M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-8-37.

(1) Number of pages 94

(2) Date of repair 8-5-42.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1307

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated 30 · 11 1881.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

30th November 1881, No. 1307.

Pension.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Madura, dated 10th August 1881, No. 2310.
From the Accountant-General, dated 3rd November 1881, No. A.D.J-328.

ORDER THEREON, 30th November 1881, No. 1307.

Subject to the confirmation of the Government of India, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to give thirteen days' retrospective effect to the provisions of Section 98 (*b*) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), and thereby to condone the interruption of seven months and nine days in the service of Venkataramanayan, late Dufterbund, Palni Taluk, Madura District; and, under Sections 102 and 117 (*b*) of the said Code, to grant him an invalid pension of Rs. (3-8-0) three and Annas eight per mensem, payable at Palni, Madura District, from the 4th December 1879, in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of thirty-six years, five months, and thirteen days.

2. Under Section 128 (Rule 2), the medical certificate has been accepted, and as it clearly appears from the Sub-Collector's order, dated 1st December 1879, that the grant of a pension to the applicant was contemplated when by that order he was permitted to retire, there seems to be no contravention of Rule 1, Section 102, of the Code.

3. The great delay in the submission of this case has been already noticed by G.O., 13th September 1881, No. 873.

(True Extract.)

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.
„ Collector of Madura.

No. 1308.

Copy to the Government of India for confirmation with reference to paragraph 1.

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

2 E 1370

6.4.80
AG

CAG 15.3

ED No. 96

Office of Zillah Surgeon
Madura 15th March 1880

Certified that I have carefully examined Venkataramana Iyha son of Soobiah Dufterbund of Pulany Taluq Madura District.

His age by his own statement is 58 years and by appearance about the same.

I consider Venkataramana Iyha to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of old age
impaired vision
and General Debility.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits

Henry King
Zillah Surgeon.

Oct 4 / 1879

In No 1694.
fo. 1307-[illegible]

No 692

5

Reply

House or country name of the applicant and that of his father. (a) [illegible] (b) [illegible] (C) [illegible]	The full names of the applicant and that of his father are Venkataramana^(a) Ayyan (not Venkataramaiyyan^(b) as entered in the 1st page of the application) and Subbiah^(c).
8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's suspension and dismissal in July 1847 and January 1848 and his final removal in December 1877.	True copies of the correspondences are sent herewith with translations.
1. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly 20 months in the submission of the application.	The delay in this case is explained by the Sub Collector in his letter No 152, dated 31st May 1881, copy of which is herein enclosed. This letter was received in this office on the 6th June 1881. The applicant's services having been imperfectly filled up in his Service Book had to be verified and a fresh book prepared in this office. Hence the delay.

The applicant requested that as he was 58 years old with 40 years Service his son might be appointed to his post. His request was complied with and he afterwards applied for pension. He was then sent to the Zillah Surgeon who declared him incapacitated for further Service.	11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the Service before his incapacity for further Service was duly established by a certificate.
The applicant states that as he was hopeful of being re-employed in Government Service, he did not prefer claim for gratuity when he lost his appointment in 1860.	24. Explanation of the circumstances under which no claim for gratuity was preferred when the applicant lost his appointment in consequence of reduction of Establishment in December 1860.
Remark No. 3 have duly been filled in.	Remarks No. 3 on the 3d page of the application should be duly filled in.

Madura Colr's Office }
25th October, 1881 }

H. J. Stokes,
Collector

28 OCT 81

Inwd. 1694
(A 1307-8/81)

T.R.

From,
The Collector of
Madura

To,
The Accountant
General
Madras

No 3254.

Dated 25th October, 1851.
Recd do

Enclo. 9.

Replies to certain
items in the gratuity
cases of Venkataramien,
Late Dufterdar, [illegible]
Talook, Madura District

9

236.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3rd June 1847.
Dindigul

No 236.

To

The Asst. Sub Collector
Madura.

Arzi from the Muzumdar of Pali Comien Taluk.

In your order No 250 of 29th May it is observed that its inquiry Dindigul Krishnasamy's Complaint against Cappinayakan Kattamgar of Kumarapalayam that the latter is digging a tank, higher up his own at Rajakapatti so as to obstruct the flow of water to his, was referred to me and no report has yet been submitted about it. I am also required to enquire and state if necessary orders have been issued to the Kattamgar to stop the work till this case is disposed of.

Immediately upon the receipt of your order No 168 of 12th April which accompanied the 1st complaint Letchumana Rowe the Tahsildar inspected the locality. He issued Summonses on the 19th inst the parties appeared before him on the 26th. Kulanthavelu Pillai the Nattamgar has executed an Athakshi and 6 persons namely Kadimeera Mahomed Rowther and others executed a Mahazar in mahs.

Then in accordance with your order No 208 of the 30th idem directing the Tahsildar to enquire into the case & to have the work postponed until its disposal he (the Tahsildar) issued Summonses to the Defendant and his workmen to appear before him. The 7 workmen Arumugam and others who accordingly appeared before me on the 1st May have executed an Athakshi that they would no more proceed with that work.

To ascertain whether any Takeed to this effect was sent to the Nattamgar I caused the Takeed file to be searched and

& found out one from which it appears that a Takeed was sent to the Nattamgar on the 3rd May directing him to postpone the work pending the disposal of the case and to report by return of post the length and other particulars of the bund put up by the defendant. Vencataramanien the Dy Rayasam was asked how the Takeed was despatched and he says that on the morning of the 3rd the Tahsildar asked him to prepare the Takeed and to give it over to him, that he accordingly gave it over to the Tahsildar and that he knows not whether the Takeed was despatched.

But it is to be presumed that the copy of the Takeed must have been despatched in as much as it is so written on the back of the 2nd order. But I am not able to ascertain how it was actually despatched whether the Tahsildar gave it to the peon or to the Complainant as usual.

The Kurnam of the village now present before me was asked whether the Sukeed reached the village and he says that they got no such order but that the work has been stopped from the day on which the workmen executed the Athakoli. As for the case I shall submit a report after I have fully gone into it. The examination of the defendants and the Kurnam of the village appears to be necessary; and the Complainant has not appeared; I wish to know whether I must wait for him and conduct the case in his presence or whether I must enquire into the case and submit the report at once.

(Signed) Srimal Rao
Muzumdar.

(Signed) Krishnayan
Rayasam

(True copy)
(Signed) Charles Kough
[illegible]

(True Translation)
L Ramrow
For Collector

[illegible] 1807 [illegible]

Rl

Enclosure No 1 to Copy
from the Collector of [illegible]
to the Accountant General
No 3254, dated [illegible] 1851

Extract from the order book sent to Thadikombu
Tahsildar in the month of June 1847.

[illegible]

271 K.

[illegible]

[illegible]

(True extract)

A Sub Collector

Suit No 1694
10.1307-8/51

Extract from the order book sent to Thadicombu Tahsildar in the month of June 1847.

Dated 14th Ammayanayakanur

No 271

To

The Muzumdar of
Thadicombu Taluk.

In your Arzee No 236 of the 3rd Instant, you have stated that, in obedience to the order requiring you to enquire and report whether necessary instructions had been issued to the Nattamgar under the order of the 30th April directing that the Construction of the new tank higher up the one at Rajakapatti be suspended till the result of the Complaint made by Deurijal Krishnien against Rappa naik the Nattamgar of Kumarapalayam regarding the same be known

You have caused the Takeed file to be searched;

that you found out a copy from which it appeared that a Takeed was written to the Nattamgar on the 3rd May to the effect that the said work must be postponed and that he must report the length and and other particulars of the bund put up by the defendant.

that Vencataramanaiyan the Dy Rayasam when asked how the Takeed was despatched said that on the morning of the 3rd May he had prepared and given over the Takeed to the Tahsildar Letchumana Row as desired by him and that he did not know how it was despatched. and that the Karnam of the village said that the Takeed did not reach them in the village. The complainant has oftentimes represented to me

that Rayasam Krishnien & peon Vencataramaniew with the object of favoring the defendant tore off the Takeed prepared and signed by the Tahsildar that they did not send it to the Nattamgar and that when called to question they have resolved to allege that the office copy of the Takeed was in the file that they did not care whether the Takeed did or did not reach the Nattamgar and that they were not responsible for its safe delivery. In conformity with his representations it is now seen that the office copy of the Takeed is in the file while the Takeed has not actually reached the Nattamgar. It is the duty of the Rayasam to make a copy of the Takeed signed by the Tahsildar and to hand it over to the peon for despatch: and the Tahsildar has no reason to keep it with him.

Moreover it appears that Letchumana Row the Tahsildar had been sick even before the 3rd on which day the Takeed is said to have been written and that he then remained at home without attending to his office business. Even if the Tahsildar had ordered the Takeed to be prepared on the 3rd still he would have given it over for immediate despatch and it is not likely that he would have kept it with him. It seems therefore that they have thrown the full responsibility on the deceased Tahsildar only to get themselves rid of it. The Kattungar of that village appeared in the Huzur and was asked whether he got any Takeed to stop the work and he said he got no such order. The peon (Ramy) is therefore suspended for 3 months. Rayasam Krishnien Came

came and personally represented to me that he was absent on leave from the Taluk when all these things took place and it is found that he was then really absent. Nothing can therefore be done with him in connection with this case. He will however be warned that he must be careful in his work and that if found amiss he would be removed from his post.

You will without delay enquire into the case in the presence of the complainant and report to me of the same.

(True extract)

(Signed) Charles Rough
Asst. Collr

(True Translation)

T. Ramrow

For Collector

Enclo. No. 2 to Letter from the Collector of Tanjore to the Accountant General No. 325 dated 25th October, 1881.

In M. No. 9
F.O. No. 7-[illegible]

Extract from the Register of orders issued to [illegible] Tahsildar in January 1848 in [illegible]

No. 46:

[illegible]

1848 [illegible]
[illegible]
28 [illegible]
[illegible]

Actg Collector

[illegible]

Extract from the Register of Orders issued to Tadicombu Tahsildar in January 1848

No. 46.

To. The Tahsildar of Todicombu

The Karnam of Valavankottai village in the said Talug is being tried in the Huzur on a charge brought against him that he has falsified the Teeruvah of the current Fusly 56. His Inavali accounts were found on examination to be wholly at variance with the Karar Saguvadi accounts. In investigating into the Cause of this difference Vencataramia=new who has been doing the duties of the Tamil Gumastah of your Office was asked on oath what accounts like the Summer cultivation accounts &c. he has been usually receiving in his Office. He has made a false statement that he knew nothing of it and that he never got the Summer Cultivation accounts. He has now uttered a deliberate falsehood and besides he was already once suspended for 3 months for some misdemeanour. Seeing that he has been doing the Tamil Gumastah's duty for the last 8 years & that nevertheless he cannot say what accounts he has been receiving in his office. It appears to me that he is a very dishonest man & consequently unfit to hold his appointment. He is therefore dismissed & Ramasawmy Iyer a peon of the Tenkarai Taluq is transferred to his place. The Tashildar of Tenkarai has been instructed to send him over to you. You will include his name in your Establishment list and make him work under you

28th January/1848
Tenkarai

(True Extract)

(Signed) C. Monyh
Ag. Sub. Collr.

(True Translation)

L Ramkow
Ho. Collector

In No-1696
(B. 1307-8/8)

Encl. No 3 to Reply from the Collector of Madura to the Accountant-General No 3751, dated 25-10-81.

[illegible] Extract from the register of order [illegible] in September 1848 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Extract) [illegible]

Ag Sub Collector

[illegible]

Extract from the Register of orders issued to Tadicombu Tahsildar in September 1848.

No. 522.

To, The Tahsildar of Tadicombu.

You have personally represented to me that a Writer is indispensably necessary for your Office. The place of Mahomed Khan a Revenue Peon of the Nillakottah Taluq has fallen vacant & you will transfer one of your Revenue peons to that Taluk and report to me his name & other particulars; and for his place one Vencatramaniavan Angami Gomastah in the Huzur has been appointed. You will include his name in the establishment list and make him do the account business as desired by you.

7 September 1848
Dindigul } (True Extract)

(Signed) Charles Gough.
Ag Sub Collector

(True Translation) L. Ramrow
Hd Collector.

Enclo. No 4 to [illegible]
from the Colr. of Madura
the Accountant General
No. 3257, d/25-10-81.

ஐ 5312

[illegible]/2-11-79

[illegible] 1838 [illegible]

[illegible] 39 [illegible] 40 [illegible]

[illegible] 1886 [illegible]

In No. 1694
P. O. 1307-8/81

experience

[illegible]

[illegible]

Ind. No. 1694
p.r. 1357-8/87

To.

M.R.Ry.

T. Mahadava Rao Avergal
Ag. Tahsildar of
Palni.

Arzi from Vencataramanian Dufterbund of the said Taluk.

In September 1838 I was appointed a peon in this Taluk and continued in that appointment till March 1839. In April 1840 I was appointed Rayasam in the Dindigul Taluk in which place I remained till 1860, when my services were dispensed with in the new arrangements that were then made. I was again appointed in 1864 as Dufterbund of this Palni Taluk. Meanwhile I have several times acted as Gumastah under orders from higher authorities. I am an old man of 58 years and my services extend over a period of 40 years. My son Subbiah has been a copyist of this Court from 1873. He has several times acted as Gumastah and was a relief Gumastah on Rs 15 a month during the Famine period. He has experience of office work and is now 24 years, 7 months and 19 days old. You must yourself be aware of all this as he has done work under you. I therefore request that you will be good enough to write to the higher authorities and to obtain sanction for appointing my son Subbiah as Duftercund in my place.

25th November (Signed) Vencataramanaiu
1877 - 3. Duftercund.

(True Translation)

L. Ram Row
for Collector

Sub No. 1674
D. 13[illegible]

COURT FEE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
EIGHT

5313 25-11-79

[illegible]

1879

V. Subbian

Copiist

To

M. R. Ry.

L. Mahdava Row Avergal

Ag. Tahsildar of

Palni

The humble petition of V. Soobbiyyan a copyist in the said Taluk.

My father Vencataramanaiyan the dufter hand of this office is now over 58 years of age. I therefore request that I may be appointed to that place. I have several times acted as Gumastah of this Taluk and even a relief Gumastah on Rs 15 during the famine. Moreover I have been doing the office business under your orders. I am a very poor man and I humbly request that your honor will be good enough to protect me by giving me that Dufter hand's place. I am now 24 years 7 months and 19 days old. I herein enclose copies of testimonials.

25th November 1879. (Signed) V. Subbien
Copyist

(True Translation)

L. Ramrow

Collector

Julho 1694

710

[illegible]

[illegible]

passed

[illegible]

1879

[illegible]

Julio 1694

No. 710.

To,

The Acting Sub. Collector.
Madura.

Arzi from P. Mahdava Row. Acting Tahsildar of Palni.

Vencataramaniew the Dufterbund of of this Taluq has made a report to me that he is now 58 years old, that his services extend over a period of 40 years and that consequently his place must be given to his son copyist Subbiew aged 24 years 7 months & 17 days. V. Subbiew the copyist has also put in an application that his father's Dufterbund appointment should be given to him as desired by his father Vencataramaniew. The report and the application are herein enclosed.

2. The said Dufterbund Vencataramaniew is an old man of very long service. He was careful in his work & depended solely upon his appointment for his maintenance. Besides this I found him to be a true & willing servant ever since I took charge of this Taluk.

3. It appears that the said Subbiew has been a copyist from 23d May 1873, that he has several times acted as Gumastah, & that he was a Gumastah on Rs 15 during the famine period. Though he has not

passed

(4)

[illegible]

26. 11. 79 [illegible]

[illegible]

710

[illegible]

In No 1694

Inka 1694

passed any Tests still he will do well for a Tamil Gumastah: he has also some Knowledge of English. He wants only 6 months & 11 days to complete the 26th years beyond which he would be ineligible for Government service. I think that if this Subbier be appointed Dufterbund in place of his father the work would also be better done as the son is a young man. They are both to come there for your honors personal inspection. Subbier has had small pox.

Awaiting your orders on the subject

I am your
most obedient servant
(Signed) V. Mahadava Row
Ag Tahsildar

26th November 1879
Palni 3

(True Translation)

L. Ram Row
For Collector

Ind No 1694
fo 137-8/5

R.S.

Forwarded 5 [illegible]
from the Colr of Treasury to
the Accountant General
No 3254, dated 25-10-7.

In. Ind. 1694
F.O. 1307-8/81

[illegible] 367

[illegible] 4749

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

1-12-79

[illegible]

Sub № 1694
10 1807

From

The Ag: Subcollector
Madura

Takeed to M. R. Ry Mahadava Row Tasheeldar of Palney.

Read your Arzi No 710 dated the 26th Instant referring to the request made by Vencataramanien the Dufterband of your office that as he has now become old he must be recommended for pension & that his son must be appointed instead.

The said Vencataramanien is removed from Service & his son Subbien appointed Dufterband in his stead as recommended by you. The Pension application of Vencatramien must be sent without any delay

(Signed) Charles Hough
Ag. Sub Collr.

1-12-79
Dindigul

(True Translation)

L. Ram Row
for Collector

Enclo No 6. to the P.G. from
the Collector of Madura to
the Accountant General
No 3251,, dated 25-10-81.

6

In No. 1694
f.o. 307-5/81

5734

[illegible]

1838 [illegible]

[illegible] 3½ [illegible]

[illegible] 1839 [illegible]

[illegible] 40.10 [illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible]

[illegible]

42 [illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 100 [illegible]

[illegible]

as I am now 68 years old & count 40 years service

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1864 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

58 [illegible] 40 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1879 [illegible]

[illegible] 22 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

266

[illegible]

793

Inwd. 1694
J.D. 130 - 5/8/

As I am now 68 years old & count 40 years service

(16.

[illegible],

To Mahdava Row Naigue
Acting Tahsildar
of Palni.

The humble petition of Vencatramanier late Dufterbund in the said Taluk

On the 19 of September 1838 I was appointed a Revenue Peon of this Taluq on a salary of Rs 3½ per mensem & continued in that place till 1839 - On 2d April 1840 I was transferred to the Dindigul Taluk as a Rayasam on a salary of Rs 4 per mensem. When the Tamil Gumastahs who were drawing Rs 14 a month were dispensed with in 1842 I was ordered by the higher authorities to look their business also in addition to mine; and I though very poorly paid did all their account & Revenue correspondence business. In this manner I continued in that Office till 1852 & whenever a Gumastah on Rs 10 was either suspended or was absent on leave I was always made to act for him. In the new arrangements in which the Nelacotta Taluk was added with Dindigul Taluk a reduction of Establishment was made & my services were dispensed with. Then I began to volunteer continuously & on the 5th of November 1854 I was appointed by the Sub Collector as Dufterbund of this Taluk on Rs 7 per mensem & have continued in it ever since. As I am now 68 years old & count 40 years service

I

I was removed from the place of the Dufter-bund & copyist. Subbayen appointed instead at my own request —

I am a poor man of very long service I therefore request that your honor will be good enough to apply to the proper authorities and to obtain sanction for my pension —

23d December 1879
Palni

(Signed) Vencatramanien

(True Translation)

L. Ramkom
Forl. Collector

Dutch 1694
fo 1307-8/31

R.S

15

No
793

[illegible]

[illegible] 367 [illegible]

[illegible] 22 [illegible]

[illegible]

23-12-79

No 793.

67

To,
The Sub Collector
Madura District.

Arzi from T. Mahadeva Row Tahsildar of Palni. As Vencataramanien the Dufterbund of this Taluk has become very old his son Subbien has been appointed instead in accordance with your order No 367 dated the 1st Instant.

2. As I have been required in the said order to obtain an application from him for his pension & to forward it to your Office I have herein enclosed his Service Register & his pension application dated the 22d instant. Awaiting your orders in this matter.

I beg to remain,
Your most Obedt. Servant.
(Signed) T. Mahadeva Row.
Ag Tahsildar.

(True Translation)
L. Ramrow
For Collector.

Lc. No 1669/1
P. 1307-3/1

[illegible]
[illegible] 23-12-79
[illegible]
[illegible] 793
[illegible]

MADURA
25 DEC 79
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE

P 1307-3/31

R.8

Enclo. No 7 to reply from the Collector of Madura to the Accountant General Madras, No 3257, dated 25th October, 1881.

7

Ind. No. 1694/
1. 0. 1307-8/81

Madura Sub Collector's office
Dindigal.
31st May 1881.

No 182.

From
John David Rees.
Ag Sub Collector of
Madura.

To.
Charles Hough Esqre.
Ag Collector of
Madura

Sir.

I have the honour to report that Alagirisami Naidu and Venkataramana Iyer who were respectively late Shroff and Duftorbund of Palni Taluq have applied for pension and I herewith submit for your orders the papers relating to their cases which are set forth below.

2. The Shroff reported to the Tahsildar on the 25th November 1877 that he was 58 years old with 37 years standing in Government service and requested that the post held by him might be

transferred to the name of his son Subba Naik. The Tahsildar supported this request in his Arzi No. 709 of 26th November 1879 and the arrangement was approved by yourself. But the Shroff did not put in his claim for pension at this time. On 22nd December 1879 22 days after the appointment of his son he applied to the Tahsildar to recommend him for pension and the latter accordingly reported the matter to this office on 23rd December 1879. Thereupon an order was sent on 20th January 1880 to the effect that the applicant should produce a medical certificate of unfitness from the Zillah Surgeon and that his service register which was received without verification should be returned duly verified. He produced the medical certificate before the Tahsildar on 15th March 1880 nearly 3½ months after his retirement and the latter submitted it to this office on 31st December 1880.

3. On the same date as the Shroff, the Duffedar also applied to the Tahsildar for the appointment of his son to his post as well as for pension for himself on the ground that he was 58 yrs old with 40 yrs Service. The latter accordingly proposed the son's appointment in his arzi No 710 dated 26th November 1879 without however touching on the subject of pension and the following order was passed by you:

" Approved. Tahsildar will lose no time in submitting application for pension."

He put in another application to the Tahsildar for pension on 22nd December 1879 and this was dealt with simultaneously with the Shroff's application and stands at the same stage.

4. The services of these two individuals have been completely verified as would appear from the accompanying statements prepared to show their services.

5 There has been a serious delay in the matter which I regret and which was not however made in this office. It was also unavoidable as much correspondence was necessary before the services could be verified.

6. I would remark here

as in my letter No 183 of this date that pension application can be disposed of at once if these — from the applicants point of view — conditional resignations are not accepted — that is if the applicants superiors refuse to recognize the claim of the relative in whose favour applicant resigns in any way till the applicant is out of office & his pension application in.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obdt. servt
(Signed) J. D. Lees.
Ag. Sub Collector

(True copy) L Rankin
Ag
For Collector

Dec No 1694
fo No 1207 & 8/ff

Z.R.

L. No 1694
In col 1307-8/81

Enclo No 8 to reply
From the Collector of Madura
to Accountant General
No 3254, dated 25-10-81.

Name of officer	Caste or Race	Residence	Father's Name	Father's Residence	Date of birth	The entries in these columns are to be reattested every five years; each attestation should be carefully ruled off the date may be clearly shown.								
						Age			Exact Height		Personal marks of identification	Date of entry	Signature of officer	Signature and designation of the head of the office
						Years	Ms.	Days	Feet	Inches				
Venkatramanien	Brahmin	Palni	Subbiah	Karapatti	5th April 1821	52	–	21	5	4	A scar on the left side of the forehead. Brown colors.	25-4-73	வெங்கடராமையர்	Ag Ex
						58	3	16	5	4		21-7-79	வெங்கடராமையர்	[illegible]
														Collector

MADURA
JUN 81

1 Name of Appointment	2 Nature i.e. whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance	6 Date of appointment	7 Signature of officer	8 Character of officer — No entry need be made in this Column except when there is anything either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the office.	10 Date of termination of appointment	11 Reason of termination e.g. transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the office.
Revenue (Peon Asamy) in Iyempulli Taluk.	Substantive.	〃	3 1/2.	〃	19th September 1838.		Suspended for 3 Months from 14th June to 13th September 1847.		30th April 1839.	Promotion	
Revenue Rayasam Kumuki Do	Acting.	Revenue peon Asami	4	〃	1st May 1839.				31st May 1839	Transfer	
Revenue Peon Asami Do Taluk.	Substantive	〃	3 1/2	〃	1st June 1839.				31st March 1840	Promotion	
Revenue Rayasam Kumuki Tadicombu Taluk.	Do.	〃	4	〃	1st April 1840.				7th January 1841.	Promotion	
Police Do - Do.	Acting	Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	8	〃	8th January 1841.				7th July 1841	Return of Permanent Incumbent	
Revenue Do Do.	Substantive	〃	4	〃	8th July 1841.				27th January 1848	Dismissed	
Revenue Peon Asami Tadicombu Taluk	- Do -	〃	3 1/2	〃	7th September 1848.				31st August 1849	Promotion	
Revenue Rayasam Kumuki Do Taluk	Do	〃	4	〃	1st September 1849.				25th February 1852	Promotion	
Jilathar, Meloor Taluk	Acting	Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	10	〃	26th February 1852.				10th March 1852	Return of Permanent Incumbent	
Revenue Rayasam Kumuki Tadicombu Taluk.	Substantive	〃	4	〃	11th March 1852.				30th September 1858	Promotion	
Jilathar Madura	Acting	Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	10	〃	1st October 1858.				15th Decr 1858	Return of Permanent Incumbent	
Revenue Rayasam Tadicombu Taluk.	Substantive	〃	4	〃	16th December 1858.				25th May 1859	Promotion	
Jilathar of Erode	Acting	Revenue Rayasam	10	〃	26th May 1859.				28th August 1859.	Return of Permanent Incumbent	

Name of appointment	Nature i.e. whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary	Substantive appointment if the new appointment be acting only	Pay	Acting allowance	Date of appointment	Signature of officer	Character of officer — No entry need be made in this column except when there is anything either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed	Signature & designation of immediate head of the office	Date of termination of appointment	Reason of termination, e.g. transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent	Signature and designation of immediate head of the office
Revenue Rayasam Kumuki Tadicombu Taluk	Substantive	"	4	.	29th August 1859				30th November 1860	Discontinued in the new arrangement	
Dufferbund Palni Taluk	Acting	"	7		24 October 1864				31st December 1864	Confirmation	
Do Do	Permanent	"	7		1st January 1865				22nd August 1867	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	3½	7½	23rd August 1867				5th September 1867	Return of permanent Incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	"	7	"	6th Septr 1867				22nd October 1867	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	15	"	23 October 1867				31st Do	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	"	7		1 November 1867				30th April 1868	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	3½	7½	1st May 1868				31st May 1868	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	-	7	.	1 June 1868				3 June 1869	Promotion	
Gumastah	Acting	Dufferbund	15	"	4th Do 1869				8th July 1869	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent		7	"	9th July 1869				31st March 1870	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	3½	7½	1st April 1870				20th April 70	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	"	7	-	21st Do				31st May 1870	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	15	-	1st June 1870				28th June 1870	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	"	7	"	29th Do				5th August 70	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	3½	7½	6th August 70				26th Do	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	"	7	"	27th Do				31st Do	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	15	"	1st Septr 70				31st Octr 70	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent		7	"	1st Novr 70				11th April 71	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	3½	7½	12th April 1871				21st June 1871	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	"	7	"	22nd June 71				31st March 77	Promotion	
Gumastah Do	Acting	Dufferbund	15	"	1st April 77				30th April 77	Return of permanent incumbent	
Dufferbund Do	Permanent	-	7	"	1st May 77				3rd December 1879	Retired	

Collector

Leaves.

Leave on half pay from 21st to 31st August 60.
Do — Do — from 1st to 7th September 1860.
Privilege leave from 1st to 10th March 1865
Leave on half pay from 11th March to 30th April 1865.
Do — Do from 15th to 31st July 1865.
Do without pay from 1st to 31st August 1865.
Do — Do from 5th April to 31st May 1866.
Do on half pay from 10th April to 20th April 1867.
Do without pay from 21st to 26th May 1867.
Do on half pay from 24th to 30th November 1869
Privilege leave for 1 month from 27th November to 26th December 1871.
Do — Do from 25th May to 21st June 1876.
Leave without pay from 3rd October to 11th November 1877
Do — Do — from 26th November to 10th December 1878.

[illegible]

Dutch 1694
Inv · 13078/81

No 381

85

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Venkataramaiyan
2. Father's name. Subbiah.
3. Sect or Caste. Brahmin
4. Residence. Palni
5. Present or last employment. Dufterbund Palni Taluk.
6. Date of beginning of service 19th September 1838
7. Date of end of service 3d December 1879.

		Y.	M.	D
8. Length of service including breaks	... ...	41	2	15
of which superior	... ...	27	4	3
inferior	... ...	13	10	12
non-qualifying and breaks	... ...			

9. Class of {pension gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid gratuity under Section 117 (a) of the Civil Pensions Code (5th Edition).
10. [Average] emoluments or pay.
11. Proposed ~~pension~~.
12. Proposed gratuity. Rupees 42 – " – "
13. Date from which to commence.
14. Place of payment. Palni
15. Age of applicant on this date. years 59 – Months 3 – Days 20. (5 of 21)
16. Height. 5 feet, 4 inches.
17. Marks. Brown color; a scar on the left side of the forehead.
18. Date. Madura 10th August 1881

15 AUG 81

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

(1881) Deptt

From,
The Collector of
Madura.

To,
The Accountant Genl.
Madras.

Dated 10th } August, 1881
Recd

No 2310,

Enclo. 4

Submitting application for Gratuity on behalf of Venkataramaien, Dufterbund, Palni Taluk, Madura District.

Int No. 1694
Mor 1357 of 18/8

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Venkataramaiyan

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Iyempulli Taluk	Revenue Peon Asami	3	8	"	"	19th September 1838	30th April 1839	"	7	12	"	"	"	a	By the pay abstracts in the Taluq and Huzur offices.	(a) This period of service though verified from the records of the Collector's office, Madura, cannot count for pension as it was interrupted by dismissal from the Public Service.
Do.	Acting Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	4	"	"	"	1st May 1839	31st May 1839	"	1	"	"	"	"			
Do.	Revenue Peon Asami	3	8	"	"	1st June 1839	31st March 1840	"	10	"	"	"	"			
Tadicombu Taluk	Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	4	"	"	"	1st April 1840	7th January 1841	"	9	7	"	"	"			
Do.	Acting Police Do.	8	"	"	"	8th January 1841	7th July 1841	"	6	"	"	"	"			
Do.	Revenue Do.	4	"	"	"	8th July 1841	13th June 1847	5	11	6	"	"	"	Suspended.		
Period of suspension —						14th June 1847	13th September 1847	"	"	"	"	3	"			
Do.	Do.	4	"	"	"	14th September 1847	27th January 1848	"	4	14	"	"	"	Dismissed.		
	Out of employ					28th January 1848	6th September 1848	"	"	"	"	7	10			
Do.	Revenue Peon Asami	3	8	"	"	7th September 1848	31st August 1849	"	11	25	"	"	"			Verified from the records of the Collector's office Madura, and the verification of the service from 1st May 65 confirmed by reference to the annual returns on record in this office.
Do.	Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	4	"	"	"	1st September 1849	25th February 1852	2	5	25	"	"	"			
Mélür Taluk	Acting Jilethar	10	"	"	"	26th February 1852	10th March 1852	"	"	13	"	"	"			
Tadicombu Taluk	Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	4	"	"	"	11th March 1852	30th September 1858	6	6	20	"	"	"			
Madúr	Acting Jilathar	10	"	"	"	1st October 1858	15th December	"	2	15	"	"	"			

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Venkataramaiyan

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Tadicombu Taluk	Revenue Rayasam	4	u	u	u	16th December 1858	25th May 1859	u	5	10	u	u	u			
Erodu.	Acting Jilathar	10	u	u	u	26th May 1859	28th August 1859	u	3	3.	u	u	u			
Tadicombu Taluk.	Revenue Rayasam Kumuki	4	u	u	u	29th August 1859	30th November 1860	1	3	2	u	u	u	Discontinued in the new arrange:ment.		
		Out of employ.				1st December 1860	23d October 1864	u	u	u	3	10	23			
Palni Taluk.	Defterbund	7	u	u	u	24th October 1864	3d December 1879.	15	1	10	u	u	u			
								36	5	12	4	9	3.			
								27	4	2						
								41	2	15						

Total period of ~~superior~~ inferior service… ………

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. The applicant was suspended for 3 months in 1847.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. No gratuity or pension has already been received by the applicant.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks. The full name of the applicant and that of his father are as given on the 1st page. The Service Book of the applicant as well as the Medical and Last Pay Certificates are herewith sent. The service claimed has been established and should be admitted. The applicant requested on the 25th November 1879, that as he was 58 years old with 40 years service his son might be appointed to his post. This request was complied with and on the 22d December 1879 the applicant applied for pension. Hence this application. The delay in this case is explained by the Sub Collector in his letter No 182 dated 31st May 1881 Copy of which has been forwarded with my application for pension on behalf of Alagirisami Naidu, late Shroff Palni Taluk, dated 22d July 1881 No 2037. The applicant's services having been imperfectly filled up in his Service Book had to be verified and a fresh book prepared in this office which is also enclosed.

Collector.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No. $\frac{ADV}{328}$ Certified that qualifying Service in Inferior Grades has been duly proved for 27 years 4 months and 4 days. Should Government under the explanation furnished by the Sub Collector in his letter No. 182 dated the 31st May/81, be pleased to accept the Medical Certificate post dated to the extent of 3 months and 13 days, the applicant having resigned his appointment in favor of his son on the 3d December/79, while the Certificate is dated the 15th March/80, notwithstanding that such acceptance is opposed to the provisions of rule 1 Section 102 of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition, an Invalid Gratuity not exceeding Rupees 42 „ „ equal to 6 months pay will be admissible under the provisions of Sections 102 and 117(a) of the Code.

Should Government, however, be further pleased to condone the break in the continuity of the Service, intervening between January/45 to September/48, caused by dismissal from the Public Service owing to certain dishonest practices played by the applicant at the time, his total period of qualifying service will amount to 36 years 5 months and 13 days, entitling him to a pension not exceeding Rs. 3-8-„ per mensem. But the Grant of ~~a~~ pension will need the previous Sanction of the Government of India in the Financial Department, since the Condonation should be under the provision of a Sanction which was not in force at the time of his retirement from service in December/79. Vide Sections 6 and 57 of the Civil Pension Code 4th Edition.

From the Extract furnished in support of dismissal, the applicant's past character and conduct though reported to have been "Good" do not appear to be thoroughly satisfactory. It rests with Government to make such reduction in the amount of any retiring allowance that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to rule 1 Section 65 of the Code.

The delay in the submission of the application is explained in reply to this Office letter No. $\frac{ADK}{115}$ dated the 29th August 1881.

Fort Saint George,
Acct. Genl's Office,
3d November 1881.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

M. Hla Oung
Asst. Accountant General
for Accountant General

4 – 11 – 81

Pension (1881) Deptt.
No. 1694

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application.	10th August 1881
Name of applicant.	Venkataramanaiyan
Last appointment.	Duftерbund, Palni Taluk,
Class of {pension or gratuity.	
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

With order 1307 & 1308

Pension Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~ papers,

From the Collector of Madura d/ 10 August 81 No 2310

" the Accountant General d/- 3rd November 81 No $\frac{A. D7}{328}$

Petr. retired on 3rd Decr. 1878
Rule was published 16th do.

Order thereon 30th November 1881 No 1307—

Subject to the confirmation of the Government of India the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to give 13 days retrospective effect to the provisions of Section 98 (b) of the Civil Pension Code 5th Edition) ~~which came into force only 13 days after the applicant's retirement~~ and thereby to condone the interruption of 7 months and 9 days in the Service of Venkataramanaiyan late Dufterbund Palni Taluq Madura District, and under

Sections 102 and 117(b) of the said Code to grant him an invalid pension of Rupees (3-8-0) three and annas eight per mensem, payable at Palni, Madura District from the 4th December 1879 in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of thirty-six years five months and thirteen days.

2. Under Section 128 (Rule 2) the medical certificate has been accepted, and as it clearly appears from the Sub Collector's order dated 1st December 1879 that the grant of a pension to the applicant was contemplated when by that order he was permitted to retire there seems to be no contravention of Rule 1 Section 102 of the Code.

3 The great delay in the submission of this case has

has already been noticed by G. O. 13th September 1881 No 873 -

To The Accountant General with Rgts
Collector of Madura

No 1308
Copy to the Government of India for confirmation with reference to para 1.

Fort St George
30 November 1881

Desp'd 10-12-81

Govt. of {188 } Madras.

[illegible] 17/82

Dated 30 } Nov 1881, No. 1307
Recd. 10 } [illegible]

Nos.

Granting subject to the
confirmation of the Govt. of
India an Invalid pen=
=sion of Rs 3-8-0 to Venkata=
=ramana Ayyar, late
Duftubund, Madura
District