(1) Number of pages 30

(2) Date of repair 11. 3. 42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 747 Press
Mis.

Dated 24-9 188[illegible]

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

20th September 1881, No. 947.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Madura, dated 8th February 1881.

Abstract.—Submitting an application for gratuity on behalf of Regunathaiyan, late District Post Gumastah of Sivaganga in the Madura District.

No. A.D.J.-132.

Certificate and Report of Accountant-General.

Certified that qualifying service in inferior grades has been duly proved for twelve years, three months, and sixteen days, but that no retiring allowance would seem to be admissible under the circumstances detailed below.

2. The real cause of the loss of appointment is stated to be due to abolition of office, which has not been duly authorized by any order of Government. Further, there is nothing to prove that any saving to Government was effected by the abolition of the applicant's appointment in August 1869 from which the cost of gratuity can be met. Under these circumstances, the entertainment of the application for a compensation gratuity does not appear to be justifiable.

3. Having been employed as a Stamp Vendor, the applicant evaded sending in his application for a gratuity for a period of upwards of eleven years. On the 22nd January 1881 he submitted a medical certificate declaring him to be permanently incapacitated for further service. The admission of such a certificate would seem to be opposed to the provisions of Rule 1, Section 61, of the Civil Pension Code.

4. Should Government, however, be pleased to take a favorable view of the case and accordingly accept the application, it may grant to the applicant an invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs. 28, equal to four months' pay, under Sections 61 and 76 (*a*) of the Code, but that such grant, it is respectfully pointed out, will need to be reported to the Government of India in the Financial Department, as required by Section 89 of the Code, 4th Edition.

5. In accordance with Rule 3-A, under Section 87, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 22 of the Code.

Fort St. George,
29th June 1881.

(Signed) M. HLA OUNG,
Assistant Accountant-General,
for *Accountant-General.*

To the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department.

Order thereon, 20th September 1881, No. 947.

The Government decline to grant any gratuity in this case for the reasons stated by the Accountant-General. The applicant appears to have been satisfied with his employment as a Stamp Vendor, and delayed for nearly eleven years to submit this application.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of Madura.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of (1881) Madras.

PENSION.

To
The COLLECTOR of MADURA
&c., &c., &c.

Dated September, 20th.

Issued
&
Recd. *Recd.*

G.O., 20th September 1881, No. 947.

SEPTEMBER.

Gratuity.— The grant of any gratuity to Regunathaiyan, late District Post Gumastah, Sivaganga, declined for reasons stated.

No. A.D.M.

FORM M.

LAST PAY CERTIFICATE of Regunathan, late

of the District Post Gumastah, Sivaganga ~~proceeding to~~
~~to join the appointment of~~
~~to officiate as~~
~~on duty~~

He has drawn pay as Dist. Post Gumastah, Sivaganga
at the rate of Rs. 7 — — per month, ~~and acting allowance at~~
upto 31st August 1869 ~~at the rate of Rs.~~ per month,

less the deductions shown below up to the
He made over charge of the Office of
on the noon of the

No recoveries
The recoveries noted on the reverse } are to be made from the pay of this Officer.

DEDUCTIONS RS. A. P.

~~Fort Saint George,~~
Madura
4th Feby 1881

L. Rankin
Deputy ~~Accountant General~~ Collector

DETAILS OF RECOVERIES.

Nature of recovery.

Amount, Rs.

How to be recovered. { In one sum.
{ ——— instalments.

No. 12

Office of Zillah Surgeon,
Madura, 22nd January 1888.

Certified that I have carefully examined Ragunathan son of Vencatasawmy Iyer, late District Post Gomasta of Shevagunga in the Madura District.

His Age is according to his own statement 54 years and by appearance about 57 years.

I consider Ragunathan to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs in consequence of defective vision & old age.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Zillah Surgeon.

L. N 107

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 25 JAN 81 MADURA

Recd R 136
27.1.81

To be returned with a reply.

N 201

Recd R 520
25-2-81

No. A. D. K.
702

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT,
PENSION VERIFICATION BRANCH,

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
22d February 1881.

FROM
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS,

TO
THE Collector of Madura

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ~~pension~~ gratuity on behalf of Ragunathayan late District Post Gumastah Sivagunga, dated 8th Feby 81,

I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. ~~[illegible]~~ 8, 1/, 24 & 20

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,
J. H. Reece
Assistant to the Accountant-General,
for Accountant-General.

× 1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.

2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.

3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the third page of the application herewith returned.

4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave, enjoyed by the applicant.

5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.

6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 6 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.

7. Signature of the Applicant / Head of the Office in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.

× 8. Transmission of the true copy of the G.O. sanctioning the abolition of the applicant's appointment as district post [illegible] ~~correspondence in connection with the applicant's~~ ~~[illegible]~~ of the application for gratuity for [illegible]

9. Explanation of the cause of delay of months / years in the submission of the application.

10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.

12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of days/months, the applicant having ceased to do duty on

13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension/gratuity during his life time; if so, when he applied and when he died.

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—

(a.) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(b.) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from to was *fully vacant*, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.

✗ 17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.

18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, *vide* Rule 5, Section 105 of the Civil Pension Code.

21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office, in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.

22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from to was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.

23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Return/Audit Register for on record in this office.

24. Information with reference to the Collector's remarks at No 5 on the 3rd page of the application, as to whether there is anything on record to prove that the applicant had ever attempted to secure an appointment through the medium of the Collector, and if so, on how many occasions, during the interval between August 1869 and February 80. Several vacancies in the appointments in Inferior Grades must have occurred in the Collector's Establishment during the above period, and the Collector must please explain why the applicant's claim was not favourably considered.

25. Explanation of the grounds, if any, on which the Collector wanted to obtain the form of an application for gratuity on behalf of the applicant till lapse of about 12 years.

The dispensation of a Medical Certificate [illegible] in support of a claim for gratuity [illegible]

No 1118.

Madras
Comb Offices
11th April 1881.

Reply

(1). The applicant has no house or country name. His full name and that of his father are Regunadien and Vencatesvarien as given in the application.

(8). The District Post Office at Sivaganga was not abolished under any Special order of Government. The Government of India having approved the transfer of the Supervision of the District Post Lines & Offices to the control of the Imperial Post Office, the Inspecting Postmaster, Trichinopoly Division, at the instance of the Postmaster General, requested the closing of the District Post Office at Sivaganga & it was accordingly done on the 1st September 1869. Copies of the correspondence bearing on the subject are enclosed with Regunathien's application for gratuity.

1 Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 1st April 1869 No 2181
2 Letter from Inspecting Post Master Trichinopoly Division, dated 16th August 1869 No 1351
3 Do — to Head Assistant Collector Madura, dated 26th August 1869 No 138
4 Do — to Inspecting Post Master dated 11th September 1869 No 1404

(17 & 24) At the time of his discharge, the applicant was also a licensed Stamp Vendor, in which post he continued up to 1878 when he resigned it. The applicant states that he put in applications on 3 occasions for certain posts which were filled before his applications reached their destination. There is no information in the Office as to why he was not provided with an appointment.

The

(25) The applicant having been a Stamp Vendor and in receipt of some emoluments did not put in an application for gratuity until September 1910 and therefore no action seems to have been taken in the Office in the matter. I am not aware nor do the records show that any other grounds existed for not sending an application for gratuity before.

Chas P [illegible]

Acting Collector

26 APR 11

From The Ag. Collector Madura

To The Acct. General Madras

Dated 11th
Recd 13th April 1881

No. 1118

Enclosures 5

Replying to the Acct. Genl.'s letter No. [illegible] dated [illegible] regarding the application for gratuity on behalf of Reganathaiyan late District post Gumastah, Sivaganga

Recd No 1139

1001

[illegible]

No. $\frac{\text{A. D. K.}}{20}$

{ TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT,
{ PENSION VERIFICATION BRANCH,

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
2nd May ~~April~~ 1881.

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS,

TO

THE Collector of Madura

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for ~~pension~~ gratuity on behalf of Reganathaiyan late District post Gumastah Sivagunga dated 8th Feby. 1881

I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 6, 24, 25 and 26.

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

Assistant ~~to the~~ Accountant-General,
for Accountant-General.

---

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.

2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.

3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the third page of the application herewith returned.

4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant.

5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.

6. Insertion in the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.

7. Signature of the $\frac{\text{Applicant}}{\text{Head of the Office}}$ in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.

× 8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's $\frac{\text{~~superannuation~~}}{\text{dismissal}}$ on 31st August 79 and references ~~[illegible]~~ in the Collector's reply memo of 11th April 81 No. 1118.

9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly $\frac{\text{months}}{\text{years}}$ in the submission of the application.

10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service, before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.

12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of days months, the applicant having ceased to do duty on

13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension gratuity during his life time; if so, when he applied and when he died.

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—

(a.) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(b.) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from to was *fully vacant*, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.

17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.

18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

20. Certificate to the effect, that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, *vide* Rule 5, Section 105 of the Civil Pension Code.

21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office, in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.

22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from to was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.

23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Return Audit Register for on record in this office.

24 Explanation of the circumstances under which the claim for gratuity on behalf of the applicant was not preferred when he lost his appointment in consequence of Reduction of Establishment in September 1860.-

25 Information as to the grounds on which no Annual Returns of Inferior Servants employed on the Establishment of the District Post office Sivaganga was submitted to this office for the years except 1867.-

26 Translation into the English language of the vernacular application forwarded with your reply memo referred to above —

In No 1039

No 1516

Reply

8 No other correspondence bearing on the subject, than those sent with my reply dated 11th April 1881, No 1118 are forthcoming on the records.

24 The applicant did not come forward to claim gratuity as he was also a Stamp Vendor at the time when he lost his appointment; and now having given up the post of Stamp Vendor he applied for gratuity after a lapse of so many years.

25 I have been unable to ascertain the grounds thereof at this distance of time.

26 The vernacular application is herewith sent with translation in English.

(Camp) Chinnamanur
2nd June 1881.

Acting Collector

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
9 JUN 81
MADRAS

KR

Inc Nº 1039

(1881) Department

From,

The Ag Collector

Madura

No 1516

Enclosure (1)

Dated 2d }
Despd 6th } June 1881
Recd }

No

Subject

Furnishing certain informations called for regarding the services of Reganathayyar late Dist Post Office Gumastah Sivaganga.

Gu No 1030

Order to Tahsf [illegible] Dy Tahr of Sivaganga [illegible]
20-9-80

128/80

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA COURT FEE EIGHT ANNAS

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
6 SEP 80
MADURA

[illegible]

[illegible]

6 — 9 — 80

To,

H. J. Stokes Esquire
Collector of Madura

The humble petition of Regunada Ayyar of Sivaganga, late D^t. Post Gumastah

I was employed in Ilakottah Taluk for 5 years & in Cosilampatti Surka for 2 years in the old scale and then as District Post Gumastah in Sivaganga for 5 years in the new scale. I have thus served Government for 12 years.

2. I have herewith presented a list showing the above particulars. I have not been provided with any post till now although I had applied several times when vacancies occurred.

3. ~~Further~~ I was a Stamp Vendor in this place for 15 or 16 years. As I could not earn sufficient means of subsistence in consequence of the appointment of an additional Stamp Vendor and as no justice was rendered to me on a perusal of the order already passed in the matter, I was obliged to resign the Stamp Vendorship at that time.

4. I therefore request that on a perusal of the said list & of the endorsement & orders with me when I present myself for this petition I may be recommended for gratuity having served 12 years' service & that orders may be passed to provide me with post that may hereafter fall vacant.

(Signed)

6. 9. 80. (Signed) Raganadha Ayyar

(True translation)

P. Ramrow
for Ag Collector

Enclosure to Collector's letter No 1516 dated 2? June 1885.

No 1029

(Ex No 94)

From The Collector
Madura

To The Acct. General
Madras

Dated 8th February 1870.
Recd.

No. 347
Enclosure 2.

Forwarding application for gratuity of Ragunathien late Dt Post Moonshee Sivaganga, Madura —

In [illegible]

No 77

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Regunathaiyen
2. Father's name. Venkatesvara Aiyar
3. Sect or Caste. Brahmin
4. Residence. Sivaganga
5. Present or last employment. Late District Post Moonsha Sivaganga
6. Date of beginning of service 26th May, 1853.
7. Date of end of service 31st August 1869.

	Y.	M.	D
8. Length of service including breaks	16	3	5
of which superior	"	"	"
inferior	12	3	15
non-qualifying and breaks	3	11	20

9. Class of {~~pension~~ gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid Gratuity under Section 76(a) of the C.P. Code
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 7
11. Proposed pension. "
12. Proposed gratuity. 28
13. Date from which to commence. "
14. Place of payment. Sivaganga
15. Age of applicant on this date. About 57
16. Height. 5 ft. 4 inch.
17. Marks. Bamboo color, a scar on the right thigh and a tatto mark behind the right ear.
18. Date. 8th February 1870.

11 FEB

Signature of the Head of Office.

---

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Regunathaiyan

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Nilakotta Taluk	Rev. Peon Ameen	3	8	-	"	26th May 1853	18th March 1857	3	9	~~16~~ 12	"	"	"		By the Pay Abstracts in the Dindigal & Tirumangalam Talooks and in the Sivaganga Deputy Tahsildar's office.	Verified from the records of the Collector's office Madura, and the verification of the service for the period subsequent to 30th April 1865 confirmed by reference to the Annual returns on record in this office.
Do Do	Police Do	4	6	-	"	14th March 1857	31st July 1858	1	4	~~20~~ 17	"	"	"			
Tirumangalam	Do Do	4	6	-	"	1st August 1858	11th September 1860	2	1	11	"	"	"	Reduction of establishment		
	Out of employ				"	12th Septr. 1860	31st August 1864	"	"	"	3	11	~~20~~ 19			
Sivaganga	Dist. Post Gumastah	7	"	"	"	1st September 1864	31st Augt 1869	5	"	"	"	"	"	Abolition of office		
								12	3	~~15~~ 16	3	11	20			
						Total period of ~~superior~~ inferior service...	....	16	3	5						

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. "

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. "

5. Any other remarks. The applicant's full name is Regunathaiyan. The last pay and the Medical Certificate are herewith sent. No service books were maintained when the applicant was in service. His services were dispensed with in 1869 owing to the reduction of Establishment, but he did not apply for gratuity expecting to get another appointment under Government and he was a Stamp Vendor till of late. He therefore presented a petition in September 1880 praying for gratuity. Necessary information was then called for from the Dindigal & Tirumangalam Talooks & the Sivaganga Deputy Tahsildar's Office the last information having reached about the middle of December. He was absent at Sivaganga and was ordered to appear before the Zilla Surgeon which he did on the 22nd January 1881.

The services claimed have been established and should be admitted.

Collector

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

Signature.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No. $\frac{ADV}{132}$ Certified that qualifying service in Inferior Grades has been duly proved for 12 years 3 months and 16 days; but that no retiring allowance would seem to be admissible under the circumstances detailed below:

The real cause of the loss of appointment is stated to be due to abolition of Office, which has not been duly authorized by any Order of Government. Further there is nothing to prove that any saving to Government was effected by the abolition of the applicant's appointment in August/69, from which the cost of Gratuity can be met. Under these circumstances the entertainment of the application for a compensation Gratuity does not appear to be justifiable.

Having been employed as a Stamp Vender, the applicant evaded sending in his application for a Gratuity for a period of upwards of 11 years. On the 22d January/81, he submitted a Medical Certificate declaring him to be permanently incapacitated for further service. The admission of such a Certificate would seem to be opposed to the provisions of rule 1 Section 61 of the Civil Pension Code.

Should Government however be pleased to take a favorable view of the case and accordingly accept the application, it may grant to the applicant an Invalid Gratuity not exceeding Rs 28-0-0 equal to 4 months pay under Sections 61 & 76 (a) of the Code, but that such grant it is respectfully pointed out will need to be reported to the Govt. of India in the Fin. Depts. as required by Section 89 of the Code 4th Edition.

In accordance with Rule 3 A, under Section 87, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 22 of the Code.

Fort Saint George,
Acctt. Genl's Office
29th June 1881.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

M. Hallung
Apt. Accountant General
for Accountt. General

1-7-81

Pension 1881 [illegible]

№ 103

Application for { ~~Pension or~~
Gratuity

Date of application. 8th February 1881

Jan 10

Name of applicant. Reginathaiyan

Last appointment. Post Gymastah Madura

Class of { pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

M

Order thereon 20th September 1881 No 947

The Government decline to grant any gratuity in this case for the reasons stated by the Accountant General. The ~~late~~ applicant appears to have been satisfied with his employment as a Stamp Vendor, & delayed for nearly 11 years to submit this application.

Jvr
5/9/81

To the Collector of Madura } Despd 30-9-81
" Accountant General }

With Order 947

Govt. of 1188/1 Madras.

Pension

To
The Accountant General

No. 947

Dated 20th
Desp 30th } September 1881.

Gratuities. The grant of a gratuity to Rega-nathaiyan late ~~Post~~ Dist Munsila Sivaganga declined for reasons stated.