

1. Number of pages. 1 = 60
2. Date of repair. 20-2-3
3. Initials of Referencer.

M.R.O. 1-32 400-33-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1257	Press Mis.
Dated 20 12 1882.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

20/2/36

221
223

To be returned with reply
Pension Dept

3/8
A

Fort Saint George
Accountant Genl's Office
12th September 1882

No AD16
101

S
14/9/82

From M. F. Chagston Reg.
Accountant General
To Madras

The Collector of
South Arcot.

Sir,
With reference to your ap-
plications for gratuity and pension
on behalf of the two individuals
named in the margin, I have the honor
to request that you will be so good
as to furnish me at an early date
with information on the following
points:-

M. S. Labate alias M. Sundaraya Pillay

1. Why the applicant is shown to
have commenced his service on pay
of Rs. 15-0-0 a month with effect
from the 5 August 1851 while in the
Audit Register no record in this
office his service is shown to have
commenced from 1st December 1871
on pay of Rs. 7-0-0 only a month.
2. Why the Applicant's service
for the period prior to 1st May 1872
has

M. S. Labate alias M. Sundaraya Pillay
K. R. Venkataramanayya

to be sent to the ...

has been regarded as pensionable while from the Circular from the Office No. 10 dated the 10th June 1872 it would appear that the service was paid from Fees, which did not form a portion of Imperial Receipts.

3. Why the Applicant's absence on leave on Private Affairs without pay from 1st May to 20th June 1873 was not shown in the History of Service and the period deducted from the total period of his qualifying service.

4. The several periods of the Applicant's absence on leave are not recorded in the accompanying Service Book. The omission should be supplied.

N. R. Venkataramanayya

5. Why the Applicant's service rendered in the Educational Department prior to his joining the Mysore Department has not been shown in the 2nd page of the application as required in Section 128 of the Civil Pension Code. If the omission

omission was due to an oversight, the particulars should now be supplied. An Abstract Statement duly certified showing the nature of records which are relied upon for verification of the service rendered in the Educational Department prior to 1866 should be transmitted.

6. The remarks in the 3rd page of the application that further retention of the Applicant in the service is considered undesirable is not understood. It is requested therefore that it may be clearly explained whether the Applicant's "inefficiency" is intended, or the mere fact that no suitable employment for him is procurable so that the provisions of Rule 1 Section 100 of the Code may be duly observed.

7. The Applicant's petition to this Office dated the 4th September 1882 is herewith submitted for your remarks. I have the honor to be

Sir,
Yours obedient servant
R. S. ROSE

By Assistant Secy to Govt
for Secy to Govt

to be sent to the Secy to Govt

South Street
Collector's Office
Cuddalore
15 November 1882

11 Nov

The Collector of
South Arcot District

The Accountant General
Madras

Dated 15 November 1882
Despatch No 373

See letter No ADK dated 12 September 1882

The applicant P. S. S. Pillai alias

P. Sundaraya Pillai commenced his service
on pay of Rs 15 a month from August 1871 and

not from 5 August 1871 as stated by the
British Consular Agent, nor from 1st November

1871 on pay of Rs 7.8 a month as stated by
the Accountant General. Please see vouchers

No 39 sent to the Accountant General with
the 1st batch of vouchers/Provincial Service/

for September 1871. The entry in the Service
Register

In No 1497

Regular and in the History of Service has been altered accordingly.

2. The Service of the applicant prior to 1st May 1872 has been regarded as pensionable as one half of the salary of the British Consular Agent and of his establishment has been charged to "Emigration Fee Funds French Colonies" and the other half to "Imperial Funds" i.e. "Customs" with reference to para 3 of the Resolution of Government of India N^o 368 dated 10 May 1871. Communicated in G.O. N^o 866 dated 17 June 1871. F.D. quoted in G.O. Revenue Department N^o 1166 dated 5 July 1871. Please see debit entries under both heads in the Treasury accounts from December 1871. The Circular N^o 10 dated 10 June 1872 quoted by the Accountant General does not affect the Service of the applicant as he was not paid altogether from the fees. The Circular, it is observed, directs that the fees be regarded as Imperial receipts from the commencement of the official year

Year 1872-73. The Statement of the British Consular Agent to the effect that the applicant was on private affairs leave without pay from 20 May to 20 June 1873 is correct. Please see Vouchers N^{os} 25 and 22 (General Service) sent to the Accountant General with the 1st batch of vouchers for June and July 1873. The above period has been deducted from the total period of his qualifying Service and has been shown in the History of Service and in the Service Register.

4. The applicant has never had any kind of leave during his Service with the exception of the private affairs leave above mentioned. Vide Copy of the British Consular Agent's letter accompanying.

5. The applicant K.R. Venkataramanayya resigned the public Service in the Educational Department on the 25 November

1865. As this resignation was after 8 June 1863 the service in the Educational Department was not counted and hence its omission in the 2nd page of the application. Please see Rule 3 Section 97 of the Civil Pension Code.

6 The Acting Subcollector expresses in his letter No 113 dated 26 October 1882 (copy accompanying) that the applicant is unfit for further service.

Copies of the correspondence referred to in two letters are herewith sent for information. The two pension applications, Service Books and the Accountant General's letter under reply with the petitions of K. R. Venkataramanayya are herewith returned. The Subcollector makes in his letter No 113 above quoted remarks on each of the points mentioned in the application as the applicant.



Collector.

No 1497

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION. GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Kallakurichi Ravi Venkataramanayya
2. Father's name. Kallakurichi Ravi Subbiah
3. Sect or Caste. Telugu Brahmin
4. Residence. Kallakurichi South Arcot District
5. Present or last employment. Superintendent of Madalapa Sahen South Arcot District
6. Date of beginning service. 1st February 1866
7. Date of end of service. 30 June 1882
8. Length of service including breaks Y. M. D.
 of which superior 16. 5. 8
 inferior 16. 4. 17
 non-qualifying and breaks 21
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application * Compensation
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 52-6-9
Rs 17-7-7
11. Proposed pension.
12. Proposed gratuity.
13. Date from which to commence. 1st July 1882
14. Place of payment. South Arcot
15. Age of Applicant on this date. 42 years and 2 months (age 40)
16. Height. 5 ft 2 1/2 inches
17. Marks. A round scar on the left corner of the left eye, between eye brow and eye lid. Two small moles on the neck and one of the toes of the right foot is short
18. Date. 21st August 1882 Complexion fair.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

OSEP 82

Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

Deputy Collector

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF

Total period of {superior} service
 {inferior}

Verified from the British Psychological
and Ethical Council Archives:
Abolition in the English and
Caribbean the father and
How verified

From the records of the Collection
Office I obtained the verification of the
Service returned by respondent partly to
the Auditor and partly to the Auditor
retained in this office.

Review Book, the applicant
prior to any employment in the Collec-
tion Office, it appears to have rendered
Service for Lincoln County Prisoner
Department, partly in the Educational Dept.
But this will soon show how it was
a contribution of the Public Service
Further or inclusion of the period
of Service does not in any way affect
the answer to which this applicant
would be entitled.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. *The Collector has been found to have been such as to merit a pension, as there is nothing against him. Having been discharged from the public service in the re-organization of the Customs Department, he is entitled to a pension of Rs. 20 per mensem. He is also entitled to a pension of Rs. 20 per mensem for the period of suspension or degradation.*
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. *None.*
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. *None.*

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. *None.*

5. Any other remarks.

The Collector in immediate charge of the Land Revenue Department who carried out the re-organization of the Land Revenue Department, has been found to have been such as to merit a pension, as there is nothing against him. Having been discharged from the public service in the re-organization of the Customs Department, he is entitled to a pension of Rs. 20 per mensem. He is also entitled to a pension of Rs. 20 per mensem for the period of suspension or degradation.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in ^{inferior} grades has been duly proved for 16 years 11 months and 8 days; and that a ^{superior} pension of Rs. 17-7-7 per mensem is admissible under Sections 100 and 101 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

It is observed that under Section 100 of the Civil Pension Code, provided that an application for a compensation pension or gratuity should be supported by a Certificate from the Head of the Department to the effect that it has been found, after careful enquiry, impossible to provide suitable employment for the applicant elsewhere. In the present case the application is not supported by such a Certificate but the Collector states in the application that further retention of the applicant in the service is considered undesirable. This remark of the Collector was not clear and unambiguous, and therefore it is not clear whether the applicant's inefficiency was actually intended.

In reply that Officer now states that the applicant is unfit. In the re-organization of the Establishment of the Customs Department, the appointment of Superintendent of Madras Port, Land Customs Dept. South Street was not actually abolished in the real sense of the word used in Section 100 of the Code, but its pay was, simply reduced from Rs. 60 to 30 per mensem. Under these circumstances it is not with Government to decide, whether the inefficiency, which is reported by the Collector to be the only reason why he is unable to proceed a suitable appointment for the applicant, is a sufficient ground to annul of the entertainment of the present application. If the decision be in the affirmative the pension recommended in the preceding para may be granted to him.

The survey to Government effected by the re-organization of the Customs Department in the South Street (that is given by the Collector in his remarks) opposite to Rs. 5 in the 3rd page of the application.

In accordance with Rule 4 under Section 130 the attention of Government is respectfully called to Section 65 of the Code.

*For Lord George } To
Accountants' Office, }
5th December 1882. }
The Under Secretary to Government
for Accountant General*

Accountant General

for Accountant General

31/1/82

Prin 1882 Capt
N. H. 97

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY	
Date of Application, 31. August 1882.	
Name of Applicant, L. R. Venkataraman	
Last Appointment, Asst. of Madras Port & Dock	
Class of Pension or gratuity	
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

With order No 1257/82

Public Department
No 155.

H. B. his Causalar Agent
Pondicherry
22 September 1882

To
Lieut Colonel L. W. B. Sherburn
H. B. his Causalar Agent
Pondicherry
The collector of South Arcot
Cuddalore.

Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge with one the receipt of your letter No 809 dated 18th Enclosure. Enclosure giving cover to the Service Book of P. S. La Porte (herewith returned as therein requested) together with a copy of the letter from the Asst. Comm. Madras No S.D.K. dated 12th Idem. and in reply, to state, with reference to Para. I that P. S. La Porte commenced his service on pay of Rs 15 a month with effect from 5 August 1871 and in 1881, neither did he commence his service from 1st January 1871 on pay of Rs 7. 8 a month as shown in the Accountant General's letter above quoted. He commenced his service on promotion of Rs 20 a month from 17 June 1876 and continued to serve there up to 10 July 1882.

and up to 30 June 1882 as you have
corrected in his Service Book. No
instructions were received from your
office until the 5th July for the closing
of the Sagar Department at the Consulate,
and the Sagar clerk at the Railway Station
here did not take over the Accounts
Book &c. of the department, before the
17 July 1882. Hence the Sagar clerk's pay
was drawn up to the 15 July 1882 and
up to the 30 June 1882 as corrected
in the Service Book.

2. With reference to Para 2, I beg to
state that, as the Circular No 10 dated
10 June 1872 from the Secy. General
was not furnished to this office, I
am unable to render you the infor-
mation required. It does not understand
clearly what is meant by saying that
the clerk's services were paid from
"Fees", as all sums collected through
my office have been always paid
into your Treasury to the credit of Government.

3. With reference to Para III, the applicant
had leave on private affairs on full
pay from 20 May to 20 June 1873, and
not from 1st May to 20 June 1873, as
being placed by his brother-in-law as
a substitute & so no leave expense placed
a substitute without causing either
interruption to Government work

15
or incurring any extra expense to
the state, I did not think it necessary
to show his absence on leave in the
history of his service, neither did I
think it was requisite to deduct
this period from the total period
of his qualifying service.

4. With reference to Para IV, the appli-
cant, besides the leave above quoted,
has never had any kind of leave
during his 11 years' service to be
recorded in his Service Book.

Yours &c
Signed J. W. B. Sherman Esq
H.B. his Consular Agent
/ True copy /

Abner R. Smith
Collector

South Africa Special Agents office
No 396 City & alone
16th December 1892.

Cut & alone

From

ordered in 1901. Affectionate & Engage
 and was the father of Special Agent of Southworth
 To

to
sent copy of M. R. Heldegarde

Ag. Sub Collector of South Arcot.

Sir. With reference to your letter of the
8th Instant No 243, I have the honor to

8th Instant No 243, I have the honor to

inform you that, the Complaint of the

French Gentlemen referred to have been

disposed of and that the Sayer, Superintendent

death was told on the 24th of Sept. 1891

his action was disapproved and that

he must be careful in future not to

Misunderstanding

give room for wife. I do not

with the French Authorities.

consider that duty should be charge

on ammunition brought by French

Henric.

Genflemore

1949

Gentlemen who hold licenses countersign
 by me from Pondicherry into English
 territory for a days sport; and the Superin-
 tendent showed a want of tact in acting
 as he did. Considering what he had been
 told I fail to see the necessity for further
 reference to you and look upon it as a
 piece of impudence on his part.

I have to
 signed A. Franchet
 Special Agent.

M. Weld

Special Collector

1497

P. D. 1

No. 243.

8-12-81

From M. R. Weld Esq.
 A. C. Collector South Arcot
 A. Franchet Esq.
 Collector South Arcot

Sir
 I have the honor to inform you that
 the marginally noted French gentlemen
 complained once that they were in the 1st
 of Nov. last to shoot at Madalapat having
 passes given them by the Director of the
 Interior duly countersigned by you and
 the British Resident Agent, but the Police
 and the Sagan Superintendent of that
 station stopped them.

2 I asked the Supt to report what
 happened and whether the passes they had
 with them were countersigned by you.

3 The Supt reports in his Aji that the
 pass they produced was countersigned
 by you but that he demanded the duty
 due on the ammunition they had with
 them and on their refusal to pay it he
 declined to let them pass.

4 It appears from the enclosure of
 his Aji that you have already called on
 him to explain his conduct and he has
 replied to your orders fully explaining the
 whole matter. I therefore forward the
 original letter of these gentlemen and the
 Aji of the Supt for your decision.

5 It seems to me that duty should not

2 10 - 1 1 - 1.
then be demanded for ammunition
brought for sporting purposes by parties
coming from Pondicherry for a day's
shooting in British territory.

6 Please let me know your decision
on the point.

I have

Signed J. R. Weld

P.S. The Madalapur Sayer asks the question
in his letter No. 338. I enclose it also for
your orders. 28-11-81

(S. R. N. N.)

J. R. Weld
Sayer Collector

1888
82

Enclo. to the Sayer

C. R. Sayer - No 83

of the Sayer's letter

28-11-81

South Arcot

27
Sub Collector's Office
Tindivanam, 26 July 1888

From

Mr. R. Weld Esquire
Sayer Sub Collector, South Arcot

To

Mr. Cruckshank Esquire
Collector of South Arcot

Sir,

In reply to your endorsement
No 1912 dated the 12th Instant on
Revenue appeal petition (herewith
returned) presented by Venkata-
rama Sayer, late Sayer Superin-
tendent of the Madalapur Sayer
Station, I have the honor to report
as follows—

2. Mr. Stahlmann the
Customs Clerk at Pondicherry was
appointed on 3 months probation
as Assistant Inspector at the
Merkanam Salt Factory. In
filling up the temporary vacancy
so caused, I put in Durmalinga
Mogdelliar who had been acting
as Taluk Sheristadar of the Tindivanam
Taluk, and whose acting
appointment ceased on the day
when I received from Mr. Bliss
the order of Mr. Stahlmann's appoint-
ment.

3. I appointed Tirumalinga Muttaliar for Sundanangalam as he is senior by several years, to many in the Division and, as he, after acting as a Deputy Tahsildar, Sayer Superintendent, and Taluk Sheristadar of different Taluqs on several Taluqs on several occasions with credit, had to revert to his permanent post in the Tiruvannamalai Taluk and as it seemed hard that a man of nearly 25 years' service and with all examinations qualifications for the post of Tahsildar should have to revert to a 15 Rs post where turned out, there was an opportunity which enabled me to utilize his services to advantage.

4. I was not satisfied with the way in which the appellant did his work. His trade returns often were prepared with blunders and he was himself unpopular and quarrelsome before he came to Madalapur. (vide correspondence noted in the margin). His long reports against the Police were frequently a nuisance. In these circumstances I thought that his any longer in the Sayer

Collector's letter No. 95
10-7-1872
H. J. A. Col.
Collector's letter No. 63
5-7-1872

23
Sayer Department, would only interfere with the efficiency of the service. In his petition the Appellant says that he was willing to remain in the service even though the salary was reduced from 60 to 30 Rs. He did not intimate his intention to me as he was bound by his own interest to do, though he, as well as every other Official of the Sayer Department, had 3 months' clear notice that he will be turned out, and though several of the other Superintendents who really wished it did intimate their intention to me with success. The fact is that he did not wish to remain on Rs 30 but wished to get more, and I can reasonably presume that he purposely refrained from complaining until a 50 Rs place was vacant. Even then he was too late in applying for the post, as it was after all the appointments were made and people sent out. If he retire at once as decided by me he would be entitled to a pension of Rs 18 per mensem, but if he remained in service on Rs 30 his pension will

of course be seriously reduced. He can aspire to no better pay as he has not passed any of the Special Tests except the Criminal Lower Grade, which will give him no promotion whatever, and as he is getting old it is not likely that he will pass any more examinations after 22 years service. He passed his Criminal Test in 1869 or some 12 years ago since which he attempted to pass no examination. Thus he cannot with any amount of propriety assert that he has any better prospects in the service. In these circumstances I had no alternative but to make the appointment. I made, and trust that you will kindly see that I have done it in the interests of the Public Service.

The appellant contends that Govt have expressly directed that persons thrown out of employ in this reduction must be provided with posts at the next favorable opportunity. By para 10 of their order No 637 Revenue dated the 1st June 1882 the Government have said that an early opportunity of

of providing for thrown out persons should be taken only in cases of those "who may be found competent and deserving". My opinion is that the appellant is neither, and I would rather leave him to retire for the good of the Service

I have &c
/ Signed / M. R. Weld.
Ses. Sub. Collector
/ True copy.

H. R. R.
Collector

South Street 27
Quedahoc 10th July, 1872
To H. E. Sullivan Esquire
Agent in Charge of the Special
Agents Department
To S. R. Chamberlain Esquire
Agent & Assistant Collector
South Street.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge
receipt of your report dated 5th Inst. in
reference to the complaints made by certain
travelers of the undue detention they were sub-
jected to at the Sayer Stations of Kottacospun
and Valdaroon on the 8th May and the 18th June
respectively.

I entirely concur in the opinion which
finds expression in the 19th para. of your com-
munication under reply as to the necessity of
supporting all officials charged with the
unpopular duty of collecting Customs on the
frontier, but at the same time it is incumbent
on them to perform their functions promptly
and civilly and with as much regard to the
convenience of persons passing the barrier
as is consistent with the proper discharge

W. R. 1197

Remark

of their duty. Any attempt on their part to abuse the authority with which they are invested must be severely noticed.

3. In the Kollacodam case it appears from your report that a box or basket containing the breakfast of Mr. Bullard to his companion was brought to the gate at 12 O'clock noon and a pass for two bottles of Claret therein contained applied for. It further appears that this application was not complied with for three hours, although the duty of examining the basket and granting the pass might have been performed in as many minutes. The excuse offered for this unconsumable delay is that the Ameen was at his meals such an excuse is wholly inadmissible and the Ameen's neglect of duty calls for severer notice than a mere reprimand. He is fined Rs 5 and I beg you will intimate to him instance of neglect ought home to him he from his post.

Valderoon Officer.

I observe that the result of your inquiry is that the travellers in this instance among whom were ladies and children were detained during heavy rain for 1 1/2 or two hours. The Sayer Superintendent represented that he was suffering from boils and confined to his bed, so that he was unable to attend to his duties. His appearance, you observe fully corroborates this part of his statement. He asserts that he deputed his Yamastah to levy the duty and grant the necessary pass and that the latter returned in about 1/4 of an hour with the information that the matter had been disposed of. In opposition to this however, there is the admission of Head constable Welch that the travellers were detained for an hour.

3. I have no doubt that the Superintendent was ill as stated; but I cannot admit that he was thereby disinterested of his responsibility. If he was incapacitated for the performance of his duty he should have applied to be relieved. The principal delay appears to have occurred in the search of the pass for which you

31
remark the Police are to blame. But.
I must observe that the Police and every
Official at the Custom Station are under
the orders of the Superintendent and he is
responsible that they conduct the search
for Contraband articles with promptitude
and courtesy. At the Puelavoor gate it has
been shown that on the night in question
travellers were detained during inclement
weather for between one and two hours and
I have no reason to doubt the statements of
the Gentlemen who signed the complaint
that they were also wantonly insulted by the
Government Officials at the gate. For this
ill as well as long as he was on duty at the
gate I must hold the Superintendent
responsible and I therefore fine him
Rs 3. Your remark regarding the
Head Constable Welsh's conduct will
be communicated to the Superintendent of
Police in view to its being dealt with disciplinary.
mentally.

6 I take this opportunity of calling your
attention to my predecessor's letter dated 26th
October 1891 No 15 requesting your opinion
on the draft rules proposed by Mr. Lydell
for the guidance of Frontier officers. It
is high time that they were furnished with
a Manual of this sort. It should be
inculcated on them that promptitude is quite
compatible with a thorough performance
of their duty and firmness with courtesy
and civility.

I have seen
Signed

H. E. Sullivan

Ag. Collector Special Agent
[True Copy]

Amie R. L. Sullivan
Collector.

35
Cuyee
5-7-72

W-63

To The Collector of South And.
his

12. With regard to Valudaner affair
the Superintendent was confined
to his bed suffering severely from
boils and his emaciated appearance
fully bears out his statement that
he was unable to leave his house
to attend to the wants of travellers
and deputed his head from estate
Setharamiah to levy duty and
issue the necessary pass.

14. The Sayer Superintendent declares
that he sent the Wg and also Sec-
tharamiah at once and that the
latter returned in about a quarter
of an hour and informed him
that the matter had been disposed
of

P-1497

17. It is very probable that the heavy rain had something to do with the sluggishness of the Sayer officials and as the Superintendent states that the prison returned in 5 minutes with the key of the gate. It seems likely that the gate was locked and the key returned to the Superintendent before the travellers proposed to return but making some allowance for their circumstances I must record my opinion that the officials on duty and men especially I am inclined to think Mr. Constable Walsh did show a disregard for the convenience of the travellers, if not private rudeness towards them which is deserving of severe censure.

(Signed) G. K. Chamberlain
 By Subcollector
 (True Extract)

Spencer E. Brown
 Collector.

In A 1497

South Arch.

No. 113.

From

W. R. Weld Esquire

To

By Sub Collector of South Arch.
 Francis Shaw Esquire
 Collector of South Arch.

Enclosure
 returned

Sir. With reference to your letter of 17th dated 18th ultimo, I have the honor to refer you to my 3 letters noted in the margin in which I have given reasons at length for considering R. R. Kaka as unsuitable for further service. I will also invite your attention to the correspondence noted below:-
 From the W. Arch Collector No 83 dated 5th July 1892
 From the Collector No 95 dated 10th July 1892
 From the Subcollector No 263 dated 8th Decr 1889
 From the Special Agent No 396 dated 16th Decr 89.
 There is no appointment available for him in my division.
 2. In the concluding part of your letter under reply you ask me to offer my remarks on each of the points mentioned in the Petition of Kusebasamagga addressed to the Accountant General. My remarks are as follow:-

Subcollector's office
 Luidirauam
 26 October 1889.

1. No 83 dated 26th July 1892
 2. " " 85 dated 10th August 1892
 3. " " 263 dated 9th August 1889

Para 1, 2 & 3. The reduction of Establishment was general. Hence in deciding whom I would get rid of and whom I would retain, went by ^{the} fitness of all the employees without reference to any particular station or office.

Under Rule 2 of Section 100 of the Pension Code notice was served upon the petitioner 3 or 4 months before he was actually turned out of his post, that his services will be dispensed with, at the expiration of 3 months. He had reasonable time allowed and he did not put in any objection.

4, 5 & 6. In the retention or reduction of Establishment, Competency or incompetency of men already in the service was my guiding principle as I have reported to you in detail already.

In para 10 of their order No 637 dated 19 June 1882 (Revenue) the Government direct that an early opportunity of providing for thrown out persons should be taken only in cases of those who may be found competent and deserving and the Board of Revenue in para 24 of their proceedings dated

20 May 1882 No 1345 were of opinion that the Collectors should be instructed to provide employment when vacancies may occur to such of them as may be certified by their present superiors to be competent and deserving. As a reduction of this kind the saving has to be calculated not individually but on the whole, in which case the net monthly saving after deducting pensions recommended only in cases of 3 out of 34 men who formed the Sayer Establishment prior to reduction, amounts to Rs 298. even individually speaking there ~~was~~ was a saving of 30 Rs in the reduction of the pay of the office which was held by the petitioner. From this deducting his pension which is about 18 Rs, there is still left a net saving of Rs 12.

7, 8 & 9. It was essential in the interests of the public service, more especially when a department is constituted, to look to competency even at a sacrifice of a portion of the saving effected by the reduction.

Sham &c
[Signed] M. R. Will
[True copy] Asst. Secy.

A. R. Richard
Collector.



127233
12/2/33

To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Most respected Sir,

I beg most respectfully to request that you will be kind enough to peruse the following few lines and to pass such orders as you may deem necessary in connection with my Pension Application submitted to your Office by the Collector of South Arcot.

2 I beg to state that I was holding the Appointment of Superintendent on a monthly Salary of Rs. 60 in the Land Customs Station of Madalapur in the South Arcot District. My service under Government amounted to 10 years 5 months & 8 days as will be seen from the Service Book sent to your Office by the Collector along with my Pension Application. Owing to the reduction of Establishment effected in conformity with the orders of the Board and Government dated 20th May & 19th June 1882, I was discharged from the public service on the 1st July 1882 on the ground that my services are now wanted & that I am entitled to Compensation Pension.

3 The unjust action of the Sub Collector (who is in immediate charge of the Land Customs Department) in his dealing with my services without reference to the rules of Equity, and to the provisions of the Civil Pension Code was upheld by the Collector.

Service now as an attorney who was thrown out of employ from the Durbar Station which was done away with altogether and transferring me to the pension list whose post was not abolished from Madalapat Sayer which was retained are apparent loss to Government.

(8) That compensation pension is designed only to mitigate hardship earned by loss of office.


(9) And that the abolition of appointments did not produce a real saving to Government.

5 As the views of the Home and India Governments are so liberal in dealing with the cases of individuals discharged from the public service on a reduction of establishments and as there is no like likelihood of obtaining redress from the hands of local officers - here & as I am not in a position to know as to whom my grievances should be represented, I beg most respectfully to request that you will be good enough prior to the disposal of my pension application in your office and its submission to Government to take into your mature consideration the unjust transfer of my name to the pension list and the intent and purposes of the Resolutions of

of the Government of India embodied in rules & notes to Section 100 of the Civil Pension Code in regard to the grant of Compensation-pension and in dealing with the servants discharged from the public service in the reduction of establishments.

6th September 1882 } I beg to remain
Ladd alone } Most respected Sir

Your most obedient & humble servant
C. Vancatsamiah
Late Land Customs Superintendent
Madalapat South Arcot Dist.



To: The Dy Subcollector of
South Arcot.

Sir, I have the honor to request
you will be good enough to furnish
information on the following points
1. Venkatarawana Iyer in respect to the
2. Vythinaatha Iyer individuals
3. Subbarow. mentioned in the
4. Krishna Sami baidu margin who
5. Srinivasan Pillay were thrown out
6. Krishappa Iyer of employ in the
reduction of
Land Customs
Establishments,

the information being required for
being embodied in the applications
for pensions or gratuities under
preparation in this office.

1. For what reason it has been found
impossible to provide suitable em-
ployment to these men? See Rule 1 Sec-
tion 100 of the Civil Pension Code.
2. Particulars of the savings effected
by the abolition of the appointments. Rule 6.
2. An early reply is requested

I have &c
Signed M. Srinivasan
Collector
[True Copy]
M. Srinivasan
Collector

and truly dear. I do not think I can

Letter to Sub

no 86

From

Subfallukin office
Tudimani 47

12 August 1882

Mr. W. L. Squire

of Subfallukin of South India
Munichshank Squire
Collector of South India

Sir, In reply to your letter no 1404

This office letter dated 27 July last, I have the honor to
no 935 to the effect that from the marginally noted
27.7.82
Special of the Correspondence, you will observe that
letter to the Sub for the retention of Venkataramana Sqr
Collector no 320
10.2.87 in the public service is undesirable.

Subfallukin letter
no 243
8.7.2.87

1. Vytheematha Sqr is an old man and
is unable to write legibly as his hand
shakes so that he can scarcely hold
a pen. This renders him unfit for
further service.

2. Subba Row is one of the firmest
of old standing whose hand is also
shaky. He himself has willingly
applied for a pension.

3. Peau Sarnetassa Pillai is a very old
man who has become short sighted
especially at night and is quite unfit
for further service.

4. Peau Kustnappaiah is an obese
and sickly man. I do not think him

no 11497

no 11497

obtained by replacing the position of the person

fit for active service. I let him retire
on gratuity, as there is no vacancy
for him at present and no better men
have been retained.

6. There is no vacancy at present in
my division for Krista Sami's place,
so he was recommended for a
gratuity and he has already been
declared unfit to be retained in
the service. Vide my letter No. 888
dated 17 July 1882.

7. The monthly cost of the Lake Sayer
Establishment including the pay
of the Sayer clerk in my office was
Rs 664. After the recent reduction
of the establishment the monthly
cost is reduced to Rs 337, whereby
a monthly saving of Rs 327 is effected.

Have &c.
Signed / hi R. D. S. D.

By Sub Collector
True Copy /

[Signature]

Collector.

Dec 11/91

47

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.		Caste or Race.		Residence.		Name.		Residence.		Date of birth.		Age.		Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.		Date of Entry.		Signature of Officer.		Signature and designation of the head of the Office.	
D. K. S. D. S. D.		D. K. S. D. S. D.		D. K. S. D. S. D.		D. K. S. D. S. D.		D. K. S. D. S. D.		About the month of April 1840		34 5		5 2 1/2		A round scar on the left corner of the left eye brow between the eye brow & the eye lid. Complexion - fair.		30-9-74		<i>[Signature]</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
3 rd Clerk in the Inam Commission Deputy Collectors Office	Acting		15-	7-8-	1-1-61	<i>Public Bureau</i>
Under another Deputy Collector	Permanent		15-		10-5-61	
2 nd Clerk in the 4 th Division School Inspectors Office	De.		25-		9-3-63	
3 rd Clerk in the Head Assistant Collectors Office	De.		15-		1-2-66	
South & North Dist Land Customs Superintendent of Gundamangalam	Acting	3 rd Clerk Head Assistant Collectors Office	60-	60-	6-1-71	
De. De. De. De.	Permanent		50-		17-7-71	
Valdavar	Acting	Land Customs Dept of Valdavar	100-		27-12-72	
De. De. De. De. of Morattandy	Permanent		50-		4-1-73	
De. De. De. De. of Valdavar	Acting	Land Customs Dept of Valdavar	60-		10-5-73	
De. De. De. De. of Valdavar	Permanent		50-		30-5-73	

8 Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
This is to certify that Venealaramanick has been acting for several months in my office as a Gunastah and that he has given me every satisfaction. He is intelligent in business & well behaved & is a promising young public servant. He leaves my Office to accept a Gunastah's place offered him by another Deputy Collector of the Commission and I hope he will render himself particularly useful by his knowledge of English in addition to his qualifications as a vernacular Gunastah. Signed/ M. Sadasivartha Deputy Collector 1st May 1861. Inam Commission Chitambaram South & North Dist.	<i>Abmichand</i>	9-5-61	Promotion	
		8-3-63	De.	
		25-11-65	Resigns	
		1-2-66	Promotion	
		14-1-66	Promotion	
		14-1-66	Promotion	
		14-1-66	Promotion	
		14-1-66	Promotion	
		14-1-66	Promotion	
		14-1-66	Promotion	
		26-12-72	Promotion	
		3-1-73	Return	
		9-5-73	Promotion	
		29-5-73	Return	
		18-6-74	Promotion	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substan- tive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allow- ance.	6 Date of ap- point- ment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Land Custom Superintendent of Madalapat	Acting	Land Custom Superintendent of Valdavar	100		19-6-74	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	50		24-6-74	
Land Custom Superintendent of Madalapat	Acting	"	100	20	21-5-75	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	50		21-6-75	
Do do of Madalapat	Acting	"	100	20	26-7-75	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	50		15-11-75	
Do do of Madalapat	Acting	"	100		23-12-75	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	50		11-1-76	
Do do of Madalapat	Acting	"	100		6-2-76	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	50		16-2-76	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	60		26-5-76	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	50		2-7-76	
Do do of Kundamangalam	Acting	"	60	10	20-5-77	
Do do of Valdavar	Permanent	"	50		16-10-77	
Superintendent of Kundamangalam	Permanent	"	60		14-4-81	
Superintendent of Madalapat	Permanent	"	60		14-5-81	

This is to certify that
C. Vencatramaniam has
been a writer in my office
for the last 2 years and
that during that period
he acquitted himself
very creditably and
much to my satisfaction.
I consider him to be an
active, intelligent and
well behaved young man.
He leaves his present
post for promotion
in the Educational
Department.

Signed V. Rajagopala Charlu
Collector

In Commission

16th March 1863.

Gombaconam

C. Vencatramaniam
served in my office, as
second clerk for two and
a half years during
which time he gave me
every satisfaction by
his attention to business.

23-6-74 Return

20-5-75 Promotion

20-6-75 Return

28-7-75 Promotion

14-11-75 Return

22-12-75 Promotion

3-1-76 Return

5-2-76 Promotion

15-2-76 Return

25-5-76 Promotion

26-6-76 Return

19-8-77 Promotion

15-10-77 Return

13-1-81 Promotion

13-5-81 Transfer

1	2	3	4	5	6
	Nature, i.e., whether substan-	Substantive appointment			D

55

I found him intelligent,
& active & tract worthy.
He is well acquainted with
English, as well as Tamil
& Telugu & is thoroughly
versed in office routine.
He resigned his appoint-
ment in my office to
return to Fuddalore
where his private affairs
demanded his attention.

Signed Edwin Charles Caldwell

Inspector of Schools
1st Division

H. Venkatramanier
3rd Clerk in the Head
Assistant Collector's
Office, South Arcot,
is industrious and
careful in the
performance of his
duties. I hope he
will pass the General
Text Examination

for

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers:—

From the Collector of South Arcot d/ 15th Nov^r 82 N^o 373.

Accountant-General, dated 5th December 1882 N^o 364

ORDER THEREON, 20 December 1882 N^o 129

UNDER Sections 100 and 109(b) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to *Hallakurchi Rani Venkatarammiah* late Supdt of Madalapet Sayer, South Arcot Dist. a compensation or pension of Rupees (17-7-9) *seventeen annas seven paise* per annum payable at South Arcot from 1st July 1882 in consideration of his qualifying superior service of *sixteen* years, *four* months and *eight* days. 13/12/82

Applicant was thrown out in Customs reductions: G.O. 19th June 1882 no 637 says "Coll^r should provide for persons competent & deserving". The Sub Col^r reported the applicant was neither. (His letter no 26th July 1882): Petitioner prays to be restored by petition no 1500 - but the Sub collector gives good reasons for his action. It is acc^t Gen^l hitherto Gov^t consider the applicant removed for inefficiency, he will be entitled to nothing but it is clear that however inefficient he may be, he was in fact discharged

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

"Collector of South Arcot. He seems simply to be a 'bad bargain' from which it is best to get rid of. We can 12/12/82" *because of reductions. His submitted that his appl^t was abolished within the meaning of the code that justice to himself the public good will be best obtained by rejecting the petition & passing the pension.*

SERVICE BOOK

Name.	<i>Hallakurchi Rani Venkatarammiah</i>
Caste or Race.	<i>Delegu Brahmin</i>
Residence.	<i>Hallakurchi</i>
FATHER'S Name.	<i>Hallakurchi Subbarao</i>
FATHER'S Residence.	<i>Delegu</i>
Date of birth.	<i>About the month of April 1840</i>

In N^o 1497.

Vada Res: Petu No 737/1882.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

1497

Dated 20th December 1882

Despd. 21st —————

Reed.

G.O. 20th Dec/82 No. 1257

Granting a Compensation
pension of Rs 17-7-7 per
month to K. R. Venkata-
ramaniah late Supdt.,
Madalapet Taluk, S. Arcot.

The Hon: J. C. Sullivan

Desd

e 14/12
P. 18/12