M.F.O. 1—77,006—8-8-37.

(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 951 ~~Press~~ Mis.

Dated 29-9-1881

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

22nd September 1881, No. 951.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers :—

From the District Judge of South Canara, dated 27th September 1880.
From the Accountant-General, dated 23rd December 1880, No. 1416-A.D.J.

No. 17.

Official Memorandum.

In returning the application of Shek Hamid, late Amin, South Canara, the undersigned is directed to inquire whether in the opinion of the Accountant-General there is any objection to the applicant being granted a gratuity for his combined inferior and superior service.

(By order.)

Fort St. George,
9th February 1881.

(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 13th August 1881, No. 234-I.A.E.

With reference to Mr. Under Secretary Campbell's Memorandum, No. 17, dated the 9th of February 1881, inquiring whether in my opinion there is any objection to Shek Hamid, late an Amin on the Process Service Establishment of the Bantvál Munsif's Court, being granted a gratuity for his combined inferior and superior service, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of this office reference to the District Judge, South Canara, and his reply in original, from which it will be seen that, although Shek Hamid served on a permanent establishment in an appointment in inferior grade for a period extending over 6½ years, viz., from 18th March 1854 to 31st October 1860, yet this service cannot be regarded as pensionable, because at the end of it he voluntarily resigned his employment and took service in a non-qualifying appointment—*vide* Clause (*e*), Section 96, of the Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition.

2. Under these circumstances the applicant would seem to be entitled to no more than the gratuity of Rs. 14-6-4 recommended in this office report, No. 1416-A.D.J., dated the 23rd December 1880.

3. The delay in the disposal of the reference is due to a reference having been made to the District Judge, whose reply was received in this office only on the 5th August 1881.

Enclosure No. 1.

From H. F. CLOGSTOUN, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the District Judge of South Canara, dated Fort St. George, 22nd February 1881, No. 701-A.D.K.

With reference to your application for gratuity, dated the 27th September 1880, on behalf of Shek Hamid, late Process Server in the Bantvál Munsif's Court, I have the honor to state that Government have called upon this office to give its opinion as to whether there is any objection to Shek Hamid being granted a gratuity for his combined inferior and superior service, and to request therefore that his services *prior to* 16th August 1873, as detailed in the accompanying application (to be returned) may be verified and the result reported to me together with the information as to whether his transfer from a pensionable to a non-pensionable appointment in which he served from September 1860 to 15th August 1873 was made under a competent authority, or whether the applicant voluntarily resigned the permanent appointment. If the former, I shall feel obliged by your furnishing me with a copy of such authority.

2. The favor of an early reply to this reference is solicited.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

From J. W. BEST, Esq., District Judge of South Canara, to the Accountant-General, dated Mangalore, 30th July 1881, No. 539.

With reference to your letter* of the 22nd February last, No. 701-A.D.K., I have the honor to state that the services of late Amin Shek Hamid therein referred to, from 18th March 1854† to 13th September 1860, have been duly *verified on reference to the office copies of pay* bills and the acquittance rolls of the Mulki Munsif's Court and also the Diary of the District Court. The service in Kap Munsif's Court (to which Court the applicant was transferred on a lower pay *at his own request*) from 14th September to 31st October 1860 could not be fully verified, the necessary acquittance rolls, &c., being reported to be not forthcoming. An affidavit has been taken from the applicant in support of this service, translation of which is attached. The service is borne out by the diary of the Kap Munsif's Court, which has been referred to. Further evidence is not easily procurable. There can, I think, be no doubt that the applicant was borne on the establishment of the said Munsif's Court during the period referred to.

* The enclosure thereof is herewith returned.

† Service prior to 1854 was non-qualifying.

2. It appears that on the 1st November 1860 the applicant resigned his post of gumasta in Kap Munsif's Court on the ground that "he felt his pay insufficient for his subsistence," apparently with a view to entering the batta establishment (in which he might have expected to earn more than the pay, Rs. 5). His transfer to this non-qualifying establishment was thus the result of a *voluntary resignation.* The exact date of this transfer is still unascertained, but it seems *needless to ascertain it.*

3. A few alterations and additions found necessary on the present verification have been made in the application in red ink.

4. *A translation of the Civil Court's circular order accounting for a short break, during* which the applicant was out of employ, in May 1856 owing to a revision of Munsifs' Courts' establishments by the then Civil Judge, Mr. G. A. Harris, is also attached.

ORDER THEREON, 22nd September 1881, No. 951.

Under Sections 106 and 109 (*a*) and the proviso of Section 111 of the Civil Pension Code, 5th Edition, the Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Shek Hamid, late Amin (*Process Server*) *in the* Bantvál Munsif's Court, South Canara, a superannuation gratuity of Rs. (14-6-4) fourteen, Annas six, and Pies four, equal to six months' pay multiplied by the fraction $\frac{6}{30}$ths, payable at Mangalore, in consideration of his qualifying *superior service of six years, two months,* and five days.

(True Extract.)

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
,, District Judge of South Canara,
,, Collector of South Canara.

Exd. J. W. Fischer.

Govt. of [1881] Madras.

PENSION.

To

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated September, 22nd.

Issued

Recd.

G.O., 22nd September 1881, No. 851.

SEPTEMBER.

Gratuity.—Granting a superannuation gratuity of Rs. 14-6-4 to Shek Hamid, late Amin (Process Server) in the Bantval Munsif's Court, South Canara.

Shek Hameed is an excellent [illegible]
of this Court. He bears a very good
character & very [illegible] in general [illegible]
He is honest and trustworthy —

[illegible]

[illegible] Court
31 March 1874

Circular Order. No 41.

To all Munsiffs.

A change having been made in the Establishment of the Munsiffs' Courts, a list of revised Establishment of your Court is herewith sent. The salary should henceforth be drawn according to it.

Of the Peons that are to be appointed as per this list the abolished Dafadar shall be one, and for the other posts of Peons, those who are competent among the present Peons must be recommended.

The Peons who are thrown out of employ owing to this change may be provided for on the Batta Establishment. If the amount of Batta be found to be insufficient in consequence of this addition to the strength of Batta Establishment, the Peons last appointed should be thrown out of employ.

The present Amin should be thrown out and provision should be made for him among the Batta Peons. He may be employed as an Amin under the Regulations when found necessary. And if the Amin is fit for a Gumastaship then he may be recommended for that post.

List of Establishment

1	Gumasta	13	..	..
2	Ditto	11	..	..
3	Ditto	9	..	..
4	Ditto	6	..	..
5	Ditto	5	..	..
6	Ditto	5	..	..
7	Ditto	5	..	..
8	Ditto	4	8	..
2	Peons at 4½ Rs each	9	..	..
1	Massalji	2	8	..
	Total	70	..	..

Dated 13th May 1856.

(Signed) G. A. Harris
Civil Judge

(True Translation)
J W Best

Affidavit

Before the District Court of South Canara, I, Shek Hamid, late Amin of the Bantwal District Munsifs' Court now residing in the Town of Mangalore, do solemnly declare as follows:

It having been brought to the notice of this Court that the Pay bills &c showing my service in the late Kaip Mfs' Court from 14th September to 31st October 1860 are not forth coming, I affirm that during the said period I was employed as 6th Gumasta in the said Munsifs' Court on pay Rs 5 per month until when on the 1st November I resigned the post. This is borne out by the Diary of the said Munsifs' Court. During the said period I might have absented myself on

Com

leave for 2 or 4 days on little private affairs, the particulars of which I cannot now recollect but I was borne on the establishment during the said period - this is ~~true~~ true -

30th July 1881.

(Signed) Shek Hamid

Sworn before me this 30th July 1881.

(Signed) J. W. Best
District Judge

(True Translation)

J W Best
District Judge

15.11.80

No. A. D. K. 607

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT,
PENSION VERIFICATION BRANCH.

Fort Saint George.
Accountant-General's Office,
10th November 1880.

FROM
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.
MADRAS,

TO
THE District Judge of South Canara

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ~~pension~~ gratuity on behalf of Sheik Hammed, late Ameen in the Buntwal District Munsif's Court forwarded with your letter No 15 dated 27 September 1880 to the address of the Chief Secretary to Govt I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 7 10 16 24 and 25

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

Assistant ~~to the~~ Accountant-General,
for Accountant-General.

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.

2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.

3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the third page of the application herewith returned.

4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant.

5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.

6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.

× 7. Signature of the Applicant / Head of the Office in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book [illegible] 7 columns of the 2 page and the last Column of the 1st page [illegible]

8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's suspension / dismissal in and of a Last Pay Certificate.

9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly months / years in the submission of the application.

× 10. Explanation why the applicant ~~was retained in service after~~ is recommended for the grant of a gratuity of the 72 months ~~When the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the~~ of Rs 14-6-6 to which alone he would seem to be entitled under provision to section 70 and definition (b) in section 2 of the Civil Pension Code.

11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.

12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of days / months, the applicant having ceased to do duty on

13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension / gratuity during his life time ; if so, when he applied and when he died.

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points :—

(*a*.) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(*b*.) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege ; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from to was *fully vacant*, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.

17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.

× 18. Insertion of the ~~statement of acting allowance separately from pay~~. Specific opinion of the Head of the office as to whether the service claimed should or should not be verified below. As in No. 2 page of [illegible] Civil Pension Code.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, *vide* Rule 5, Section 105 of the Civil Pension Code.

21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office, in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.

22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from to was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.

23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Return / Audit Register for on record in this office.

× 24 Information as to why only a copy of the applicant's service book instead of the original itself was forwarded. If required the copy might be retained in the office the original being sent in here for submission to Government together with the papers connected with the application for gratuity.

× 25 Information as to whether the Privilege Leave enjoyed by the applicant for 5 days in September 1874 was with or without allowances. If the former please justify the grant with reference to Section 6 Supplement F to the Civil Leave Code. The amount of any allowance that may have been drawn by the applicant during such leave should also be stated in view to the erroneous payment being recovered from the amount of gratuity admissible to him.

W. G. Grant
Offg. Accountant General

Re[illegible] cash[illegible] 18.11.80

No. 1682

D

Reply

With reference to No 7 – The service book is returned duly signed by the applicant and by the Munsif in the places indicated – See also No. 24.

As to No. 10. The applicant was recommended for a gratuity equal to six months pay under Section 70 read with section 68, – firstly because when so read in connection with the first part (clause a) of Sect. 68, the proviso to Sect. 70 was regarded as limited to cases of pension, and secondly because the proviso in question appeared from a reading of the foot-notes, to have been framed in consequence of the 25 years rule and therefore intended to apply to the case of a man who was newly entertained in, or readmitted to, the service after the age of 25 and after the 20th January 1871 the date of that rule, whereas the applicant had already been in the service for some time on regular Government Establishment and then as a Batta Ameen till 15th August 1873 (for the said service did not qualify and for that reason was not properly verified) and when from that post he continued as an Ameen on the Establishment formed under the system brought into force on the 16 idem the same not strictly be looked upon as a case of first appointment or readmission.

If this construction is not right, the gratuity will have to be reduced to Rs 14. 6. 4 as pointed out, unless it is otherwise ordered by Government for whose consideration a petition on the subject by the applicant is enclosed.

As to No. 18. The specific opinion required as to whether the service claimed should be admitted is shown in the place indicated.

As regards No. 24 The original Service book of the applicant having, in the course of verification, been found to require numerous alterations and additions in respect of periods of leave and stoppages, and it having been impossible to make the alterations without causing much confusion the Munsif prepared and sent up a fresh copy. Both the copy and the original are now submitted with the necessary signatures of applicant and Munsif. It must be observed here that a further instance of fine (of 8 annas) imposed upon the applicant in June 1878 for disobedience of orders having now been discovered, the addition has been made in the service book (copy) as also in the Remarks column of the application.—

As for No. 25. The Munsif reports that the 5 days leave on Private affairs enjoyed by the applicant in September 1874 was without allowances, Rs 2 the pay for the said days having been duly deducted in the pay bill for that month. The fact has been noted in the said Service book. The leave for 12 days in February 1874 has also been noted to have been without allowances.

District Court
South Canara
7th December 1880.

W. Best
District Judge

GENL
15 12 80

To,

JWB
7.12.80

J. W. Best Esquire
District Judge of
South Canara

The humble petition of Sheik
Hamid, Late Amin of the District
Munsiff's Court, Buntwal - Dated
2nd December 1880.

Honored Sir,

That your petitioner being aggrie to learn that objection has been received from Madras to the effect that he is not entitle to Rupees 72 (as recommended by Your Hon but to Rupees 14-6-4 as gratuity, he with th deepest deference and submission, begs to his hard case for a kind consideration.

That your petitioner is an old servant of more than 30 years total stand From 1841 he was a Batta Amin. From 18 March 1854 he served on a permanent establishment in Munsiff's Court on Government pay till 1860 when finding his pay insufficient for the maintenance of his large and poor family he, in the hope earning a little more in Batta, volunta obtained his transfer to a Batta Am

He continued in this post till August 1873 - when the system of fixed pay for the Battee establishment was brought into force and since then he continued in it, drawing a pay of 12 Rupees per month till March last - when the Buntwal Munsiff having told him to resign as he was too old, he presented his resignation, thinking that he would get at least six months pay to which he was said to be entitled, he having completed six years in the last Government Service.

That it appears that that your petitioner's service previous to 1873 has not been taken into account as it does not count for pension.

That your petitioner is now informed that he is to get only Rupees 14 & odd in consequence of the rule directing that the gratuity is to be reduced because it has been classed as superannuation gratuity - If your petitioner had known that he would get such a pittance only, he would not have resigned but would have got a Medical certificate about his incapacity before his discharge.

That it would work hard upon your petitioner if the gratuity which, otherwise, would be due to him were to be reduced because his service is counted

only from after 1871 i.e. from August 1873, as although he was then more than 25 years old yet it was not a begining of service but a continuation of Battee Aminship previous to which he had already been a servant of some standing as above stated, though the service does not count.

That your petitioner is a poor man with no property &c to live upon during the remainder of his life. He has borne a good character - and a gratuity of 14 Rupees is almost as nothing to afford any relief in his destitution since his retirement.

Your Petitioner therefore prays that your Honor may be pleased to submit this petition with a recommendation in order that Government, taking compassion on your Petitioner's hard case, may graciously protect him from the effect of the 25 years rule and allow him the full amount of gratuity as recommended by your Honor -

For which act of kindness
Your Petitioner shall as in
duty bound, ever pray.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Batta Ameen of Munsif's Court Mangalore-					29th Octr 1844				11th March 1854	Promoted	
Ameen Mulky Munsifs' Court	Permanent		Rs 5		1st May 1854				1st May 1856	[illegible]	
7th Gumastah Mulky Mfs Court	Acting		Rs 5		1st June 1856				1st June 1857	Promoted	
5th Gumastah Mulky Mfs Court	Permanent		Rs 5		1st Jy 1857				21st Octr 1858	Promoted	
4th Gumastah Mulky Mfs Court	Permanent		Rs 6		1st Nov 1858				1st [illegible] 1860	Promoted	
Bhatta Ameen of Mulky Mfs Court					1860			[illegible]	16th August 1873	Promoted to Ameen under the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] dated [illegible] 1873	
Ameen Mulky Munsifs' Court	Permanent		Rs 12		16th August 1873			[illegible]	1st Jany 1876	Promoted	
Ameen Puttur Munsifs Court	Permanent		Rs 12		~~1st~~ 7th Jany 1876			[illegible]	23rd March 1880		[illegible]

14 days leave without pay in January 1858.
1 months leave on private affairs from 17th July 1870
12 ~~days~~ leave without pay ~~[illegible]~~ 5 days in Septr 1874
19 days ~~casual~~ leave [illegible] 3rd [illegible] 22nd November 1876
6 days ~~casual~~ leave in the 16th & from 27 to 31st July 1878
2 months & 17 days sick leave with half pay from 1st August to 7th October 1878-
sick leave for 10 days on half pay from 11th to 20th July 79 Returned to duty on 21st July 1879

[illegible] Rao

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.

17 days leave on private affairs from 19th [illegible]
[illegible] 5th October 1877 without allowance

Fined annas 8 for not returning
an order issued to him in execution case
No 118/78 within the time mentioned —
20. 1. 80.

Sick leave from 3rd to [illegible] February 1880
without allowance
[illegible]

Sheik Hamid is fined Rs (3) three for neglecting
his proper duties in publishing the Istehar and
affixing on the property advertised for sale in
execution case No 194/76 in satisfaction of the
decree No 159/65 on the file of the [illegible] Sub Court
and making a false return of having done his
duties properly —

As he is an old man likely to retire very
soon I have inflicted on him this mild punish-
ment and I have warned him to be more
careful in future —

16/7/77. (Signed) V. Narayana Rao
Dt Munsif

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

[illegible]

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age: Years.	Age: Months.	Age: Days.	Exact height: Feet	Exact height: Inches.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office
Shaik Hamid.	Mahomedan.	Karkal in South Canara District.	Roshun Ali Shaw	Karkal.	27th December 1815.	63.	2.	18.	5.	8½	Oblique sighted; - a few white spots on the left leg. The ring finger of the right hand is crooked.	13th March 1879.	[illegible]	Signed / A. L. Narayana Rao [illegible] 17th March 1880

Frist book sent to Govt.

SERVICE BOOK
OF

Name. Shaik Ahmed
Caste or Race. Mahomedan
Residence. Karkal
Father's Name. Roshun Ali Shaw
Father's Residence. Karkal
Date of birth. 27th December 1815

1 ೧ Name of appointment. ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.	2 ೨ Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. ರೀತಿ ಅಂದರೆ: ಸ್ವಂತವೇ ಬದಲಿಯೇ ಖಾಯಮೇ ಹಂಗಾಮಿಯೇ ಎಂಬುದು.	3 ೩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. ಹೊಸ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವು ಬದಲಿಯಾಗಿ ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ತನ್ನ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗ.	4 ೪ Pay. ಸಂಬಳ.	5 ೫ Acting allowance. ಬದಲೀ ಅಲವನ್ಸು.	6 ೬ Date of appointment. ಹೊಸದ ದಾಖಲು ತಾರೀಖು.	7 ೭ Signature of Officer. ಉದ್ಯೋಗಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ಕತು.	8 ೮ Character of Officer. ಉದ್ಯೋಗಸ್ಥನ ಯೋಗ್ಯತೆ. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* ಒಳ್ಳೇದಾಗಿಯಾಗಲೀ ಕೆಟ್ಟದ್ದಾಗಿಯಾಗಲೀ ಇದ್ದರೇನೇ ಹೊರತಾಯಿ ಯಾವ ಬತಾಯಿತಿಯನ್ನೂ ಈ ಕಾಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕಾದದು. ಸಸ್ಪೆನ್ಸನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಸ್ಪೆನ್ಸನ್ ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.	9 ೯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೇರ್ ಮೇಲಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ಕತು-ವ-ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.	10 ೧೦ Date of termination of appointment. ಉದ್ಯೋಗ ಅಖೈರಾದ ತಾರೀಖು.	11 ೧೧ Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ಅಖೈರ್ ಆದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಬಬು ಅಂದರೇ:—ವರ್ಗಾವ. (ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್) ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಉದ್ಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋದ್ದೇ. ಕಾಯಂ ಅಸಾಮಿಯು ವಾಪಸು ಬಂದಬಿಟ್ಟನೇ ಯೆಂಬದು.	12 ೧೨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೇರ್ ಮೇಲಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ಕತು-ವ-ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.
Batta Amin, Mangalore Infs Court.					29.10.1841.				18.3.54.	Promotion and transfer.	
Amin, Mulky Infs Court.	Permanent.	"	5 - -	"	18.3.54.				15.5.56.	Abolition.	
7th Gumasta, ditto.	Acting.	"	5 - -	"	1.6.56.				31.12.57.	Promotion.	
5th ditto - ditto.	Permanent.	"	5 - -	"	1.1.58.				31.10.58.	Ditto.	
	On leave on private affairs for 12 days in January 1858.										
4th ditto - ditto.	Permanent.	"	6 - -	"	1.11.58.				13.9.60.	Transfer.	
6th ditto, Head Infs Court.	Ditto.	"	5 - -	"	14.9.60.				Not known.		
Amin, Mulky Munsif's Court.	Ditto.	"	"	"	1860. Month & date not to be found.				15.8.73.	On account of fixed pay under the revised scheme of Batta. (Vide B.R.P. order dated 5th August 73 No. 1210.)	
	On leave on private affairs for one month from 17th February 1870.										
Ditto - ditto.	Permanent.	"	12 - -	"	16.8.73.				31.10.76.	Transfer.	
	On leave on private affairs for 12 days in February 1874 without allowance.										
	Ditto ditto for 5 days in September 1874 without allowance.										
	Fined Rs 1-2-7 (3 days pay) for being absent without leave for 3 days in May 1874.										
	Ditto annas 2 for not returning a certain process order within the time mentioned therein in July 1874.										

1 ೧ Name of appointment. ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.	2 ೨ Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. ರೀತಿ ಅಂದರೆ : ಸ್ವಂತವೇ ಬದಲಿಯೋ ಖಾಯಮೋ ಹಂಗಾಮಿಯೋ ಯೆಂಬುದು.	3 ೩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. ಹೊಸ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವು (ಬದಲಿಯಾತ) ವಾಗಿಯುದ್ದರೆ ತನ್ನಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗ.	4 ೪ Pay. ಸಂಬಳ.	5 ೫ Acting allowance. ಬದಲೀ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸು.	6 ೬ Date of appointment. ಮೊಕರರಾತಿಯ ತಾರೀಖು.	7 ೭ Signature of Officer. ಉದ್ಯೋಗಸ್ತನ ದಸ್ಕತು.	8 ೮ Character of Officer. ಉದ್ಯೋಗಸ್ಥನ ಯೋಗ್ಯತೆ. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* ಒಳ್ಳೇದಾಗಿಯಾಗಲೀ ಕೆಟ್ಟದ್ದಾಗಿಯಾಗಲೀ ಇದ್ದ ಲೇಖಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಯಾವ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನೂ ಈ ಕಾಲಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಿಸಿದರೊಳಗಾದು. ಸಸ್ಪೆನ್ಶನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಸ್ಪೆನ್ಶನ್ ಹುಕುಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.	9 ೯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೇರ್‌ಮೇಲಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ಕತು-ವ-ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.	10 ೧೦ Date of termination of appointment. ಉದ್ಯೋಗ ಅಖೈರಾದ ತಾರೀಖು.	11 ೧೧ Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ಆಖೈರ್ ಆದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಬಬು ಅಂದರೇ:—ವರ್ಗವೋ- (ಪ್ರೊಮೋಷನ್) ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಉದ್ಯೋಗಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋದ್ದೇ - ಕಾಯಂ ಅಸಾಮಿಯು ವಾಪಸು ಬಂದದ್ದೇ ಯೆಂಬುದು.	12 ೧೨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೇರ್‌ಮೇಲಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ಕತು-ವ-ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.

Fined Re 1 in May 1875 for not [illegible] a certain order within the time mentioned therein.

Ditto annas 4 in October 1875 for ditto

Ditto rupee 1 in March 1876 for ditto

Annas 6 and pies 2 have been deducted [illegible] pay for August 1876 for being absent without leave for 1 day

Annas 12 & pies 10 have been deducted in [illegible] for Septr 1876 for ditto for 2 days.

Amin Bantwal Munsif's Court.	Permanent	"	12-..	"	1.11.76			Signed / V. Narayana Rao / Dt. Munsif	23.3.80	Resigned	Signed / V. Narayana Rao / Dt. Munsif [illegible] 18/11/80

On leave on private affairs for 12 days from 11th to 22d November 1876 (without allowance)

Sheik Hamid is fined Rs (3) three for neglecting his proper duties in publishing the Istehar and affixing on the property advertised the sale in execution case No. 194/1876 in satisfaction of the decree No. 159/185 on the file of the [illegible] Munsif's Court and making a false return of having done his duties properly.

As he is an old man [illegible] to retire very soon, I have inflicted on him this mild punishment and I have warned him to be more careful in future.

Dated 16.7.77.

(Signed) V. Narayana Rao
Dt. Munsif

Fined 8 annas for [illegible] the Court [illegible] the property advertised to be sold [illegible] disobeying the direction given in the [illegible]

On sick leave on half pay for 6 days on the 16th and from 27th to 31st July 1878.

Ditto — ditto for 2 months and 7 days from 1st August to 7th October 1878.

Ditto — ditto for 10 days from 11th to 20th July 1879.

1 ೧	2 ೨	3 ೩	4 ೪	5 ೫	6 ೬	7 ೭	8 ೮	9 ೯	10 ೧೦	11 ೧೧	12 ೧೨
Name of appointment. ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. ರೀತಿ ಅಂದರೆ: ಸ್ವಂತವೇ ಬದಲಿಯೋ ಖಾಯಂಯೋ ಹಂಗಾಮಿಯೋ ಯೆಂಬದು.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. ಹೊಸ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವು ಬದಲಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ತನ್ನ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗ.	Pay. ಸಂಬಳ.	Acting allowance. [illegible]	Date of appointment. [illegible] ತಾರೀಖು.	Signature of Officer. ಉದ್ಯೋಗಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ತಖತು.	Character of Officer. ಉದ್ಯೋಗಸ್ಥನ ನಡತೆ. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* [illegible]	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ವೇಲಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ತಖತು-ವ-ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.	Date of termination of appointment. ಉದ್ಯೋಗ ತಪ್ಪಿರುವ ತಾರೀಖು.	Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* [illegible]	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ವೇಲಿನ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥನ ದಸ್ತಖತು-ವ-ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.

On leave on private affairs, without allowance for 17 days from 19th September to 5th October 1879

Fined annas 8 on the 20th January 1880 for not returning an order given to him for service in execution case No 115 1878 within the time mentioned in the said order.

On sick leave without allowance from 3rd to 21st February 1880.

V. Narayana Rao
Dt. Munsif

Dt. Munsif

J W Best
District Judge

Exd. N.He.
Hd Clerk.
Dated 18th August 1880

SERVICE BOOK

ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಬುಕ್ಕು

OF

Name. ಹೆಸರು.		Shek Hamid (Amin, Bantwal Sub. Court)
Caste or Race. ಜಾತಿ - ಮತ - ವಂಶ.		Mahomedan.
Residence. ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ.		Karkal, South Canara
FATHER'S ತಂದೆಯ	Name. ಹೆಸರು.	Roshun Ali Shaw
	Residence. ವಾಸಸ್ಥಳ.	Karkal.
Date of birth. ಜನ್ಮದತಾರೀಖು.		27th December 1815.

S. No 22

Enc: II

In a letter from the District Judge of South Canara dated 27th Sept. 1880, No 15

Service book of Shek Hamid late Amin in the Dt. Mff's Court of Bantwal in South Canara

---

No. 15.

District Court, South Canara
Mangalore, 27 September 1880.
No 1496

From

The District Judge of
South Canara

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Ootacamund.

Sir,

With reference to Sections 65 & 70 Civil Pension Code, 4th edition, I have the honor to forward an application* for the grant of a gratuity amounting to Rs 72-- being equal to 6 months' pay, to Shek Hamid late an Amin (Process Server) in the Bantwal District Munsif's Court who having been superannuated (being more than 60 years of age) and found to be inefficient for further service, resigned his post on the 23rd March last.

*together with the applicant's service book.

The application was submitted by the Munsif to this Court during the annual recess, without verifying the applicants' services. The subsequent time was occupied in doing this, hence the delay.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.
J W Best
District Judge

Judicial

Judl 1880 Dept

F.R.D.
5 OCT 80

No 2136

From
The District Judge of
South Canara

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt
Ootacamund

Dated 27th Sept 1880
Recd 7 Octr 1880
7-10-80

No 15

Enclosures 2

Forwarding an application for the grant of a gratuity amounting to Rs 102 to Shaik Hameed late [illegible] in the [illegible]

Order Mem 12 October 1880 No 1010.

Transferred to the Accountant General Office whence it should have been submitted for the usual verification and report.—

[illegible]
Under Secretary

14 OCT 80

To the Accountant General
copy to the ~~District~~ Judge of South Canara

No 1680

D

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION / GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Shek Hamid

2. Father's name. Roshan Ali Shaw

3. Sect or Caste. Mahomedan

4. Residence. Karkal in South Canara

5. Present or last employment. late Ameen (Process Server) in the Bantwal Munsif's Court South Canara

6. Date of beginning service. 29th October 1841.

7. Date of end of service. 23rd March 1880

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	38	4	28
of which superior	6	2	8
inferior	"	"	"
non-qualifying and breaks	32	2	20

9. Class of {~~pension~~ / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* Superannuation gratuity on resignation consequent upon the applicants having passed the age of 60 and been found insufficient for further service – Secs 65 & 70 Civil Pension Code & Rules

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 12 – – a month.

11. Proposed pension. " " "

12. Proposed gratuity. 72 " "

13. Date from which to commence. "

14. Place of payment. Mangalore, South Canara

15. Age of Applicant on this date. yr. 64 m. 9 d. . Born (27th Decr 1815)

16. Height. ft. 5 in. 8½

17. Marks. [illegible] [illegible], a few white spots on the left leg – The ring finger of the right hand is crooked.

18. Date. Mangalore, 27th September 1880.

J W Best
District Judge
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Enc: I

In a letter from the District Judge of South Canara, dated 27 Septr 1880, No 15.

Application for Gratuity to Sheik Hamid late Ameen in the 1st Munsif's Court at Bantwal, in South Canara

Lu No 2138/80

23 34

HISTORY OF THE (SERVICE SHOWING BREAKS) OF Shek Hamid

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Mangalore Mf's Court	Batta Amin (Paid by fees)				-	29th Octr 1841	17 March 1854	.	.	.	12	4	18		Not verified as this service does not qualify.	Service from 29 Oct 1841 to 15 August 1873 non-qualifying being made up partly of service rendered in non qualifying appointments and partly of breaks. Service from 16 August 1873 to 23 March 1880 Verified from the records of the District Munsif's Court Bantwal and the verification confirmed by reference partly to the Audit registers and partly to the annual returns in this office.
Mulki do	Ditto	5	-	-	-	18 March '54	16 May 1856	-			2	1	28			
	Out of employ					16 May 1856	31st do	.			-	-	16	[illegible]		
Ditto do	Ag. 7 Gumasta	5	-	-	-	1st June "	31st Decr 1857				1	7	-			
Ditto do	5th do	5	-	-	-	1st Jany 1858	31st Octr 1858						-			
	Leave on Private Affairs for 14 days in					Jany "		-			-	10	-			
Ditto do	4th Gumasta	6	-	-	-	1st Novr "	15 Septr 1860	-			1	10	13			
Kar do	6th do	5	-	-	-	14 Septr 1860	Month & date not known									
Mulki do	Amin	(Paid by fees)			—	Date & month not known	15 Augt 1873	.	.	.	12	11	2	[illegible]		
	Leave on Private Affairs for 1 month from					17 Feby 1870										
Ditto do	Amin (on fixed pay)	12	-	-	-	16 August 1873	31st Octr 1876									
	On leave on Private Affairs for 12 days in					February 1874					-	-	12			
	Ditto do for 5 do in					Septr "		3	1	22	-	-	5			
	Absent without leave for 3 do in					May "					-	-	3			
	Ditto do for 1 do in					August 1875					-	-	1			
	Ditto do for 2 do in					Septr "					-	-	2			
Bantwal do	Amin	12	-	-	-	1st Novr "	10 Novr 1876	-	-	10					Verified from Records by the Bantwal District Munsif	
	On leave on Private Affairs without allowance					11 do	22 do				-	-	12	Fined Rs 5 in June 1877 for neglecting his duties in publishing a sale notice and making a false return.		
	Amin	12	-	-	-	23 do	15 July 1878	1	7	23	-	-	-			
	On sick leave on half pay					16 July 1878	16 do				-	-	1			
	Amin	12	-	-	-	17 do	26 do	-	-	10						
	On sick leave on half pay					27 do	7 Octr "				-	2	11			
	Amin	12	-	-	-	8 Octr	10 July 1879	-	9	3	-	-	-			
	On sick leave on half pay					11 July 1879	20 do				-	-	10			
	Amin	12	-	-	-	21 do	18 Septr	-	1	~~27~~ 28	-	-	-			
	On leave on Private affairs without allowance					19 Septr	5 Octr "				-	-	17			
	Amin	12	-	-	-	6 Octr	2nd Feby 1880	-	3	~~28~~ 27	-	-	-	Fined Rs 5 in June 1880 for not attaching property [illegible]		
	On sick leave without allowance					3 Feby 1880	21st do				-	-	19			
	Amin	12	-	-	-	22 do	23 March "	-	1	2	-	-	-			
							Total period of Qualifying service	6	2	~~4~~ 5	32	2	20			

to him as it may deem proper with reference to Rule [illegible]

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge in his letter to this office No. [illegible] dated 27th September 1880.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
23rd December 1880 }

85 To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Offg Asst. Accountant General
for Acct. General

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. With the exception of the several instances of fine noted on the second page there appears to be nothing on record against the applicant's character. The Munsif recommends the case for favorable consideration. A testimonial of character held by applicant is enclosed.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Nil

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. Nil

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. The applicant was found by the Munsif to be inefficient for further service from his advanced age. See also Govt. Proc. 2nd March 1880, No. 145 & High Court Proc. 6 April 1880, No. 616.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (see Rule 6 under Section 84). } The Munsif certifies that the service claimed has been duly established and should be admitted.

J. W. Best
District Judge.
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

No. ADJ/1416

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 6 years 2 months and 5 days; and that a Superannuation {~~pension~~ / gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 14-6-4 equal to three months pay multiplied by the fraction 5/6th as provided under Section 70 in addition is admissible under Sections 68 and 70 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

It is observed from the remark opposite to No. 1 in the 3rd page of the application that the character and past conduct of the applicant have not been entirely satisfactory.

It rests therefore with Government to make such reduction in the amount of Gratuity that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to Rule 1, section 92 of the Code.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge in his letter to this office No. [illegible] dated 27th September 1880.

Fort Saint George
Acct. Genl's Office
23rd December 1880

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Offg. Asst. Accountant General
for Acct. General

[illegible] 1880 [illegible]

C 22

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY—

Date of application. 27 - September 18[illegible]

Name of Applicant. [illegible]

Last Appointment. [illegible]

Class of pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

2 F 251

78

Pension Department

No. 1295
From
The Accountant Genl.
Madras
To
The Secretary
to Government
Pension Depts

No. IAE/234
Dated
Recd } 13th Augt. '81.
Recd — 17-8-81

No. IAE/234 Enc 1

States that Shekh Hammid Ali Amin on the Process Service Est. of the Buntwal Munsif's Court will not be entitled to more than Rs 14-6-4 being gratuity admissible to him under the circumstances explained.—

With Order 95 [illegible]

Petition No. 1995/78
Memo: of Service

From 4th February 1833 to 15th September 75: 42-7-12
× Deduct service out of employ from 1 October 1847 to 24 June 1851 } — 3-8-24
× Total years 38-10-18

Deduct service before the break from 4 Feby 33 to 30 Septr 1847 } 14-7-27
Net years — 24.2.21

× Extra Service
from — -7-10
Do — -7-28
1-3-8

No.

District Court South Canara
Mangalore 30th July 1881.

From
The District Judge of
South Canara
To
The Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

* the enclosure thereof is herewith returned

x Service prior to 1854 was unqualifying

With reference to your letter* of the 22nd February last No. [illegible], I have the honor to state that the services of late Amin Sheik Hameed therein referred to, from 18th March 1854x to 13th September 1860 have been duly verified on reference to the Office Copies of Pay bills and the Acquittance rolls of the Sudder Ameens Court and also the Diary of the District Court. The service in Head Moonsiff's Court (to which Court the applicant was transferred on a lower pay at his own request) from 14th September to 31st October 1860 could not be fully verified, the necessary acquittance rolls &c being reported to be not forthcoming. An affidavit has been taken from the applicant in support of this service translation of which is appended. The service is borne out by the Diary of the Head Moonsiff's Court which has been referred to. Further evidence is not readily procurable

There can, I think, be no doubt that the applicant was borne on the establishment of the said Munsiff Court during the period referred to.

2. It appears that on the 1st November 1860 the applicant resigned his post of Gumasta in Kap Munsiff Court on the ground that "he felt his pay insufficient for his subsistence," apparently with a view to entering the Batta Establishment (in which he might have expected to earn more than the pay R45). His transfer to this nonqualifying establishment was thus the result of a voluntary resignation. The exact date of this transfer is still unascertained but it seems needless to ascertain it.

3. A few alterations & additions found necessary on the present verification have been made in the application in red ink.

4. A translation of the Civil Court Circular Order accounting for a short break during which the applicant was out of employ in May 1856 owing to a revision of Munsiff Courts establishments by the then Civil Judge Mr G. A. Harris is also attached.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. W. Best
District Judge

Copy

Pension DEPARTMENT.

A.D.K.
No. 701

Fort Saint George.
Accountant-General's Office,
22nd February 1881.

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE District Judge of South Canara.

SIR,

With reference to your application for Gratuity dated the 27th September 1880, on behalf of Shekh Ahmed, late Process Server in the Bantwal Munsif's Court, I have the honor to state, that Government have called upon this Office to give its opinion as to whether there is any objection to Shekh Ahmed being granted a Gratuity for his combined Inferior and superior Service, and to request therefore that his services prior to 16th August 1873, as detailed in the accompanying application (to be returned) may be verified and the result reported to me together with the information as to whether his transfer from a pensionable appointment in to a non-pensionable appointment in which he served from September 1860 to 15th August 1873 was made under a competent authority or whether the applicant voluntarily resigned the permanent appointment. If the former, I shall feel obliged by your furnishing me with a Copy of such authority—

The

2. The favor of an early reply to this reference is solicited.

I have &c.

(Signed) H. Keene

Asst. Accountant General

for Accountant General

(True Copy)

H. Clogstoun

Offg. Accountant General

In No 275

Pension DEPARTMENT.

No. 1 A.E. / 234

4P

Fort Saint George.
Accountant-General's Office,
13th August 1881.

From

H. F. Clogstoun Esqre.
Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government
Pension Department
Ootacamund.

Sir,

With reference to the Under Secretary Campbell's Memorandum No. 47 dated the 9th of February 1881, enquiring whether in my opinion there is any objection to Sheikh Hammed, late an Ameen on the Process Service Establishment of the Buntwal Moonsiff's Court, being granted a Gratuity for his combined Inferior and Superior service, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of this Office reference to the District Judge South Canara, and his reply in original, from which it will be seen that although Sheikh Hammed served on a permanent Establishment in an appointment in Superior Grade for a period extending over 6½ years viz: from 18th March 1854 to 31st October 1860, yet this service can not be regarded as pensionable, because at the end of it he voluntarily resigned his employment and took service in a non-qualifying appointment, vide Clause (e) Section 96 of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition.

2. Under these circumstances the applicant would seem to be entitled to no more than the

the Gratuity of Rs 214-6-4 recommended in this Office report No. $\frac{10\ A.D.J}{1446}$ dated the 23rd December 1880.

3. The delay in the disposal of the reference is due to a reference having been made to the District Judge, whose reply was received in this Office only on the 5th August 1881.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servt

Offg Accountant General

Revenue Department

No 17

Official Memorandum

In returning the application of Sheikh Hameed, late Amin, South Canara the undersigned is directed to inquire whether in the opinion of the Accountant General there is any objection to the applicant being granted a gratuity for his combined inferior and superior service.

(By order)
(Signed) L.C.I. Campbell
Under Secretary

Fort St George
9 February 1881

To
The Accountant General

22 [illegible] 1275

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~:—

From the District Judge of South Canara dated 27 September 1880. 1

From the Accountant General dated 23rd Decr 1880 & 13 August 1881 No $\frac{S.A.E.}{234}$. 2

Order thereon 22nd Septr 80 No 951

Under Sections 101 & 109 (a)
and the proviso of Section
111 of the Civil Pension
Code 5th Edition, the Hono-
rable the Governor in
Council is pleased to grant
to Shek Hamid late Amin
(Process Server) in the
Buntwal Munsiff's Court
South Canara, a Super-
annuation gratuity of
Rs (14-6-4) fourteen
Annas six and pies
four equal to six
months pay multi-
plied by the fraction
6/30th payable at Manga-
lore in consideration
of his qualifying

Superior

superior service of six years two months and five days.

9d 5/9/81

To the Accountt Genl
with Register
- District Judge of
South Canara
- Collector of Do —

Despd 22-9-81

Govt. of {188 } Madras.

[illegible]

Pension

Dated 22d } Sept 1881, No. 951.
Regd

Nos.

Granting a Superannuation gratuity of Rs 14-6-4 to Shek Hameed late Amin (Process Server) in the Buntwal Munsiff's Court South Canara.

NI