

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1295-96

~~Press~~
Mis.

Dated 30 . 11 . 1881 .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

30th November 1881, No. 1295.

Pension.

Read again—

G.O., Pension Department, dated 31st August 1881, No. 800.

Read also the following papers :—

From the District Judge, Chingleput, dated 12th September 1881, No. 453.
From the Accountant-General, dated 28th October 1881, No. A.D.J.-318, and 10th November 1881, No. J.A.E.-198.

ORDER THEREON, 30th November 1881, No. 1295.

Under Sections 102 and 109 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Teruvor Teruvengada Pillay, late Head Clerk and Nazir, District Court, Chingleput, an Invalid pension of Rs. (25-1-10) twenty-five, anna one, and pies ten per mensem, payable at Chingleput from 3rd November 1880, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 24 years, 8 months, and 21 days.

2. It is observed that the average salary of the applicant for the last five years of his service was Rs. 75-5-6 and not Rs. 79-8-6 as entered in the Register submitted by the District Judge. The latter amount seems to have been arrived at by the inclusion of the period of service from 1st April to 8th December 1879 and by reckoning the applicant's salary from 21st October to 8th December 1879 at Rs. 100, while, in reality, he, for that period, drew an acting allowance of Rs. 50 only.

(True Extract.)

J. A. DAVIES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" District Judge, Chingleput.
" Collector of Chingleput.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of (1881) Madras
PENSION.

To
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Madras.

Dated November 30th.

Encl.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 3004 November 1881, No. 1205.

NOVEMBER

No 453

Chingleput District Court.
12th September 1881.
No 616. 3.

From

The District Judge
Chingleput

To

The Accountant General
Fort St George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith
the applications of T. Terunengada Pillay for
pensions together with his Service Book and
6 enclosures.

- 1 Last pay certificate
- 1 Copy of the Acct. General's
letter dated 31st January 1881.
- 1 Copy of the Madras Govt.
Proceedings dated 31 Aug 1881
- 2 Applications of T. Terunengada Pillay
- 1 Medical certificate

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

L. Hope
District Judge.



N^o 184 -

CERTIFICATE

7. 12
3-11-80

CERTIFIED that I, E. A. Trimmer, Surgeon Major, Lillooet Regt. Chingapat
have carefully examined T. Tirunugada Pillay
son of T. Vakoolabaran Pillay, 18th Bt. & Coy, in the District
and Prison Court, Chingapat. His age is, by his own statement,
50 years, and, by appearance, about 50 years. I consider
T. Tirunugada Pillay
to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind

in the Department to which he belongs, in consequence of Diabetes
and Piles. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been
caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Civil Surgeon,
Chingapat,
3rd November 1880

E. A. Trimmer Surgeon Major
Lillooet Regt. Chingapat

In N^o 184
In Omo 1295/5/81

From the Accountant General,
Madras.

To The District Judge
Chingleput.

No. 2a.C
554

Fort St. George 31st January 1881

Sir,

I have the honor to return the history
of service of T. Tiruvengada Pillai, received
with your letter No: 18 dated the 19th January
1881, and to state that his services as de-
tailed therein have been verified by re-
ference partly to the Annual returns and
partly to the Audit registers on record in
this Office and found to be correct.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your obedient servant

Signed / H. Keene

Asstt Accountt. General
for Accountt. General

True copy

Ascertained by

Suristadar

in a P.O. No. 1295/26/81

compd
L.K.

11-
Pension Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read
Endorsement of the Public Department dated
27th April 1881 No: 774, transferring
papers for disposal with T. Sruvengada
Pillay's pension application.

Order thereon 31 August 1881 No: 800

An application for pension
on behalf of T. Sruvengada Pillay in the
prescribed form, if submitted through the
proper Channel will be considered in the
Pension Department.

/ True Extract /

Signed / J. A. Davis

Ag. Under Secretary

(True Copy)

Attest the same by L. J. J.

Compd
All.

Christadar

Application of T. Tirunavada Pillai late
Head Clerk and Magis of the District and
Session Courts of Pudukkottai for Pension.

I was born on the 4th October
1832. I held the appointments, de-
scribed in the statement herewith
submitted, during the service for
which I claim pension. I took
leave on private affairs for 4 months
in 1861 on forfeiture of half pay and
15 days leave on private affairs in
1865 on forfeiture of 10 days pay. There
was no interruption during the
period of my service.

25th December
1880.

T. Tirunavada Pillai

1295/11/11

275/2

No 71.72

919.

20/12/80

James Hope Esquire
District and Session Judge
of Chingapat
Honored Sir

In continuation of
my communication dated 3rd Novem-
ber last enclosing Medical cer-
tificate invaliding me for
further service, I now beg to
submit this my application for
pension together with my service
register book and the statement
of services prepared by me, and
beg your Honor will be pleased
to procure the necessary sanction
of Government &c. my duty

I remain
Honored Sir

(Chingapat) Your most obedient & humble servant
18th December 1880 } D. Sircarupada Pillay
Late Head Clerk Magistrate

Ord. 1275/6/1

275/2
Q. No. 1019
13-10-81

No. A. D. K.
135

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT.
PENSION VERIFICATION BRANCH.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
22 October 1881.

FROM *M. F. Blagatoun Esq.*
OFF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
MADRAS,

TO THE *District Judge,*
Chingleput.

SIR,

WITH reference to your application for pension on behalf of *T. Venkataswami*
Pellai, late Head Clerk and Nazir, District Court, Chingle.
put forward with your letter No. 453 dated 12 September 1881.
I have the honor to inform you that the final disposal of the application is delayed for
want of Nos. 2. 4. 5. 8. 9. 24 & 25.

2. I particularly request that the required information may be supplied without
delay, this letter being retransmitted for submission to Government along with the
other papers connected with the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

M. Blagatoun
Assistant to the Accountant-General,
for Accountant-General.

11/13

1. House or country name of the applicant and that of his father.
2. Insertion in the column headed "How verified," on the second page of the application herewith returned, the class of records from which the applicant's services have been verified.
3. Explanation of apparent breaks and degradation opposite to No. 2 on the third page of the application herewith returned.
4. Insertion in detail in the service book herewith returned of the several kinds of leave of absence other than privilege or casual leave enjoyed by the applicant.
5. Insertion in the service book herewith returned of the reason of termination of service on 2 Nov 81 and for attestation of the entries thus made by the Head of the Office.
6. Insertion of the certificate opposite to No. 5 on the third page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity.
7. Signature of the Applicant in the appropriate columns of the accompanying service book.
8. Transmission of the true copy of the correspondence in connection with the applicant's *question of submission of pension* in *Service* from 1 April 1879 and of a last pay certificate. *to 8 December 1879 for submission to Govt. in the case* *Dept.*
9. Explanation of the cause of delay of nearly 10 1/2 months in the submission of the application.
10. Explanation why the applicant was retained in service up to when the medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service was dated the

20/11/83
Petitioner's name
M. F. Blagatoun
Assistant to the Accountant-General
for Accountant-General
L. 301
Order 1298/83

- 2
11. Explanation why the applicant was removed from the service before his incapacity for further service was duly established by a certificate.
 12. Explanation why the medical certificate is post-dated to the extent of _____ days _____ months, the applicant having ceased to do duty on _____
 13. Information as to whether the applicant applied for his pension gratuity during his life time; if so, when he applied and when he died.
 14. Information as to the name of the applicant's heir entitled to receive the gratuity or the amount of arrears of pension due to him.
 15. Revision of the affidavit and contemporary evidence. The evidence should contain information on the following points:—
 - (a.) Whether the applicant was in permanent employ of Government.
 - (b.) Whether there were any breaks in the continuity of his service and whether he was at any time absent on leave other than privilege; if so, the nature of such breaks and the duration of such leave of absence should, as far as possible, be specified.
 16. Information as to whether the appointment of _____ in which the applicant is stated to have been acting from _____ to _____ was fully vacant, and whether any person, save the applicant, counted service in it during that period.
 17. Information as to whether any endeavours were taken to provide a suitable appointment for the applicant. The amount of saving effected to Government by the abolition of his appointment in the Revision of Establishment should be stated.
 18. Insertion of the several rates of acting allowance separately from pay.
 19. A few more personal marks to facilitate identification.
 20. Certificate to the effect that the applicant appeared before a Medical Officer in view to his being examined as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, vide Rule 5, Section 105 of the Civil Pension Code.
 21. Specific report as to the nature of the investigation made and the conclusion arrived at by the Head of the Office, in respect of the portion of service not verifiable from office records.
 22. Information as to whether the applicant's detachment on temporary duty from _____ to _____ was on the understanding that when the temporary duty should cease, he would revert to his substantive appointment. Copy of any departmental order on the subject should also be forwarded.
 23. Information as to why the applicant's name does not appear in the Annual Return Audit Register for _____ on record in this office.

24. Information of the circumstances under which the grant of pension equal to 1/2 average emoluments instead of 1/3 has been proposed to which latter only the applicant would seem to be entitled for his qualifying service of 24 years. —

25. Submission of an application in the printed form in lieu of the manuscript one; the former can be had on application from the Superintendent of Stationery. In the application, should be embodied also the period of service rendered prior to 19 January 1855. —

Order 1275/6/1

No 7473 21-
 No 533 Chingleput District Court.
 21st October 1881.

From
 The District Judge
 of Chingleput.

To
 The Accountant General
 Madras.

Sir,
 With reference to your
 letter No. A.D.H. dated 2^d instant, I
 135
 have the honor to retransmit the

1. Service Register.
2. Application Pension Application of S. Tiruvenga-
 for Pension.
3. Letter from the = d a Pillai late Head Clerk and
 Officiating Ac-
 countant General =
 No. A.D.H.
 135
4. True copies of the the papers marginally noted sup-
 correspondence
 as per No. 8. = plying the information for Nos 2, 4,
5. Statement of
 the applicant 5, 8, 9, 24 and 25 therein required.
 dated 21st
 October 1881.

2. The information required for
 No 2 is entered in the Pension

Application and for Nos 4 and 5 in the Service Register. The copies of Correspondence required in No 8 are herewith forwarded. As regards No 9, the delay of $10\frac{1}{2}$ months in submitting the Pension Application was caused by the correspondence between this Court and the Government with reference to the service claimed and the rate of pension payable to the applicant and also by the submission of the History of service of the applicant for your verification. The copies of correspondence submitted for No 8 supply the information called for in No 24. As required by No 25, the Pension application is prepared and submitted in the printed form and


23.
the period of service rendered by the Applicant from 3^d November 1849 to 2^d January 1850 is omitted from calculation as he by his own statement, herewith enclosed, does not appear to be entitled to have that period included in his service.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

James Wake
District Judge.



24
1851

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read Proceedings in the Financial Department, dated 11th June 1879, No. 1113, communicating letter from the Accountant General, stating that he has reported to the Revenue Board that P. S. Ragava Chari, Temporary Deputy Collector of Kurnool, is eligible for 15 days privilege leave and that he forfeited his appointment in the Civil Court of Chingleput from the 1st April last.

Order thereon 7th July 1879 No. 2443.

In G. O. Financial Department No. 649 of April 2nd 1879

L. P. 1024

the Government ordered that P. S. Ragava bhari should retain a lien on his appointment of Head clerk in the civil court of Chingleput while temporarily employed as a Deputy collector. This lien he does not forfeit because another appointment in the said court, namely, that of Nazir, was abolished from the 1st April last. I. Tiru-
-vengada Pillai who was Nazir and who appears to have been appointed Head clerk and Nazir from that date, must therefore be considered to be acting for P. S. Ragava bhari.

/ True Extract /
/ Signed J. H. Garstin
Acting Secretary to
Government.

Ord

27.

Order in the Judicial Department
14th July 1879 No 1630
Communicated to the District
Judge of Chingleput, with copy of
G. O. dated 11th June 1879 No 1113.
/ True Extract /
/ Signed A. L. V. Ramana-
Ag Asst^{nt} Secretary.
Chingleput District Court
3^d October 1879.
No 393
From
G. A. Parker Esquire
Acting District Judge
of Chingleput.
To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Madras.
Sir,
With reference to G. O. No 1630
dated 14th July 1879 communicating

to me an order of Government in the Revenue Department of 7th July 1879 No 2443 to the effect that P. S. Ragava Chari now employed as a Deputy Collector should be considered to hold a lien upon the appointment of Head Clerk in this Court, I have the honor to forward a petition from S. Siru-
vengada Pillai who was appointed by Mr Hope to the amalgamated office of Head Clerk and Nazir in the new arrangement of April 1st in which he requests that his service from April 1st as acting Head Clerk and Nazir may as a special case be reckoned eligible for pension.

2. I beg to recommend this petition to the favorable consideration

29.
of Government, as the case seems to me one of peculiar hardship. The Government will not fail to recollect that Siruengada Pillai is a much senior officer to P. S. Ragava Chari, and had they both been present in the District Court when the new arrangement of April 1st came into force, there is little doubt that Mr Hope would have appointed Siruengada Pillai to continue to hold the higher paid appointment and that P. S. Ragava Chari would have had to go a step lower. It seems to me unfair that P. S. Ragava Chari who left the Court about three years ago and who as Mr Hope pointed out in his letter to Government of February

28th 1879 No 113 never even asked to be allowed to retain a lien upon any appointment in this Court, should now be allowed to have a lien upon a higher appointment than he would have held had he remained, and that to the prejudice of a senior officer. The present order of Government is apparently for the purpose of giving Ragava Chari a permanent appointment, so as not to break the continuity of his service, but if it be carried out, I am not able to see upon what appointment his senior Tiruvenga Pillai is to have a lien, and it seems rather hard that he should suffer for the sake of a junior who left the Judicial Department

31.
years ago and has no intention of returning to it. I would respectfully suggest to the Government that the best mode out of the difficulty would be to allow the services of both these officers to reckon as eligible for pension as a special case from April 1st, and to provide Ragava Chari with some nominal permanent post in the Revenue Department as soon as an opportunity offers.

I have &c &c
/Signed/ G. A. Parker
Ag. District Judge.

Judicial Department.
Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Read the following letter from the Acting District and Session,

Judge of Chingleput dated 3^d
October 1879 No 393.

Read also letter from the
Accountant General, dated 24th
October 1879 No 445 N.

With reference to your Endorse-
ment No 1952 dated the 7th Instant
referring for my remarks a letter
from the Acting District and
Sessions Judge, Chingleput No 393
dated the 3^d idem, suggesting for
the consideration of Government
that the services of Tiruvengadam
Pillai as Acting Head Clerk and
Kazir, in his Court from 1st April
last, may, as a special case,
be declared qualifying for Pension.
I have the honor respectfully to state
that Section 13 of the Civil Pension

33-
Code 4th Edition rules that "two
officers may not simultaneously
count service in one Office". It
would therefore appear that Tiru-
vengadam Pillai cannot count
service in this post if the permanent
incumbent was doing so at the
same time.

The papers received with the
above are herewith returned.

Order thereon 27th October 1879
No 2764.

The Accountant General's
letter will be communicated
to the Acting District and Sessions
Judge of Chingleput.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ B. G. Master

Chief Secretary.

N^o 554. Chingleput District Court.

20th November 1879.

From G. A. Parker Esquire

Ag District Judge
of Chingleput.

To The Chief Secretary
to Government

Madras.

Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the order of Government dated 27th October 1879 N^o 2764 communicating to me a letter from the Accountant General dated 24th October N^o 445 A to the effect that "two officers may not simultaneously count service in one office", under the provisions of Section 13 of the Civil Pension Code, and I beg respectfully to point out that this communication

35.

contains but a partial answer to my letter of the 3^d October N^o 393.

2. For the sake of clearness I will recapitulate briefly the facts of the case: Up to the 1st April last the offices of Nazir and Head Clerk in the Court were separate appointments held respectively by T. Tiruvengada Pillai and P. S. Ragava Chari. Of these the latter was not present in the Court but had been officiating for over three years as a Deputy Collector and another man was acting for him in the Court. The new arrangement which came into force on April 1st caused a reduction of five hands in the strength of the District Court, and amongst other changes, the offices of Nazir and Head Clerk were amalgamated. It devolved upon

Mr Hope to determine which of the court
servants should be required to go, and
in making the new arrangements -
Mr Hope appointed S. Tiruvengada -
Pillai the then Nazir, to the amalga-
-mated office of Head clerk and Nazir.
This would naturally have been
done even had P. S. Ragava Chari
been present in the court, as S. Tiru-
-vengada Pillai was the senior of
the two, but it then happened that
P. S. Ragava Chari, though acting as
a Deputy collector was without any
permanent appointment and that
the continuity of his service was -
broken. Upon this the Government
passed an order in the Revenue
Department, dated 7th July 1879

No. 2443 to the effect that P. S. Ragava
1 Chari should be considered still

37
to hold a lien upon the appointment of
"Head clerk" in this court - or in other
words, upon an appointment which
had ceased to exist as a separate
appointment.

3. The question therefore is "Is
Tiruvengada Pillai or P. S. Ragava
Chari to hold the permanent appoint-
-ment of Head clerk and Nazir -
under the new arrangement.?" If
P. S. Ragava Chari is to hold it, Tiru-
-vengada Pillai is without any appoint-
-ment whatever and the continuity
of his service is broken. In short he
is in the same position as that from
which P. S. Ragava Chari is anxious
to extricate himself. It is particularly
hard upon Tiruvengada Pillai, as
he is the senior of the two men and is

actually doing the work, while the other has never done the work and has no intention of doing it. Moreover, Sirmengada Pillai wants only six more months to complete 25 years service for half pension, and feels it very hard that the continuity of his service should be broken at such a time. In order to get out of the difficulty, I suggested in my letter to government of October 3^d No. 393, that as a special case the rule might be set aside and that Sirmengada Pillai's service subsequent to April 1st should be reckoned as eligible for pension. I was quite aware of the rule to which the Accountant General refers but I submit that if the Government have power to make an exception it is but equitable to do so in the

39.
present case. If however the Government are not able to make an exception to the rule, then with all respect and deference, I submit that the person to hold the permanent lien upon the appointment should in fairness be Sirmengada Pillai and not Ragava Chari, - the senior rather than the junior, - the man who does the work rather than one who left the Court more than three years ago. - Of course it is to be regretted that Ragava Chari should find the continuity of his service broken, but at the same time he left this Department of his own accord in order to better his prospects, and has served his own interests well by so doing. I submit that it is hardly fair he should now be allowed to

deprive a senior of any permanent appointment at all in order that he may preserve a lien upon an office which he has no intention to join.

4. I forward another petition which has been addressed to me by Tiruvengada Pillai upon the subject, as I feel certain that the Government order of 7th July 1879 No 2443 Revenue Department was passed under a misconception. That such was the case is clear from the wording of the rules, since it is said that Ragava Chari is to retain a lien upon the office of Head clerk which had ceased to exist as a separate post, and the Government were not then aware that Mr. Hooper had

141-
appointed the former Nazir to the amalgamated post of Head clerk and Nazir, so that the relative claims of the two men were not before them at the time.

5. Under these circumstances I respectfully request the orders of Government as to which of the two, Tiruvengada Pillai or Ragava Chari is to hold the permanent appointment of Head clerk and Nazir in this court.

I have &c &c

/Signed/ G. A. Parker.

District Judge.

Revenue Department
Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Read the following letter

from the Acting collector of Kurnool,
dated 23^d October 1879 No 455.

With reference to para 1 of G. O.
No 3491 dated 10th Instant, I have
the honor to state that on the 18th
Instant before giving over charge
of his office which he did on Monday
the 20th Instant, Temporary Deputy
collector P. S. Ragava Charlu put
in an application for 4 months' sick
leave producing a Medical certi-
ficate from the Civil Surgeon at
Kurnool.

2. As already reported
Ragava Charlu has been ill for
some time past and is in my
opinion unfit to join his perma-
nent appointment in the District
and Sessions Court at Chingleput.
I therefore recommend that the

43.
leave applied for may be granted.

Order thereon 5th November 1879
No 3789.

Leave granted

/True Copy/

/Signed/ L. A. Campbell
for Acting Secretary
to Government.

Order in the Judicial Department
28th November 1879 No 3004.

Communicated to the District
Judge Chingleput.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ C. S. Master

By Chief Secretary.

Judicial Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following letters
From the Acting District Judge of

Chingleput dated 20th November 1879, No 554
- the Accountant General, Madras
dated 6th December 1879, No 4392.

With reference to your
Endorsement No 2234 dated the 24th
November 1879 forwarding for my
opinion a letter from the District
Judge of Chingleput No 554 of the
20th idem recommending, that
Sivunengadam Pillai and not
Ragava Chari, should hold the
permanent appointment of Head
Clerk and Nazir in his Court, if
the Government cannot allow the
former as an exceptional case at
least, to count service for pension
in his present appointment, I
have the honor respectfully to ob-
serve that in the orders of Govern-

ment

k 5.

ment noted in the margin - Govern-

Madras Govt No 2443
dated 7th July 1879.

- No 3789 - No 5th
November 1879.

Govt of India No 2909
dated 24th Sept 1879 -

ment have already decided
that Ragava Chari should
hold the appointment per-
manently and Sivunengadam

Pillai should be considered to have
been appointed substantive protem
only. I beg also to add that during
the Currency of the leave now granted
to Ragava Chari, Sivunengadam
Pillai can only act on a moiety of
the pay of the appointment.

2. While simply acting in an
appointment (whether substantive
protem or not) without a substantive
appointment of his own, Sivunengadam
Pillai cannot count service for
pension.

Read letter from the Acting

District Judge of Chingleput dated
18th December 1879, No 615.

— Do — Do — dated 14th February 1880.

No 55.

Read letter from the Accountant
General, Madras dated 14th
February 1880, No 553.

With reference to your
Endorsement No 2492 dated the 27th
December last, referring for my
remarks a letter from the District
Judge of Chingleput recommending
that Tiruvengada Pillai may be
considered to have held the substantive
appointment of Head Clerk and Nazir
from 1st April last, I have the honor
to observe that Ragava bhari having
been granted the substantive ap-
pointment from the 1st April last,

17.
Tiruvengada Pillai cannot now be
granted the same appointment
substantively, as two Officers cannot
hold one and the same appointment
at the same time.

2. If Tiruvengadam were
appointed substantively from 1st
April, Ragava bhari could not
draw any absentee allowance for
the time he was on sick leave viz
21st October to 8th December and his
heirs would have to refund any amount
which has been already drawn.

3. I regret that this letter was
delayed by the carelessness of a
Superintendent.

Order thereon 26th February 1880

No 424.

Copies of the Accountant

General's letters will be commu-
-nicated to the Acting District
Judge, Chingleput.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ E. Gibson.

Ag Under Secretary

No 127

Chingleput District Court.

3^d March 1880.

From

G. A. Parker Esquire

Ag District Judge

of Chingleput.

To The Chief Secretary,
to Government

Madras.

Sir, In acknowledging the
receipt of G. O. dated 26th February,
1880 No 424 under the signature of
your Under Secretary communicating

19
to me two letters from the Accountant
General, I have the honor very res-
-pectfully to point out that the Govern-
-ment order contains no answer
at all to the question referred for
the orders of Government in my
letters of 20th November 1879 No 554
and 18th December 1879 No 615.
That question is which of two men
ought to be considered to have
held a substantive amalgamated
appointment from 1st April 1879.
My two letters have merely been
endorsed to the Accountant General
for report and he tells us - what
we knew before - what will be
the effects upon the pay and allow-
-ances of the two men. With all
deference I beg to point out that
the

matter is one which the Govern-
-ment must decide taking into
consideration the relative claims
of the two men, and that it can-
-not be disposed of by an
endorsement to the Accountant
General.

2. My letters to Government
marginally noted fully explain
the whole matter, and up to this
time no orders have been passed

October 3^d

1879 No 393.

20th November

1879 No 554.

18th December

1879 No 615.

beyond referring them for the
remarks of the Accountant
General and communicating

those remarks to me. It would
no doubt be rather a hardship if the
family of the late Ragava Chari were
called upon to refund allowances
passed to him while on sick leave,

Note
They have not
yet been
drawn.

51
but it must be remembered that —
Ragava Chari was only on sick leave
for about six weeks before his death
and the hardship of refunding so small
a sum would be very slight indeed
compared to that which would be in-
-flicted upon his senior, Tiruvengada
Pillay by depriving him of his permanent
appointment from April 1866. The case
appears to be one in which apparently
a hardship must be inflicted upon
some one, but I have already explain-
-ed my reasons for holding that Tiru-
-vengada Pillai has incontestably
superior claims to hold the permanent
amalgamated appointment. I there-
-fore again beg to refer his petition to
the favorable consideration of Government.

I have &c &c
/Signed/ G. A. Parker
Ag District Judge.

Judicial Department.
Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following letter from
the Acting District Judge, Chingleput,
dated 3^d March 1880 No 127.

Order thereon 2^d April 1880 No 761.

The Government decline to
reconsider the matter adverted to in
this letter.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ R. Davidson
Chief Secretary.

No 64 Chingleput District Court.

5th February 1881.

From J. Hope Esquire

District Judge
of Chingleput.

To The Chief Secretary
to Government, Madras.

53.
Sir, I have the honour to bring to the
notice of Government for favourable
consideration the claim of T. Tiruvenga-
da Pillai late Head Clerk and Nazir
of this Court to have his service under
Government regarded as qualifying
for half pension. The accompanying
copy of the history of his service (which
has been certified by the Accountant
General to be correct) will show that
he only required 3 months and 5 days
to complete his 25 years service when
he was obliged by ill-health to re-
sign his appointment under —
medical certificate copy of which
is enclosed.

2. I would draw the special
attention of Government to the
circumstances under which Tiru-
vengada Pillai was obliged to

No 330.

Public Department.

To

The District & Sessions Judge
Chingleput.

Sir, Referring to your letter dated
5th February 1881 No. 64, I am directed,
to request that you will be so good as
as to cause to be forwarded a brief
abstract of the case mentioned in
your para 2.

I have &c &c

Fort Saint George }
17th February 1881 }

/Signed/ C. D. Maclean.

Under Secretary.

No 115

Chingleput District Court

21st February 1881.

From

J. Hope Esquire

District Judge, Chingleput.

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government, Madras.

forfeit 8 months and 8 days out of
his actual service, in the interests
of the late P. S. Ragava Chari. His
Case formed the subject of the corres-
-pondence marginally noted and
must be admitted to have been
one of peculiar hardship, even as it
stood. Still greater however will be the
hardship if it turns out that his
temporary forfeiture of the appoint-
-ment in which he actually served
will entail the permanent reduc-
-tion of his pension from Rupees 37-12-
to Rupees 25-2-8.

I have &c &c

/Signed/ J. Hope.

District Judge.

x
G. O. No 1630 dated
14th July 1879.
-do- 27th 64 dated
27th October 1879.
-do- 3004 dated
28th November
1879.
-do- 424 dated
26th February
1880.
-do- 761 dated
2^d April 1880.

No
L. N. 1652
Order 1295-9/5/81

Sir,
In reply to your Under Secretary's Post Card dated 17th February 1881 No 330, Public Department, I have the honor to submit the following abstract of the correspondence relating to the circumstances under which S. Tiruvengada Pillai, late Head Clerk and Nazir of this Court forfeited 8 months and 8 days out of his actual service, in the interests of the late P. S. Ragava Chari.

2. S. Tiruvengada Pillai and P. S. Ragava Chari respectively held the offices of Nazir and Head Clerk. In December 1876 the latter left the Court to take up a Famine Appointment in Bellary, after which he was appointed to act as Deputy Collector.

57.
3. In the New Arrangement which came into force on the 1st April 1879 and by which the said two offices were amalgamated, I appointed S. Tiruvengada Pillai to the office of Head Clerk and Nazir, in the exercise of the discretion vested in me by the Order of the High Court dated 8th January 1879 No 7 (which accompanied G. O. No 2343, dated 19th November 1878) he being the Senior of the two and attached to the establishment, which P. S. Ragava Chari had left 3 years before with no intention of returning.

4. But the Government in their
14th July 1879
No 1630. marginally noted Proceedings held
27th October 1879
No 2764. that Ragava Chari continued to hold a lien on the office of Head Clerk

and Nazir from 1st April 1879, and that T. Tiruvengada Pillai should only be considered as acting without any substantive appointment.

5. My predecessor in his letter^x 3^d October 1879 No. 393. noted in the margin setting forth 20th November 1879 No. 554. the above circumstances, requested that in consideration of the hardship devolving on T. Tiruvengada Pillai by the above resolution of Government the period after 1st April 1879 during which he held the post of Head Clerk and Nazir might be reckoned eligible for pension. This the Government declined to do in their Proceedings dated 27th October 1879 No. 2764, acting on the Accountant General's opinion, that no two Officers can simultaneously count

57.
service in one office.

6. I may add that subsequent to this correspondence Ragava bhari died on the 8th December 1879, and Tiruvengada Pillai was confirmed in that appointment on the next day. Should the period between 1st April and 8th December 1879 be excluded from the service of Tiruvengada Pillai, he is in want of 3 months and 5 days to be eligible for half pension.

7. Now that Ragava bhari is dead, nobody's interests are affected by counting the said period of 8 months and 8 days in Tiruvengada Pillay's favor which will be more than enough for him. He was appointed by me to the office of

Head clerk and Nazir on the 1st
April, and it was not until the
14th July 1879 (3 months and 14 days)
that the intention of Government
declaring Ragava bhari the
permanent Head clerk and Nazir,
was communicated to this Court
which certainly bears very hard
against Tiruvengada Pillai. If
the Government be even pleased
to count the said period of 3 months
and 14 days during which he was
unmistakably the permanent Head
clerk and Nazir, he will become
eligible for half pension - the
 boon he now craves.

I have &
Signed J. Hope
District Judge.

67
Pension Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read Endorsement of the Public
Department dated 27th April 1881 No. 774,
transferring papers for disposal with
T. Tiruvengada Pillai's pension application.

Order thereon 31st August 1881 No. 800.

An application for pension on
behalf of T. Tiruvengada Pillai in the
prescribed form, if submitted through
the proper channel will be considered
in the Pension Department.

/ True Extract /

/ Signed J. A. Davies.

Ag Under Secretary

/ True copies /

I hope
District Judge.

Chingleput District
Court -
21st October 1881

24
106

from J. Tinnappa Rao

10/10/81

Retired Head Clerk & Magistrate
District & Session Court

Chingleput

To J. Hope Esquire

District and Session Judge

Chingleput

Honoured Sir,

In my service registers
it is entered that I was acting
as 3rd English writer to the Civil
and Session Court of Madras
from 3rd November 1849 to 2nd January
1850 on full pay. I beg to state
that at that time I was under
22 years of age holding no sub-
stantive appointment in any
office and that I also beg to represent
that I held no appointment from
3rd January 1850 to 28th January 1855.

L. P. S.
or 1295/17

As this period of service could
 not under the pension Code be
 reckoned as eligible for pension
 They that the same may not
 be included in calculating the
 period of my service.

They remain

Honored Sir

Your Most Obedient

and humble servant

Chinglapur
 District Court
 21st October 1881

J. Perunengada Pillay
 Late 4th District Judge

Service Register of J. Perunengada Pillay

18.1654
 Or. 1295/76/81

17/11/81

James Thompson of 7 Avenue Avenue

Name of Officer.		J. Thompson		Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S		Date of birth.	Years	Mo. Days.	Exact Height.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.				
Name.		J. Thompson				Residence.													
Caste or Race.		Ching Ching		Residence.		J. Thompson		4 th Decr 1892		22 3 15 5 4 1/2		I want in the map		15 th Aug 1874		J. Thompson		J. Thompson	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
3 rd English District in the Civil and Session Court, Cuddalore	Acting	"	21	21	1859	P. Srinivasa da Pillay
5 th English District in the Civil & Session Court of Chingleput	Permanent	"	14	"	1854	
3 rd Do. Do. - Do.	"	"	21	"	1857	
Nazir in Do. Do.	"	"	45 70	"	1857	
Head Clerk and Nazir of the District & Session Court of Chingleput	Permanent	"	100	"	1879	P. Srinivasa da Pillay
Do	Permanent	"	100	"	1879	

Vide Page 4 and 5 for full particulars of service.

8 Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Translates well, is very shrewd, hard working & attentive to his duties.		22 nd January 1850	Retired on account of permanent incumbent	
I consider P. Srinivasa da Pillai deserves the commendation as a good public servant, able, industrious & very attentive to his duties. He is a man who is ready & willing to make himself useful & his whole conduct has given me perfect satisfaction.	Signed / P. Srinivasa da Pillai	31 st October 1857	Promoted	
P. Srinivasa da Pillai has given me entire satisfaction. His work has been done punctually, well & quietly. I have never before seen a Nazir's Office in such good order. He speaks and writes English very well.	Signed / P. Srinivasa da Pillai	8 th February 1863	Promoted	
P. Srinivasa da Pillai performs all his duties most satisfactorily and quietly being thoroughly up to his work - signed / P. Srinivasa da Pillai	Signed / P. Srinivasa da Pillai	31 st March 1879	Appointed as Head Clerk and Nazir as per order of Govt. dated 19 th November 1878	At 2343
I have never and had occasion, I believe, to find fault with P. Srinivasa da Pillai. I appointed him to the post of Nazir in 1864 & he has conducted his duties most satisfactorily & well. His knowledge of English is good.	Signed / P. Srinivasa da Pillai	8 th Dec 1879	Owing to his permanent incumbent	
P. Srinivasa da Pillai was furnished by me for over 15 years in not reporting & correcting the irregularities of certain of his subordinates, but has otherwise given me satisfaction. He is a business like man.	Signed / P. Srinivasa da Pillai	2 nd Nov 1880	Retired on medical certificate	

117
Pension Dept.

Nº 103

Memorandum.

With reference to the accompanying application to Mr. Teruvor Teruvengada Pillay it is observed that the applicant was twice allowed leave of absence for 3 months and 29 days, and 17 days respectively on half pay and without pay when apparently he was entitled to privilege leave. The Accountant General is requested to report if he was entitled to privilege leave on those occasions and if there is any objection to 3 months of

Inc. F. 15524
Nov. 1295-6/31

No. I.A.C.
198

From

H. H. Fogston Esq.
Off. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Pension Department,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to your Under Secy.
tary's Memorandum No. 103, dated the 5th of
November 1881, I have the honor to state that
Sivuvengada Pillai, late Head Clerk, and
Magistrate of the District Court, Chingleput, was
absent on leave on half pay for 3 months,
and 29 days from 18th October 1861, and on
loss of full pay for 17 days from 28th August
1865. Under the rules then in force, Judicial
officers who took advantage of the adjournment
of Courts were not entitled to Privilege leave
in addition. Unless therefore the District
Judge, Chingleput, can certify from the records
of his Court that Sivuvengada Pillai did
not enjoy any portion of the adjournments
of the years 1859, 1860, 1861 & 1865, the leave
cannot be commuted into Privilege leave.
The balance of 29 days of the first leave

(can)

of the first and the whole of the second
leave being commuted into privilege
under sections 77 and 139 of the
Civil Leave Code in order that he
may count those periods for pension
[By order]

[Signed] J. A. Davis
Ag. Under Secy.

2. The Accountant General

can, if the leave is commuted, be treated as the
period by which he overstayed his leave,
and the half pay drawn for that period
will have to be recovered. The rules in
force at the time, allowed an officer to
overstay his Privilege leave by one month
without loss of appointment; instead of
only as much as at present.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt

It is signed
off Account General.

Sinner 1881
 From N^o 1713.
 The Accountant-General
 Madras.
 The Secy to Govt.
 Pension Dept.
 No.
 Dated 10th Nov 87
 Recd 11th Nov 87
 No.
 States that certain
 period of leave en-
 joyed by Sinner-goda
 Pillai, referred to
 cannot be commuted
 into Privilege leave un-
 less the Judge certifies
 that he did not enjoy
 any portion of the
 emoluments of the
 year 1859, 1860, 1861
 &c.

With order 12954-12/7/87

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.	
1. Name of Applicant.	Jennoon Tennengada Pillay
2. Father's Name.	Jennoon Voellabarama Pillay
3. Race, Sect and Caste.	Hindu, Vishnavite, Sankaraneekam, Caste
4. Residence showing Village and Pargunnah.	Chingleput, Chingleput District
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment.	Head clerk & Magistrate District and Session Court Chingleput.
6. Date of beginning of service.	19 th January 1855
7. Date of ending of service.	2 ^d November 1887
8. Length of service, including interruptions.	Y. M. D. 25-9-19
of which superior	24-8-25
inferior	" - - -
non-qualifying and interruptions	1- - - 24
9. Class of pension applied for, and cause of application.	Invalid pension. The Doctor's certificate dated 3 ^d November 1887 shows that this officer is completely & permanently incapacitated for further service.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay.	79-8-6.
11. Proposed pension.	39-12-3
12. Proposed gratuity.	
13. Date from which pension to commence.	3 ^d November 1887
14. Place of payment.	Chingleput
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.	14 th October 1832.
16. Height.	5 ft and 4 1/2 inches
17. Marks.	1 wart on the nose and 2 scars below the right knee.
18. Date.	12 th September 1887

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
 † (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information of estimate.)

Signature of Head of Office.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.
4. Explanation under Section 30, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

None
None
None

None

5. Specifier opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (See Rule 6 under Section 84.)

Service claimed established by Court Records and by certificate of Accountant General. I think it should be admitted.

SIGNATURE.

12 September 1881.

W. H.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No 318. CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in ^{inferior} grades has been duly proved for 2 1/2 years 8 months and 21 days; and that as ^{provisionally} ^{provision} ^{provisionally} not exceeding Rs. 25-1-10 per annum ^{equal to 13 years 6 months} is admissible under Section 102 & 109 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The ^{provisionally} ^{provision} is chargeable to ^{the question as to the admission of the applicant's service rendered as Acting Head Clerk and Registrar from 17th June to 8th December 1879 was laid before Government, who after a long correspondence with the District Judge, intimated that it would be decided at the time of submission of application for pension. The object of raising the question personally was to secure to the applicant the right of counting towards pension the periods of his acting service, but from copies of the accompanying correspondence it will be seen that the rules do not admit of a relaxation in favor of the applicant, though their application in that case, may perhaps cause serious hardship to him.}

In the 17 page of the application the District Judge proposes that Grant to the applicant of a pension equal to 1/2 average emolument. So second sanction to the proposition the deficiency in his service to the extent of 3 months and 9 days would require to be condoned. But under the provisions of Section 120, the Local Government may condone a deficiency not exceeding one fourth of an officer's now-qualifying service and not exceeding 3 months in all. In the present case 1/4 of now qualifying service amounts to only 2 months 17 days. Hence the Grant of 1/2 pension will meet the previous sanction of the Government of India in the Financial Department.

The average salary inserted in the 17 page is Rs 79-5-6 which according to the calculation made by this office it is Rs 75-5-6 per annum. The difference, it is presumed, is due to the inclusion of the period of service from 1st April to 8th December 1879 and of allowing the applicant's salary from 21 October to 8th December 1879 at Rs 100-0-0 which, in ^{reality} ^{fact}, as for that period there was acting allowance of Rs 50-0-0 only per annum.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge and his reply to this office No. 414 dated 2nd October 1881.

See accordance with Rule 4, under Section 130 the attention of Government is respectfully called to this office to Section 65 of the Code.

For and on behalf of the Accountant General, Government of India, 28 October 1881.

109-10-81

Total period superior inferior

109-10-81

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read again: - Pension G. O. dated 31st Augt 1881 No 800
also

Read the following papers: -

From the District Judge Chingleput 9-
12th Sept 1881 - No 453.

Accountant-General, dated 28th October 1881. No 109
2nd 10th November 81. No 3181. 22nd 198

ORDER THEREON, 30 November 81
No 1225

Under Sections 102 and 104/5.

of the Civil Pension Code (5th Edition), the

Right Honorable the Governor in Council is

pleased to grant to Tennoor Ven

Vengada Pillay late

Head Clerk and Magis

District Court Chingleput

an Jawalid pension

of Rupees (25-1-10)

twenty five and anna

one per mensem

payable at Chingleput

from 3rd November 1880

in consideration of his qualifying Superior

service of 24 years, 0 months,

and 21. days.

2 It is ordered that the

annual salary of the applicant

for the last 5 years of his

service was Rs 75-5-6

and Rs 79-8-6

as entered in the Register

submitted

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

District Judge, Chingleput

Collector of Chingleput.

2102

14/12-12-81

Pension 1881 No 453
21/10/81

APPLICATION FOR PENSION

Date of application 12 September 1881

Name of applicant J. V. Vengada Pillay

Last appointment Head Clerk and Magis District Court Chingleput

Class of pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

14/12-12-81

Govt. of (1881) Madras.
PENSION.

To
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated 30 November 1881—
Despd. 13th Dec. —
Recd.

G.O., 30 November 81
NO 1295

Granting an Invalid
pension of Rs 25-1-10
per mensem to J.
Ternungaden May
late Head Clerk, & Magi
District Court Chingleput

86
I am to recommend the
condonation of the whole
deficiency of 3 months—
in his service, and
and 9 days and the grant
thereby the grant of
of a half-pay pension of
Rs 37-10-9 in lieu of Rs.
Rs 25-1-10 which this Govt.
can grant him.

Fort St. George
30th November 1881

15/12-19-12-81

29/1/82
J. C.

Handwritten text at top of page, possibly a date or reference number.

187 DEPARTMENT.

No.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of
Department.

Dated 187 .

Handwritten mark or signature at bottom of page.

(188 /) DEPARTMENT.

~~Letter~~
The Secy. to the Govt of
India W of

No. 1296-^{Sub}

Dated. 30- } november 1881-
Recd. 19 }

УГЛЕСТ

SUBMIT.
 Please forwarding for
 favorable consideration the Case
 of J. Trumcagada Riley
 late Head Clerk and Virgin
 District Court Clerk
 and recommending the grant
 to him of a half pay pension
 of \$37-10-0 per mensem.

✓ Euz 1295