

1. Number of pages. 52
2. Date of repair. 18-2-36
3. Initials of Referencer. 7.36

M.B.O. 1-2240-22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.

1155

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

28

11

1882

Not to be folded or roughly handled

28TH NOVEMBER 1882, No. 1155, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

Certificate and report of Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 30th September 1882, No. 744-A. R.
Official Memorandum, dated 7th October 1882, No. 83.
Letter from the Superintending Engineer, Second Circle, dated 8th November 1882, No. 813-N.L.

ORDER—dated 28th November 1882, No. 1155, Pension.

The applicant appears to have been entertained in the Department of Public Works in ignorance of the fact that he had previously, when serving in the Police, been convicted of criminal breach of trust and dismissed the service.

2. In these circumstances no retiring allowance will be passed to him.
3. The Government note with dissatisfaction that the character of the applicant was not specially brought to their notice.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Superintending Engineer, First Circle. 22/11/82
" Public Works Department.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

PENSION.

Recd.

Encl

G.O., 28th November 1882, No. 1155.




NOVEMBER.

Retiring Allowance.

Declining to grant any — to V. Anantha Bow, late Taluk Overseer's Writer, Guntur Division, and noting with dissatisfaction that the applicant's character was not specially brought to notice.

[illegible]

Supr. Correspondence Branch



SERVICE BOOK

Name. *V. Anantha Rao*
Caste or Race. *Madhava*
Residence. *Jeppur, Madras.*
FATHER'S
Name. *P. Subramanian*
Residence. *Jeppur, Madras.*
Date of birth. *5th April 1843*

In N. 1193.

11
Copy of letter from the Super-
intending Engineer 1st Circle, Madras to
the Examiner. P. W. Accounts Madras
No: 1380 dated 3rd September 1881.

With reference to your letter
No. 424 S. E. 13. dated 12th August 1881, I
have the honor to inform you, that
the Superintendent of Police Madras, state
that V Anantha Rao has put in no
qualifying service for pension in that
Department that he was entertained
as a Sub Inspector on the 25th March
1877, and was discharged on the 25th
June 1877; intimation having been
received from the Vizagapatam District
Superintendent that he had previously
been employed in that District force
and was dismissed after conviction
by a Magistrate of the offence of Criminal
breach of trust by a public servant.

(True copy)

G. S. S. S.

Supr. Correspondence Branch

1881/94

13
I hereby Certify that the entries
in my Service book which cannot
be verified from records in the Station
Division are to the best of my belief
correct, and my Service has been continuous
f/4/ U.S.R.

Signed in the presence of W. B.
McHinton. Offg. Executive Engineer
Western Division G. D.

4th April 1881.

(s) C. B. H. L. R.
2nd Eng. G. D.
16. 4. 81.

We Certify that from our personal
knowledge we believe that V. Anantha
Rao has been employed as Salary
writer on the permanent establish-
ment of the D.P.W. from December 1874
to 29th February 1880.

14/6/81 (Signed) Shennelorden
Superintendent

6/4/81 (Signed) S. Venkatasami
Deputy Superintendent

(True Copies)

G. P. Station

Sup. Correspondence Branch

L. P. 1198



15
 L. B. Pearson Esq
 By continue Inpr mchaps keller
 Street Rameswari
 Stallow

Received by me in the 18th May 1882.
 Honors No 131 dated 24th March 1882. They state as follows:
 "I have not been included as a 1st Class Constable or writer in the office of Asst. Supt. of Police Parvathipur Vijayapattanam District in the 23rd April 1863, thence I was at once promoted to the same office Head Constable in 1864, and after wards to the 6th Class Police Inspector's ship in 1867, from which I was reduced to Head Constable for not passing the Inspector's Test in 1869. When some East Indian Inspectors were in charge of Dabigo, I was posted to the Sub-Div. Police Office as superior for the correspondence, and that creates a great cruelty to their friends and forms an idea that they shall push me down on any occasion. During course of time, a new officer named Capt. Plowden was appointed our Asst. Supt. of Police, when some of the out door Inspectors caught opportunity & investigated an elephant's crook betwixt the he had not received pay for some previous month, and also excited some wood cutters who built Police station houses under my Commission when I was in the Parvathipur Sahib's Commission. They state that they have not received a portion of the 1st 12-6. The former Court was for Rob. and the latter for Rs. 6-12-6. The Asst. Supt. being quite bewildered in every way by the above mentioned and Indian Inspectors on presumption that the will tell but the truth, prosecuted the case, without making preliminary inquiry, before the Deputy Asst. Magistrate Vijayapattanam, who dismissed the wood cutters case for 2nd Court, having for the 1st Court proceeded again."

L. N. 1143

which Capt & the Inspectors of Police who presented
the case & gave them evidence in the case; but in
the 2^d one, the Asst. Supt. having complained much
that it would be more disgraceful for him if the
cases are decided against him & that, if they are
so settled, I would bring a damage complaint
& cause to claim him a great loss. Hereafter
the Senior Asst. Magistrate did not repeat for
his request & thereby awarded me with a fine
of 40 Rs. within his limits, under which there
is no appeal according to the Criminal Procedure
Code. Mr. John Lee Warner the late & above
said Senior Asst. Magistrate, who has thus decided the
cases, is now appointed collector & Magistrate of
Bellary District, so if you are able to write to him
he would kindly explain the strength of my position
and I am sure by that Asst. Supt. of Police. I therefore
was obliged to be quiet without making an appeal.
I am while the Asst. Supt. of Police Capt. Plender
sent in a Petition to the Asst. Magistrate for
my discharge from service, being fined
in a case, while there are several Police Officers
kept in the force though they are all under
punishment by the Magistrate. Even now, there
are many more men, who are fined & do not
under such complaints in the Asst. Service
Capt. I saw then the Asst. Supt. of Police said
he could not help me. I requested him a discharge
Certificate at last & it was not also granted thinking
that I shall make an appeal before the Asst. Magistrate
for my post. But I sent in a petition to the Asst. Magistrate
General with all my complaints, and he has
approved it that, without a discharge Certificate
from the District Officer, no notice can be taken.
Afterwards I requested the Asst. Supt. again and he has
not directly replied it, but through his Head
Quarter's Asst. Magistrate said the Asst. Supt.

is not inclined to give me one repeating a
discharge Certificate. This is the injustice
done to me in the Police Corps of Vizagapatnam.
Had I proceeded up to Madras then & there, I
would have got justice & returned to my appoint-
ment without any doubt, but owing to some
domestic circumstances & ill health my
brief time did not permit me to do so.
The limitation to make an appeal was then
expired. I had represented all these cir-
cumstances to Lieut. Campbell U.S. Asst.
Supt. & then Range Officer of Raupatta, Pithua,
who has favorably entertained me in the
D.P.W. in 1872 as a private over the surveying
furthest. By this influence, I gained the
favor of all the gentlemen of the D.P.W. and
got a permanent footing in the dept. as a
one-time writer by Major Hester Rb. Since
I entered the D.P.W. in 1872, till the 29th Feb 1880
from which I was reduced under orders of Govt.
I discharged two duties entrusted, with perfect
conduct towards every superior officer for the
time being, and already submitted copies
of testimonials for your honor's perusal.
I herein enclose the Copies of my appa-
petition to the Asst. Magistrate, his honor's
merit, my letter to the District Supt. Vizag, the
Quarter Inspector's reply for your kind
information.

In Conclusion I most sincerely
your honor & send all my papers for the
gratuity, which you were good enough to
intend for recommending for, as if my conduct
is bad, I would not satisfy superiors for 8 years
or up wards in the D.P.W. For which act of honor
I shall be, as in duty, bound ever to

The Honorable
 Most Honorable
 Governor of the
 Province of Madras
 Late Acting Governor
 Sir W. R. K.

Madras
 25th May
 1882

The Inspector General of Madras Police
 Fort St. George, Madras.
 Most Honored Sir, I most humbly beg to bring the following
 circumstances regarding the injustice done to me in the Police
 Force, trusting that they may be brought before your kind consideration.
 ever since I entered the Police as any sort of charge
 against me, nor has I called by any Court even for my
 evidence during the last 3 years. (from 1878 to 1880.)
 By the instigation of Inspector Subbiah who has taken
 me from "Parrot" for "Stable" the present & former were changed, of
 which the former was entirely found to be false & dismissed, but
 in the latter the Senior Magistrate found me guilty (including
 the expenses of the Comptroller) making a proceeding that though
 the Comptroller believed me guilty of the whole offence, yet there
 is suspicion in the case as there is no receipt in the file.
 I am the state in the witnesses differ from each other.
 On which the case is in the Police Capt. P. Snowden who is a person
 me by himself in the Police of Inspector Subbiah & but I am prepared to
 interesting to get rid of me from the Service on any pretext
 sent a discharge certificate or memo to the District Capt. for
 having been found in a Criminal case by the Senior Magistrate
 may be which I was sorry up to this only for my late release
 from Inspector's grade in no offence, but non-passing the test
 in 3 years. I therefore most respectfully pray that your
 honor will kindly reverse the decision of the Senior Magistrate
 in the District & also Circular order No 276 of 1880 in P.W.C.
 that the Inspector General to the effect that the men found guilty
 the Magistrate cannot be turned out of the Department
 and also call for the District Officer's order of about
 my discharge from Service (which is not granted me)
 although I have appeared to the District of Police & made
 a perfect enquiry into my poor case & heard of from
 both your most noble order letter effect that I may
 be reinstated in my Police Office. Or in case if there
 be any objection to my being reinstated at least my
 qualification from Service for which act of financial
 shall be considered as a favor. I am, Sir, very respectfully,
 Yours, Sir,

29 JUN 32

Petition 179 of 1870 Received 12th Sept 1870.

Endorsement no 5666

Returned to V. Ananthadoss late Head Constable of Police attached to Paranthpur Sub Div Office Vizagapatnam with reference to Police Order no 246 of 23rd April 1862, Copy attached.

Chief Office of District Court. } (Sd) W. W. Carr Major
of Police Madras 12th Sept 1870 } Asst Inspector General of Police

Extract from Police Order no 246 dated 23rd April 1862.

The Appeals of all Officers below the grade of Inspectors from the decisions of District Officers shall be made, & shall be disposed of by, Deputy Inspector General. Petitions of appeal, will not be received in the Central Office without a Copy of a Deputy Inspector General's order. District Officers will have the power to give to the person affected by it a Copy of the District Order affecting him and in addition of the force against which he may wish to appeal.

(Sd) W. W. Robinson
Inspector General of Madras Police

The District Court of Hillsborough, Ranpatta Kistna 22nd Oct 1870.
Most Honorable Madras

In obedience to your Honor's order of 5th Oct 1870 I most humbly beg to inform that I submitted to send my Appeal petition alone direct by your Honor as I sent another to the Dy. Commr Hillsborough Range & a Common petition to the Dy. Commr without their replies, & as I got no District Order from the Dy. Commr after I have been appealed, I wrote Police Order no 246 of 23rd April 1862. which does that I may be able to attach my petition. I therefore most earnestly pray your Honor being kind to favor for both poor & rich to send out the Prisoners, with the good enough to call for explanation from the officers, make a full enquiry & bestow justice on the prisoners who are kept abandoned & are miserable for years which they are devoted to the State who are being myself tried by the Magistrate. For the sake of the poor & the State.

S. Major W. M. Fraser Bellone 28th May 1871.
Most Honorable Superintendent of Police Vizagapatnam

I, Vinjannay Ananthadoss late Head Constable of Police Paranthpur Sub Div Vizagapatnam, most respectfully beg to lay the following subject before your Honor for favorable consideration.

I beg to be excused for intruding in your valuable time with the enlargement of my petition by repeating (for your Honor's recollection) that 2 cases had been brought against me which I was at Paranthpur through the instigation of Mr. Subbiah Pillai and of which the 1st has been dismissed as false & in the 2nd one the Senior Asst Magr Vizagapatnam fined me 25 Rs by excluding 15 Rs for which charge was made to pay the 10 plants & servants, and that after the decision by the P. Warden, I sent a charge memo for me, upon which I solicited your Honor to accept my resignation as it was in your Honor to accept my resignation being fined by the Magr to his mind me for simply being fined by the Magr, your Honor being full aware of the facts, but that my great misfortune, I had, on some domestic matters, been to Ranpatta, Kistna, and area after my arrival, I had sent 2 or 3 letters registered requesting an order of acceptance of resignation, but I am to state that I got no reply for even one of them.


Had I remained longer in the same District I would have got not only my post back, but a promotion through your Honor's favor.

Now I have to produce a Certificate for my previous Conduct of the Police Service in Vizagapatnam. As I have got a chance of getting a livelihood though in a different District, I pray your Honor to afford a calm & patient ear to the circumstances laid open & effect to grant a Certificate regarding my Conduct of Police Service except the only case, which is brought by some intrigues. For lack of kindness I shall be as in duty bound ever to

I beg to remain Sir

To Mr. R. D. S.

V. Anantha Rao Pantulu for
Ilesse



The Superintendent has received your letter requesting him for testimonial of your character and he thinks that his Certificate will be no consequence to you and he does not therefore feel inclined to give one a discharge certificate, which if approved will be given.

Vijayapalan 2
7 June 1877

By order
Of the District
Magistrate

(True Copy)

25 May 1882

M. Anantha Rao

23

Copy of letter from the
Superintending Engineer 2nd circle
to the Commr. P. W. Accounts Madras
No. 325 N.L. dated 24th June 1882

With reference to your letter
No. 60 dated 10th May last, and in
continuation of my letter No. 208 of
the 26th Idem, I have the honor to
forward herewith an original letter
from V. Anantha Rao, late Overseer's
writer, relative to his period of service
in the Police Department.

(True Copy)

M. Anantha Rao
Superintendent Branch
25/9

Ln N° 1193

25

Ln N° 1193

Madras Police.

Nannu Vinayam coorinbantalan Vengalpetam District. No. 250.

27

Enlistment				Admission into the force															Promotion					
Date of Enlistment Clap.	Period of Probation			Why & by whom recommended for admission into the force	Date of admission into the force	Rank of Clap	Inspector General Command of District	Period	Height		Caste or tribe	Birth place	Who certified Character	Qualification in					Remarks General Remarks	Date of promotion	To what rank	Why & by whom recommended		
	Year	Month	Days						Feet	Inches				Reading	Writing	Arithmetic	Vidya	General Education						
					1863 March 25	1 st CC			17	5	5	Brachmin	Mellone		Can read and write Telugu & English					Fit	1864 Sept 1	1 st CC	Super of 6 th	
																					1865 Sept 1	1 st CC	Do	
																					1869 June 1	6 Clap Inspector	Do	

Leave of absence & Character.

Good conduct				Leave of absence				Misconduct			
Date	Instance	Reward by whom recommended	Date	Period			For what purpose & by whom granted	Date	Nature of offence	Punishment	By whom ordered
				Year	Month	Day					
								1864 December	For gross neglect of duty in keeping no account of the stationery in his charge & opening an official letter addressed to the Asst. Supt. to find out its contents.	Reduced to Deputy Comm.	Asst. Supt. of Police
								1870 April 13	Inspector General of Police having declined to sanction the extension of probation.	Reduced to 1st Constable	
								1870 August 1	Under section 409 D.P.C. criminal breach of trust from misappropriation of money & fines to 40%.	Discharged the service.	

Office of the Supt. of Police
Vengalpetam 26 July/82

Defaulter none.

True copy
J. S. S. S.
Supt. of Police

(24) C. Harris, Major
Supt. of Police

29
Copy of letter from the
Superintendent of Police Vizagapatnam
to the Examiner. P. W. Accounts Madras
No. 164 dated 25th July 1882.

In compliance with your
letter No. 33 B.O. dated 13th July 1882
I have the honor to furnish you with
a Statement, verified from my office
records, showing the Police Services of
late Head Constable Vinjamoori Anantha
Rao, who was dismissed from the Force
from 1st August 1870 having been convicted
of Criminal Breach of Trust Section
409 Indian Penal Code.

(True Copy).

M. K. S. S.
Luph. Correspondence Branch
27.
309

History of the Service (showing interruptions) of V. Anantha Rao, Esq. M.A.

Born on the 5th Sept 1847.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Examiner, P. W. Accounts.
		Rs.	Rs.			M.D.	Y.M.D.			
12 at Hyderabad.	Secretary to the Government.	15		27 Dec 74	20 Jan 75	64		Transfer from the Audit Register of this office and found correct.		
13 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		1 Feb 75	20 Jan 77	1623		The applicant was granted leave without allowance for 8 months from 20 April 1877 to take up an appointment in the Police Department but his services in that Department were not retained for persons given in Superintendent's Enquiry Letter No 1300 dated 3 April 1877.		
14 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		23 Feb 77	19 April 77	127		Leave to take up another appointment in the Police Department.		
15 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		20 April 77	20 July 77	34		Return to Police Department.		
16 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		20 July 77	20 Sept 77	27		Appointment in the Police Department.		
17 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		20 Sept 77	29 Oct 77	25		Appointment in the Police Department.		
18 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		Copy attached. The date mentioned in the letter are incorrect as from the Audit Register of this office it is clear that the three months leave without allowance was obtained from 20 April 1877 vide also entry under History of Service in 2 pages of application.		
19 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		The applicant's services in the Police Department have not been taken into account as he was dismissed from that service for misconduct vide Section 97 Civil Service Code.		
20 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		The delay in the submission of the application is due to dilatoriness on the part of the applicant in replying to letters addressed to him on the subject of his service vide G.O. Police Department No 538 dated 21 Aug 77.		
21 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		The applicant's services in P.W. Department were dispensed with on the afternoon of 29 February 1880 in consequence of the general reduction of establishment ordered by Government.		
22 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		The following papers accompany the application:		
23 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		1 Service Book.		
24 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		1 Copy of Enquiry Enquiry Letter No 1300 of 20 April 1877 with copy of Enquiry Enquiry.		
25 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		1 Perquisite letter from the applicant to the Executive Engineer, Madras Division with copy of Enquiry Enquiry Letter No 3254 dated 24 June 1880 forwarding the same.		
26 at Hyderabad.	Under Secretary.	15		29 Oct 77	29 Oct 77	0		1 Copy of establishment of services in the Police Department with copy of the permit issued by the Government of Madras, Hyderabad dated 16 July 1882 forwarding the same.		

J. P. Candour

Examiner of Accounts.

20/9

(Contd.)

• In the Public Works Department, periods of service should be verified by the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Section 84, Rule 2. If the service claimed cannot be wholly verified from the records of the Accounts Office, references should be made to the Head of the Office in which the Applicant states that he served during the period in doubt, Section 84, Rule 4; and if verification from this source also be not practicable, the applicant must furnish an affidavit, signed by him, in support of his claim, Section 84, Rule 5. If the latter course be adopted the authority receiving the application must have the opinion of contemporary employees, and the evidence of contemporary employees, The affidavit of the applicant and evidence of contemporary employees should contain information on the following points:—
(a) whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.
(b) whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

The Final Pension Application should not be submitted until the applicant is finally relieved when it should be accompanied by the Last Pay Certificate prescribed in Section 85, C. P. Code.

Appears the good

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (See Rule 6 under Section 84.)

Service Established and should be admitted.

Hawthrendyast
 Superintendent Engineer of the
 L^t Cal. R.C.
 B. Henderson
 Expert Engineer
 Signature.

Signature.

• If the Applicant has received any gratuity or pension, here state the amount of gratuity or pension granted, and whether on re-employment the gratuity has or has not been refunded and the pension ceased to be drawn.

ceased to be drawn.

† If the applicant is recommended for a pension or gratuity as compensation for abolition of Office, state here for what reasons it was found impossible to provide other suitable employment for the applicant and whether by the abolition of the appointment, a real saving to Government, in respect to the cost of the abolished appointment, has been produced. *Vide* Rules 1 and 6, Section 59 of the Civil Pension Code.

Certificate and Report of Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras.

No. 744 $\frac{A}{R}$

4 (four) years 10 (ten) months 2 (two) days; and that a Compensation gratuity not exceeding Rs. 60 (sixty) equal to 2 months' emoluments at Rs. 15 per mensem is admissible under Sections 10 & 109 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The Compensation gratuity if sanctioned is chargeable to General Revenue.

With reference to Section 130 Rule 4 attention is invited to Section 68 of the Civil Pension Code.

Attention is solicited to Section 22

By order
30 September 1909

J. P. Curson
Examiner Accounts

Rs. 304

Rs. 29.7

1882 kept
APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR
GRATUITY.




No. 141 Dated 16 April 1881

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer,
Circle.

W. Henderson
Executive Engineer.

Date of application 15 April 81



Last appointment *Sub Writer*

Class of pension or
gratuity *Compensation*

Amount of pension
sanctioned

Amount of gratuity
sanctioned

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction

No. Dated 18

Transmitted to the Examiner, P. W. A.,
Madras, for verification.

Supg. Engineer, Circle.

No. 434 Dated 30 September 1882
2 Oct.

Forwarded to the Secretary to Government,
Pension Department, through the Accountant-
General, Madras.

D. P. Rao

Examiner, P. W. A.,
Ct. Madras.

Encs. 7

No. Dated 18

Transmitted to the Secretary to Govern-
ment, Pension Department.

Accountant General,
Madras.

With Order 1155.

19/4/81

A. 620. 48 District Police Officer, Madras,
dated 25th August 1881,
From Enclome at
The Superintendent of Police, Madras.

The Superintending Engineer
1st Circle, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you
in reply to your letter A. 1198 dated 18th August
that T. Anantharow has put in no qualifying
Service for pension in this District.

2. He was entertained as a Sub Inspector
on the 25th March 1877 and discharged on the
25th June 1877 intimation having been received
from the Vizagapatam District Superintendent
that he had previously been employed in that
District force and dismissed after conviction
by Magistrate of an offence falling under
Sec. 409 I.P.C. (Criminal breach of trust
by a public servant).

I have re
Signed (W. J. L. Sullivan)
Superintendent of Police
True Copy.
J. W. Russell
Superintending Engineer II Circle

1st. ...
 2nd. ...
 3rd. ...
 4th. ...
 5th. ...
 6th. ...
 7th. ...
 8th. ...
 9th. ...
 10th. ...
 11th. ...
 12th. ...
 13th. ...
 14th. ...
 15th. ...
 16th. ...
 17th. ...
 18th. ...
 19th. ...
 20th. ...
 21st. ...
 22nd. ...
 23rd. ...
 24th. ...
 25th. ...
 26th. ...
 27th. ...
 28th. ...
 29th. ...
 30th. ...
 31st. ...
 32nd. ...
 33rd. ...
 34th. ...
 35th. ...
 36th. ...
 37th. ...
 38th. ...
 39th. ...
 40th. ...
 41st. ...
 42nd. ...
 43rd. ...
 44th. ...
 45th. ...
 46th. ...
 47th. ...
 48th. ...
 49th. ...
 50th. ...
 51st. ...
 52nd. ...
 53rd. ...
 54th. ...
 55th. ...
 56th. ...
 57th. ...
 58th. ...
 59th. ...
 60th. ...
 61st. ...
 62nd. ...
 63rd. ...
 64th. ...
 65th. ...
 66th. ...
 67th. ...
 68th. ...
 69th. ...
 70th. ...
 71st. ...
 72nd. ...
 73rd. ...
 74th. ...
 75th. ...
 76th. ...
 77th. ...
 78th. ...
 79th. ...
 80th. ...
 81st. ...
 82nd. ...
 83rd. ...
 84th. ...
 85th. ...
 86th. ...
 87th. ...
 88th. ...
 89th. ...
 90th. ...
 91st. ...
 92nd. ...
 93rd. ...
 94th. ...
 95th. ...
 96th. ...
 97th. ...
 98th. ...
 99th. ...
 100th. ...

No 813 N.L. 3P
 Superintending Engineer's Office,
 2nd Circle, Camp Begumada
 8th November 1882

From
 The Superintending Engineer
 2nd Circle
 To
 The Secretary to Government
 Pension Department
 Madras.

Sir,
 With reference to your Official
 Memorandum No. 83: dated 7th October
 last, addressed to the Superintending Engineer,
 1st Circle, by ^(my letter) mistake, and received by
 me from the latter Officer only today, I
 have the honor to inform you that, the
 fact of his having been dismissed in the
 Police Department in the Vizagapatnam District
 was not (I conclude) known to the Executive
 Engineer Guiter Division, when giving him
 an Overseer's writer's place in the Depart-
 ment, please see Copy of letter from the
 Superintendent of Police, Nellore District
 dated 25th August 1881 No 628 (herewith)
 i.e., long after his having been thrown out.

* 7. Annantharow

Dtd-14/9/82

of the Department in the reduction of 1879.
Neither was the fact of the Superintendent
of Police having reported that, this man
had been convicted of criminal breach
of trust known to me personally, for
although the letter, of which a copy is
herewith forwarded, was transferred with
other papers from the late 1st Circle, it
was not specially brought to my notice.
Had it been, I should have declined to forward
the gratuity application.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servant.

J. W. Randall
Superintending Engineer,
2nd Circle.

how did the
Examiner
notice the
fact in his
report on
the case.

22/11

Comptroller

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

16th Feb

45

Read letter of 16th Feb 1879

to the effect that the man

had been convicted of criminal

breach of trust

and that the Superintendent

of Police had reported that

the man had been convicted

of criminal breach of trust

and that the Superintendent

of Police had reported that

the man had been convicted

of criminal breach of trust

and that the Superintendent

of Police had reported that

the man had been convicted

Read the following papers:-
 1. Read Certificate Report of Ex-
 D.P.O. ^{accounts} no 744 $\frac{A}{2}$ dated 30th Sep 82
 2. also O.M. no 88 of 7th Oct 82
 3. also letter from the Supl^s Engineer
 2nd circle no 113 of 8th Nov 82.

Order ~~the~~ 28th Nov/82 A^o 1155

The applicant appears to have been entertained in the Dept. of Public Works in ignorance of the fact that he had previously, when serving in the Police, been convicted of Criminal Breach of Trust & dismissed from the service.

2. In these circumstances no retiring allowance will be passed to him.

3. The Govt note with dissatisfaction that the previous character of the applicant was not specially brought before the notice.

~~Supl^s Engineer or the Secretary of P.W. Dept.~~

Referred to 12-82
 The usual reference to ser. 65 is mentioned in the Examiner but as he is bound by the Code to make that reference in all cases it has no significance. It is submitted the Ex^l was bound to the fact that it was on his record.

Pension (work) Dept?

No 1409
 From

The Supg. Engineer,
 2nd Circle

The Secretary to Govt.
 Pension Dept.
 Madras

Dated 8th
 Dec 11th
 Recd 20th } November 1882

No 813.

Vijayamuri Anantaram

Inform that if the facts within mentioned, had been known to him, he would have declined to send the gratuity Application for—

with an order.

52

1193

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers:-

From the Superintendent Engineer 1st Circle dated 15th April 1881
 Controller of Military Accounts, dated 30th September 1882 No 4342

an officer to be eligible for any employment under Govt. & the means of securing him he got the employment is on him. But if R.W. can tell us well & good

ORDER THEREON,

Besides his service in the P.W. the applicant was employed from 1864 - 1870 in the Police. He is dismissed for as he was convicted of Criminal Breach of Trust as a Public Servant. He is presumed as he got re-substantiated in Govt. service, he must have what is due for his P.W. service but it is very unsatisfactory that Govt. should have to give Rs. 60 to an ex-convict from another department. To the Controller of Military Accounts, with Register.

Under Sections 100 and 109/a of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to V. Anantha Ram Sate ^{Government's} Writer Gunther Wansin. a Compensation gratuity of Rupees (60) - Sixty equal to four months pay payable at Balungi Godang District in consideration of his qualifying service of four years, ten months and one day.

6/10/82.
 Delay due to the fact that the applicant would not answer letters.

G.C. 24 5394/21-6-82.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.
PENSION.

To

THE CONTROLLER OF MILITARY ACCOUNTS,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Despd.

Recd.

G.O.,

Granting a Compensation
gratuity of Rs 60 to S.
Srinatha Rao late
Taluk Writer Guntoor
Division -

Dec 1/02
List?

2P
with reference to Pension application
submitted on behalf of V. Anantha
Rao, late Taluk writer, Guntoor
Division, the Superintending Engineer
C. Circle is requested to report whether
when his means antecedents were
known when he was entertained
in the Dept. of Public Works. It
appears he was convicted of Criminal
breach of trust as a public servant
& dismissed from the Police & consequently

As far as I can see - The point
comes to this. If applicant
was employed by P.W.D. with
full knowledge of his means
previous history in the Police
Dept - Then he ought to get his
Rs 60 - But if this history was
not known to or concealed from
the P.W.D. then he ought to get
nothing. Prima facie a
convicted & executed

7/10/02.

Govt. of (1882) Madras.

To
Commissioner
The Examiner
of Accounts.

Proceedings, dated *28 November* 1882.

No. *1155-*

1807-6-12-11
 Subject.

*Declining to grant any
 retiring allowance to
 Anantha Row late Salug
 Overseer's Writer, Quarter
 Division, and noting
 with dissatisfaction
 that the applicant's
 character was not spe-
 cially brought to notice.*