

(1) Number of pages 20(2) Date of repair 20-11-41(3) Initials of the Referencer 4**MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.****Government of Madras.***Revenue* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	<u>915</u>	Press Mis.
Dated	<u>21-9-</u>	18 <u>30.</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

21st September 1880, No. 945.

Gratuity.

Read again—

Government Order, dated 16th June 1879, No. 34, Pension Department.

Read also the following papers:—

From the Collector of South Canara, dated 20th December 1879.

„ Officiating Assistant to Accountant-General, dated 19th June 1880, No. 712-A.D.J.

ORDER THEREON, 21st September 1880, No. 945.

Karkal Rama entered the service of Government on the 1st January 1833 as a peon in the Bantval Taluk, South Canara District. On the 10th of March 1836 he was dismissed for having smuggled tobacco, but was "restored to his post" on the 1st May in the same year. His service prior to the 12th February 1836, on which date he was suspended for the fault which eventually led to the order of dismissal, may, as the latter was virtually cancelled, be allowed to count, but of this period nine months and eighteen days must be deducted as he did not complete his sixteenth year until the 19th October 1833.

2. From this date until the 31st January 1861 Karkal Rama served continuously in the Revenue and "old Police" and was then transferred to the new Police force, in which he remained until the 1st May 1863, when, after a qualifying service of twenty-nine years and twenty-nine days, he was struck off the rolls as a deserter.

3. In 1878 the applicant forwarded a petition to Government stating that his so-called desertion was due to enforced absence from severe sickness which detained him in his native village, whither he had proceeded on leave, and that on recovery he returned to head-quarters and applied to be restored, but was told he was unfit for further service and was *therefore* removed, the arrears of his pay being disbursed to him.

It appears that the applicant's statement cannot now be verified by reference to records as the miscellaneous records of the year 1863 of the Office of the Superintendent of Police have been destroyed, but he was at a station which at certain seasons is very unhealthy, and it seems very probable that his story is correct and that his final removal was due to ill-health.

5. Karkal Rama appears to have been several times punished by suspension and degradation for various faults, but on the other hand his services on the occasion of the Coorg insurrection in 1837 were so distinctly meritorious that he was granted a special reward of Rs. 20.

6. On reviewing all the circumstances of his case the Government resolve to grant Karkal Rama the invalid gratuity of Rs. 42 to which he would have been entitled if his incapacity for further service had been duly established by medical certificate.

7. Copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India for confirmation.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with register.
 „ Collector of South Canara.

N^o 946

*Copy forwarded to the Secy to the Govt
 of India Dept of F & B.*

*J. Digney P. V. Campbell
 Under Secy*

*Lt Col G. G.
 21 Sept 1880*

N^o 2397

1068


D
D

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Karnal Naina.*
2. Father's name. *Karnal Kera.*
3. Sect or Caste. *Thigala.*
4. Residence *Karnal.*
5. Present or last employment. *First Class Constable.*
6. Date of beginning service. *1st January 1853.*
7. Date of end of service. *1st May 1863.*
8. Length of service including breaks

	Y.	M.	D.
of which superior	30.	2.	1.
inferior	27.	11.	5.
non-qualifying and breaks...	5.	13.	
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application* ... *Gratuity.*
G. O. dated 18th June 1879. Order of the Govt. enclosed together with original petition of applicant addressed to Govt.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *7 Rupees.*
11. Proposed pension.
12. Proposed gratuity. *42 Rupees.*
13. Date from which to commence.
14. Place of payment. *Mangalore.*
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. *19th October 1817.*
16. Height. *5 feet 2 inches.*
17. Marks. *1 mole on right cheek bone. 1 mole on left side of nose on lip. Right eye blind.*
18. Date. *20th December 1879.*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
 § (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)



Collector for Mangalore
 SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

Govt. of 1880: 31/12/80.
 PENSION.

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
 GOVT. OF INDIA.

GOVT. OF INDIA, 1880, No. 912.
 GOVT. OF INDIA, 1880, No. 912.

SEPTUAGINTA.

Govt. of India, 1880, No. 912.
 of the South India Police Force.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF

[illegible]

Paines 1830 Dec 14th
 Petition S. O. 16-6 79 N. 28
 N. 1298

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY—

Ex 7. Date of application. 19th June 1880.

1. Name of Applicant. *James H. McE*

[illegible]

No.

From *Revenue No 1298*

Dated

187 .

FOR ORDERS.

*With reference to
for Mr. Hull's
note on the
Collection report
of 1st May 79
the petty continuing No 833.
This is in case it will be seen
was for a petty matter that the
it is practically sufficient service
condoned by him in the unsatisfactory
about after the 17th of May 79
and I have done it.*

was not
known before
Hence, the
application to our
account of his
recognition (see
his petition)
is probable.
Admitted that
there is no case
on which to
go to the fact
India. for
18 years the
known of India.

Good service in
the common interest
this document
known from the
Police was, probably
only one or two
for he was a state
in motion state
at some time
This possible table
has apply as always
by many of the
Officers for India
the is not a net.
He lived for
some 30 years

17
at the time -
time that was the fact
on the 10th of 1846
and 1847/8.
for the state
as
y.
.
.

Sent to
Lach
of the

Mr. H. H. H.
FOR ORDERS.

From
Cotton Esquire

Order issued to the Tahsildar of Buntwal
Taluk.

Arzi No 66 has been perused. Peon
Rama of the said Taluk referred to there-
in, has been suspended from this date
and his belt is forwarded (herewith). If
any inconvenience should be felt (in conse-
quence) as regards the discharge of work, a
fit man may be appointed to act for him.

The Eye-witnesses, who were present, when
tobacco was found on search in the possession
of the said Rama & of Peons Venketrama
and Syed Buddan of Mangalore Taluk, as
also the individuals who gave information that
your peon Rama was in possession of tobacco, &c.,
should be sent up to Nagur, and report made
of the fact.

Dated 12th February 1836. Camp Mangalore.

No 374.

Order issued to the Tahsildar Govindaya
of Buntwal Taluk.

Karkat Rama, a peon of your Taluk

who brought smuggled tobacco while, accompanying Baroga Raghuvendraya has been dismissed from this date. The person who was acting for Rama will be confirmed from the same date and his name will be entered in the pay bill and the pay of the post given to him.

Dated 10th March 1245 (Fusli year) Camp Kadaba.

No 450.

Order issued to the Tahsildar Govindaya of Buntwal Taluk.

It is ordered that Tigla Rama, peon of the said Taluk, who was ordered to be dismissed for having brought smuggled tobacco be restored to his post; this appointment will take effect from the 1st of May. His name will accordingly be entered in the pay bill and he will be ordered to resume his duties. He should be warned that if he commits such misdemeanor in future he will be dismissed.

Dated 1st April 1245 Fusli Camp Mangalore.

(The Translation)

Deputy Collector for Collector

E
No 232.

21

Order issued to the Tahsildar Govindaya of Buntwal Taluk.

Argies Nos 325 and 331 have been perused. Having come to know that Dafedar Rama in charge of Pullia Chackbundy (preventive) Station and peon Muttu forcibly obtained cattle from ryots, you have submitted a report after holding an Enquiry into the matter. All the papers connected therewith have been gone through. From the papers bearing on the Enquiry it does not appear that cattle were obtained forcibly from the individuals concerned except from Bellada Rama, and although it appears from the statements of the individuals who parted with their cattle that they were purchased from them it is very doubtful that such was actually the case. Besides this, great suspicion rests on Dafedar Rama owing to the statement of Bellada Rama that he did not part with the cattle out of his own free will and also owing to the statement of 2 other persons who allege that the amount paid as price was afterwards borrowed as a loan but never returned up to this. It is therefore resolved to degrade the said Dafedar (Rama) to the post of a

From
Thomas Law Blane Esq.
S^t Magistrate of Tanjore.

Order issued to Shrinivas Rau,
Tahsildar of Barkur Taluk.

Before the receipt of the Udipi
Tahsildar's Ittella regarding a Com-
plaint of assault preferred by Thi-
galada Manju (female) of Kartal
in Udipi Taluk against seven persons
including Rama Dafedar of Andar
and his elder brother Bhadra, the said
Dafedar Rama filed a petition in the
Muzur stating that the Mokhtars, the
Complainant and Sept Bhadra having
settled the case amicably filed a Raji-
nama before the Tahsildar and casting
aspersions on the Police Munshi of the
said Cutcherry and the Vakil of the Com-
plainant by stating that they fraudulently
got Rajinama drawn up and filed. On
a perusal of the records of the case on
receipt of the same, it appears that the

peon. A fit peon should be recommended
for the post of Dafedar, the said Rama
being appointed to the post of the former.
Their cattle should be returned to such
persons, as have not received the value
thereof. Should the individuals who state
that they have received the price, be willing
to take back the animals they should be
informed that they can do so on return-
ing the amount which they acknowledge
to have received. It appears that peon
Muttie purchased only 2 head of cattle
and as this does not appear to constitute
such an offence as to justify his dismissal
from the post of peon, he should be
warned that in the event of his doing so
in future he will be dismissed the service.
He should not be allowed to remain at
the same station but should be transferred
to another.

Dated 20th February 1247 Jussli - Camp Mangalore.

(True Translation)

Mr. A. L. ...
Deputy Collector for J. Collector

W. C. 1898

W. C.

said Rama was not present during the investigation of the case on the score of sickness and as there is reason to suppose that the allegations contained in the petition are false accusations it is ordered that an Enquiry be held and that he be suspended from the post of Dafadar until further orders.

Dated 7th July 1849. Camp Mangalore

No 26.

From

Thomas Law Blane Esq.

1st Magistrate of Tanore.

Order issued to Shrinivas Rau, Tahsildar of Bartur Taluk.

Order No 21 had been issued suspending Rama Dafadar of Andar. Considering that his suspension up to this time, is a sufficient punishment for the offence for which he was suspended, it is hereby ordered that he be restored to his former post from the 1st of September next and he should be directed to do duties. He will be fully warned that if he should in future put in petitions falsely accusing Govt. servants or be implicated

in any case the present circumstance will be taken into consideration and that he will be removed from his post without the least hesitation.

Dated 28th August 1259 Saka. Camp Mangalore

(True Translation)

Deputy Collector for Collector

Encl

From

Fisher Egge

Collector of Tanara.

Order issued to Nuradeen, Tahsildar of Barthur Taluk.

Arzi No 4 has been received. In enclosing therein, a list of security bonds furnished by servants of your Taluk you state that Rama Bafidar of Someshwar failed to appear, and furnish security and request orders for suspending him till he does so.

As he did not produce security until the end of June last, as required by the Circular order, he has, as recommended, been suspended from the 1st of this month. A report should be submitted enclosing the security bond if he furnishes the same within a week from the receipt by you of this order, or in case he fails to produce one the fact should be reported.

Dated 12th July. Camp Mangalore.

No 16.

From

Fisher Egge,

Collector of Tanara.

Order issued to Nuradeen, Tahsildar of Barthur Taluk.

Arzi No 59 has been perused. Order No 16

in No 16

was issued, on the 12th of July suspending
Rama Kafear of Someshwar from the 1st
of July as recommended by you in Ittillah
No 4 for his not having furnished security
till the end of June, and directing you to
submit an Ittillah if, on enquiry it appeared
that he did not furnish security within a
week. From your paid Ittillah of 13th August
submitted in reply to the above (order) it
appears that the said Rama not only fur-
nished security on the 7th of July but also
continued to perform his duties up to that
date although an order for suspension had
been received, and that you request orders
for including under stoppages his pay for
7 days which elapsed after the expiration
of the term allowed (for furnishing security)
and for the disbursement to him of the salary
for July. Instead of submitting an Ittillah
that Rama had furnished security before
you prior to the receipt by you of the
order No 16 and shewing in the pay bill
that he had done so, you merely quoted
in the pay bill the order directing his
suspension and stated that the incumbent
of the post was under suspension and his
pay for July was shown under savings. This
led to the amount being shown as savings

in the bill submitted to Madras and
being sanctioned accordingly. Therefore it
is not possible to sanction the payment
as now recommended. Deducting the sum of
Rs 1-12-11 to which he is not entitled being
the pay for the interval of 7 days between
the term allowed for furnishing security
and the date on which it was actually fur-
nished there remains a sum of Rs 6-3-1
salary due for 24 days from 8th July to
end of the month, which was not entered
in the pay abstract, owing to your care-
lessness in refraining from reporting, as
soon as he had furnished security the fact
of his having done so, and also from making
any allusion to the fact in the pay abstract.
As it is not possible to order the disburse-
ment of this amount, it has been resolved
that the same should be paid to him from
your own pocket. You will therefore pay
him that amount and obtain a receipt
from him and he will also be restored
to his former post. Though, after he had
furnished security, his pay for August
was withheld in your pay bill, the
amount having after enquiry been entered
in the Huzur bill, an order will be issued
for its disbursement and entry in the pay

Dated 11th September 1266. Sadi. Camp Mangalore

Deputy Collector for Collection

St. He

Each:
an Application
in Freedom on
behalf of Harriet
Went.

Handwritten:
Vanderkolk
Verweilen en
Verdwij hei en.
-acht de

24-1-79
Ac 875/29

The Most Noble the Duke of Buckingham
Governor of Madras.

The humble petition of Maria
Pama residing at Kaekeh
in the District of South Canada,
dated 5th September 1878.

Most respectfully & humbly sheweth,
That petitioner was for 6 years -
employed as peon in the Duntwal taluk
& at the time of the outbreak of 1837
was serving as Chakbandy peon at
Mudbiary at which station when all
the other servants had fled, he remained
at his post & greatly distinguished himself
by rendering every assistance & information
to the Expeditionary force under Major
Laid, that for the loyal conduct and
assistance Pet^r was paid a reward
of Rupees 25, was promoted as Duffadar
& sent to Amra Sulich which was
then the focus of rebellion which he con-
tributed to put down by disconcerting & hand-
ing over to justice many of the rebels. That
he was thus employed in the Capacity of
Duffadar for 25 years when on the introduction
of the Police and on the reduction of Estab-
lishment he joined the Police force as
a Constable on Rupees 10⁰⁰.

That in the latter capacity
he served for 3 years in the municipality
alligani of Gangay and having danger-
ously fallen ill he obtained one month's
leave from the Police Supt. Mr. Major
Bailey who was present & conveyed to
his native village Karkat where he
remained confined to his bed
without assistance without being able
to apply for an extension of his leave
for some time. When he recovered and
appeared again he was informed
that he had been dismissed for
absence. He applied & was ordered
to be restored. His pay was disbursed
to him but he was informed that he
was no longer fit for service. He there-
fore retired in the hope that he would
be granted a pension for his service of
upward of 30 years to support himself
in his old age.

That this poor man which is
granted to persons less than himself
has however been denied him & he has
been cast a drift on the waves of
the world without assistance or support
when bent with years nearly blind
of his sight he is on the verge of the
grave. That he often applied to the
Police Superintendent & the collector
of this District for assistance in
the shape of a pension but without success.

That he, therefore, humbly

to lay his hard and distressing
case before your Excellency and pray
that in consideration of his past
long and faithful services & his
loyalty to Govt. Your Excellency will
be pleased to cast an eye of pity on
an infirm old servant of 70 years of age
and bestow a pension upon him
while he is not likely to enjoy long
for which act of justice and mercy
he will ever pray. ~~and so on~~

Petition Department
 Proceedings of the Madras Govt
 Read the following Petition
 from Karakal Rama, residing
 at Karakal Salug, South
 Canara, dated 5th Sept 1878
 No 34 -

Report thereon from the
 Collector of South Canara dated
 6th May 1879 No 833.

In returning herewith
 Karakal Rama's Petition, No 34
 of 1879 referred to me for report
 under date the 5th March 1879
 I have the honor to state that
 the Petitioner appears to have
 served in this Department
 at first, as a Peon, and then
 as a Police Puffadar altogether
 for 27 years and 9 months
 from 1833 to 1860, when the old
 Police having been abolished
 he was enlisted as a dy Constable
 in

930.
 Petitioner requested
 to forward the evidence
 made before him

application by the Colle-
 tor & inform him of the
 Police.

(By order)

Justice of the Peace

Private Secretary

Madras House
 13 Sept 1878

13 Sept 1878

No 1298

27/11/78

to the Chief Sec-
 retary to Govt
 Department

(By order)

Justice of the Peace

Private Secy

27/12/78

in the new Police Force organized under Act XXIV of 1859

2. As the Petitioner was last employed in the Police Department and his application for pension or gratuity should have been submitted by the Supt of Police I addressed that Officer on the subject and am informed by him that Petitioner was struck off the force as a deserter from 1st May 1863, and that no pension or gratuity was therefore given to him. The Supt of Police further states that no record can be found of any Order directing Petitioner's restoration as alleged in his Petition

3. Under the circumstances Petitioner does not appear to be entitled to pension or gratuity under Sections 48 & 49 Rule I of the Civil Pension Code. It is however

however, true that Petitioner was paid a reward of Rs 20 for assisting in supplying provisions &c for the troops under Captain MacLeod on the occasion of the Coorg Insurrection in 1837 and as his account of the Circumstances under which he came to be dismissed as a deserter is not altogether improbable; the Govt may perhaps be disposed to treat his claim as a special case. The Supt of Police in answer to a reference on the subject informs me that all miscellaneous records of his Office having been destroyed, it is impossible now to find out whether the Petitioner made any representation, about the time of

of his removal as he states in his petition to find out whether any enquiry was made to find out the truth or otherwise of his statement.

Order thereon Order in Council dated
16th June 1879.

Communicated to the Inspector General of Police who will deal with the case on the assumption that the Petitioner's statements, which are not disproved, are true and submit an application through the regular channel for such retiring allowance as the Petitioner may be entitled to for his Combined Revenue and Police Service.

2. The Petitioner will be referred to the Collector.

By Order
Signed of Lorne & Campbell
under Secretary to Govt.

39
To the Inspector General of Police
with Petitioner

(True Copy).

W. S. M. Major
Ag. Asst. Insp. Genl. of Police.

He

N 633 $\frac{D}{D}$

No. 2124

Chief Office of the Inspector General
of Police,

Madras, 19th May 1880.

From

The Assistant Inspector General of Police,

To

The Accountant General
J. S. G.

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to your letter N^o ADK
282 of
the 17th Ultimo, I have the honor, in returning
the roll for Gratuity of Karanil Rama late
a 1st Cl. Con. N^o 453 of the South Canara Police
and its accompaniments received therewith
to state that his service in the Police from
19th October 1860 to 1st May 1863 has been verified
from the records of this Department.

2. According to Section 6 of the Civil
Pension Code and the Superannuation
Fund Rules which were in force at the
time of discharge of the Constable, he is
not entitled to any gratuity or pension
for his service in this Department.

3. His claim for gratuity should be
disposed of under paras 2 and 3. G. O. 868


dated

dated 12th June 1864 J.A. for the length of his
 service in the Revenue Department on its
 objection as if he had not obtained employment in Police

of having no chance to be

your Most Obedt Servant

[Signature]
 J. A. [unclear] [unclear]
 Asst. Insp. Genl. of Police



From _____
 The Asst. Insp. Genl.
 of Police,
 To _____
 The _____
 Dated _____ 18
 No. _____

Pension Department 43

Proceedings of the Madras Government

[Signature]

2. Read also the following papers

[Signature] 10. 7. 79. 20th Decr 1879 -
 " officiating Asst. Insp. Genl. dated 19th June 1880 No. 712

Kandayam f.O.
 18 June 79 No. 34
 Petition Refs.

order thereon 21st Sept 1880 No. 712

Karkhal Nama
 entered the service
 for on the 1st
 Jan'y/33 as a peon
 in the Bantval
 taluk S. Canara
 District. On the
 15th of ~~April~~ ^{May} 36 he was
 dismissed for having
 supplied tobacco
 but was "restored
 to his post" on the
 1st May in the
 same year. His
 service prior to
 the 12 Feb'y 36 on
 which date he was
 suspended for the
 fault which
 eventually led to

the order of ^{any} disciplinary ~~subject~~,
as the latter was
virtually cancelled,
he allowed to
count but of this
period of months
& 18 days must
be deducted as
he did not
complete his
sixteenth year
until the 19th Oct
33.

2. From this date
until the 31st Jan
64 Karkat Karna
served continuously
in the Police &
"old Police" and
was then transferred
to the new
Police force in
which he
remained until
the 1st May 65.

when after a ⁴⁵
qualifying service
of 29-0-29. he
was struck off the
rolls as a deserter.

3. In 78 the applicant
forwarded a petition
to Govt. stating that
his so called
desertion was due
to enforced absence
from severe illness
which detained
him in his
native village.
Whither he had
proceeded on leave,
and that on
recovery he
returned & ready
to apply to be ^{reinstated}
restored but was
told he was unfit
for further service
and was therefore
removed ~~from~~

Case of
Herbert Pina

[illegible]