

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	10	From	ME
Dated	25/7	1883	

Not to be torn or roughly handled

1A
P. 2716

No. 3247

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AND COMMERCE.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Fort St. George

Simla the 28th Sept 1881.

SIR,

In acknowledging the receipt, with your
endorsements Nos 191 and 192 dated the 16th February
1881, of the Statements of Pensions and Gratuities
for the quarter ended the 31st December 1880,
I am directed to communicate the following
remarks.

Statement III entry No 12
Statement IV entry No 5
Statement VII entries Nos 191 &
192

(a) The character of each of the appointments
in the cases marginally noted has not been
given.

(b) The information required by column 3 as to
date of birth has not been given in the cases of
entry No 93 in Statement VII and entry No 26 in
Statement XI.

Statement VI entry
No 128

(c) There is evidently an error in entering in
column 10 (C) the amount of T. Guaminada
Modulis gratuity as Rs 75 instead of Rs 192.
The necessary correction has been made.

Statement X entry
No 6.

(d) The amount of David Lusim's gratuity should
apparently be Rs 21 and not Rs 7 as shown
in the Statement.

It is not understood why Hari Singh's character is said to have been "good" when his pension was reduced on account of "irregular habits".

Statement 4 in
No 179

With reference to the remark opposite entry #2 in Statement XIV I am to enquire why the pension has been granted with the condition that it is tenable till the pensioner attain the age of 21 years. And I am to point out that the Rules do not provide for transfer of pension to another member of the family after the death of the original grantee.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient servant
Stephens Jacob
off Under Secy to the Govt of India

MSR 26/9

Pension Department.

N^o 4425

For B. George
Accounts & Audit Office
19 November 1881.

From

A. H. Chugstoun Esq,

To

Off. Accountant General,
Madras

The J. Under Secretary
to Government, Pension Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement N^o 91, dated the 10th of October 1881, referring to me for report a letter from the Government of India, N^o 3217, dated the 28th September last, calling for explanation in connection with their remarks on certain entries in the Statements of pensions and gratuities for the quarter ended 31st December 1880, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a letter received from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, N^o 311 P, dated the 1st November 1881, explaining the cause of omission of the date of birth in the case of

Pension (1881) DEPARTMENT.

Chairman,
R.D.

NOV 81

From

P. J. ...
N^o 1530

No.

Dated

Recd.

SUBJECT.

Remarks on the
Statement of pensions
and gratuities for the
last quarter of 1880

Report

for report.

Referend to the Accountant General

23rd Nov 1881
10th October 1881

to Under Secretary

to the Accountant General

In full no 3453/81

1912

of entry N^o 93, and the reason why a gratuity of Rupees 7 only was granted to Saiad Dussim instead of Rupees 21. . . . to which, as observed by the Government of India, the applicant was apparently entitled. —

2. With reference to the remarks (a), I beg to state that Mr. Ball (N^o 12), and the Honorable V. Ramaswamy, (N^o 5), were both Gazetted Officers, and information regarding their Character was, it is presumed, not furnished in their respective applications for Pension. In the application of Nathunah Sahib (entry N^o 19), the Character was recorded as "not well known to me". To ensure correctness, the appropriate Column in the Statement was left blank. In the case of Honorary Secy. Joyce, N^o 93, an Officer of the Public Works Department, no formal application was received,

received; hence his Character could not be stated. Vide also Examiner's explanation. —

3. With regard to the remarks respecting Mr 21 in (b), I beg to inform you that the date of birth was shown in the Application as "Not known", but his service was calculated with reference to the age given in the Medical Certificate which accompanied the application. —

4. As regards the remarks (c), I beg to observe that in the Office copy, the amount of gratuity entered against the name of Swaminada Mudali, is Rs 192.

5. With reference to the remarks (e), I beg to state that the Character and past conduct of Nuri Sing were reported in the Application to have been "good", though the Medical Officer attributed his

physical

physical incapacity for the Public Service
to his irregular habits.

6. With reference to the remarks
of 1, I beg to state that the entry
made in the Column of remarks
against the name of Sheth Boman
was based upon the terms of
sanction accorded in Government
Order, No. 2896, dated the 16. December
1880, Judicial Department. I
am unable therefore to explain the
point raised by the Government of India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your obedient Servant.

H. J. Hoegstam

Offg. Accountant General.

1881

No. 311 P.

From

The Examiner,
Public Works Accounts,
Madras.

To The Accountant General, Madras.
Madras, 1 January 1881.

Sir, With reference to your letter No.
4096 dated 17 October 1881, requesting ex-
planation in certain remarks made by
the Government of India on the quarterly
Statement of Pension & gratuities for
warriors by the Local Government, I
have the honor to state as follows:-

1. As no copies of the pension and gratuity
applications report upon & forwarded
to Government in the Pension Dept.
are retained, this office is not now
aware of the circumstances under
which the character is said to have
been noted in the gratuity appli-
cation of Pathammaiah Saiblati
writer, Tinnevely District.

2. Awarary Lieutenant Jayer
received from the Civil Department
only a special Bonus of 12 months
pay, and the report of this office
for the grant of the same was
based on our regulations with
reference

reference to the rules contained in
Government of India P. B. Department
Resolution, No. 322-474 dated 3rd Febry
1880, which do not require any in-
formation in regard to date of birth
being given. It is also of Government
of India P. B. Department letter to the
Accountant General P. B. Department
No. 2500 G. O. dated 8th Janemb. 1879,
a copy of which has already been
furnished to your office. The claim
to pension of the officer was regulated
under military rules by the Mi-
litary Department by which the
question of character, ~~no~~ doubt
must have been duly considered
before sanction to the pension
was accorded.

C. Syed Cassim received gratuity
of Rupees 21/ for a qualifying infe-
rior service of 6 years, 2 months
& 24 days, on his service being
dispensed with on a reduction of
establishment, which took place
in 1863, but did not ~~return~~ the
same on re-employment in Novem-
ber 1872. If Syed Cassim had refused
the gratuity received by him for
his earlier service, he would have
on his retirement on 31 March 1880
been entitled under section 117(A)

of the Civil Pension law to a com-
pensation gratuity of Rupees 28
equal to 4 months pay for a
qualifying inferior service of
15 years or on the basis 26 days
including the previous service.
As however, he did not refuse
the gratuity of Rupees 21 under
the ruling contained in section
182, rule 10 of the Civil Pension
law, he was reported to be
entitled to a gratuity of only
Rupees 7/ which was sanctioned
by Government.

I have &c. &c.
Sd/- D. C. Gordon
Examined P. B. Depart.
/ Encl (copy) /
M. H. M. M.
Assistant M. H. M.

13A

See p. 11 No 3450/81

See p. 11

Referred

2. A pension of Rs. 60.
was granted to Shik
Khuran. Son of Abbas
Ali, & made paym^{ts}
till he attained his
2nd year. his considera-
tion of his orphans
became known

1875
To the Medical Department
Adj. Quar. Secy

by his father during
the Rump dist.
and in which he
was with his father
and on the spot of
the collector that
the widow, with 2
children, was left
destitute.

50. 17 Jan/81 no. 82 7P

Forrest George
15/12/81

12/12/81

12/12/81

Copy of Report

The following is a copy of the report of the
Committee on the subject of the
proposed changes in the
constitution of the
Society of Friends.
The report was presented
to the Yearly Meeting
on the 1st of 1844.
The report was adopted
by the Yearly Meeting
on the 1st of 1844.
The report was adopted
by the Yearly Meeting
on the 1st of 1844.

Pension (1881) DEPARTMENT.

N^o 1894

From

The Judicial Dept

No. 2018.

Dated

15th

Recd.

17th

December 1881

SUBJECT.

*Retransferring with
Explanation the papers
regarding the statement of
Pensions and gratuations for
the Quarter ended 31st Dec 80.*

With order 1/2

order Thomson's - 16 Aug 1882 at 12

*Copies of the letters from the Accountant General
and Examiners of Public Works. Known to date the respective
the 10th and 11th December 1881 at 12 noon and 31st Dec. will be
forwarded to the Court of Audits with reference to their
letter of the 28th September 1881 at 12 noon.*

*2. After will be taken in the Judicial Department to
and the order in the 10th of Jan. dated 16th Jan. 1882 at 12 noon
with reference to the remarks (P) in the foregoing paragraph
the Government of India.*

*To the Accountant General
The Judicial Department*

*Copies to be made
1/12/81 W.W.*

Insion

(1882) DEPARTMENT.

From

The Account General

No. 12.

Dated

5th January 1882

Recd 16th

SUBJECT.

*Statements Ordering
Explanation be forwarded
to the Govt of India with
regard to certain entries in the
statements of pensions and
gratuities for the quarter
ended 31st Decr 1880.*