed by the applicant in his affidavit, notwithstanding that it has not been satisfactorily established by other collateral evidence, and to accept the medical certificate signed by a Hospital Assistant and dated nearly eight months after the applicant ceased to do duty, or the one signed by the Civil Surgeon, Vizagapatam, but dated nearly one year and four-and-a-half months after the applicant was removed from the service, qualifying service in inferior grades will have been proved for twenty years and seven months, and an invalid gratuity, not exceeding Rs. 30, equal to six months' pay, will be admissible under Sections 102 and 117 (a) of the Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition.

The delay in the submission of the application is explained by the Postal authorities in reply to this office letter, No. A.D.K.-155, dated the 24th October 1881, accompanying. The further delay in the disposal thereof by this office is due to the repeated references which have had to be made to the District authorities in respect of the verification of the earlier portion of the applicant's services.

In accordance with Rule 4, under Section 130, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 65 of the Code.

ORDER—dated 18th March 1882, No. 259, Pension.

Under Sections 102 and 117 (a) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Tatipatha Sannyasi, late District Postman of Vizagapatam Post Office, an invalid gratuity of Rs. (30) thirty, equal to six months' pay, payable at Bimlipatam, Vizagapatam District, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of twenty years and seven months.

2. The Postal authorities are much to blame for the excessive delay in submitting this application, and the incomplete manner in which it was ultimately submitted has entailed further delay in its disposal.

(True Extract.)

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ Collector of Vizagapatam.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
PENSION.

Order, dated 18th March 1882, No. 250.

Gratuity.—Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs.
to T. Sannyasi, late District Postman of
Vizagapatam Post Office.

I P. Narayanaiah Hospital Assistant
In Charge of S. F. Dispensary Palacoudah. do hereby Certify
that I have carefully Examined T. Sannyasi Sannyasi & by
Diligently from Vizagapatam Sak Post Office; that his age is
by his own statement 65 years, and by appearance about 70
years; and that I find him to be unfit for further
Service, from old age, and infirmity, or from premature
decay and infirmity, the result of Chronic Rheumatic
pains in the limbs, which is not, in my opinion, attributable to
intemperance or other irregular habits.
Palacoudah
28th March 1877

(Sd/- P. Narayanaiah)
Hospital Assistant
In ch. S. F. Dispensary
Palacoudah
True copy/
P. Narayanaiah
Hospital Assistant
In ch. S. F. Dispensary
Palacoudah

No 621

FOR PENSION, OR GRATUITY, &c.

5/

Certified that I have carefully examined Tattapa Sannassee
son of Tattapa Pariah a Runner
in the Postal Department

His age is, by his own statement, 48 years, and by appearance, about 50 years
I consider Tattapa Sannassee to be completely and

permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind, or in the Department to
which he belongs, in consequence of old age & debility

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intem-
perate habits.

Vizagapatam,


1880.

9. December.

M. Rogers-Harrison

Civil Surgeon
Vizagapatam.

J. F. 252



To be returned on 12/3-

Mension Department Fort Saint George
 Accountant General's Office
 No. ADK 28th November 1881
182


J.

From
 M. J. Clayton Esq.
 Offr. Accountant General
 to the Government of Madras
 The Collector of
 Vizagapatam

182
 4-12-81

Sir,
 In returning again the ap-
 plication for gratuity on behalf of
 T. Samyasa, late a District Post Runner
 of the Vizagapatam Dist. together with
 the other papers connected with it
 I have the honour to point out that
 the explanations furnished by the
 Superintendent of Post Office, Vizag-
 patam, are so very incomplete and
 unsatisfactory that this Office
 experiences some difficulty in
 rendering the case complete and
 placing it before Government for
 disposal. I request therefore that
 you will be good enough to scrutinize
 them and to furnish information
 in detail on the following points:-
 1st. Why the applicant was removed
 from

Encl-254



from the service before his incapacity
for further service was duly established
by a Certificate - a procedure contrary
to the repeated orders of Government
and to the provisions of Section 103
of the Civil Pension Code. The sub-
mission of a copy of the Certificate
signed by an Hospital Assistant and
dated 28 March 1879 does not sufficiently
explain the action of the Postal Depart-
ment in removing the Applicant in July
1878 without a Medical Certificate signed
by a Commissioned Medical Officer.

Why no entry is made in the
column "How verified" in respect of
the verification of the service rendered
from 1858 to January 1878. Although
the Applicant's statement that his service
of 20 years cannot be established by
evidence of any of his contemporary
employers is hardly credible, still, it can
be ascertained from him the names
of the several officers under whom
he had served, and those names
could be compared with the records
of your Office. By this and similar
means you can form an opinion
as to whether the service claimed
should or should not be admitted.

Explanation of the circumstances
under which the papers connected with
the

21.
the Applicant's removal in July 1878
were lost in view to the facts being
placed before Government.

I shall feel obliged by your
furnishing me, if possible, with
information on the several points
raised in my No. 208 dated 24 Decem-
ber 1881, more fully than what
has been afforded by the Postal
Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient servant

M. M. M. M.
Assistant Account General
for accounts General

No 193

1897
Visagapatam Treasury
20 February 1892

From

The Deputy Collector
Visagapatam.

To

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir

In returning the application for
gratuity on behalf of T. Sanyasi, Late
District Post-runner, I have the honor
to inform you that there are no records in this
office to prove the points therein alluded
to, and the Superintendent of Post office
states that he is unable to furnish further
explanation in the absence of records.

A fresh affidavit taken from
Sanyasi is herewith sent. Some of the
facts contemporaneous named by the appli-
-cant have been stated by the Superintend-
-ent of Post office to have proved in that
Department. The Sub Magistrates named
by the applicant have also proved in
the Revenue Department. His statement
that Mr. A. D. Parasingam was
Deputy


Reg No 2686
8/12/18

Ln F-282

deputy Collector when he first entered
Government Employ agrees as to dates
with facts

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt servant.

M. N. S. S.
Asst Collector and
of Treasury



No 254

Viraghattam
20th January 1882

Deposition given in solemn affirmation
by Tatipaka Sanyasi, son of Perayya,
and late runner in the postal Department.

I was first employed in 1850 as a mulki
runner. I served 6 years as a runner
under the Salt Superintendents at Honada.
During that time two Mussalmans came
there as Superintendents. When I went
to Honada one by the name of Gultha
Sahib Jann was Superintendent there. He
was succeeded by Syed Mohiddin Sahib
Jann of Buran Sahib. Syed Mohiddin Sahib
Jann was succeeded by a Brahmin as
a Superintendent. The post of runner was
granted to me by Deputy Collector Ankita
Karsingaram Jann. I was afterwards
transferred from Honada to Viraghattam.
I was appointed as a peon to distribute
letters at Viraghattam. Viraghattam
was then under the Submagistrate of

Palkonda, but I cannot mention the names of the persons who were submagistrates there on account of my having gone there seldom. When I went to Viraghattam a Mussalman was submagistrate at Palkonda. Soon after I went there he retired on pension and has since been living at Palkonda. I can only mention the names of two persons who went as submagistrates there after him. They are Sanyappa Naik Sam and Suthamraj Laksh Nayya Pantulu Sam. During the time that I was runner at Kanadu Komarajin Palliah Sam and another Brahmin were mulki simastars there. I do not know the name of the latter, but he is likely a relation of Sanyappa Subbarow Pantulu Sam. When I served at Viraghattam, Bisani Ramiah was mulki simastar there.

15/1
Afterwards the mulki post office was abolished and amalgamated with the post office. Mallaprayada Rama Lingam Sam came as post master. I served during his time as well as during the time of his successor Mr Bowser and of Sirasa Jagayya and Hanagodda Sany Narayana who had come from Chicacole. I was never suspended during the time of my service.

Taken down in my presence.
(Signed). J Hewetson

20-1-02. Asst Magistrate

(True Translation)

J Hewetson
Asst Collector with charge of the District

True

THE SEPT. OF POST OFFICES,
VIZAGAPATAM DIVISION.

THE Deputy Collector
in charge of Treasury
Vizagapatam

No. 5063
22nd Dec 1881
PCB 17.

No. of enclosures. 10.

Subject.

Orig No 3167
24/12/81

Sir,

In reply to your letter
No 1058 dated 19th Dec.
1881 I have the honor
to inform you that the
Explanation already
furnished regarding
the services of the Postman
is all that I can afford
in the absence of
records

Sh. A. 257

records.

The man has been directed to attend
your Office and the required information
may be taken from him.

I have the honor to be

Yours most obt servant

Geo Ed Walker
Dypt of Post Offices
Vizagapatam N

In F-254.

FROM

THE SUPT. OF POST OFFICES,
VIZAGAPATAM DIVISION.

TO

THE Deputy Collector

In charge of Treasury
Chattrapur

No. B 5877

Dated 12th } February 1882.
Received }

No. of enclosures.

Subject.

Your No. 101 of 27th Jan 1882.

I have the honor to
inform you that from
a reference to the records
extant I find that
Kannayadda Sanyasurama
(deceased) was in charge
of the Post Office at Viraghattam
from May 1876 to 10th January
1878, his successor was

Shankarajogiah who served there from 19th Jan 1878 to 2nd October 1878. After Shankarajogiah the late J. Cooper was in charge of the branch at the Post Office.

From the records I can glean no further particulars as to the remaining statements made by J. Dammayasi.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt servant
Wm. Ed. Walker
Dept of Post Offices
Vizagapatnam Dn

Ln-7-254

306

2385
1/11/81



No. A.B.K.

155

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT,
PENSION VERIFICATION BRANCH,

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
24th October 1881.

FROM

H. J. Chapman Esq
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS,

TO

THE Superintendent, Vizagapatnam Post Office
Thro' the Collector of Vizagapatnam.

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ^{pension} gratuity on behalf of J. Dammayasi,
Late District Postman of Vizagapatnam Post Office
I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 2, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 21, 23 & 24.
I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government, along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

Wm. Ed. Walker
Assistant to the Accountant-General
for Accountant-General.

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.
2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.
3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the third page of the application herewith returned.
4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant.
5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.
6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.
7. Signature of the Applicant in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.
8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's ^{Personal} ~~disposal~~ in ^{July 1878} ~~and of a Last Pay Certificate~~.
9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly ^{3 1/2} ~~months~~ ^{years} in the submission of the application.
10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

- 11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.
- 12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of 24 months the applicant having ceased to do duty on 31 July 1878 while the certificate is dated 9 December 1879.
- 13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension during his life-time; if so, when he applied and when he died. Copy of his application should be sent.
- 14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.
- 15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:-
 - (a.) Whether the applicant was in permanent employ of Government.
 - (b.) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.
- 16. Information as to whether the appointment of the applicant was fully vacant, and whether any person, save the applicant, has been acting from to in which the applicant is stated to have counted service in it during that period.
- 17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.
- 18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.
- 19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.
- 20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, vide Rule 5, Section 105 of the Civil Pension Code.
- 21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office, in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.
- 22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from to was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.
- 23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Returns and Register for 1866 and 1867 on record in this office.

a copy of the annual list of records of the District Post Establishment for 1878 should be furnished. It should be fully explained for the information of Government as to why any portion of the applicant's services prior to 1 January 1878 cannot be verified from office records. If proper enquiries have not already been made regarding the establishment of the service by office records, they should be now done, and the result reported at an early date. The applicant being a member of the District Post Establishment, the application should be prepared and submitted through the Agency of the Collector, who is the head of that Department.

Let 1-252

TREASURY
31 OCT 31
MADRAS

*For Director
Recd. 29/10/81*

No 4376
The Secy. General
Madras
through the Collector of
Vijayag District
Vijayag District
No 733 Office of Secy. of Dist. Office
Vijayag District
Dated 7th Nov 81

Yours. Secy. 24/10/81

I have the honor in returning the above

- to afford the following information
- 2 The necessary information has been recorded in Col. 2
- 3 The Correspondence on the subject is not forthcoming in the record owing to which copies cannot be furnished
- 4 The Circumstances explaining Cause of delay was intimated to the Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury Vijayag in No. 3958 of 1/10/81 and Copy here to attached.
- 5 A Certificate of Service would appear to have been removed owing to old age and in formity Original Certificate appears to have been lost with Correspondence a copy of a Certificate obtained by applicant on 20/3/79 is enclosed.
- 6 The Certificate having been lost the applicant was sent under instructions from the Postmaster General for medical examination before the application for gratuity ordered to be prepared was submitted. This explains the reason of the Certificate being post-dated.
- 7 as the Original Correspondence is not forthcoming I am not in a position to state or to submit a copy as to whether the applicant applied for his gratuity but from enquiry he appears to have been received from

in capacity to perform his duties.

21 The steps taken is fully stated in communication to the Deputy Collector in No 3958 of 4/10/81 and the application submitted under instructions from the Postmaster General Madras No 1999 of 6/7/81. His services were verified by his affidavits.

23 The annual returns were not submitted by this Department. No information on the point can therefore be afforded. There are no records in office from which the returns for 1870 called for can be prepared. A return was furnished when the Department was made over by the Collector's establishment.

24 The Deputy Collector was addressed to verify the services of the applicant and in his reply No 371 of 21/4/81 states that the District Post records were transferred to the Post office long ago. A search for these were made and no trace of it obtained. The services of the applicant cannot therefore be verified from records.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Obedient Servant

His Excellency
Superintendent of Post Office
Puducherry Division



No 254

No. 3958 of 4/10/81
PCY

To The Deputy Collector
Puducherry

J.W. MURRAY
TREASURER
12 NOV 81

Sir
Yours No 788 of 16 September/81

I have the honor to inform you that the Postman has no Service Register and by the rules uniform servants are not required to keep one.

The error pointed out has been corrected and initialled.

As regards the delay in the submission of the application. I beg to state that it would appear when Sunneasy was removed from the effective list his papers were prepared and submitted by the Inspector to the then Supt. and Dist. Sunneasy not having received any consideration for his services appealed to the Postmaster General Madras in November 1880. This appeal having been forwarded for report the records were searched and no trace of any previous correspondence obtained. Steps were at once taken to obtain necessary information towards submission of the application. The statement of Sunneasy was taken as to his services and contemporary employees and affidavits sent to the Magistrate for attestation. The affidavits were returned with the information that the contemporary employees named were unable to speak to the services of the applicant. Sunneasy was again called & other names obtained. These men also were unable to declare the services of the man. A report was then sent to the Postmaster General and instructions requested.

The Postmaster General having decided that an application should be prepared and sent on the affidavit of the applicant owing to the previous papers having been lost the present application was prepared and submitted for sanction.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant
[Sd/ Geo Ed Walker
Superintendent of P.O. Office
Vizagapatam D.O.


(True Copy)

Geo Ed Walker
Superintendent of P.O.
Vizagapatam D.O.

Enclosed

Sub No 254

Remarks.	Name of Post Office through which pay was drawn, also that of the Postmaster or of Overseer under whom served.	Period.			Pay.	Names of Stages (if the applicant is a runner.)	N. m. s. of Offices or Lines to which was attached.	Appointments held.
		From	To	Y. M. D.				
		1068	1862	4	4	Runners	Post Office	Post Office
		1863	1864	2	5	"	Post Office	Post Office
		1865	31.1.70	13	5	"	Post Office	Post Office
		1.2.70	31.7.70	6	5	"	Post Office	Post Office
		Total 20						



AFFIDAVIT.

I, Antipaka Ramayasi.
late Post Office of Vizagapatam aged 50 years
and son of Antipaka Peraiya

do hereby solemnly declare upon honor that I
served Government in the Madras Postal Circle
as a Post Office continuously for the space of 20
years 7 months; that I had no leave during
the entire course of my service with the exception
of nil

and that I had neither been suspended nor dis-
missed for any misconduct on my part.

The particulars of my service are given in
the margin. They could be corroborated by my
contemporary employes named nil.

My disconnection from the Department was
owing to old age and debility

(Signature) [Signature]
Late Post Office of Vizagapatam

Solemnly affirmed before me this 10 day
of August 1881.

Signature of the Judicial Officer of the District. [Signature]
2nd Class Magistrate
Vizagapatam

Statement of services of Satyaka Gangani Lakshmi-
Postman of Kerasottam as verified by the records
of Vijayapattanam Post office. 29/

Appointment.	Pay	Period beginning	Period end	Period			Remarks.
				of	in	2	
Sub Post man Kerasottam Post office.	R 5	1st Feb 1878.	31st July 1878.	✓	6	-	All records prior to 1st February 1878 are not in possession of this office.
					6	-	

Vijayapattanam Post office }
1st December 1880

Madhava
Postmaster

Ms. No. 2498
5/10/81

Ms. No. 257

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of applicant

Katipalka Samyasi ✓ 33/

2. Father's name

Katipalka Peraiya

3. Race, sect and caste

Hindu - Vintar (Vintar)

4. Residence shewing Village and Pargunnah.

Vizagapatam Vizagapatam

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment.

District Postman of Vizagapatam Post Office

6. Date of beginning of service

In the year 1858

7. Date of ending of service

31st July 1878

8. Length of service, including interruptions

Y. M. D.

20. 10. 0

of which superior

0. 0. 0

inferior

20. 2. 0

non-qualifying and interruptions

0. 0. 0

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for,

Invalid gratuity

and cause of application.

old age and debility

10. [Average] emoluments or pay

Rupces 5/-

11. Proposed pension

Nil

12. Proposed gratuity

Rupces 30/- (six months pay)

13. Date from which pension to commence.

Nil

14. Place of payment

Binnipatnam

15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era.

1830

16. Height


5 feet and 5 inches

17. Marks

Complexion brown eyes brown Hair grey

18. Date

22nd August 1901



* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

+ (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Supdt. *Edouard*

Inspector, Vizagapatam Division.

Mewerton

Appt for A. C. B. C.

Date of application.

22nd August 1901

Name of applicant.

Katipalka Samyasi

Last appointment.

District Postman of Vizagapatam Post Office

Class of pension or gratuity.

Invalid gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Nil.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Post order 259982

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.		
						Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.
Donada District Post Office	Donada District Post Office	4		1858	1862	4					
Donada District Post Office	Donada District Post Office	5		1863	1864	2					
Viaghattam District Post Office	do	5		1865	31. 1. 70	13	6				
do	do	5		1st July 70	31st July 70	6					
Total						20					

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers:—

From the Collector of Vizagapatam dated 22nd August 1882.
 " Accountant-General, dated 2nd March 1882 No. 485.

ORDER THEREON, 18th Mar/82 No. 259

UNDER Sections 102 and 117 (a) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Sampathu Samyasee late District Postman of Vizagapatam Post Office an invalid gratuity of Rupees (30—)

Thirty equal to six months pay payable at Bimlipatam Vizagapatam District in consideration of his qualifying service of Twenty years, seven months and days

2. The Postat authorities are much to blame for the excessive delay in submitting this application, and for the incomplete manner in which it was ultimately submitted, which has entailed further delay in its disposal.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

Collector of Vizagapatam.
 1882.
 18-3-82

18-3-82
Govt. of (1882) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated *18 March 1882*

Despd. *"*

Reed.

G.O. *18 March 1882* No 259

Granting *an invalid's gratuity*
of Rs. 30 to J. Sanyasi
late District Postman
of Vizagapatam Post
Office.

18/3
[Signature]