

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Russian DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1306	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated 30 . 11	1881 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Letter from Special Agent to the Agent to the Govt of 11 December 1880 No 9.

do - from - do - to - 19 January 1881 No 10

Letter to Chief Secretary to Government of 28 January

do - do - of 7 November 1880 No 74

Proceedings of the Madras Government of 30 November 18

H 12
Received
G.O. 30 Nov 1881 No 1366
Received as the following
H

Vinayapatam
Collector's Office
28 July 1882
390
Collector and
to the Governor
Vinayapatam

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir

Referring to the Proceedings of
the Madras Government dated 30 November
1881 No 1306 Pension Department I have the honor
to forward herewith an application for gratuity
in behalf of Savidu Venkatakriestnamma
Aite 1st Gimmasha of Dorrungapur Taluq. for
his Superior Service amounting to 13 years 7
months and 25 days.


This individual in his capacity
of public servant committed criminal breach
of trust and is now under imprisonment. Of
the judgment in his case and the papers

noted in the margin are also forwarded
for reference.

It will be observed that the
correspondence regarding this man has been
going on since December 1880 and the delay in
the submission of this application is due to the
non receipt till lately of the information required
to complete the entries in his Service Register
and application for pension.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Yours Most Obedt Servant

As Collector and Agent
to the Government



In N^o 1018

N^o 10

Special Appd Agent's Office
Madrast 8/19 January 1881
VU

From

H^e Special Appd Agent
Madrast

To

H^e Agent to the Governor
Madrast

And

In continuation of Mr. Fremantle's
letter to you No 9 of 11 December 1880, I have the
honor to inform you that, when at Vizianagaram,
I examined the widow and sister-in-law of the
late Dronnagapur Sub Magistrate. They both
contradicted in toto the Beed Gimmasta's statements.

2. This being so, I think it only fair to
the Beed Gimmasta that he should be given
a chance of clearing himself on a regular enquiry
and accordingly recommend that he be prosecuted
for Criminal Breach of Trust. The sums for
which he has hitherto failed to account amount

to Rs 610 : 8 : 1

3. The Beed Gimmasta avers that he

obtained the late Deputy Tahsildar's endorsement on the following cheques.

Cheque No 41 of 1st October 1878 for Rs 319:2:1

do do 44 of 9th do — for Rs 221:6:1

and the widow's mark on the back of the following cheques.

1. Cheque for Rs 150. Salary of the Dorrungahms Salary Establishment for Sept 1878.
2. Cheque for Rs 200 expenses incurred for repairs for elephants.

These cheques are, I presume, now in the Accountant General's Office. At any rate the first three above, I think, he obtained from the Accountant General, as it is important to know whether this assertion of the Head Gumnasta is true.

The statements of the widow & Sister in law as well as a fair copy of Mr. Trevelyan's letter and its enclosure are herewith sent.

Yours &c

Wm O. Moore.

Asst. Secy. to the Govt.

True copy.

Ag. Agent to the Govt.

10/10/8

11/10/8
cashed a cheque for Rs 150 which included Rs 100, September's pay for the Deputy Tahsildar. This should have been paid to the widow.

Now the Head Gumnasta says he did pay these sums to the Deputy Tahsildar and to the widow. And the question is whether he did so or whether he embezzled them. He asserts that he paid the Rs 150 cashed on the 18th to the Deputy Tahsildar as he lay on his sick bed, in the presence of the wife, a sister-in-law, and the 2^d Gumnasta Jaggaia. Now these ladies live in Visianagarum and I hardly felt justified in asking them to come up again to the hills for examination; but the former has written a letter (12-12-82) but they were absent from Visianagarum. I sent for them to come to Waltam, but they have not come. They live in Polupilli near Visianagarum. Jaggaia denies that he saw the sum paid. The Head Gumnasta adds that he took the signature of the Deputy Tahsildar on the back of the cheque. This would be most irregular

as the Head Gumnasta was then performing the duties of Treasury Officer. However the truth or falsehood of the statement might be ascertained. The cheques cashed on the 24th and 26th were cashed after the Sub-magistrate's death. The Head Gumnasta says he paid the widow Rs 108 on the 24th in the presence of two peons and a police Constable. One of these persons is dead; the other two contradict the assertion. The Head Gumnasta says he also paid Rs 62 on the 26th in the presence of a Gumnasta, a peon, and another police Constable. All three deny it. He further says he took the widow's receipt on the back of these cheques. Both the cheques were drawn in the name of the Deputy Tahsildar and none but he or the official acting in his place should endorse them. The signature of a third person conveys no meaning to a Treasury eye. In the present case, the third person cannot write, and a mark (if there be a mark) is the only support

of the allegation. Lastly, it is especially to be noticed that the Head Gumnasta wrote in the Disbursements Account that the 8 Rupees of one cheque of the 24th was taken by the Deputy Tahsildar. This entry appears against none of the other cheques; it would seem then that these amounts were not taken by the Deputy Tahsildar. There is therefore so much ground for suspicion against the Head Gumnasta that I have the honor to leave it to your consideration whether a pension should be given. His service lasted only 15 years, he is thus entitled to only a reduced pension (one third).

I have &c.
(Sd) H. Tremere
As Spl. Asst. Secy.

1. June 1881

As Agent to the Governor

No 55.

^{Vizagapatnam}
Govt Agents office
Camp. Nourungapur
28th January 1881.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

In submitting herewith copies of letters
Nos 9 and 10 dated 11th December last and 19th inst.
respectively received from the Acting Special
Appt Agent, setting forth certain charges of
embezzlement against Venkatakrishnamma
the Head Jemastah of the Nourungapur Taluk.
I have the honor to request that the grant of the
pension he has applied for may be deferred till
his prosecution on a charge of Breach of Trust,
which I have ordered, is over, and the result —
reported to Govt.

I have &c

/ Signed / J. H. Garstin
Agent to the Governor
/ True Copy /

Ag Agent to the Governor

In No 108

7th

Pension Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read letter from the
Agent to the Governor Enayapatam of
November 1884 No 774.

Order thereon 30 November 1884 No 1306

The papers will be returned to
the Collector with the intimation that an
application for pension in due form should
be submitted to Government through the
Accountant General, when the case will be
considered.

It is observed that the date
of Indigment is not entered in the Copy for-
-warded nor is the Service book completed.

/True Extract/

W. J. Davies
as Under Secretary

/True Copy/

Ag Collector & Agent
to the Governor

En No 1018

Ind

No. 774.

70

To.

The Chief Secretary to Government
Madras.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No 55 dated
28 January last and with reference to your
official Memorandum Pension Department
No 75 dated 15 September last, I have the honor
to report that Venkata Krishnamma the late Head
Gurnastak of Nawanagar Taluk was convicted
of breach of trust as public servant under two
counts and was sentenced to 6 months simple
imprisonment and Rs 100 fine under one count
and five months simple imprisonment and
60 Rupees fine under the other. I enclose a copy
of the judgment in the case in order that the Govern-
ment may consider whether a pension should
under the circumstances be allowed to him. His
Service lasted for 15 years and he is therefore
eligible only for one third pension.

The Medical certificate which he produced
and his Service Register are enclosed.

I have recd.
(Signed) A. G. Turner.
Agent to the Govt.

(For Copy)

In A. 1015

For

as agent to the Govt.

90
Proceedings of the Special Assistant Magistrate
Visagapatnam.

Calendar Case No 45 of 1881

Regina Versus Lavadi Venkatakrishnaiah
Charge under Section 409 of the Penal Code

Finding Guilty

Sentence 1st Count (5) five months simple
imprisonment and Rs 1000 (one thousand)
rupees fine or in default 3 three
months further simple imprison-
ment.

2nd Count (5) five months simple
imprisonment and a fine of
Rs 262 sixty two or in default

(3) three months simple im-
prisonment.

Judgment.

The defendant Lavadi Venkata
Krishnaiah is accused of having,

in No 10/18

in his capacity of a public servant, committed
criminal breach of trust in respect of
certain monies amounting in all to
Rs 570.8.1.

2 Defendant was Head Gumarast of
Kourangapur Taluk in October 1878
In the second week of that month
Sitaramayya, Deputy Tahsildar of that
place, became very ill and was
confined to his house; on the 22nd October
he died.

The amounts alleged to have been embezzled are

1 Rs 400.8.1. 2 Rs 319.2.1. as per Cheque A
(for expenses
of elephants)
Rs 221.6. " " " Cheque B
for August do.

540.8.1. Minus Rs 140, the

Merchant's pen which
defendant handed
over to the new Deputy
Tahsildar when he
took charge.

2 Rs 8. " " " as per Cheque E (for elephants' expenses)

3 Rs 62. " " " as per Cheque F (for elephants' houses
and feeding)
4 Rs 100. " " " as per Cheque D (for of the Deputy
Tahsildar for
September 1878.

136

3 Cheque A is entered in the disbursement
accounts (C) as having been cashed on
the 19th October. Across it is written what
purports to be the late Deputy Tahsildar's signature
and it is not contended for the prosecution
that this signature was forged. According to
one of the prosecution witnesses, whose evidence
I see no reason to disbelieve on the key
of the Treasury remained with the Deputy
Tahsildar until he died and was handed
over to the defendant by the Deputy Tahsildar's
widow on the day after his death.
The defendant says that he had the cash
box conveyed to the Deputy Tahsildar's house
on the 19th and that the money was then taken
out of the box and handed over to the Deputy
Tahsildar in the presence of the Deputy
Tahsildar's wife and sister-in-law. His
present account of the transaction differs

in some respects from that which I
gave to Mr. Freeman when he
held a departmental enquiry into the
matter, and the facts and circumstances
being having been ascertained, but in regard
of facts that there is no direct evidence
that the amount of the cheque at some
time passed into the defendant's hands
and that it is admitted that the Deputy
Tahsildar used sometimes to receive the
cash check opened at his house. I have
refrained from charging him with complicity
in respect of it. The Mahant's part
of the amount of the cheque was received
from the widow Subbamma and it was
as above mentioned have been
delivered to the new Deputy Tahsildar

4. With regard to the remaining charges
the case is different. There were all cases
after the Deputy Tahsildar's death and
when the defendant was admittedly
in charge of the Treasury. These amounts

were all admitted by the property of the
Deputy Tahsildar's widow Subbamma,
who holds an heirship Certificate. The
Accounts of Sargars E and F had been
advanced by the Deputy Tahsildar from
his own pocket. 11/12

5. The defendant now says that he says
that he paid the whole of these Rs 170 to the
widow at one time, at her house, some
days after the Deputy Tahsildar's death
in the presence of Jagannatham, Muthukrishna
Jagannadharao, Jantha, Hussain, Muthu,
and the Deputy Tahsildar's sister-in-law
Ramanamma. He however admits that he
stated to Mr. Freeman that he paid
the Rs 100 to the widow on one occasion
and the Rs 62 to her on another occasion
and that he then mentioned one Kilambore
but not Hussain or Jantha as having been
present when the Rs 100 were handed over.

All these alleged witnesses have been examined, but all except Sanku and Shusma deny that they ever saw any money paid by the deft & sent to the widow, and Sanku and Shusma assert that they saw only Rs 100 handed over and that Mukunda was not present at the time.

6 On the backs of the Cheques D, E, & F is entered what purports to be the widow's mark. The words mark of Omairamma widow of Sh. Sitaramappa are admitted to have been written by Mokkhirala Jagannath Rao who was at the time acting under Major Barclay's orders as Assistant Clerk to the deft. This man however denies having seen the widow affix her mark and says that when under the deft's orders he wrote the words no mark had as yet been affixed to the backs of the Cheques.

126
The widow, who cannot read, denies that she at any time affixed her mark to any such documents. Mokkhirala Jagannath Rao should, in my opinion have declined to write the words without seeing the mark affixed, but he pleads defence and orders and it is possible that he may have acted with fraudulent intent.

7 The second Guastah Jagappa says that he saw the deft hand over Rs 8 the amount of Cheque E to Mokkhirala Jagannath Rao for delivery to the widow.

I have therefore charged defendant with breach of trust in respect of the contents of Cheques D and E only.

8 That he is guilty of that offence in respect of the contents of those two Cheques

I have no doubt. He was the same officer
at the time when they were sent to
and he has altogether failed to account
for the disappearance of the amounts.

9. Old man (about 60 years of age)
has been already been imprisoned for
negligence matters. I sentenced him in
the first Court to five months simple
imprisonment and a fine of Rs 100 or in
default to three months further simple
imprisonment; and on the second Court
to five months simple imprisonment and
a fine of Rs 50 or in default to three
months further simple imprisonment.
The amounts of the fines are so small
as may be realized to be paid to the
Honourable British Commissioner.

10. The Cause of the long delay in the
disposal of this case is that I have
been a departmental enquiry into the
matter but had not disposed of it or

examined the widow and her sister
when he gave over charge in December 1880.
In that month I examined them and
recommended the prosecution of the
defendant asking that certain
papers be sent to me. A sanction was
given and the papers reached me in
March 1881. I issued process but the
witnesses did not attend, and in May
I went into camp returning to Horaputli
in the middle of June when I again
issued processes and heard the case partly
but had to adjourn in order to obtain
certain documents from the Chauranga
pur Taluk office and the Treasury
Deputy Collector's Office. These only reached
me a few days before I left for the plains
and I fixed the final hearing for this
place it being most convenient to the
widow and her sister that they

Should attend here.

Wallain
13 Sept 1881.

Signed P. H. Moore

Ag. Silvestre May 1881.

(True Copy)

W. Turner

Presented to the Governor

1887

Department

Enclosure I

In a letter from the
Agent to the Governor
Virgin Islands dated
7 November 1887 St 774

Subject

Copy of the judgment
in case St 45 of 1887

St 1743
St 1018

Pension Department

N^o 75.

15A

Official Memorandum.

With reference to his
letter of the 28th January 1881 N^o 55
the Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatnam
is informed that no application
for pension on behalf of Venkata-
: Krishnaiah the Head Gumastah
of the Nourangapur taluk has yet
been received in this Office.

Fort St. George }
15th September 81 }
By Order /
Edw. J. A. Davies
Ag. Under Secy.

To The Agent to the Governor
in Vizagapatnam.

1881

Department

Enclosure 2

In a letter from the
Agent to the Governor
Vizagapatnam dated
7 November 1881
N^o 774

Subject

Service Register of
L. Venkata Krishnaiah
and his medical
certificate to V. P. S. G. S.

In N^o 1018.

166

Vizagapatam Governor's Agent's Office,

No. 55 Camp Kourangpuri 28th January 1881.
Encl. 2. Decd 7th February 1881
J. A. Garstin Esq^r C.S.I.

FROM

AGENT TO THE GOVERNOR,
VIZAGAPATAM.

TO

The Honble R. Davidson Esq. C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George.

SIR,

In submitting herewith copies of letters nos 9 and 10 dated 11th December last and 19th instant respectively received from the acting Special Assistant Agent, setting forth certain charges of embezzlement against Venkatakrishnamma, the Head Gummastah of the Kourangpuri taluk, I have the honor to request that the grant of the pension he has applied for may be deferred till his prosecution on a charge of Breach of Trust, which I have ordered, is over, and the

result reported to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Agent to the Government

Dec 1879

Special Asst. Agents' office

To

Hallam dated 11th December
17th

From

The Special Asst. Agent
Vizagapatam

To

The Agent to the Government
Port Saint George
Vizagapatam

Sir, When I assumed charge of this office in the latter end of last year, I found that Venkatakrishna, Head Gunast of Kaurangapur had applied to take his pension on medical certificate of infirmity. There were complaints however which determined me to examine certain of his accounts. The examination embraced a number of documents, and required references to Vizianagaram and Vizagapatam. Further, though it began in January, it was interrupted by my necessary absence for some months in Madagula and Malakangiri. It has therefore been delayed to a degree I must regret.

2. On the 22nd October 1879, A. Sila Ramair Deputy Tahsildar of Kaurangapur died. He

had been ill for some days and the Head Gumashtah
is the only signature in the Disbursement Account
after the 17th. Thus it is entered that the latter
cashed these cheques;

18th October for July expenses elephants ^{Rs 2/1} 319-2-1.

Some date. For August bills for elephants 221-6-0

21st ... For elephant 17th money } 8-0-0
Taken by the Sub Magistrate

26th For elephant hauled Rs 30 } 62-0-0
For elephants' feeding - 32

Total of the four cheques 610-8-1

These all concern elephants which Major
Blackland left in Pauranagar, and the
expenses of which, in all probability, the
Deputy Tahsildar had paid out of his own
pocket. The money therefore should have
been paid to him, as his widow could not
on the 24th October the Head Gumashtah
cashed a cheque for Rs 150, which
included Rs 100, September's pay for the
Deputy Tahsildar. This should have been
paid to the widow.

Now the Head Gumashtah says he did pay

these

wrote in the Disbursement Account that the 8th of
of one cheque of the 24th, was taken by the
Deputy Tahsildar. This entry appears against
none of the other cheques; it would seem then
that these amounts were not taken by the
Deputy Tahsildar. There is therefore so
much ground for suspicion against the
Head Gumashtah that I have the honor to
leave it to your consideration whether a
pension should be given. His service
lasts only 15 years, he is thus entitled
to only a reduced pension (one third)

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
(Signed) H. Fremantle.

Ag. Special Asst. Agent

(True Copy)

(Signed) P. W. Moore

Ag. Special Asst. Agent

(True Copy)

(Signed) M. W. Moore
Agent to the Government

(1881) Separation

Enclosure No 1

In letter no 55 to the

28th January 1881, from

the Agent to the Governor
Madras.

Copy of the Special

Assistant Agent to the

no 4 dated 11th Decr

1880.

11201

these sums to the Deputy Tahsildar and to the
widow. And the question is whether he did so,
or whether he embezzled them.

He asserts that he paid the Rs 540-00
Cashed on the 18th to the Deputy Tahsildar
as he lay on his sick bed, in the presence
of the wife, a sister-in-law, and the 2^d Jumaola
Jaggia. Now these ladies live in Vizianagaram,
and I hardly felt justified in asking them
to come up again to the hills for examination,
but the former has written a letter ^(not 12-1-81)
they were absent from Vizianagaram, I sent for
them to come to Wallais, but they have not
come. They live in Polipilli near Vizianagaram.
Jaggia denies that he saw the sum paid. The
Head Cunnasla adds that he took the signature
of the Deputy Tahsildar on the back of the cheque.
This would be most irregular, as the
Head Cunnasla was then performing the
duties of Treasury officer. However the truth
or falsehood of the statement might be

be ascertained. The cheques cashed on the 24th
and 26th were cashed after the Submagistrate's
death. The Head Gumashtah says he paid the
widow Rs 108 on the 24th in the presence
of two persons and a Police Constable.
One of these persons is dead, the other has
contradicted the assertion. The H. Gumashtah
says he also paid Rs 62 on the 26th in the
presence of a Gumashtah, a person, and another
Police Constable. All three deny it.
He further says he took the widow's receipt
on the back of these cheques. But the
cheques were drawn in the name of the
Deputy Tahsildar, and none but he or the
official acting in his place should endorse
them. The signature of a third person
conveys no meaning to a Treasury eye.
In the present case, the third person
cannot write, and a mark (if there be
a mark) is the only support of the
allegation. Lastly, it is especially
to be noticed that the Head Gumashtah
write

No 10.

18th
Special Assistant. Agent
Office Kerpullidated
19th January 1881

From.

The Special Assistant Agent
Vezagapalam.

To
The Agent to the Governor
Vezagapalam.

Sir,

In continuation of the H. G. mentioned
letter to you no dated 11th December
1880. I have the honor to inform you that,
when at Vezanagaram, I examined the
widow and sister-in-law of the late
Maurangapur Submagistrate. They both
contradicted in toto the Head Gumashtah's
statements.

Now being so, I think it only fair
to the Head Gumashtah that he should
be

He given a chance of clearing himself
on a regular enquiry, and accordingly
recommend that he be prosecuted for
Criminal Breach of Trust. The sums
for which he has hitherto failed to
account amount to Rupees 610 8 1.

3. The Head yamastah asserts that
he obtained the late Deputy Talukdar's
endorsement on the following cheques.

Cheque no 11 dated 1st October 1878 for Rs 319-2-1

Cheque no 44 dated 9th October 1878 for Rs 2-1-0

and the widow's mark on the back of the
following cheques.

1 Cheque for Rs 150 salary of the
Naurangpur Taluk Establishment for
September 1878

2 Cheque for Rs 8. Expenses incurred

for

for ropes for Elephants. 19th

These cheques are, I presume, now
in the Accountant General's office
& I may state the first three should
I think, be obtained from the
Accountant General, as it is important
to know whether this assertion of the
Head yamastah is true.

The statements of the widow and
sister-in-law, as well as a fair copy of
Mr Trevenant's letter and its en-
closures, are herewith sent.

I have &c.

(Signed) P.W. Moore

Ag. S. P. C. & M. & Agent.

(True copy).

W. A. Thomas &
Co. Agents for the

(1881) Department.

Enclosure 11: 2

The letter No 558 dated
28th January 1881 from
the Agent to the Governor
Bengal.

Copy of letter No 10
dated 17th January 1881
from the Special Agent
Agent.

L. H. 27

R.D.

From (1881) Department

To V. 991

The Agent to the Gov.
Visagapatam.

21/1/81
The Agent of Secretary to
Government.
Madras.

115 55

Encl. 2

Dated 25th January 1881

Recd. 7th February 1881

Recd. " "

115

Subject

Requesting that the grant
of a pension to the Head
Quamashah of Kaurangapoor
Taluk, may be deferred
till his prosecution on
a charge of breach of
trust is over.

2075

Inform by O.D. that no
application has yet been recd.

For the Secy (15/2/81) 14/9

Special App. Agent's Office

Waltam dated 17th December 1880

N. 9.

30

From

The Special App. Agent
Visagapatam

The Agent to the Governor
Fort St George
Visagapatam

Sir When I assumed charge of
this office in the latter end of last year,
I found that Venkata Krishnama Head
Quamashah of Kaurangapoor had applied
to take his pension on medical certificate
of unfitness. There were complaints however
which determined me to examine certain
of his accounts. The examination embraced
a number of documents and required
references to Viscaiyaram and Visagapatam.
Further though it began in January, it was
interrupted by my necessary absence for
seven months in Madayula & Malakana-
giri.

It has therefore been delayed to a degree
I much regret.

2. On the 22nd October 1878, K. Sitararamiah
Deputy Tahsildar of Aurangapur
died. He had been ill for some days, and
the Head Qumastah is the only signature
in the disbursement account after the 1st.
Thus it is entered that the latter cashed
these cheques.

18 th October	for July expenses of Elephants	Rs 319-2-1
Some date	for August bills for Elephants	221-6--
24 th	For elephant ropes, money taken by the Submagistrate	8--
26 th	For elephant ransoms Rs 30--	62-4--
	for elephants feeding . . . 32	
Total of the 4 cheques -		610-8-1

These all concern elephants which Major
Blaxland left in Aurangapur, and
the expenses of which; in all probability,
the Deputy Tahsildar had paid out
of his own pocket. The money therefore
should have been paid to him, as his
widow contends.

On the 24th October the Head Qumastah

N 774

Governor's Office
Vizagapatam

7th November 1881
Cant Vizagapatam

From

H. G. Turner Esquire
Ag. Agent to the Governor
Vizagapatam

J.

The Hon'ble R. Davidson C.S.J.
Chief Secretary to Government
Madras.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter
No 55 dated 28th January last and
with reference to your official Memorandum
Pension Department No 75 dated 15th September
last, I have the honor to report that
Venkatakrishnaiah the late Head Qumastah
of Aurangapur taluk was convicted of
breach of trust as public servant under
the Courts and was sentenced to 5 months
simple imprisonment and Rs 100 fine and

one Court and 5 months simple imprisonment
and 62 Rs fine under the other. I enclose
a copy of the judgment in the case in
order that the Government may consider
whether a petition should under the
circumstances be allowed to him.
His service lasted for 15 years and he
is therefore eligible only for one third
pension.

The medical certificate, which he
produced, and his service register are
enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedt servant

H. Turner

Ag. Agent to the Governor

1881

2165107 107 E
Canton Department
From No 1743
The Agent to the
Governor
Vijayapuram

To
The Chief Secretary
Government
Madras

Recd 7th November 1881
No 16

No 774
Enclosure Two (2/4)

Subject

Recommending L
Venkatesh Krishnaiah
for pension

With Order
10/11/81

30th November 1881 No 1743

The papers will be returned with the
with an intimation that an application for
pension in due form should be submitted to
Govt through the Assistant General, when
the case will be considered.

It is observed that the order of the Government
is not entered in the Govt's records, as is

Recd 30-11-81 is not entered in the Govt's records, as is
the order of the Govt's records, as is