

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	10	Page	116
Dated	15/12/40		

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Statements.

No. 13.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND COMMERCE.

SIR,

In reply to your office letter of the 28th September 1881, No. 3247, commenting on certain entries in the Statements of Pensions and Gratuities for the quarter ending 31st December 1880, I am directed to forward the accompanying Proceedings of this Government, No. 12, of this date, in which the necessary explanations are afforded.

2. As regards remarks under (f) the order appears to have been irregularly made in the Judicial Department, and steps will be taken to amend it so as to accord with the rules of the Civil Pension Code.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

FORT ST. GEORGE,
5th January 1882.

Secretary to Government.

Proceedings of Government, Pension Department.

Read the following papers:—

From S. JACOB, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce, to the Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, Pension Department, dated Simla, 28th September 1881, No. 3247.

In acknowledging the receipt, with your endorsements, Nos. 191 and 192, dated the 16th February 1881, of the Statements of Pensions and Gratuities for the quarter ended the 31st December 1880, I am directed to communicate the following remarks:—

Statement III, entry No. 12.
" IV " " 5.
" VII, entries Nos. 19 & 93.

(a) The character of each of the appointments in the cases marginally noted has not been given.

(b) The information required by Column 3 as to date of birth has not been given in the cases of entry No. 93 in Statement VII and entry No. 26 in Statement XI.

(c) There is evidently an error in entering in Column 10 (c) the amount of T. Swaminada Mudali's* gratuity as Rs. 75 instead of Rs. 192.

The necessary correction has been made.

(d) The amount of Syed Cassim's† gratuity should apparently be Rs. 21 and not Rs. 7 as shown in the statement.

(e) It is not understood why Hari Sing's character is said to have been "good" when his pension was reduced on account of "irregular habits."

(f) With reference to the remark opposite entry No. 2 in Statement XIV, I am to inquire why the pension has been granted with the condition that it is tenable till the pensioner attain the age of 21 years. And I am to point out that the Rules do not provide for transfer of pension to another member of the family after the death of the original grantee.

No. 91.

Referred to the Accountant-General for report.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
10th October 1881.

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 10th November 1881, No. 4425.

Pension Department.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 91, dated the 10th of October 1881, referring to me for report a letter from the Government of India, No. 3247, dated the 28th September last, calling for explanation in connection with their remarks on certain entries in the Statements of Pensions and Gratuities for the quarter ended 31st December 1880, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a letter received from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, No. 311 P., dated the 1st November 1881, explaining the cause of omission of the date of birth in the case of entry No. 93, and the reason why a gratuity of Rs. 7 only was granted to Syed Cassim instead of Rs. 21 to which, as observed by the Government of India, the applicant was apparently entitled.

2. With reference to the remarks (a), I beg to state that Mr. Ball (No. 12) and the Hon. V. Ramiengar (No. 5) were both gazetted officers, and information regarding their character was, it is presumed, not furnished in their respective applications for pension. In the application of Nathumeah Saib (entry No. 19), the character was recorded as "not well known to me." To ensure correctness, the appropriate column in the statement was left blank. In the case of Honorary Lieutenant Joyce, No. 93, an officer of the Public Works Department, no formal application was received; hence his character could not be stated.—*Vide* also Examiner's explanation.

3. With regard to the remarks respecting No. 26 in (b), I beg to inform you that the date of birth was shown in the application as "not known," but his service was calculated with reference to the age given in the medical certificate which accompanied the application.

4. As regards the remarks (c), I beg to observe that in the office copy the amount of gratuity entered against the name of Swaminada Mudali is Rs. 192.

5. With reference to the remarks (e), I beg to state that the character and past conduct of Hari Sing were reported in the application to have been "good," though the Medical Officer attributed his physical incapacity for the public service to his irregular habits.

6. With reference to the remarks (f), I beg to state that the entry made in the column of remarks against the name of Shekh Buran was based upon the terms of sanction accorded in G.O., No. 2896, dated the 16th December 1880, Judicial Department, I am unable therefore to explain the point raised by the Government of India.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From D. C. GORDON, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Accountant-General, dated Madras, 1st November 1881, No. 311 P.

With reference to your letter, No. 4096, dated 17th October 1881, requesting explanation on certain remarks made by the Government of India on the quarterly Statement of Pensions and Gratuities forwarded by the Local Government, I have the honor to state as follows:—

(a) As no copies of the pension and gratuity applications reported upon and forwarded to Government in the Pension Department are retained, this office is not now aware of the circumstances under which the character is said to have been noted in the gratuity application of Nathumeah Saib, late writer, Tinnevely District.

(b) Honorary Lieutenant Joyce received from the Civil Department only a special bonus of twelve months' pay, and the report of this office for the grant of the same was based on and regulated with reference to the orders contained in Government of India Public Works Department Resolution, No. 322-47 G., dated 3rd February 1880, which do not require any information in regard to date of birth being given—*vide* also Government of India Public Works Department letter to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, No. 2500 E.G., dated 8th November 1879, a copy of which has already been furnished to your office. The claims to pension of the officer were regulated under Military rules by the Military Department by which the question of character no doubt must have been duly considered before sanction to the pension was accorded.

(c) Syed Cassim received a gratuity of Rs. 21 for a qualifying inferior service of six years, two months, and twenty-four days on his services being dispensed with on reduction of establishment, which took place in 1863, but did not refund the same on re-employment in November 1872. If Syed Cassim had refunded the gratuity received by him for his earlier service, he would have, on his retirement on 31st March 1880, been entitled, under Section 117 (A) of the Civil Pension Code, to a compensation gratuity of Rs. 28, equal to four months' pay, for a qualifying inferior service of thirteen years, six months, and twenty-six days including the previous service. As, however, he did not refund the gratuity of Rs. 21 under the ruling contained in Section 182, Rule 10 of the Civil Pension Code, he was reported to be entitled to a gratuity of only Rs. 7, which was sanctioned by Government.

No. 109.

Referred to the Judicial Department with reference to the concluding part of paragraph 6 of the letter from the Accountant-General, dated 10th November 1881, No. 4425.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
19th November 1881.

(Signed) J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

No. 2018.

Retransferred to the Pension Department with reference to endorsement in that Department, dated 19th November 1881, No. 109.

2. A pension of Rs. 10 was granted to Shekh Buran, son of Abbas Ali, and made payable till he attained his 21st year, in consideration of the valuable services rendered by his father during the Rampa disturbance in which he met with his death and on the report of the Collector that the widow, with two children, was left destitute.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
15th December 1881.

(Signed) R. DAVIDSON,
Chief Secretary.

ORDER THEREON, 5th January 1882, No. 12.

Copies of the letters from the Accountant-General and Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated respectively the 10th and 1st November 1881, Nos. 4425 and 311 P., will be forwarded to the Government of India with reference to their letter of the 28th September 1881, No. 3247.

2. Steps will be taken in the Judicial Department to amend the order in that Department, dated 16th December 1880, No. 2896, with reference to the remarks (f) in the foregoing letter from the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

1882.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From
The Secretary to the Govt. of
East St. George,
To
The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Dept. of Finance and Commerce.

Dated 5th January 1882.

Issued

Recd.

No. 13.

Enclosure.

Statements. — Forwarding explanation with regard to certain entries in the Statements of Pension and Gratuity for the quarter ended 31st December 1880.

To

The Secretary to the Government

of India,

DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

I in reply to your
official letter of the 28th Sept.
1881 N^o. 3247, commenting on
certain entries in the State-
ments of Pensions and
gratuities for the quarter
ending 31st December 1880,
I am directed to forward
the accompanying Proceeding
of this Govt. N^o. 12, of the
date in which the necessary
explanations are afforded.
2 As regards remarks
(1) the order appears to
have been irregularly
made in the Judicial
Department and steps will be
being taken to amend it
so as to accord with the
rules of the Civil Pension
code.

L. & P. George

5- Jan'y 1882

Recd. 4-1-82

1891
Jan 1st
Feb 1st
Mar 1st
Apr 1st
May 1st
Jun 1st
Jul 1st
Aug 1st
Sep 1st
Oct 1st
Nov 1st
Dec 1st

1852 *John* DEPARTMENT.

No. 13

To

The Secretary of the
Government of *India*

Department of *Indies*

Dated 5th January 1852.

Respectfully
submitted *for*
consideration with *reference*
to *certain* *orders* *in* *the*
Department *of* *Indies* *and* *Native*
affairs *in* *the* *year* *1851*
December 1851.