

Number of pages. 16-12

2. Date of repair 17-12-35

Initials of Reference

M.R.O. 1-32,400-22-11-20

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	255	Press Mis.
Dated	16-3	1882

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17/12/35

28

3 P

{ OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.
ENDORSED ORDER.
TELEGRAM. }

[Office-copy.]

No. 22 of 82.

The Secretary to Govt-
Public Works Department
is requested to be good
enough to state for
information what the
duties of a Taking Ministry
on the Petty Establishment
are and whether with
reference to Co. O. 17
September 1877 No 505
(copy of which is here
- with sent) - the term
"Taking Ministry" is
rightly returned or
not.

Fort St. George
28 Feb 1882

24/2/82

To the Secretary to Govt Pub Wks Dept
with copy of Co. O. No 505. 17 Sept 1877

Memo 22

Dec 28/2/02

3 P

No 585-C

P.W. Department

9th March/02

Memorandum

In reply to Memo from the Pension Department No 22 of 28th February 1882, the undersigned has the honor to state that the term "Dalug Maistry" is not rightly retained.

A maistry on the Petty Establish-ment of the P.W. Department is at present an artificer whose duty it is to supervise gangs of workmen

C. W. Lanbury

Col R.E.
Secretary to Government
P.W. Department

W. The Chief Secy to Govt
Pension Department

30 Jan 02

16TH MARCH 1882, No. 255, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., dated 21st December 1881, No. 1363.

READ—also the following letter from D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 13th February 1882, No. 878-X:—

With reference to the Proceedings of Government in the Pension Department, No. 1363, dated 21st December 1881, I have the honor to point out that as Thandavamoorthy Mudaliar was on receipt of only Rs. 17½ per mensem as a Maistry on the Imperial Petty Establishment of the North Arcot District, revised orders on his case appear necessary with reference to the letter of the Government of India, No. 241, dated 16th January 1882, communicated with G.O., Pension Department, No. 75, dated 27th idem.

2. The favor of early orders is solicited.

Reference on the above, dated 28th February 1882, No. 22.

The Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, is requested to be good enough to state for information what the duties of a Taluk Maistry on the Petty Establishment are, and whether, with reference to G.O., 17th September 1877, No. 505, (copy of which is herewith sent), the term "Taluk Maistry" is rightly retained or not.

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

READ—the following Memorandum from Colonel R. H. SANKEY, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated 9th March 1882, No. 585-C:—

In reply to Memorandum from the Pension Department, No. 22, dated 28th February 1882, the undersigned has the honor to state that the term "Taluk Maistry" is not rightly retained.

2. A Maistry on the Petty Establishment of the Public Works Department is at present an artificer whose duty it is to supervise gangs of workmen.

ORDER—dated 16th March 1882, No. 255, Pension.

The Examiner has more than once received the orders of Government respecting C. Thandavamoorthy Mudaliar's case, and this repeated reference is not understood. Even if the interpretation he has put on the terms of the Government of India letter was correct, their purport could not have retrospective effect, but paragraph 2 of that letter was in fact intended as a concession granted in reply to a special recommendation of this Government regarding Artificer Maistries in the position of Araloo Asary, and does not affect the class of cases (where the term "Maistry" is a misnomer) on

which the general ruling of this Government, in their Order, No. 505, dated 17th September 1877, was passed, and which ruling still continues in force.

(True Extract.)

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

„ Accountant-General.

„ Public Works Department, with a request that orders
may accordingly issue to confine and restrict the use of the term
“Maistry” to Artificers, with reference to G.O., Pension Department,
27th January 1882, No. 75, already communicated.

2 5

Govt. of 1882 Madras.
PENSION.

Order, dated 16th March 1882, No. 255.

Pension.—Passing orders on the reference from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts regarding C. Thandavamoorthy Mudaliar's service as Maistry, and ruling that Order, No. 505, dated 17th September 1877, still applies to the class of cases when the term "Maistry" is a misnomer.

1881. 12/18
Public Works Department.

c V. 369

No. 585 C

Dated 9 March/82

Despatched

Received 10

10/3

SUBJECT.

States that the term
'Taluq Maistry' is not
rightly retained and
that a Maistry on the
Petty Establishment
of the P.W.D. is at pre-
sent an artificer
whose duty it is to
superintend gangs of
workmen.

C. C. 1255

108
4 7x
FROM THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS, 13th FEBRUARY 1882.

NO. 878

SIR,

WITH REFERENCE TO THE PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT IN
THE PENSION DEPARTMENT NO. 1363 DATED 21ST. DECEMBER 1881.
I HAVE THE HONOR TO POINT OUT THAT AS THIANDAVAMOORTHY
MUDALIAR WAS ON RECEIPT OF ONLY RS. 17¹/₂ PER MENSEM AS A
MAISTRY ON THE IMPERIAL PETTY ESTABLISHMENT OF THE NORTH
ARCOT DISTRICT, REVISED ORDERS ON HIS CASE APPEAR NECESSARY
WITH REFERENCE TO THE LETTER OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
NO. 241 DATED 16TH. JANUARY 1882, COMMUNICATED WITH G.O.
PENSION DEPARTMENT NO. 75 DATED 27TH. IDEM.

2. THE FAVOR OF EARLY ORDERS IS SOLICITED.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT.

D. P. Curran

EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

At 10/11/2

At 10/11/2

Pension S. O. 21 Dec 81 N^o 1363.

Pension 1882 *of husband*
N^o 122
FROM THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

15/82

NO. 848. H

DATED 13 FEBRUARY 1882.

RECD. 11 - 2 - 82

THANDAVAMOORTHY MUDALIAR---
STATES THAT REVISED ORDERS ON THE
GRATUITY CASE OF---APPEAR
NECESSARY.

With Order 353

P
Read the statement CP?
" also. Memo. dated 5th March/82
CP. 585 C. P. W. D.

11 Order No. 16-11-1881 N^o 205
more than one

The Exr. has already received
the orders of Govt. respecting
C. Thandavamoorthy Mudaliar's
case, & this repeated reference
is not understood. Even if
the interpretation he has put
on the terms of the Govt. of India
letter was correct, their purport
could not have retrospective
effect, but para 2 of that
letter was in reply to a request
recommendation of this Govt.
regarding ^{Artificer} ~~masters~~ in the
position of ~~Arabs~~ ^{class of} ~~Arabs~~
does not affect the cases of
(where the term "master" is a misnomer)
men, on which the general
ruling of this Govt. in their
order CP. 505 dated 17th Sept
1877, already was passed, &
which ruling still continues
in force.

Sup. 24-3-82

To Govt. P.W. D.
To P.W. D. with a request that orders may be issued
to confine strictly the use of the term
"master" to Artificers, with reference to S. O. 61 (Munro's Dept.)
27/1/82 CP. 75-1-82

Division (1882) DEP.

[illegible]

Dated 16th March 1882
Recd 24th

Paying orders on the
reference from the Exam^r
of Public Works, Licenses
regarding C. Mandava
Muth Mendellian's Curie
a, Heats, and ruling
that Order No. 505 of 17 Sept.
1877 still applies to the class
of cases, when the term
"Heats" is a misnomer