

(1) Number of pages 18(2) Date of repair 17-2-12(3) Initials of the Referencer A**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.****Government of Madras.***Revision* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	<u>171</u>	Press Mis.
Dated	<u>14-2-1881</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

14th February 1881, No. 171.

Pension.

Read again—

Proceedings of Government, Pension Department, dated 31st March 1880, No. 280.

Read also the following papers:—

Petition from M. Vartharajooloo Naidu, dated 23rd November 1880.
Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 13th January 1881.

ORDER THEREON, 14th February 1881, No. 171.

In supersession of G.O., 31st March 1880, No. 280, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to A. M. Vartharajooloo Naidu, late Overseer, First grade, Public Works Department, a pension of Rs. (35-0-11) thirty-five and Pies eleven per mensem, payable from 1st March 1880, and a bonus of Rs. (1,200) one thousand two hundred, or twelve months' pay.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Public Works Department.
" the Accountant-General.
" the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" the Superintending Engineer, Second Circle.
" M. Vartharajooloo Naidu.

Exd. T. O'Connor,

Govt. of (1881) Madras
PENSION.

To
THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated February, 14th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 14th February 1881, No. 171.

FEBRUARY.

Pension.—In supercession of G.O., 31st March 1880, No. 280, A. M. Vartharajooloo Naidu, late Overseer, Public Works Department, granted a pension of Rs. 35-0-11 per mensem and bonus of Rs. 1,200.

To Colonel R.D. Laakey C.B. M.C.
Chief Engineer Madras Government
The humble Memorial of
A. M. Vartharajooloo Naidu late
Chief Engineer 1st Grade B.P.M.
Dharmamalai Chief Bellary District.
Respectfully Sheweth.

In continuation of his
Memorial dated 16th instant he humbly
begs to subjoin the following extract from
the Public Works Code of 1879.

Chapter IV Para 553 latter part
"..... When a member of the Office Estate
"Relinquishment resigns one office to take
"up another under Government the period
"spent on the journey is not in accordance
"with Section 56 para 1 of the Civil Pension
"Code (fourth edition) regarded as a break
"in the service but the period itself does
"not count as service if no pay is allowed
"during the transit."

In the Unconquered Servants Vademecum
Page 78 Section 24 para 396 of 1863 the
following form occurs:

Nature of Service admissible for Pension

Para 396 The past service is allowed
to count when the interval between
two periods of service caused by
Resignation

"Resignation of the party does not exceed
 " 12 months: but the privilege of so reckon-
 " ing the time of service can only apply
 " to two periods and no more. If there
 " is any other interval the service that
 " may follow cannot be counted as
 " continuous."

Your Memorialist
 humbly trusts that in accordance with
 terms of the above his service from 14th
 September 1858 to 27th February 1860 plus
 service rendered during the famine
 which were not taken into account
 in granting his pension may be
 included in his service deducting
 the intervals between the two resigna-
 -tions agreeably to the foregoing
 Government rules the benefit of
 which your Memorialist respectfully
 submits he is ~~entitled~~ entitled, as
 the resignations occurred when the
 above rule was in force up to 1870

For which act of kindness
 your Memorialist is in duty
 bound

bound will ever pray, &c

A. M. Maratharajoo Saibor
 Retired Sahib Querees
 1st Grade D. P. M.

Madras
 25th November 1880

No 6 Vathagery Chaitty Street
 Chintanipet Chidambaram

Rec? 10/12/80

[illegible]

11

Case 20.12

SECRETARY.

OFFICE NOTE

Petition from A. L.
Paratha oyojho. Quichu
ute. Breuer P. W. S.

In May last this man submitted a petition for reinstatement or for the condonation of his breaks which involved a forfeiture of rather more than nine years service; he was then told that the C. E. was not prepared to recommend a deviation

from the ordinary rules -
In October he submitted
a petition for reconsideration
of this decision, and a
reply was approved by
you. To the effect that
there was no ground for
such reconsideration -

Before this reply was
actually issued the
man came to the office
in person and from the
statements given by him
it appears that the
petition was stated
in case correctly, and
that there is room for
doubt as to whether
the mistake may not be
condoned - At all
events there appears to

and I told him that
to submit a fresh petition
on the subject - Can you
be assuredly does this case
justice -

be a case for sub-
mission to Government -
He states that
he did not resign
the service "to re-
main quiet" but to
take up another ap-
pointment -

The record of the
two intervals only
lasted for one month
and may fairly be
considered as coming
under Section 56 rule
1, but I am not
so clear about the
first interval which
lasted three months -

In the second case
it is moreover clear that

he had the offer of
the new appointment
before he resigned the
old one, but this does
not seem to have been
the case on the pre-
sented occasion -

In any case the
Government can conclude
the break under section
57 (3) and I think
the matter ought to
be submitted -

This case is one in-
stance among many of
the extreme inconvenience
of the existing rule of
which all are certain
regarding condonation
of breaks, restoration
of service he are de-

pend with the ¹¹con-
clusion of a man's service
instead of being decided
when the facts are
fresh in the memory
of the officers con-
cerned -

S M

The case as above
explained might
I should consider
be fairly re-opened
under the peculiar
circumstances of the
man's case it is hard
that the break in
his service should be
the result of reducing
his pension by nearly
one half, and if
the Govt. are not
satisfied that

the scales should
be entirely condoned
a sensible increase
to the present pension
of the Applicant inst.
Rs 20-9-1 might
possibly commend
itself

Att

20/12/80

No 87

13th P.W.D.

Petition from A. M. Varatharajooloo
Naidu late Oversee P.W. Dept., 4/23rd
Nov. 1880.

Office notes dated 17th & 20th Decr 1880.

Transferred to the Pension
Department for consideration.

(By order.)

[Signature]
Major J.E.

Chapman
13th Jan'y/81

Under Secy: to Govt. P.W.D.

To The Pension Dept.
with papers in original & note
by the Hon. W. H. Hildesley.

W.H.H.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again
Proc: of Govt Pension Dept: dated 31 March 1880 N^o 280
READ the following papers
Petition from Mr. Varatharajulu Naidoo dated 23rd Nov^r 80
Endorsement of the Public Works Dept: dated 13th Jan'y 1881
Order thereon 14th February 1881 N^o 171.

In Supersession of
G. O. 31 March 1880 N^o 280
the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council is pleased
to grant to Mr. Vartharajulu
Naidoo late Overseer
1st Grade Public Works Dept:
a pension of Rs (35-4-11)
Thirty five and four Annas
per mensem payable from 1st
March 1880. and a bonus
of Rs (1200) one thousand two
hundred or 12 months pay.
Under Sections 86 and
87 of the Civil Pension Code
the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council is pleased
to condone the interruption
in the applicant's service on
the 5th May 1861.

To Public Works Dept:
to the Accountant General
- " - Examiner of Public Works Accounts
- " - Superintending Engineer 2nd Circle
To Mr. Vartharajulu Naidoo
16th Decr 19 - 2 - 81

Decem 1881 recd

1067
Endorsement of
the Public Works Dept:
13th Jan'y 1881

13-3
Dec 15-3

Enc. 2

Handwritten signature

From R. H. Vartharajulu

Naidoo late Overseer

1067 for consideration

1067 ordered 1/1

