M.R.O. [illegible]

1. Number of pages

2. Date of repair

3. Initials of the Reference

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

[illegible] DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 412 [illegible]

Dated 2[illegible] [illegible] 19[illegible]

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

23rd September 1881, No. 972.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 7th June 1879.
From the Accountant-General, dated 17th May and 6th September 1881, No. A.D.J.-58 and J.A.E.-274.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd September 1881, No. 972.

Under Sections 102, 117 (*a*) and Rule 10 at the end of Chapter XIII of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kosireddy Lakshmayya, late Mail Runner, Anakápalle to Pudrimadrica Line, an invalid gratuity of Rs. (20) twenty, equal to four months' pay, payable at Yellamanchili, Vizagapatam District.

2. No explanation of the delay accompanies the application, though referred to by the Accountant-General.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ Collector of Vizagapatam.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of (1881) Madras.

PENSION.

To

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated September, 23rd

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 23rd September 1881, No. 972.

SEPTEMBER.

Gratuity.—Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs. 20 to K. Lakshmayya, late Mail Runner, Vizagapatam District.

Memo of Service

1st Rev: service — 30-0-0

2d Police from 18th May 1861 to 31st Aug 1864 } 3-3-13

3d Again Rev: Service 10-2-22

Total yrs 43-6-5

No 532

FOR PENSION, OR GRATUITY, &c.

Certified that I have carefully examined K Ooseereddy Lutchmiah son of Iyyappa a Postal runner in the Postal Department

His age is, by his own statement, 60 years, and by appearance, about 60 years

I consider K Ooseereddy Lutchmiah to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind, or in the Department to which he belongs, in consequence of old age & infirmity

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

VIZAGAPATAM,
6 July 1878

Civil Surgeon
Vizagapatam.

[illegible] 822

AFFIDAVIT.

I, Kaireddy [illegible] son of Kaireddy Appappa do hereby solemnly declare upon honor that I late Runner of the [illegible] served Government in the Madras Postal Circle as a Runner continuously for the space of 12 years 6 months that I had no leave during the entire course of my service with the exception of

-

-

and that I had neither been suspended or dismissed for any misconduct on my part.

The particulars of my service are given in the margin. They could be corroborated by my contemporary employes named 1 [illegible] Rangamannahalli & 2 [illegible] Appappa

My disconnection from the Department owing to the [illegible] of the Superiors to reduce [illegible]

(Signature.) [illegible] Kaireddy Lakshma[illegible]

Late Runner from [illegible]

and [illegible] postal line.

Solemnly affirmed before me this 12th day

of [illegible] 1875 [illegible]

[illegible]

Signature of the Judicial Officer of the District. }

Appointments held.	Names of Lines.	Names of Stages.	Pay.	Period* From	To	Y.	M.	D.	Name of Post Office through which pay was drawn, also that of the Postmaster and of Overseer under whom served.	Remarks.
[illegible] Jawan	Old [illegible]	Kaireddy [illegible]	5		-	-	-	-		
Constable	Now do	[illegible]	8	-	-	6	-			
Postal Runner	Postal Department	From Kaireddy to [illegible] from do to [illegible]	5 - -			12	6	-	-	-
						18	6			

Appointments held.	Names of Lines.	Names of Stages.	Pay.			Period.*					Remarks.
						From	To	Y.	M.	D.	
Police Jawan	Police Corps	[illegible]	4	2	-	-	-	20	-	-	
Constable	New Police	Pedinadeka	6	8	-	-	-	5	-	-	
Postal Runner	Postal Department	From Pedinadeka to Ellamanchili and from [illegible] Anakapally	5	-	-	-	-	12	5	-	
								37	5	-	

DECLARATION.

I, Adapa Ranganayakulu do hereby solemnly declare upon honor that I know Kaireddy Lakshmayya served Government in the Madras Postal Circle as a Runner continuously for the space of [illegible] 5 months ~~years~~; that to the best of my knowledge and belief he had no leave during the period in question with the exception of (I do not know whether he has taken any leave during the period) and that he had neither been suspended nor dismissed for any misconduct on his part.

The particulars of his service as far as known to me are given in the margin. His disconnection from the Department was owing to Old age.

(Here enter any other particulars in corroboration of the above.)

(Signature)

Designation [illegible]

Solemnly affirmed before me this 12th day of July 1878

(Signature of the Judicial Officer of the District.) [illegible]

[illegible] 824

Appointments held.	Names of Lines.	Names of Stages.	Pay.	Period. From	Period. To	Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	5 - -			25	-	-	
Constable	Do	[illegible]	6 - -			6	-	-	
Postal Runner	[illegible]	[illegible]	5 - -			13	-	-	
						44			

DECLARATION.

I, [illegible] do hereby solemnly declare upon honor that I know [illegible] served Government in the Madras Postal Circle as a Runner continuously for the space of thirteen years; that to the best of my knowledge and belief he had no leave during the period in question with the exception of, [illegible] neither he had taken any leave during the period

and that he had neither been suspended nor dismissed for any misconduct on his part.

The particulars of his service as far as known to me are given in the margin. His disconnection from the Department was owing to his superiors compelling him to retire on pension on account of his old age

(Here enter any other particulars in corroboration of the above.)

(Signature) [illegible]

Designation [illegible]

Solemnly affirmed before me this [illegible] day of [illegible] 187[illegible]

(Signature of the Judicial Officer of the District.) [illegible]

Recd July 18/80

18 JUL 80
J. H. C.

15 JUL 80
TREASURY DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE

No. $\frac{\text{A. D. K.}}{445}$
[*To be returned with reply.*]

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT,
PENSION VERIFICATION BRANCH,

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
7 July 1880.

FROM
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS,

TO
THE Collector of
Vizagapatam

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for $\frac{\text{pension}}{\text{gratuity}}$ on behalf of Kasireddi Lakshmia late Mail Runner, Postal Dept. Vizagapatam

I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for want of Nos. 2-5-9-10-20 and 21.

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

Assistant to the Accountant-General,
for *Accountant-General.*

---

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his Father.

× 2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified. Seals on Pay Bills or acquittance rolls

3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2, on the third page of the application herewith returned.

4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant. appointment as stated by the applicant.

× 5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the ~~reason of termination of service~~ and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office. the Postal Dept. previous to 15 July 1866

6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.

7. Signature of the $\frac{\text{Applicant}}{\text{Head of the Office}}$ in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.

8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's $\frac{\text{suspension}}{\text{dismissal}}$ in and of a Last Pay Certificate.

× 9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly 19579 $\frac{\text{months}}{\text{~~years~~}}$ in the submission of the application.

10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

× 20 Insertion of the name and residence of the applicant's father in the appropriate columns of the service book and translation into English of the vernacular entries in that document

No [illegible]

D

D

11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.

12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of days/months the applicant having ceased to do duty on

13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension/gratuity during his life-time; if so, when he applied, and when he died.

14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.

15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—

(a.) Whether the applicant was in *permanent* employ of Government.

(b.) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.

16. Information as to whether the appointment of in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from to was *fully vacant*, and whether any person, save the applicant counted service in it during that period.

17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.

18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.

19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.

×20 Transcription of the affidavit and contemporary evidence noted in the column "How verified" on the 3rd page of the application supporting the service rendered by the applicant in the Police Department prior to 15th January 1866. Those now furnished refer only to the portion of his service rendered in the Postal Department subsequent to 14th January 1866 although for this period there appears no need for any such support, the same being verified by Service Registers, as shown in the column "How verified".

See [illegible]

×21 Information as to whether the applicant was actually in service on, and drew pay for, the 6th July 1878 as shown respectively in the Service Book and in the Last Pay Certificate. According to the application is the date of quitting of service shown as 6th July 1878 and the date from which pension is to commence as 6th July 1878. The necessary alterations should be made in the accompanying documents.

Reply

The Explanation from the Supt of Post Office is attached

[illegible] 1880 — Deputy [illegible]

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL 25 SEP 80 MADRAS

Reg No 6725
17/9/80

From
The Supdt P. O.
Vizag Dn

To
Treasury Collector
Vizagapatam

17 SEP 80 TREASURY DEPT

No 3[illegible]83
Dated 15/9/80
Camp Nonpada
Reply to No 700 d/15/7/80.

Sir

In continuation of this Office No 3411 d/17-8-80 I have the honor to return herewith the accnt Gentl No 484/445 d/7-7-80 with all its enclosures with the necessary information ~~being~~ being given on a separate paper attached.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Malaya
Dy Supdt P. O.
Vi Dn

Kalindy Luckshmiah

II The same remark as of Hari Kristnamachanty.

I Original letter No 1100 of 20/12/79 from the Dy Collector Vizagapatam stating that the services of this Individual in the Police deptt cannot be verified is enclosed and hence the required entries in the Service Register cannot be made.

IX The same as of H. Kristnamachanty

X Necessary entries in English have now been made as required.

XX The reply of the Inspector of Vizag at No 727 of 1-9-80 the required information was not procurable.

XXI No 1166 of 11-8-80 from the Postmaster Vizagapatam enclosed the Acq Roll check for the missing and hence this cannot be stated correctly.

Narbuya

Actg Supt 133
ICB
13-9-80

1822

No. 1150

VIZAGAPATAM TRE

[illegible] December

From

The Deputy Collector,
Vizagapatam.

To

The Inspector of Post Offices
Vizagapatam

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 65 dated 23rd ultimo, I have the honour to state that I am unable to verify the services of L. Lakshmiah in the "Old Police Corps" as there are no records in this office showing the same. Neither is there any record here as to his District Post Service all the records having been transferred to your Dept long ago. The Tahsildar of [illegible] to whom I have referred your papers state that there is nothing in his office showing Lakshmiah's service in his Taluq and the Taluq Sheristadar and Duffadar

15th Jany. 18[illegible]
6th July [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] his service as a peon of the

Tirumaddla Taluq

The enclosures of your letter are

herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedt Servant

[illegible]

Deputy Collector

[illegible]

In No 824

No: 721 dy 1.9.20

From

The Inspt P.O.

V. Dt.

To

The Supdt. P.O.

Vizag: Dn

Sir

In reply to your order no. 3680 dy 29.8.20, I am sorry to report the ag: S.P.M. could not get me more information, and you may please dispose of the case finally.

Yours

[illegible]

Inspt P.O.

In No 824

No 1166 Vizagapatam
Post office
d/ 11-8-80

To
The Superintendent
of Post offices
Vizagapatam Dn

Sir

In returning herewith ~~your~~ endorsement No 3147 d/ 7-8-80 I beg to report that a thorough search has been made in the file bundles of the Dl Post Acquittance rolls for the year 1878 and could not find it among them.

I beg &c

Postmaster

29

Letter returned with reply

19 OCT 80

Pension DEPARTMENT.

No. ADK/577

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
8th October 1880.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Vizagapatam.

SIR,

With reference to your Deputy's reply to this Office No. ADK/445 dated the 7 July 1880 replying to enquiries made in connection with the application for Pension on behalf of K. Lakshmayya, late a mail runner, Postal Department, Vizagapatam, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to explain the circumstances under which you have recommended the inclusion of the applicant's earlier service of 25 years rendered in the old Police Department when it has not been satisfactorily established by Office records, or affidavit of contemporary evidence as required by rules 4 & 5 Section 84 of the Civil Pension Code. If on further efforts being made the service can be established by such collateral evidence as may be procurable, you will please report specifically the exact nature of the investigation made, and the conclusion at which you have arrived. If this service cannot be established, I request to be informed whether you have any objection to its rejection.

I beg to observe that the information furnished on the points referred to in this Office letter mentioned above is not complete. I request therefore

[illegible]

therefore that you will be pleased to reply to the several queries made therein as fully as possible so that there may not be further delay in reporting upon the case to Government.

The allusion by the Postal Department to the case of Hari Krishnamachary is not understood, as the case is not on Record here.

To facilitate reference the papers connected with the application are herewith returned. They should be re-transmitted to this office at an early date.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient Servant

C. Hall
Ag. Asst. to the Acct Genl
for Acct General.

Recd 11 Octr 80

Recd October 19/80

In — No 224

No 94
Vizagapatam
Treasury
D
D

No 159

15 February 1881

From
The Deputy Collector
Vizagapatam
To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 8 October 1880 No A.D.K./577, I have the honor to inform you that on further search being made into records it has been ascertained that Kasireddi Lakshmaiya late Mail runner of the Postal Dept Vizagapatam had received a gratuity of Rs 36 for his services* of 33 years 3 months and 13 days in the old and new Police, sanctioned in G.O. of 5th September 1865 No 336 which amount was disbursed to him in the same month. Vide Bill on account of gratuities for Rs 1158 - - paid in that month.

2. His Pension application and papers connected therewith are therefore

*old Police 30 — —
New do 3 3 13
33 3 13

herewith returned for your disposal.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed't Servt

22 FEB 81

Deputy Collector

Ind.

In No 224

No 11

Office of Superintendent of Post Offices
Vizagapatam Division
Camp Cassibugga 30 March 1881
desp'd 1st April 1881.

J.W.M. MURRAY
2 APR 81
TREASURY

From

The Superintendent of Post Offices
Vizagapatam Division

To

The Deputy Collector
Vizagapatam.

Sir

Reply to No 253 dated 16th March 1881

I have the honor to inform you that K Lakshamaya acknowledges the payment to him of the gratuity Rupees 36/- and states he did not refund it. His petition stating his willingness to do so is enclosed. Since he received gratuity he appears to have served under Government for upwards of 18 years and has therefore established a further claim for favorable consideration

As he failed to refund the gratuity on re-employment it rests I think with the Government to consider his case favorably and to decide whether pension may be granted making a rateable deduction or to sanction a further sum be paid to him as gratuity for the services since rendered

The necessary corrections have been made in the application for pension in No 3 in the column headed

remarks

...meather

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt
Geo Edgeworther
Supt of Post Offices
Vizagapatam Dn

In No 824

To
The Superintendant of Post offices
Vizagapatam

Sir.
With reference to my application for Pension for my services in the Postal department as a runner ending with 5th July 1878. I beg to report for your honor's information that I have received prior to my entry in the department Rs 36 as gratuity for my earlier services under the Police department which according to rules I have not refunded to Government on my re-employment day. I now hear that my failure to do so will prevent my pension being passed by the authorities an beg to state that the amount of gratuity of Rs 36 I received be refunded on the receipt of my Pen now due to me or the authorities by [illegible] may deduct that amount of Pen I have due to and pass orders for

the payment of the balance only

For which act of your
kindness I shall as in duty
bound ever pray

Markia K. Putchmiah

Vizagapatam
28th March
1887

To
The Superintendent of Post offices
Vizagapatam
on Circuit

Reg No 951
2/4/81

Pension Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read again Proceedings of Government of the 9 June last No 218.

Read also the following Papers.

From
The Collector of Vizagapatam (Revenue 6 July 1865 No 432)
Memo from the Offg Under Secy to Government to the Accountant General (" 15 do No 30)
Official Memo from the Offg Examiner of claims (" 19 do No 10)

Order thereon 5 September 1865 No 336.

With reference to the Government order of 9 June last and in accordance with the Civil Pension and Gratuity Rules the Governor in Council is pleased to sanction the payment to the 30 Constables who were discharged as unfit from physical incapacity from the Police of the Vizagapatam District, of the amount of Gratuity to which they are severally entitled as laid down in the list submitted by the Collector and which has been confirmed by the Chief Assistant Paymaster in charge, amounting in the aggregate to Rs (1066-8-0) one thousand sixty six Rupees and Annas eight.

(True Extract)

C H Walker
Under Secretary

(True Copy)

Deputy Collector

Extract from the List of Peons recommended for gratuity on account of long service in the District of Vizagapatam

No.	Names	Service: As peons in the old Police Establishment			Service: As constable in the new Police Force			Total Service			Present Salary		Amount of gratuity to which entitled		Remarks
1	2	3			4			5			6		7		8
	× × ×	yr	m	d	yr	m	d	yr	m	d	Rs	As	Rs	As	
16	Kasireddi Lakshmayya	30	-	.	3	3	13	33	3	13	6	. .	36	. .	
	× × ×														

Total - 1066 8.

Vizagapatam
Collectors office
23^d February 1865

(Signed) D. F. Carmichael
Collector.

(True Extract)

Deputy Collector

Exd
[illegible]

In a No 824

Pension DEPARTMENT. No. $\frac{ADR}{711}$

Recd No 1993 12/3/81

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
5th March 1881.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Vizagapatam.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 159 dated the 15th February 1881, furnishing certain information in connection with the application for Pension on behalf of V. Lakshmayya, late Mail Runner of the Postal Department, Vizagapatam, I have the honor to state that as the applicant is reported to have received a gratuity of Rs 36 for his earlier service, he would not seem to be entitled to any Pension for his subsequent service.

The application together with the papers connected with it is herewith returned in view to the claim being considered with reference to the provisions of rules 8 and 9 at the end of Chapter XX of the Civil Pension Code.

I beg to draw your attention to the error in the entry opposite to No. 3 in the column headed "remarks by Head of office", and to request that the necessary alteration may be made.

Information should be given as to whether the Applicant had refunded the gratuity on re-employment. If so, please state when, and in what month's account the credit was exhibited.

A copy of the Government Order quoted in the letter under reference should be furnished.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient servant
[illegible]
Asst. Accountant General
for Accountant General

Recd. No. 1160

Ans. 13th

No. 384

Vizagapatam
Treasury
23rd April 1881

From
The Deputy Collector
Vizagapatam

To
The Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

Referring to your Letter of the 5th March last No. ADN/711 I have the honor to forward herewith Letter dated 30th idem No. 1011 from the Superintendent of Post offices requesting that pension may be granted to Kasireddi Lakshmaiya late Mail Runner who had not refunded the gratuity of Rs. 36 received by him on re-employment, but is willing to do so now or if this cannot be allowed that a gratuity for his subsequent services may be sanctioned.

A Copy of G.O. dated 5 September 1865 No. 336 is herewith sent together with the Pension Application and other papers connected therewith a list of which is appended.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

Deputy Collector

Orders

2 MAY 81
MADRAS

No 824

List of Papers connected with the Pension application of K. Lakshmayya late Mail Runner of the Postal Dept.

1. Pension Application of K. Lakshmayya
2. Service Register of do
3. Medical certificate of do
4. Last Pay certificate of do
5. Affidavit of do
6. Declaration of Adapa Ranganayakulu
7. do of Midragada Appappa
8. Accountant General's letter d/ 7 July 1880 No. ADK/445
9. Supt of Post Offices letter d/ 9 Sept 1880 No 3983 with enclosures a. b. c. d.
10. Acct General's letter d/ 8 Oct 1880 No 577
11. Dy Collector's do d/ 15 Feby 1881 No 159
12. Acct Genl's do d/ 5 March 1881 No 711
13. Supt of Post Offices letter d/ 30 do No 11 with enclosure e.
14. Copy of G.O. d/ 5 Sept 1865 No 336
15. Extract from List of Peons recommended for Gratuity d/ 13 Feby 1865

Vizagapatam Treasury
23 April 1881

Deputy Collector

Orders

[illegible] 1824

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	1828 [illegible]	46	1	5	4	3	Marks of brand on right & left temple and above the fore-finger of right hand. One wart on right shoulder and another under left shoulder blade. Toes of both feet crooked.	1874 [illegible]	[illegible]	J. [illegible]
Kasireddy Lutchmiah	Telaga	Porlunkadalem	Kasireddy Appiah	Porlunkadalem	16 Oct/1828.	46	1	6	4	3		2? January 1874	Mark of Kasireddy Lutchmiah	

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
[illegible] [illegible] Inukki or Dt Post Tappal runner	[illegible] Permanent	..	5	–	15-1-1866 1866 [illegible] 15 [illegible]	[illegible]

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Has discharged his duties satisfactorily	.	[illegible] 1876	[illegible]	[illegible]
Deputy Collector 27-2-78	[illegible]			[illegible]

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e. g., *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK

	[illegible]
Name.	[illegible]
Caste or Race.	[illegible]
Residence.	[illegible]
FATHER'S Name.	[illegible]
FATHER'S Residence.	[illegible]
Date of birth.	

No 391

No 2937

Vizagapatam
Treasury
26 April 1881

From

W. McMurray Esquire
Treasury Deputy Collector
Vizagapatam

To

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

Referring to your letter of the 20 Inst No $\frac{S.A.C.}{20}$ I have the honor to inform you that your No $\frac{A.D.K.}{71}$ dated 5 March last was replied to on the 23rd April 1881.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servt

Deputy Collector

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
2 MAY 81
MADRAS

Pension Department.

No. I.A.C / 274

Fort Saint George.
Accountant-General's Office,
6th September 188 .

From

H. F. Clogstoun Esq.
Offg ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

SIR,

With reference to this office report No. A.Dy/58 dated the 17th May 1881, I have the honor to state that Lakshmayya is entitled to a gratuity of Rupees 20 equal to 4 months' pay instead of Rupees 15 only as shown therein, and to request therefore that the necessary correction may be made in the above. The mistake is much regretted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servant

M. Mathews
Assistant Accountant Genl.
for A. Genl.

In a No 824

MB

Pension (1881 Department)

No 1383

From

The Accountant General
Madras

To

The Under Secretary to Govt.
Pension Department

No:

Dated
received 7th September 1881

No.

Informing that K. Lakshmayya is entitled to a Gratuity of Rs 20 and not 16 as reported & regretting for the mistake

Ack. 972 of 81

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION or / GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant	Kasireddy Lakshmayya	
2. Father's name	[illegible] Appappa	
3. Sect or Caste	Caste [illegible], Race Sudra	
4. Residence	[illegible], ~~[illegible]~~, taluq	
5. Present or last Employment	Mail Runner [illegible] Line	
6. Date of beginning of Service	[illegible]	
7. Date of end of Service	6th July 1878	
		Y. M. D.
8. Length of Service including breaks,		43 - 5 -
of which superior		
inferior		43 -
non-qualifying and breaks.		
9. Class of { Pension or / Gratuity, } applied* for	Invalid Pension	
and cause of Application*	Old age and incapacity for further Service	
10. (Average) emoluments or Pay	Rs 5	
11. Proposed Pension		
12. Proposed Gratuity		
13. Date from which to commence	[illegible] July 1878	
14. Place of Payment	(Vizagapatam District) Ellamanchili	
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era†	[illegible]	
16. Height	[illegible] inches	
17. Marks	[illegible]	
18. Date 7 June /79.		

[illegible]
Supdt. of Postmaster ~~[illegible]~~
Vizagapatam Dn

* If the Application is for a Compensation Pension or Gratuity, the nature of the change of Establishment which has given rise to the claim, should be fully stated.

† If not known exactly must be stated to the best information or estimate.

[illegible] Asst. Postmaster [illegible]

Exd.

No. [illegible]

17

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF [illegible]

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned service.			d as service.		
		Rs.	Rs.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.
Police	Police Peon	5		18[illegible]	1859	25					
Ditto	Police Constable	[illegible]		ditto	14 Jany/60	6	[illegible]	14 1867			
District Post	Mail Runner [illegible] to Ankapully	5		15 Aug/66	6 July/78	12	3	[illegible]			
				Total service [illegible] years [illegible] months [illegible] days [illegible] only		43	5	6			
				Deduct							
	Service for which Gratuity was sanctioned in G.O. No 336 of 5 Sept 65 [illegible]					33	3	13			
					Nett Service	10	2	22			

[illegible]

No. A.D.J./58 Certified that subsequent qualifying service in Inferior Grades has been duly proved for 10 years 2 months and 22 days; and that an Invalid Gratuity not exceeding Rupees 15-0-0 equal to 3 months pay is admissible under Sections 61, 76 (a) and rule 9 at the end of Chapter XX of the Civil Pension Code.

Had the applicant, who would seem to have drawn the Gratuity of Rs 36-0-0 Sanctioned in G.O. No 336 dated 5th Sept/65 Pension Department for his earlier Service amounting to 33 years 3 months and 13 days, refunded it, on re-employment, as required by Section 106 of the Code, he would have been entitled, for his combined earlier and subsequent Services, to a pension not exceeding Rupees 2-8-0 per mensem. As the Gratuity referred to above, added to that admissible for the subsequent Service, does not exceed the value of the pension of Rs 2-8-0 a month (Rs 245-4-3) which he would have been entitled to had he refunded the Gratuity of Rupees 36-0-0, there would seem to be no objection to his being granted a Gratuity recommended in the preceding para under the provisions of rule 9 at the end of Chapter XX of the Code.

The great delay in the submission of the application is explained by the Collector in his reply to this office No. A.D.K./445 dated 7 July 1880 accompanying.

In accordance with Rule 3A, under Section 87, the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 22 of the Code

Fort Saint George,
Acct. Genl's Office,
17th May 1881.

C. Hall
Offg Chief Clerk of the
Accountant General
for Accountant General

(19-5-81)

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

M

.D.

Received

Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
	By affidavit and the declaration of contemporaries Service Register. Service claimed has been established & may be admitted Checked [illegible]	

Of the total period of Service 43 years 6 months and 5 days a Service of 10 years 2 months and 22 days only appears to be reckonable for Gratuity, the remainder being subject to rejection as the applicant appears to have drawn for it a gratuity of Rupees 36 which he did not refund on re-employment as required in Section 106 of the Civil Pension Code.

The applicant's subsequent service of 10 years 2 months and 22 days is reported to have been verified partly from the records of the Collector's Office and partly from those of the Postal Department Vizagapatam, and the verification has been confirmed by the annual returns on record in this Office.

MARKS BY HEAD OF OF

...—As to character and past conduct of Applicant. He discharged his duties [illegible]

Any other remarks.

Deputy [illegible]

...ct to the remarks below [illegible]

ervi. ________ grades has been duly proved for ____ years ____ months ____ days; and that a ________ not exceeding Rupees ________ is admissable under Section ____ of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The ________ is chargeable to ________

Accountant General.

.o.

Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.

ARKS BY HEAD OF OF

.—As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Has discharged his duties very satisfactorily and deserves the favorable consideration of the Government
2.—Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	Nil
3.-Regarding any gratui or Pension already by Applicant. S Chapter XX.	Nil
—Explanation under 47, Rule 1, so far of the Office	

Geo Edwards

30.3/81.

Any other remarks.

Superint of Post Offices

ct to the remarks below (overleaf) ______ grades has been duly proved

for ____ years ____ months ____ days; and that a ____________

not exceeding Rupees ____________ is admissable under Section ____

of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The ____________ is chargeable to ____________

Accountant General.


Pension 184 No. 872
No. 824

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION ~~or~~ / ~~GRATUITY~~ }

Date of Application. 7th June

Date of Application. 7th June

Name of Applicant. [illegible]

Last Appointment. [illegible]

Class of { Pension or / Gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction. [illegible]

824—1883

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

READ the following papers:—

From the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 7 June 1879

" Accountant-General, dated 9th May 181 [illegible]

ORDER THEREON, 23d Sept./81 No. 872

Under Sections 102, 117 a/ & rule 10 attached to [illegible] of chapter XIII of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Korraday Lakshmayya late a Mail Runner Anakapilly to [illegible] an invalid gratuity of Rupees (20 [illegible]) Twenty equal to four months pay of [illegible] payable at Vizagapatam District ~~in consideration of his qualifying [illegible] service of ten years, three months, and thirty two days.~~

The applicant claims a pension in lieu of a gratuity but as he did not refund the gratuity granted to him in 1865 he is not entitled to pension. His offer of refund now comes too late. Section 176 Rule 1. Note (1).
Jcr

2. No explanation of the delay accompanies the application, though referred to by the Acct. General.

Jcr
7/9

To the Accountant-General,
with Register.
" Collector of Vizagapatam
"

Despd 4-10-81

Govt. of {1881} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated 23rd September 1881
Despd. [illegible]
Recd.

G.O. 23rd Septr 81 No 972

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs 20 to [illegible] Lakkamaya Late Head [illegible] Vizagapatam District