(1) Number of papers ....

(2) Date of supply ....

(3) Initials of the Examiner ....

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. [illegible] Press/Mis.

Dated [illegible] 18[illegible].

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Madura 16th April 188

No. 168.

Certified that I have carefully examined Shaik I[illegible] saib son of Shaik Hussain the manager of Bodinaikanur Zamindary.

His age by his own State is 53 years and by appear about the same.

I consider Shaik I[illegible] to be completely and perman incapacitated for further serv of any kind in the department to which he belongs in conseq of General debility, old age and dimness of sight.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Henry King
Zillah Surg

Return application for permission

No 502

3

D
D

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION. ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Sheik Ismail Sahib (name in full).

2. Father's name. Sheik Hossain Sahib.

3. Sect or Caste. Mussalman.

4. Residence. Bodinayakanur

5. Present or last employment. Manager, Bodinayakanur Estate under the Court of Wards.

6. Date of beginning service. 24th December 1845

7. Date of end of service. 24th April 1879

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including breaks	33 - 4 - 1
of which superior	21 - 8 - 28
inferior	9 - 8 - 25
non-qualifying and breaks	1 - 10 - 8

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* ... Retiring Pension

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 75

11. Proposed pension. „ 25

12. Proposed gratuity.

13. Date from which to commence. 25th April 1879

14. Place of payment. Madura

15. Age of Applicant on this date. About 51

16. Height. 5 ft. 8 in

17. Marks. A mole on the right side of the breast and a wart behind the right ear

18. Date. Madura 26th June 1879

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
1 JUL 79
MADRAS

W Miller
Acting Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Sheik Ismail Sahib

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period not reckoned as Service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Sub Collectorate Madura	Hd Royasam	„	„	„	8-12—	21st Decr 1845	7th March 1846	„	„	„	„	2	12	Return of permanent incumbent	By Public Records.	× Non qualifying being composed of Inferior & Acting Service
	Out of Employ					8th March 1846	25th April 1847	—	—	—	1	1	18			
Do Do	Hd Royasam	—	—	—	8-12—	26th April 1847	28th Do Do	—	—	„	—	—	3	×		
	Out of Employ					29th Do Do	14th June 1847	—	—	—	„	1	17			
Dindigul Taluq	Hd Asst Royasam	4	—	—	„	15th June 1847	16th Septr 1847	—	—	—	„	3	1			verified from the records of the Collector of Madura & the verification confirmed partly by the Audit
	Out of Employ				—	17th Septr 1847	20th Octr 1847	—	—	—	„	1	4			
Do Do	Asst Royasam	4	„	„	—	21st Octr 1847	15th July 1857	—	—	„	9	8	25			
Do Do	Hd Royasam	10	8	„	—	16th July 1857	26th Do	„	„	11	„	—	—			
Do Do	Royasam	10	8	—	—	27th Do Do	16th Feby 1860	2	6	~~21~~ 20	—	—	—			
Do Do	Hd Gumastah	15	„	„	—	17th Feby 1860	8th May 1860	„	2	22	—	—	—			
	Sick leave				„	9th May 1860	21st Do	—	—	—	—	—	13			
Do Do	Royasam	10	8	„	—	22nd Do —	30th Novr 1860	—	6	9	—	—	—			
Do Do	Gumastah	15	—	—	„	1st Decr 1860	23rd Octr 1864	3	10	23	„	—	—			Registers and partly by the Annual Return on record in this Office.
Do Do	Hd 2nd Gumastah	20	—	—	—	24th Octr 1864	31st Do	—	—	~~8~~ 7	—	—	—			
Do Do	Gumastah	15	—	—	.	1st Novr 1864	18th Feby 1870	5	3	18	„	—	—			
Bodinayakanur Estate under the Management of the Court of Wards	Manager on probation	50	—	—	35—	19th Feby 1870	18th May 1870	„	3	—	—	—	—			
Do Do	Manager	50	—	—	—	19th May /70	16th April 1872	1	10	28	„	—	—			
Do Do	Do	75	—	—	—	17th April 1872	24th April 1879	7	„	8	„	—	—			
								21	8	28	11	7	3			
								33	4	1						

	Total period of {superior / inferior} service					

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. "

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. "

5. Any other remarks. The applicant was appointed Manager of the Bodinayakanur's Estate under the Court of Wards on the 19th Feby 1870 and in order to make his service under the Court of Wards count for pension, a contribution of 6 per cent of his pay was sanctioned from 9th Novr 1870 from the funds of the Estate as the period of service prior to that date counts without any payment made. Vide Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 18th January 1873 No 72.

Webster
Ag Collector
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

No. 881/504

Should Govt with reference to rule 2 under Section 85 of the Civil Pension Code be pleased to accept the medical certificate post dated to the extent of nearly a twelve month (the applicant having retired on the 24th April 1879 and the medical certificate being dated 16th April 1880)

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades ~~has been duly proved for~~ will amount to 21 years 8 months 26 days; and that an Invalid {pension / ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 25 equal to $\frac{1}{3}$ Average Emoluments will be ~~is~~ admissible under Sections 61 & 68 of the said ~~Civil Pension~~ Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

2. It will be seen from copy of the Proceedings of the Court of Wards No 72 of the 18 Jany 1873 herewith transmitted that the pay of the applicant as manager of the Bodinayakanur Estate was from 9 Nov 1870, Rs 79-8-0 instead of Rs 75 only per mensem as entered in the 2d page of the application. The excess is the amount of Contribution on account of Pension paid to Credit of Govt from the above date on account of his employment under the Court of Wards; this being deducted from the gross amount of salary viz Rs 79-8-0, the balance is Rs 75— on which latter amount the calculation of average emoluments for Pension is based in accordance with the principle laid down in Section 46 Cl. of the Civil Pension Code.

3. The great delay in reporting upon this application is due to the Collector's reply to this Office Objection Memo ADK/373 of the 28 Augt 79 having reached this office only very recently, i.e., on the 10 Instt.

4. In accordance with rule 3 A Section 87 the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 22 of the Code.

Fort Saint George
Acctt Genl's Office
24 May 1880

To The Under Secretary to Govt.
Pension Dept

C Hall
Offg Asst to Acct Genl
for Acct Genl

25-5-80

Pension 1880 4th pt

No. 967

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR ~~GRATUITY~~

In. 8.

Date of application. 24th May 1880

Name of Applicant. Sheik Ismail Saib

Last Appointment. Manager, Bodinayakanur Estate under the Court of Wards, Madura

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

W. H. Clarke [illegible] 17th June/80

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S Name.	FATHER'S Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Shakesmail Sahib	Musalman	Bodinaikanur	Shaik Ameerdu Sahib	Dindigul	1828	~~1828~~ about 45			5	8	A mole on the right side of the breast & a mark behind the right ear.	31st December 1873	[illegible]	R. Atkins Ag Collector

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Sub Huzoor Rayasem	Permanent Acting	"	"	8 3/4	24th Dec 1845	✓
Do	Do	"	"	8 3/4	26th April 1847	✓
Assistant Rayasem in Vaddykomboo Taluq	Do	"	"	4	15th June 47	
Do	Permanent Substantive	"	4	"	31st October 47	✓
Rayasem in the same Taluq	Permanent Acting	Assistant Rayasem	4	6 1/2	9th July 57	
Rayasem	Permanent Substantive	"	10 1/2	"	27th July 1857	
Gumastah in Dindigul Taluk	Permanent Acting	Rayasem	10 1/2	3 1/2	17th February 1860	✓
Rayasem in Do	Permanent substantive	"	10 1/2	"	9th May 1860	✓
Gumastah	Do	"	15	"	1st Dec 1864	✓
2d Gumastah	Permanent Acting	Gumastah	15	5	24th October 1864	✓
Gumastah	Permanent substantive	"	15	"	[illegible]	✓
Acting Manager of Bodinaikanur Estate under Court of Wards	Temporary Acting	Gumastah in Dindigul Taluq	15	35	19th Feby 1870	✓
Manager of Do	Temporary ~~Permanent~~	"	50	"	19th May 1870	✓
Do	Do	"	75	"	17th April 1872	✓

Leave

Sick leave for 13 days from 9th May 1860.
Privilege leave for 15 days from 25th May 1864
Do — Do — for 3 months from 21st November 1878

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
		7th March 1846	Return of permanent incumbent	
		20th April 1847	Do	
		16th September 1847	Do	
		15th July 1857	Promotion	
		26th July 1857	Do	
		16th Feby 1860	Do	
		8th May 1860	Return of permanent incumbent	
		30th November 1860	Promotion	
		22nd October 1864	Do	
		31st [illegible]	Return of permanent incumbent	
		18th Feby 1870	Promotion	
		18th May 1870	Do	
		16th April 1872	Do	
		24th Apr 1879	Retired	

Considering your request and intelligence you are already promoted to the Rayasem in Vaddykombai Taluq

18th January 1858 Signed J. R. Cockerell Head Sub Collector

I have great pleasure in certifying that Shaker Ismail Sahib the 3d Gumastah in Dindigul Taluq has served under me for about 7 years during this long period he always rendered me great assistance by working hard and cheerfully. He is an excellent public servant. His experience in the Revenue Department is very great. He is efficient respectful and trustworthy. I shall be happy to see him soon promoted to a high and respectable office

10/3/69 (Signed) Ramaswamy Aiyar Tahsildar

Extract from a letter from the Ag Sub Collector to the Ag Collector of Madura Dated 17th February 1870 No 73.

2. I have no personal knowledge of the man but have frequently heard of him and always favourably as an intelligent officer. I think he will satisfy you in the post to which you propose to appoint him

A true extract

Ag Collector

The Tahsildar of Dindigul Certifies that this individual's Services from [illegible] June 1847 to 18th February 1870 are Correctly entered vide his [illegible] dated 19th June 1874 No 29.

15th December 1874

Ag Collector

Ag Collector

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
							Extract from a letter from J. R. Arbuthnott Esquire Officiating Collector of Madura, to the Secretary to the Court of Wards Dated 19th February 1870 No 63. In conclusion I have the honor to recommend, that Shaik Ismail Sahib a Gumastah in the Dindigul Taluq, who has served Government for 23 years be appointed to act as probationary Manager. This man bears an excellent character for integrity and ability and is well connected. I am aware that the Supreme Government, in their order, have objected as a rule to the appointment of Government servants to the offices under the Court of Wards but the last three Managers who have been selected from outside the service, have turned out so badly that I think it almost indispensable to secure the services of a person concerning whose honesty and fitness we are in a position to form a correct opinion. I have placed him in charge of the office pending sanction, and I would recommend that his services in Zemindary be allowed to reckon towards his pension	(Signed) J. R. Arbuthnott Officiating Collector			

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK

or

Name. Shaik Ismail Sahib

Caste or Race. Mussulman

Residence. Bodinayakanur

FATHER'S. Name. Shaik [illegible]

Residence. Dindigul

Date of birth.

No. 907

CC No. 446

15

No. $\frac{\text{A. D. K.}}{373}$

Treasury Acct

To be returned with reply on reverse.

~~Audit Department.~~
Pension verification
Branch

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
31 AUG 79
MADURA

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
28th August 1879.

From the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

To the Collector of Madura

Vide *your Application for* Pension *on behalf of* Sheik Ismail Sahib late a manager Bodinayakanur Estate under the Court of Wards

The undersigned has the honor to enquire as follows:—

1 Whether the insertion of the term "Retiring" opposite to No 9 on the 1st Page of the application is not a mistake for "Invalid", and if so the medical Certificate prescribed in Section 62 of the Civil Pension Code should be forwarded.

2 On what grounds the applicant was recommended for the grant of ½ instead of ⅓ Pension to which latter only he is entitled for his qualifying service of 21 years - 8 - 28 days.

3 Why in the annual return of 1860 the applicant's Pay was shewn as Rs 14 in lieu of Rs 10 - 8 - per mensem as entered in the application

4 Whether the applicant's transfer to service under the Court of Wards in the Bodinayakanur Estate was

was duly authorized by Government, and if so a Copy of such authority together with a copy of the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 18th January 1873 No 73 (quoted in the application) should be forwarded.

The Collector is requested to be so good as to furnish this office with a certificate from the Treasury officer to the effect that the applicant had paid his contribution for Pension during his Service under the Court of Wards.

[illegible]
Offl Asst to Acctt genl
pr Acctt genl

No 2746
1-9-79
From
The Acctt Genl
Madras
To
The Collector
Madras
Dated 28-8-79
No 178
573
Regarding the furnish with a certificate to the effect that the applicant mentioned within had paid his contribution for pension during his Service under the Court of Wards.

[illegible]
[illegible] No 957

---

17 No 573

D
D

Reply

1. The class of pension applied for is "Invalid". A Medical Certificate and a Statement of the Applicant's case are herewith sent.

2. One-third pension (viz Rs 25) is entered in the office copy of the application and I request that the fair copy may be corrected accordingly.

3. Applicant was acting for a Gumastah on Rs 14 from 17th February to 8th May 1860. As he was thus drawing Rs 14 when the annual list was submitted he was, I think, shown as drawing Rs 14 per mensem.

4. The Applicant was transferred to Service under the Court of Wards from the 19th February, 1870 and this arrangement was sanctioned by the Court in their Progs No 2879, dated 2nd May 1870, copy of which is herewith sent. No Sanction of Government for this transfer was

was obtained, vide Section 4 of the Special Rules in Appendix D of the Civil Pension Code, 4th Edition. Copy of the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 18th January, 1873, No 72, is herewith sent as required. It will be seen that the contribution was paid under that order from the 9th November, 1870 only although the Applicant was appointed Manager on the 19 February 1870.

A Certificate of the Treasury Officer regarding the contribution paid is also submitted.

Madura
Collector's Office
6th May 1880

Collector.

From
The Collector of
Madura
To
The Accountant General
Madras
Dated 6th May 1880
No 1374
Encls 4

Furnishing certain information regarding the services of Sheik Ismail Sahib, late Manager, Bodinayakanur Zemindari

Inc No 957.

10 MAY 80

19

No 2879.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 2nd May 1870

Read the following letter from J. R. Arbuthnot, Officiating Collector of Madura to the Acting Secretary to the Court of Wards dated 19th Feby 1870 No 62.

I have the honor to report, for the information and orders of the Court of Wards, the misappropriation of certain funds belonging to the Bodinaikanur Estate which were placed in the hands of the late overseer Latchmee Narasian for expenditure on maramut works which were ordered to be executed in the Pollium.

2 The late overseer died about the end of May 1869, when his accounts were taken over by the Manager, and submitted to me with a brief report to the effect that a balance of Rs 68 appeared to have been due by the overseer to the estate and that the money had been paid in by the father of the deceased. Subsequently, however I received information that several sums of money entered in the overseers' accounts as having been paid to Contractors had not been disbursed to them and that in many cases the late overseer's reports as to the execution and progress of works were false and unreliable.

On enquiry, I found that there was much truth in these complaints; and accordingly ordered the new overseer to examine the works and report on the amount that had been executed and to inform me of the actual outlay that had been made on them. The Overseer was further required to examine the accounts and vouchers, so as to enable me to judge of the amount of money falsely entered in the accounts as having been paid to certain Contractors who denied having received it.

3. From the nature of these reports, a good deal of time was occupied in their preparation and they were placed before me in a complete form in the month of December when as there were many matters connected with them which rendered a local inquiry desirable, I deferred dealing finally with the matter until the 5th of February when I visited Bodinaikanur and passed orders on the whole Case.

4. I have the honor to enclose a tabular statement showing the amount entered as having been paid in the Overseer's account and for which no receipts are forthcoming as also the works concerning the execution of which false statements have been entered in the accounts. This statement shows that the

estate has been defrauded of the possession of Rs 3050—5—8 Rs 2898—〃—9 falsely said to have been paid to Contractors and Rupees 152-4-〃 said to have been paid for work which has not been executed. These frauds and defalcations appear to have been carried on for nearly a year, and the fact that they remained so long undiscovered is chiefly attributable to the neglect of the manager to use the precaution he was ordered to observe in scrutinizing and checking the Overseer's Accounts and ascertaining the actual expenditure that had taken place before placing fresh funds at the disposal of the overseer for the execution of ~~the~~ work.

5. To illustrate this, it is only necessary to say that, though rumours as to the defalcations of the Overseer, were prevalent in Bodinaikanur at the time of his decease and though his accounts were in the hands of the manager, he never reported the matter to me and though there were two entries of 500 and 600 Rupees made in a strange hand in the Overseer's Accounts within a few days of his death, to the effect that those sums had been paid to the guardian. The manager neither communicated nor reported this exceedingly suspicious circumstance although it was brought to his notice by the guardian, and in there

were no receipts forthcoming to show that the money had been paid. The manager's Conduct throughout the whole business is in my opinion exceedingly suspicious but I am disposed to believe that the money in question had been embezzled long before it was entered in the accounts and that the manager seeing that the exposure of the frauds would inevitably lead to his dismissal for neglect of duty withheld the information in the hope that his negligence might remain undetected. On Completing my enquiry, I suspended the manager with a view to recommending his dismissal, but he has subsequently tendered his resignation and as he has hitherto borne a good character, and I do not think he has himself participated in the defalcations, I would recommend that it be accepted. I may here mention that the Overseer's family has been left almost in a state of destitution and that no money Can be recovered from them.

6. There only remains one more question to be Submitted for the orders of the Court and that is with regard to the liability of certain sureties who gave security on behalf of the Overseer to the amount of Rs 500. These men only made themselves responsible two or three months before before the death of the overseer. They are I have ascertained by a personal enquiry, possessed of but little property. They represented to the manager before the death of the Overseer that they wished to be relieved of their responsibility as they feared things were not in a good state and I am of opinion that the defalcations now brought to light were made before they made themselves liable. For these reasons I would deprecate the Collection of 500 Rupees for which they were made responsible. Should the Court agree with me in this view of the Case, a sum of Rs 3050 — 5 — 8 will have to be struck off the accounts of the estate as irrecoverable.

7. I enclose translations of the orders sent from this office to the manager with regard to the supervision of accounts and disbursements of money and his explanation with regard to the neglect of their Contents.

8. In Conclusion, I have the honor to recommend that Shaik Ismail Sahib a Goomastah in the Dindigul Taluk who has served Government for twenty three years be appointed to act as probationary manager. This man bears an excellent character for integrity and ability and is well Connected.

9. I am aware that the supreme Government

in their order, have objected as a rule to the appointment of Government Servants to the offices under the Court of Wards but the last three Managers who have been selected from outside the service have turned out so badly that I think it almost indispensible to secure the services of a person concerning whose honesty and fitness we are in a position to form a correct opinion. I have placed him in charge of the office pending sanction & I would recommend that his services in Bodinaickanur be allowed to reckon towards his pension.

Enclosure No I

Abstract ;— Statement showing the sum found to have been due by the late Overseer of the Bodinaickanur Estate.

Enclosure No2

Abstract ;— Translate of orders issued by the Collector to the Manager of the Bodinaickanur Estate

Enclosure No 3

Abstract ;— Translate of the defence furnished by Regoonatha Iyengar Manager of the Bodinaickanur Estate

From this report it appears that the late Overseer of the Bodinaickanur Estate was found after he died in May 1869. to have embezzled Rs 3050 which he had obtained from time to time during the year before his death by pretending that work had been done which had not been done.

2. Just after the man's death, the Manager reported that he died owing Rupees 68 to the estate and that this had been paid by his father. In consequence of rumours which came to the Collector's ears subsequently, the overseer who succeeded him was ordered to examine the work said to have been done. He reported in December and the Collector went to Bodinaickanur and completed the enquiry in February.

3. The delinquent had found sureties in the sum of Rupees 500. The Collector recommends that they should not be made responsible because they only consented to give security two or three months before the Overseer's death and intimated their wish to be relieved of their liability before he died. The Collector also states that the Overseer's family have been left in an indigent condition.

4 The Court are constrained to observe that the Collector seems scarcely to appreciate his position as trustee to the minor, bound to recover property which has been lost through the fraud and negligence of officials appointed by him. Further enquiry should be made as to the condition of the family. Considering that the Overseer had realized Rs 3000 in the year before his death by illicit means it is improbable that his heirs are in a state of destitution. Every effort should be made to recover the money or any part of it however small. The responsibility of the sureties must be insisted upon.

5 The manager has submitted a petition to the Board in which he urges with a great deal of truth, that the Overseer was independent of him and certainly the orders of which the Collector submits copies, were not calculated to warn the manager that he should see that the work which the Overseer pretended to do was really done. Nevertheless, the Board consider it impossible for defalcations to such an extent to have gone on, without being discovered by a manager who did his duty by the Estate. The Manager's resignation must therefore stand good, though he now wishes to withdraw it.

6 The facts of the case show that the Collector's office has not exercised any proper supervision over the affairs of the estate, and the delay which has taken place in the enquiry is very reprehensible.

7. The appointment of Shaik Ismail Sahib as manager is approved

(A true Copy & Extract)

(signed) J. Grose
Acting Secretary

(True Copy)

L Rankin

For Collector

Th

L. No 957

No. 72.

Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 18th January, 1873.

Read the following letter from W. McQuhae Esq. Ag. Collector of Madura to the Secretary to the Court of Wards, dated Cumbum, 7th December 1872, No 358:—

Abstract — Reporting that the claim of Shaik Ismail Sahib manager of the Bodinaikanur Estate has been recognized, and requesting that his salary may be increased from Rs 75 to Rs 79½ from July last to cover the contribution of six per cent to Government.

The increased salary for Shaik Ismail Sahib from Fasli 1282 is sanctioned.

2. The Ag. Collector has computed the arrears of contribution apparently from the date of the man's appointment (February 1870). But with reference to clause 4 of the Special rules appended to Sec. 34 of the Civil Pension Code, the Board believe that it is due only from 9th November, 1870, the date of the resolution of the Government of India, No 2967; and that the period of service

prior

prior to that date counts without any payment made. Sanction for the payment of arrears of contribution from that date is granted.

(A true Extract)

(Sd) A. C. Stokes
Ag Sub-Secretary

(True Copy)

T. Ramkrow

for Collector.

No 597

General Debility

Name Shaik Ismail
Rank Manager
Temperament Phlegmatic
Habit of body Spare
Habits Sober
Length of Service
Residence in India

This manager on appearing before me stated that he is extremely weak and his duties require constant writing for which in his present condition he is physically unfit and he is not in my opinion likely to be rendered fit by medical treatment or change of climate. He is considered as unfit for further service in consequence of his declining health old age and dimness of sight.

Madras
[illegible] 1883

No 597

33

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 30 APR 80 MADURA

It is hereby certified that Shaick Ismall Sahib late manager of the Bodinaickanoor Zemindary had paid his contribution for Pension during his Service under the Court of Wards from the 9th November 1870

T. Rauchow
Dy Collector

Madura
Collector's Office
27 April 1880

Ins No 98/1919

Forwarded to the Collector of Madura with reference to his docket No 1191 dated 20th April 1880.

Rec No 1449
30-4-80

35

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following papers :—

From the Ag Collector of Madura dated 26th June 1879.

" Asst Accountant-General, dated 24th May 1880 No A.O. / 504

Order thereon, 21st June 1880 No 816

Under Sections 61 and 68(a)

of the Civil Pension Code (4th Edition),

His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased

to grant to Sheik Ismail Sahib late Manager Bodinayakanur Estate under the Court of Wards an invalid pension — of Rupees (25 — —) — Twenty five per mensem —

payable at Madura from the 25th April 1879.

in consideration of his qualifying superior Service of Twenty one years, eight — months and twenty six days.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" Collector of Madura.

" 949.

Regd 2446-80

Govt. of {1880} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated 21- June 1880

Despd. ——

G. O., 21 June/80 No 616.

Granting An invalid pension of Rs 25 per mensem to — Sheik Ismail Sahib late a Manager Bodinaya Kanur Estate under the Court of Wards, Madras.