

(1) Number of pages

14

(2) Date of repair

20-1-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

Q

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Pension* DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

228

Press  
Mis.

Dated

24-2-1881.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

20-1-42

Calcut 21<sup>st</sup> December 1880

From

H. Wigram Esq.

N<sup>o</sup> 396Offg. District Judge of  
South Malabar

To

The Honble R. Davidson C. S. I.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Judicial Department

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the favorable consideration of Government an application from Mr. Pedro late Head writer in the Sub Court of Cochin for compensation under section 59 of the Civil Pension Code.

The changes sanctioned in G. O. dated 28<sup>th</sup> September, 1880 No 2272 were carried out under my orders as soon as the Proceedings of Government were received.

Mr. Pedro was retired on 8<sup>th</sup> October and H. Mani Mani, Nazir, on 15<sup>th</sup> November 1880.

Both are in my opinion entitled to compensation  
and as there is a net saving of  $88\frac{1}{2}$  Rs per month  
from reduction of establishment payment  
of compensation to these officers is not more  
expensive than if the changes had been  
postponed for three months.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Indiaram

Offg. District Judge.



*[Handwritten signature]*

To.  
H. Wigram, Esq  
Offg. District Judge of South Malabar  
Calicut.

The Petition of Thomas Pedro  
late Head Clerk of the  
Sub. Court. Cochin.

Respectfully Sheweth,  
That your Petitioner was  
employed as Head Clerk of the Sub.  
Court Cochin, but on the introduction  
of the new Scheme, your Petitioner's  
services were dispensed with, the pay  
emolument attached to the post reduced  
and the post so reduced, conferred  
upon another. Your Petitioner had  
accepted the reduced salary but  
agreeably to your order of the 5<sup>th</sup>  
October last, Petitioner retired from  
his service on the 5<sup>th</sup> of the said  
month.

2. That your Petitioner begs most  
deferentially to invite your kind  
attention to the Proceedings of the  
Government in Petitioner's case

\* dated  
26<sup>th</sup> Feb.  
1879  
No. 409

From  
The Offg. District Judge  
South Malabar.  
To  
The Chief Secretary  
Govt. G. O. Dept. II  
Dated 21<sup>st</sup> Decr 1880  
Recd  
No 396  
Enclosure 1  
Submitted an application  
from Mr Pedro late  
Head Clerk of Sub Court  
Cochin for compensation  
under section 59 of  
the Pension Code.

wherein he was permitted by Govern-  
ment in consideration of his long  
Service to continue in the department  
till he should be declared unfit  
both physically and mentally on the  
ground that the Government did  
not require the compulsory retirement  
of any officer of whatever age provided  
he was physically and mentally fit.

3. That your Petitioner begs to  
state that although the reduction has  
been the cause of his severance from  
the judicial department, he has  
not been permitted to reap the in-  
dulgence granted by Government to  
Public Servants invariably, of a Com-  
pensation provided for in Section  
59 of the Civil Pension Code. This  
has been specially provided to persons  
in the permanent employ, and  
whose services have been dispensed  
with, like Petitioner's on the reduction  
of the Establishment. Petitioner respec-  
tfully urges that he is entitled in  
addition to the pension, to the indulgence

7a  
provided in Section 59 of the Civil  
Pension Code, and solicits that in  
consideration of his long and faithful  
service to the Government, you will  
be pleased to obtain sanction to enable  
Petitioner to draw the sum of Rupees  
150 (minus Rs 12. 14. 5 being the amount  
of his pay drawn by him from 1st to  
31st October 1880) equivalent to his 3  
months' salary payable to him short  
of 3 months' notice, for which act  
your Petitioner will ever feel grateful  
to you.

J. B. D. v.

Cochin  
17th December  
1880.

N<sup>o</sup> 9  
1881.

Calcut 11<sup>th</sup> January 1881.

From

H. Wigram Esq

Offg District Judge of  
South Malabar. Calcut.

To

The Accountant General  
Madras

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated  
8<sup>th</sup> January 1881 N<sup>o</sup> J.A.E.  
508, I have the  
honor to state that as no time was  
specified in the order of Government  
as to when the changes were to take  
place, it was at first my intention  
to carry out the revision of the  
establishment from 1<sup>st</sup> January  
1881 thus giving the clerks whose  
services were to be dispensed with,  
three months notice as required by  
rule 2 under section 59 of the Civil  
Pension Code.

When this was communicated  
to Government and their opinion  
asked whether the establishment  
should

Enclosed  
In a letter from

The 1879: South India  
Service in addition  
dated 21<sup>st</sup> Decr 1880  
N<sup>o</sup> 396 -

The application  
referred to in the  
above letter

Forward to the  
Judge for financial  
consideration &  
orders.

Subscribed  
but not

17/2/81

should continue to draw their salaries up to 1<sup>st</sup> January 1881. I was informed (G.O. 13<sup>th</sup> October 1880 No 2455) that "the persons thrown out of employ will be entitled to the benefit of the rules quoted" by me i.e. the rules contained in the Proceedings of Govt dated 28<sup>th</sup> May 1880. No 1071.

2. Thereupon I thought that it was best to introduce the changes at once. There was an aggregate saving of 88½ Rupees monthly by the revision and it seemed to make but little difference whether Mr Pedro and Mani Mani draw their former salaries as officers of the Court or compensation allowances aggregating 100 Rupees. As a matter of fact the post of 8<sup>th</sup> Clerk on 10 Rupees was kept vacant from 8<sup>th</sup> October to 16<sup>th</sup> December so that the "additional expenditure to the State which might have been avoided" was a  
mere

mere trifle.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your most obedient Servant  
Digram

Offg District Judge

To Mr



1  
No. 2. a. 8  
541

Submitted to Government in the Pension Report  
and dated in G. O. 216294 dated 22d June 1870.

Mr. Peck and Mr. Mann were informed of the  
adoption of these regulations by the Senate of the  
House of Representatives in G. O. 216292 dated 12th July 1870.  
Judicial Department, on the 29th June, but their services  
would appear to have been dispensed with on the 29th October  
and 15th November 1870 respectively. The Pension for the  
services of the Pensioners in connection with  
the provisions of the Resolution of the Government of the  
United States in G. O. 216291 dated 28 May 1870, however,  
as given by the District Judge in his report of 1871  
dated 11th January 1871, is not to be paid. Under the  
circumstances the above named Pensioners would seem to be  
entitled to the allowances provided for them under the 2d  
of the Civil Pension Act.

The allowances of pensioners will form what is known as  
the Department in which the Pensioners are placed in the report.  
The G. O. 216295 dated 1st April 1870, however.

For the Pensioners  
26th January 1871  
To the Pensioners  
Pension Department.

Pension 1881 Receipt  
No 113

From  
The Hon. Secy. of the  
Genl. No 541

Dated 26 - January 1881  
Recd 27

Submitting with  
Remarks explaining from  
the District Judge of  
South Malabar regarding  
the necessity of the Compen-  
-sation in lieu of notice to  
Mr. Pedro and Main  
Main Littleville 228

Order received by Stationary 1881 of No 228

Paper cut from choice  
as proposed

See

PLN

To the Secy. of the  
Hon. Secy. of the

South Malabar

Recd 24 - 2 - 81