

(1) Number of pages 14

(2) Date of repair 20-1-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer Q

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<u>228</u>	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated	<u>24-2-1881.</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

20-1-42

Calcut 21st December 1880

From

H. Wigram Esq.

N^o 396Offg. District Judge of
South Malabar

To

The Honble R. Davidson C. S. I.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Judicial Department

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the favorable consideration of Government an application from Mr. Pedro late Head writer in the Sub Court of Cochin for compensation under section 59 of the Civil Pension Code.

The changes sanctioned in G. O. dated 28th September, 1880 No 2272 were carried out under my orders as soon as the Proceedings of Government were received.

Mr. Pedro was retired on 8th October and H. Mani Mani, Nazir, on 15th November 1880.

Both are in my opinion entitled to compensation
and as there is a net saving of $88\frac{1}{2}$ Rs per month
from reduction of establishment payment
of compensation to these officers is not more
expensive than if the changes had been
postponed for three months.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Indiaram

Offg. District Judge.



[Handwritten signature]

To.
H. Wigram, Esq
Offg. District Judge of South Malabar
Calicut.

The Petition of Thomas Pedro
late Head Clerk of the
Sub. Court. Cochin.

Respectfully Sheweth,
That your Petitioner was
employed as Head Clerk of the Sub.
Court Cochin, but on the introduction
of the new Scheme, your Petitioner's
services were dispensed with, the pay
emolument attached to the post reduced
and the post so reduced, conferred
upon another. Your Petitioner had
accepted the reduced salary but
agreeably to your order of the 5th
October last, Petitioner retired from
his service on the 5th of the said
month.

2. That your Petitioner begs most
deferentially to invite your kind
attention to the Proceedings of the
Government in Petitioner's case

* dated
26th Feb.
1879
No. 409

From
The Offg. District Judge
South Malabar
To
The Chief Secretary
Govt. G. O. Dept. II
Dated 21st Decr 1880
Recd
No 396
Enclosure 1
Submitted an application
from Mr Pedro late
Head Clerk of Sub Court
Cochin for compensation
under section 59 of
the Pension Code.

wherein he was permitted by Govern-
ment in consideration of his long
Service to continue in the department
till he should be declared unfit
both physically and mentally on the
ground that the Government did
not require the compulsory retirement
of any officer of whatever age provided
he was physically and mentally fit.

3. That your Petitioner begs to
state that although the reduction has
been the cause of his severance from
the judicial department, he has
not been permitted to reap the in-
dulgence granted by Government to
Public Servants invariably, of a Com-
pensation provided for in Section
59 of the Civil Pension Code. This
has been specially provided to persons
in the permanent employ, and
whose services have been dispensed
with, like Petitioner's, on the reduction
of the Establishment. Petitioner respec-
tfully urges that he is entitled in
addition to the pension, to the indulgence

7a
provided in Section 59 of the Civil
Pension Code, and solicits that in
consideration of his long and faithful
service to the Government, you will
be pleased to obtain sanction to enable
Petitioner to draw the sum of Rupees
150 (minus Rs 12. 14. 5 being the amount
of his pay drawn by him from 1st to
31st October 1880) equivalent to his 3
months' salary payable to him short
of 3 months' notice, for which act
your Petitioner will ever feel grateful
to you.

J. B. D. v.

Cochin
17th December
1880.

N^o 9
1881.

Calicut 11th January 1881.

From

H. Wigram Esq

Offg District Judge of
South Malabar. Calicut.

To

The Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated
8th January 1881 N^o J.A.E.
508, I have the
honor to state that as no time was
specified in the order of Government
as to when the changes were to take
place, it was at first my intention
to carry out the revision of the
establishment from 1st January
1881 thus giving the clerks whose
services were to be dispensed with,
three months notice as required by
rule 2 under section 59 of the Civil
Pension Code.

When this was communicated
to Government and their opinion
asked whether the establishment
should

Enclosed
In a letter from

The 1879: South India
Service Regulation
dated 21st Decr 1880
N^o 396 -

The application
referred to in the
above letter

Forward to the
Public for perusal
consideration &
orders.

Subscribed
but not

17/2/81

should continue to draw their salaries up to 1st January 1881. I was informed (G.O. 13th October 1880 No 2455) that "the persons thrown out of employ will be entitled to the benefit of the rules quoted" by me i.e. the rules contained in the Proceedings of Govt dated 28th May 1880. No 1071.

2. Thereupon I thought that it was best to introduce the changes at once. There was an aggregate saving of 88½ Rupees monthly by the revision and it seemed to make but little difference whether Mr Pedro and Mani Mani draw their former salaries as officers of the Court or compensation allowances aggregating 100 Rupees. As a matter of fact the post of 8th Clerk on 10 Rupees was kept vacant from 8th October to 16th December so that the "additional expenditure to the State which might have been avoided" was a
mere

mere trifle.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient Servant
Digram

Offg District Judge

To Mr



1
No. 2. a. 8
541

Submitted to Government in the Pension Report
and dated in G. O. 216294 dated 22d June 1870.

Mr. Peck and Mr. Mann were informed of the
abolition of their respective appointments in consequence of the
Change authorized in G. O. 212972 dated the 12th Sept. 1868.
Judicial Department, on the 29th June, but their services
would appear to have been dispensed with on the 9th October
and 15th December 1868 respectively. The reason for the
abolition of their respective appointments in consideration of
the provisions of the Resolution of the Government of the 14th
October in G. O. 212971 dated the 28th May 1868 in regard
to persons by the District Judge in his report of the 21st
March the 11th January 1868 in which he recommended that the
circumstances of the case seemed to warrant the removal of the
entitled to the allowance authorized by the rules in the 2d
of the Civil Pension Code.

The allowance of \$1000 per annum will from what is paid
the Department in which the pension was last employed.
G. O. 216295 dated the 1st April 1870 financial.

For the Civil Pension Code
26 January 1867
To the Under Secretary
Pension Department.

W. H. C.

W. H. C.

for the Pension Department.

Pension 1881 Receipt
No 113

From
The Hon. Secy. of the
Genl. No 541

Dated 26 - January 1881
Recd 27

Submitting with
Remarks explaining from
the District Judge of
South Malabar regarding
the necessity of the Compen-
-sation in lieu of notice to
Mr. Pedro and Main
Main Littleville 228

Order received by Stationary 1881 of No 228

Paper cut from choice
as proposed

See p 111

To the Secy. of the
Hon. Secy. of the
Genl. No 541

Recd 24 - 2 - 81