M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 38

(2) Date of repair 15-10-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 459 Press/Mis.

Dated 24-5- 18[illegible].

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

24th May 1880, No. 459.

Pension.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of North Arcot, dated 15th November 1879.
From the Officiating Assistant Accountant-General, dated 12th April 1880, No. A.D.J.—238.

ORDER THEREON, 24th May 1880, No. 459.

Under Sections 65 and 68 (*b*) of the Civil Pension Code (4th Edition), His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Pólúr Runga Charlu, late Third-class Revenue Inspector of Wálajápet Taluk, North Arcot District, a superannuation pension of Rs. (6-10-8) six Annas ten and Pies eight per mensem, payable at Chandragiri in the North Arcot District from the 20th September 1879 in consideration of his qualifying superior service of twenty years' two months and eight days.

2. Copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India with copy of G.O., 20th July 1871, No. 1271, Revenue Department, in view to the latter receiving the formal confirmation of the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. W. J. Lewis.

Govt. of (1880) Madras

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated May, 24th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 24th May 1880, No. 459.

MAY.

Pension.—Granting a superannuation pension of Rs. 6-10-8 per mensem to P. Runga Charlu, late Third-class Revenue Inspector of Walajapet Taluk, North Arcot District.

---

974 D D

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION. ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. [illegible] pplicant. Polur Ranga Charlu

2. Father's name. Polur Appa a Charlu

3. Sect or Caste. Marthava Brahmin

4. Residence——— Chendraghiri

5. Present or last employment. 3rd Class Revenue Inspector of Wallajah Talug

6. Date of beginning service. 16 July 1850

7. Date of end of service. 19 September 1879

Y. M. D.

8. Length of service including breaks ... ...——— 29 - 2 - 3

of which superior ... ...——— 20 - 2 - 11

inferior ... ...——— 8 - 7 - 18

non-qualifying and breaks ... ...——— " - 4 - 4

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* sections 65. Rule 1 & 68(c)

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 20 - " - "

11. Proposed pension.——— 6 - 10 - 8.

12. Proposed gratuity.——— " - " - "

13. Date from which to commence——— 20 September 1879

14. Place of payment——— Chendraghiri

15. Date ~~Age~~ of Applicant's birth ~~on this date~~. by Christian Era 30 December 1823

16. Height.— 5 Feet 5 Inches

17. Marks. A. scar below the left breast, and another on the back, colour Fair.

18. Date. 15" November 1879

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
1 DEC 79
MADRAS

Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE (SERVICE SHOWING BREAKS) OF Polar Ranga Charlu

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date o beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as Service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
North Arcot District	Gumastah of Penemerry Talug	11	"	"	"	16th July 1850	11th October 1851	1	2	~~29~~ 26	"	"	"	(a)	Verified from the Records in the Huzur and Talugs.	(a) This period of Service does not count being service rendered in Inferior Grades. Verified from the records of the office of the Collector of North Arcot and the verification confirmed partly by the Annual returns & partly by the Audit Registers on record in this office.
	Do. of Chendraghiri	8	"	"	"	15th October 1851	22nd May 1852	"	"	"	"	7	~~7~~ 8			
	On Private affairs leave				"	23rd May 1852	19th June 1852	"	"	"	"	"	27			
	Gumastah of Chendraghiri Talug	8	"	—	—	20th June 1852	27th November 1855	"	"	"	3	5	8			
	Ag Do.	9	8	"	"	28th November 1855	25th April 1856	"	"	"	"	4	28			
	Gumastah of Do.	8	"	"	"	26th April 1856	30th June 1860	"	"	"	4	2	6			
	Do.	12	"	"	"	1st July 1860	31st October 1865	5	4	"	"	"	"			
	Do.	15	"	"	"	1st November 1865	30th September 1869	3	11	"	"	"	"			
	Ag Nd Gumastah	20	"	"	"	1st October 1869	12th May 1870	"	7	12	"	"	"			
	Ag Manager of Kallur Palliem	21	"	"	"	13th May 1870	31st October 1871	1	6	18	"	"	"	Translation of Collector's Takid No. 111 dated 29th October 1871 is herewith sent		
	Ag Nd Gumastah of Chendraghiri	20	"	"	"	1st November 1871	28th December 1871	"	1	28	"	"	"			
	Gumastah of Do.	15	"	"	"	29th December 1871	26th January 1872	"	"	28	"	"	"			
	Ag Nd Gumastah of Do.	20	"	"	"	27th January 1872	16th July 1872	"	5	20	"	"	"			
	Sick leave				"	17th July 1872	23rd October 1872	"	"	"	"	3	7			
	Ag Nd Gumastah of Do.	20	"	"	"	24th October 1872	8th May 1874	1	6	15	"	"	"			
	Head Gumastah of Do.	20	"	"	"	9th May 1874	20th March 1876	1	10	12	"	"	"			
	3rd Class Revenue Inspector of Do.	20	"	"	"	21st March 1876	31st December 1877	1	9	10	"	"	"			
	Do. of Wallajah	20	"	"	"	1st January 1878	19th September 1879	1	8	19	"	"	"			
	Total period of {superior / inferior} service ...						...	20	2	8 4	8	11	23 22			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. The reduction of Salary from Rs. 11 - - to Rs. 8 - - was consequent on amalgamation of the late Penemerry & Tripathy Talugs into the present Chendraghiri Talug as per accompanying Translation of Collector's Takid dated 15th October 1851.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. Nil

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. The applicant was found to be inefficient and unfit for further active service owing to infirmity from age. The Service Books and Last Pay Certificate of the applicant are herewith sent.

E. Marjoribanks
Deputy Collector

C. S. Whiteside
Collector
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

Acd
238

Certified that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 20 years 2 months and 8 days; and that a Superannuation {pension / ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 6-10-8, equal to 1/3rd average emoluments, is admissible under Section 65. 68 (6) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. In accordance with rule 3 A of Section 87, the attention of Govt. is respectfully solicited to Sect. 22 of the Code. Further it is respectfully pointed out that the exemption from the payment of Govt. Contribution authorized by the Local Govt. in the Case of the applicant in G.O. No. 1271 dated the 21st July '71 Revenue Dept. will need to be confirmed by the Government of India.

C. Hall
Offg. Asst. to the Accountant General
for Accountant General

Fort Saint George,
Acct. Genl's Off.,
12th April,

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Pension 1880 Sept

No. 553.

En 5

Application for {Pension ~~or~~ / ~~Gratuity~~—

Date of application. 12th April 1880

Name of Applicant. P. Runga Charlu

Last Appointment. 3rd Clerk Revenue Inspector Walaja Taluq N. Arcot District

Class of {pension or / gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned

Amount of gratuity sanctioned

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Vol order 459

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 20th July 1871.

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th July 1871, No. 2,702 :—

Read the following letters :—

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 19th December 1870, No. 593.

In reply to your Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477 of the 2nd instant, I have the honour to state that in the three estates now under the management of the Court of Wards there are three Managers who will be all affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967.

2. Their appointment in the Government service, past and present pay, and the extent to which their monthly salary will be curtailed, if the minor estates are not capable of paying the six per cent. deductions upon their pay, are shown in the enclosed statement.

3. It is, I think, only fair the estates, whose affairs these men are employed to manage, should be made to pay the six per cent. deductions, so long as they are benefited by their service.

4. The Manager's pay is very small, and the Court of Wards in their Proceedings No. 6,932, dated 3rd December 1870, have declined to sanction their travelling batta in future. If they are now made to suffer a further deduction of six per cent., the salary will be so inadequate when compared with the nature of the duties to be performed, and for the faithful discharge of which the Managers are made to furnish security, that it will be impossible to secure the services of really respectable and properly qualified men. I therefore would strongly deprecate the proposed deductions from their salaries.

Enclosure No. 1.—Statement.

From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th January 1871, No. 15.

Referring to the Board's Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477, dated the 2nd ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that there is only one Uncovenanted Servant in the employment of the Court of Wards in this district who will be affected by the Notification of the Government of India therein quoted.

2. The official above referred to is Satchoothanandum Pillay, Goomastah of the Musery Talook, on a salary of Rs. 15 per month. He is now Manager of the Peramur estate under the Court of Wards, and draws a salary of Rupees. 20.

3. As the responsibility devolving on him as Manager is great, and his remuneration is comparatively small, I would recommend that the six per cent. adverted to in the Notification be paid to Government from the assets of the Peramur estate.

From the Principal Assistant Collector, in charge of Ganjam, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Belghur, 26th January 1871, No. 80.

With reference to your Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477, dated the 2nd ultimo, I have the honour to report that under the order of Government, No. 893, of the 23rd May 1863, Revenue Department, the servants entered in the annexed memorandum were allowed to reckon their services under the Court of

Wards towards pension. The servant No. 2, however, has, it appears, taken service under the Mysore Government; No. 4 is dead; and No. 6 has been readmitted into the Government service.

2. T. Ramiah, Supervisor, Department of Public Works, has been selected to have charge of the Public Works of the Purlah Kimedy estate, and I therefore beg to bring his case to the favourable notice of the Board.

List of Servants.

1. P. Kistniah, Manager of Purlah Kimedy.
2. B. Gopal Row, Sheristadar of do.
3. A. Pattabhi Ramiah, Karkoon of do.
4. P. Ragava Charloo, do. do.
5. V. Raja Row, guardian of do.
6. K. Venkatramdoss, Manager of Jalantra.
7. N. Purushotama Row, Goomastah of do.

From the Collector of Madura, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 10th May 1871, No. 129.

WITH reference to the Board's Circular Official Memorandum dated 2nd December 1870, No. 1,477, I have the honour to report that Shaik Ismail Saib, Manager of the Bodinaikanur estate now under the Court of Wards, is the only person in the district who will be affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967. This man was a Goomastah in the Dindigul Talook, and was promoted to his present post with a promise that his service as Manager would be reckoned towards pension, and this circumstance has already been brought to the notice of the Court of Wards when his nomination was submitted for their confirmation. However, he now consents to a reduction of 6 per cent. of his salary as required in the Resolution quoted above.

Vide letter to Court of Wards, dated 19th February 1870, No. 62, embodied in their Proceedings dated 2nd May 1870, No. 2,879.

THESE replies to the Board's Circular Memorandum, calling for a list of servants who were affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967, will be submitted for the orders of Government, with reference to their order No. 877, dated 17th May 1871.

2. The Board must admit at once that they did not understand that the order of the 23rd May 1863, No. 893, was in force, and they will explain how the mistake (if it is a mistake) came to be made.

3. In Proceedings dated the 21st August 1862 the Government of India on a consideration of the question whether service under the Court of Wards in Madras should count as service for pension thought it desirable that it should *not*. This decision was communicated to the Board in Government Order, 6th October 1862, No. 2,143.

4. Subsequently Government allowed present incumbents to continue to reckon their service towards pension (Government Order, 21st November 1862, No. 2,455,) and the Board thereupon called for a list of the cases in which Collectors thought existing arrangements of the kind contemplated by the new ruling should be allowed to stand. It was on the receipt of this list and with reference to it and to the orders which have been quoted and no others, that Government issued their order of the 23rd May 1863, No. 893, declaring that Government servants should not in future be employed in the estates of Minors without losing the privilege of counting their service towards pension.

5. In the meantime, however, the Government of India saw reason, on a reference from the Punjaub, to modify their views, and in a letter dated the 23rd April 1863, No. 1,653, ruled that when Government servants were chosen for duties under the Court of Wards, their service should count for pension, but that they should not be so chosen *if it could be avoided.* This letter was communicated to the Board in an order dated the 18th May 1863, No. 858, which specially referred to the previous orders which were affected by it.

6. Although this Government Order is dated five days previous to the one passed on Board's Proceedings, 2nd May 1863, No. 2,614, it seems to have been assumed that it was overlooked when the latter order was passed, and accordingly the order declaring that Government servants might be employed under the Court of Wards (without losing pension time) in cases where it could not be avoided, was embodied in Standing Circular No. XI. of the 29th May 1863 (which was communicated to Government as usual), and has ever since been considered in force.

7. When Collectors have recommended Government employés for service under the Court of Wards, the Court have always satisfied themselves that it could not be avoided, and neither they nor the Collectors nor the employés concerned have supposed that pension time was lost.

8. It will be observed from the list of cases appended to these Proceedings that the number of cases falling within the scope of Government Order, 17th May 1871, No. 877, is very small, and under the explanation now given, the Board hope Government will relax it in their favour. The difficulty of finding men not in Government service who can be trusted with the management of an important estate, is often insuperable.

List of Officials of the Uncovenanted Service in the employ of the Court of Wards who are affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, *No.* 2,967.

Districts.	Estates.	Names of Individuals.	Appointments held under Court of Wards.
Ganjam ...	Purla Kimedy ...	P. Kistniah*	Manager.
		A. Pattabhi Ramiah*	Karkoon.
		V. Raja Row*...	Guardian.
		N. Purushotama Row*	Goomastah.
		T. Ramiah	Supervisor.
North Arcot.	Bungari	Ramakistna Naidoo	Manager.
	Naraganti... ...	Balakistna Row	Do.
	Kullur	Runga Charlu	Do.
Tanjore ...	Kapistalam ...	Seshadri Iyengar	Do.
Trichinopoly ...	Peramur	Satchoothanandum Pillay	Do.
Madura ...	Bodinaicknur ...	Shaik Ismal Sahib	Do.

---

* Permission to count the service of these individuals towards pension has already been obtained—Vide Government Order dated 23rd May 1863, No. 893.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 20th July 1871.

No. 271. ORDER THEREON, 20th July 1871, No. 1,271.

Miscellaneous.

UNDER this explanation the G. O., 17th May last, No. 877, will be relaxed in favour of the (7) seven men named by the Board.

2. The Acting Collector of North Arcot, it is observed, speaking of the duties exacted of Managers of Estates under the Court of Wards, adds these words: "for "the faithful discharge of which the Managers are made to furnish security." The Board have not noticed this point, and it is necessary to remark that the Manager is required (Section 10, Regulation V. of 1804) to give security for his appearance only during the course of his management. The Bond that follows is a personal obligation.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

Govt. of Madras.

REVENUE.

To

THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

&c., &c. &c

Dated July 20th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 20th July 1871, No. 1,271.

JULY.

Nos. 270-271.

Uncovenanted servants in employment of Court of Wards.—Proceedings Board of Revenue on the subject of reckoning their service towards pension, with orders thereon.

13

Translation of takid d/ 15th October 1851 issued to Runga Charlu a Gumasta in the Pena-mari Talug

Your appointment (Gumasta on Rs 11) having been under the orders of Govt. abolished, you are appointed as Royasem on Rs 8 in the Talug newly organized & styled Chendragiri and orders issued accordingly to the Tahsildar of the above talug to include your name in the Baravard (Pay bill) from the 15th Instt.

x Comprising Penamari & Tripatti Talugs.

Perform your work carefully

(Sigd) S. R. Ward
Collector

(True trans[illegible]on)

for Collector

N 1619 / A

Revenue Departt.

15

Mis: No. 3374

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
Dated 24th March 1880.

Read letter from the Accountant General dated 15th Instant No. $\frac{ADK}{202}$ enquiring if exemption from the payment of Govt. contribution as authorised in the case of Colur Rangacharlu was sanctioned by Govt. of India.

The Accountant General will be furnished with a copy of Board's Proceedings dated 9th August 1871 No. 3358 which explains the reason of the exemption in Ranga Charlu's case.

(True Extract)

They donot
answer our
question & appear to have
AG exempted him themselves
so / wh they would not do.

Ag. Secretary

The Accountant General
with orgl: Enclos: retd & copy of Bd's
Pro. of 9th August /71 No. 3358.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 24th March 1880

Furnishing the Chief Court with a copy of B.R. Pro. of 9th Aug 1871 No. 3358 explaining the reason of the exemption, viz Court Clerks case, from payment of Pen contribution on account of pension.

No. 3,358.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th August 1871.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 20th July 1871, No. 1,271, Revenue Department:—

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th July 1871, No. 2,702.

Read the following letters:—

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 19th December 1870, No. 593.

IN reply to your Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477 of the 2nd instant, I have the honour to state that in the three estates now under the management of the Court of Wards there are three Managers who will be all affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967.

2. Their appointment in the Government service, past and present pay, and the extent to which their monthly salary will be curtailed if the minor estates are not capable of paying the six per cent. deductions upon their pay, are shown in the enclosed statement.

3. It is, I think, only fair the estates, whose affairs these men are employed to manage, should be made to pay the six per cent. deductions, so long as they are benefited by their service.

4. The Manager's pay is very small, and the Court of Wards in their Proceedings No. 6,932, dated 3rd December 1870, have declined to sanction their travelling batta in future. If they are now made to suffer a further deduction of six per cent., the salary will be so inadequate when compared with the nature of the duties to be performed, and for the faithful discharge of which the Managers are made to furnish security, that it will be impossible to secure the services of really respectable and properly qualified men. I therefore would strongly deprecate the proposed deductions from their salaries.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Statement.

From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th January 1871, No. 15.

REFERRING to the Board's Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477, dated the 2nd ultimo, I have the honour to inform you that there is only one Uncovenanted Servant in the employment of the Court of Wards in this district who will be affected by the Notification of the Government of India therein quoted.

2. The official above referred to is Satchoothanandum Pillay, Goomastah of the Musery Talook, on a salary of Rupees 15 per month. He is now Manager of the Peramur estate under the Court of Wards, and draws a salary of Rupees 20.

3. As the responsibility devolving on him as Manager is great, and his remuneration is comparatively small, I would recommend that the six per cent. adverted to in the Notification be paid to Government from the assets of the Peramur estate.

From the Principal Assistant Collector, in charge of Ganjam, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Belghur, 26th January 1871, No. 80.

With reference to your Circular Official Memorandum No. 1,477, dated the 2nd ultimo, I have the honour to report that under the order of Government, No. 893, of the 23rd May 1863, Revenue Department, the servants entered in the annexed memorandum were allowed to reckon their services under the Court of Wards towards pension. The servant No. 2, however, has, it appears, taken service under the Mysore Government; No. 4 is dead; and No. 6 has been re-admitted into the Government service.

2. T. Ramiah, Supervisor, Department of Public Works, has been selected to have charge of the Public Works of the Purlah Kimedy estate, and I therefore beg to bring his case to the favourable notice of the Board.

List of Servants.

1. P. Kistniah, Manager of Purlah Kimedy.
2. B. Gopal Row, Sheristadar of do.
3. A. Pattabhi Ramiah, Karkoon of do.
4. P. Ragava Charloo, do. do.
5. V. Raja Row, Guardian of do.
6. K. Venkatramdoss, Manager of Jalantra.
7. N. Purushotama Row, Goomastah of do.

From the Collector of Madura, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 10th May 1871, No. 129.

With reference to the Board's Circular Official Memorandum, dated 2nd December 1870, No. 1,477, I have the honour to report that Shaik Ismail Saib, Manager of the Bodinaikanur estate now under the Court of Wards, is the only person in the district who will be affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967. This man was a Goomastah in the Dindigul Talook, and was promoted to his present post with a promise that his service as Manager would be reckoned towards pension, and this circumstance has already been brought to the notice of the Court of Wards when his nomination was submitted for their confirmation. However, he now consents to a reduction of 6 per cent. of his salary as required in the Resolution quoted above.

Vide letter to Court of Wards, dated 19th February 1870, No. 62, embodied in their Proceedings dated 2nd May 1870, No. 2,879.

These replies to the Board's Circular Memorandum, calling for a list of servants who were affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, No. 2,967, will be submitted for the orders of Government, with reference to their order No. 877, dated 17th May 1871.

2. The Board must admit at once that they did not understand that the order of the 23rd May 1863, No. 893, was in force, and they will explain how the mistake (if it is a mistake) came to be made.

3. In Proceedings, dated the 21st August 1862, the Government of India on a consideration of the question whether service under the Court of Wards in Madras should count as service for pension, thought it desirable that it should *not*. This decision was communicated to the Board in Government Order, 6th October 1862, No. 2,143.

4. Subsequently Government allowed present incumbents to continue to reckon their service towards pension (Government Order, 21st November 1862, No. 2,455,) and the Board thereupon called for a list of the cases in which Collectors thought existing arrangements of the kind contemplated by the new ruling should be allowed to stand. It was on the receipt of this list and with reference to it and to the orders which have been quoted and no others, that Government issued their order of the 23rd May 1863, No. 893, declaring that Government servants should not in future be employed in the estates of Minors without losing the privilege of counting their service towards pension.

5. In the meantime, however, the Government of India saw reason, on a reference from the Punjaub, to modify their views, and in a letter dated the 23rd April 1863, No. 1,653, ruled that when Government servants were chosen for duties under the Court of Wards, their service should count for pension, but that they should not be so chosen *if it could be avoided.* This letter was communicated to the Board in an order dated the 18th May 1863, No. 858, which specially referred to the previous orders which were affected by it.

6. Although this Government Order is dated five days previous to the one passed on Board's Proceedings, 2nd May 1863, No. 2,614, it seems to have been assumed that it was overlooked when the latter order was passed, and accordingly the order declaring that Government servants might be employed under the Court of Wards (without losing pension time) in cases where it could not be avoided, was embodied in Standing Circular No. XI. of the 29th May 1863 (which was communicated to Government as usual), and has ever since been considered in force.

7. When Collectors have recommended Government employés for service under the Court of Wards, the Court have always satisfied themselves that it could not be avoided, and neither they nor the Collectors nor the employés concerned, have supposed that pension time was lost.

8. It will be observed from the list of cases appended to these Proceedings that the number of cases falling within the scope of Government Order, 17th May 1871, No. 877, is very small, and under the explanation now given, the Board hope Government will relax it in their favour. The difficulty of finding men not in Government service who can be trusted with the management of an important estate, is often insuperable.

List of Officials of the Uncovenanted Service in the employ of the Court of Wards who are affected by the Resolution of the Government of India, dated 9th November 1870, *No.* 2,967.

Districts.	Estates.	Names of individuals.	Appointments held under Court of Wards.
Ganjam	Purla Kimedy ...	P. Kistniah*...	Manager.
		A. Pattabhi Ramiah*	Karkoon.
		V. Raja Row*	Guardian.
		N. Purushotama Row*...	Goomastah.
		T. Ramiah	Supervisor.
North Arcot.	Bungari	Ramakistna Naidoo	Manager.
	Naraganti	Balakistna Row	Do.
	Kullur	Runga Charlu	Do.
Tanjore	Kapistalam	Seshadri Iyengar	Do.
Trichinopoly ...	Peramur...	Satchoothanandum Pillay	Do.
Madura	Bodinaicknur... ...	Shaik Ismal Sahib...	Do.

* Permission to count the service of these individuals towards pension has already been obtained—*Vide* Government Order dated 23rd May 1863, No. 893.

Order thereon, 20th July 1871, No. 1,271.

No. 271.

Miscellaneous.

Under this explanation the Government Order, 17th May last, No. 877, will be relax in favour of the (7) seven men named by the Board.

2. The Acting Collector of North Arcot, it is observed, speaking of the duties exacted of Managers of Estates under the Court of Wards, adds these words: "for the faithful discharge of which the managers are made to furnish security." The Board have not noticed this point, and it is necessary to remark that the Manager is required (Section 10, Regulation V. of 1804) to give security for his appearance only during the course of his management. The Bond that follows is a personal obligation.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

COMMUNICATED to all Collectors.

(True Extracts.)

(Signed) J. B. PENNINGTON,
Sub-Secretary.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. T. MAYNE,
First Assistant.

To all Collectors.

Exd. T. Cartledge.

1871.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 9TH AUGUST 1871, No. 3,358.

Received

Encl.

AUGUST.

No. 3,358.

Uncovenanted Servants in employment of Court of Wards.—Order of Government, permitting certain—, to reckon their service under Court towards pension, without making over to Government six per cent. of salary drawn by them for such service, as required under existing orders, communicated to all Collectors.

Translation of takid No 111 dated 29th August 1871 issued to Ranga Charlu Manager of the Minor Estate of Kalloor Poliem

Orders were already issued directing the deduction from your pay of a Contribution at 6 per cent as per Government Notification to enable you to count the period of your service in the present appointment* as qualifying for pension. You are hereby informed that on the recommendation of the late Ag Collector the Bd of Rev have in their Proceedings d/ 9th Inst. No ~~3350~~ 3358 exempted you from liability to payment of the above Contribution

* Manager of the Estate.

(sigd) I D Robinson
Collector

(True translation)

[illegible]

for Collector

AM

English No 1907/80

Name of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் பெயர்.	Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம்.	Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய		THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN. இந்தக்கலங்களில் செய்கிற பதிவுகளுக்கு ஐந்துவருஷத்துக்கொருதரம் அத்தாட்சிக் கையெழுத்துபண்ணவேணும்; தேதி தெளிவாய்த் தெரியும்படி அந்தந்த அத்தாட்சி கையெழுத்துக்கு ஜாக்கிரதையாய் கோடுபோட்டுப் பிரிக்கவேண்டியது.									
			Name. பெயர்.	Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	Date of birth. ஜனன தேதி.	Age. வயசு.			Exact height. சரியான உயரம்.		Personal marks for identification. நபர் தெரிவதற்காக அங்கமச்சமடையாளம்.	Date of Entry. பதிவுதேதி.	Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office. ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகபெயரும்.
						Years. வருஷம்.	Months. மாசம்.	Days. நாள்.	Feet. அடி.	Inches. அங்குலம்.				
Polür Rangacharli	Marthuva Bramin	Chendragherri	Polür Appana Charli	Polür	30" December 1823	49	11	13	5	5	A scar below the left breast and another on the back Colour Fair.-	1st December 1873		Collector

1 க Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 உ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary காயமோ அல்லது வதலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ரு Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், சாயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ச Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ரு Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 சு Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 எ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனை கையெழுத்து.	8 அ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 கூ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 க0 Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 கக Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* டிரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னத்தினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	கஉ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Gumasta of Penemer Taluq	Substantive Permanent	"	11 „ „	✓	16 Octr 1850			Collector	11 Octr /51	✓ Abolition	Collector
Do Chendraghery	Do	"	8 „ „	"	15 Octr 1851				27 Nov 1855	✓ Promotion	
Ag Do	Do	Gumasta of Chendraghery	9 - 8 „ „	8 „ „	28 Nov 1855	✓			25 Apr 1856	✓ Return of permanent incumbent	
Gumasta of Do	Do		8 „ „		26 Apr 1856	✓			30 June 1860	✓ Promotion	
Do	Do		12 „ „		1st July 1860	✓			31 Octr /65	✓ Do	
Do	Do		15 „ „		1 Nov 1865	✓			30 Sepr 1869	✓ Do	
Ag Head Gumasta of Do	Do	Do of Do	20 „ „	15 „ „	1st Octr 1869	✓			12 Mar 1870	✓ Do	
Ag Manager of Kullur Poliem	Temporary	Ag Head Gumasta of Chendraghery	21 „ „	20 „ „	13 Mar	✓			31st Octr 1871	✓ Transfer	
Ag Head Gumasta of Chendraghery	Permanent	Do	20 „ „	15 „	1st Nov 1871	✓			28th Dec 1871	✓ Return of permanent incumbent	
Gumasta of Do	Do	"	15 „ „		29th Dec 1871	✓			26th Jany 1872	✓ Promotion	
Ag Head Do	Do	Do	20 „ „	15 „	27 Jany 1872	✓			8 May 1874	✓ Do	
Head Gumasta of Do	Do	"	20 „ „	"	9 May 1874	✓			20 Mar 1876	✓ Do	
3 Class Revenue Inspector of Do	Do	"	20 „ „	"	21 Mar 1876	✓			31st Dec 1877	✓ Transfer	
Do of Wallajah	Do	"	20 „ „		1st Jany 1878	✓			19th Sepr 187	✓ Retired from Service	

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* டிரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னத்தினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதெனபது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
		Leave									
Privilege leave—	From	26th June to 5th July, 1851									
Private affairs—	„ —	23rd May, to 19th June 1852—									
Privilege leave	„ —	26th April to 25th May, 1858									
Do. —	„ —	30th May, to 29th June 1871									
Sick leave	„ —	17th July, to 23rd October 1872									
Privilege leave	„ —	21st October to 15th Novr 1876									

fore your Honor his claims for-promotion with a confident hope that he will be appointed to the 1st class Revenue Inspectorship in Chittoor which is now vacant by the promotion of Koomaraswamy Moodly

I beg to remain
Sir
(True copy) Your most obedt. servant
(Sd) K. Prakasum Naidu
Tahsildar

24-2-73
Chendragiri

Rung~~ach~~ari, the Head Gumastah is a good specimen of the old School. I believe him to be a very good oldman as men found in Chendraghiri, and hope that his wish to become a Revenue Inspector may soon be gratified. If being a Sanscrit Scholar were any recommendation for the coveted post, he ought not to have much worth in obtaining the object of his desires.

(True copy)
(Signed). A R. Farmer

24-7-72

(True Copy)

1 ௧	2 ௨	3 ௩	4 ௪	5 ௫	6 ௬	7 ௭
Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	Pay. சம்பளம்.	Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.

possessed of all requisite qualifications for the post of 1st Class Revenue Inspector and it will be highly gratifying if his prospects are soon improved and his merits are sufficiently rewarded. It is with great reluctance that I am obliged to part with him.

(True copy)

15th September 1867 Chendraghiri } (Signed) Y. Srinivasa Layi Tahsildar

Extract from the certificate Book of Chendraghiri Taluq North Arcot District of 1870.

Runga Charry Head Gumastah Since promoted to the post of Manager of the Minor estate of Kallur } No. — My predecessor has granted a testimonial of character to Runga Charry who was my Head Gumastah. If I were to record any thing more it will only be a super-adding — He is a sanscrit scholar and is acquainted with all the Revenue works connected with his office — He is strictly honorable, trust worthy and his conduct is unexceptionable. He is quick at his pen and fit for a Revenue Inspector and other important posts. I am glad to record that at my recommendation he was first ordered by the Collector Mr. J. D. Robinson to do the Duties of the manager of the Estate of Kallur which is under the management of the court of wards and he is now finally appointed to it but with a small increase of pay.

(A True extract)

Chendraghiri } 26th May 1870 (Sd.) C. Ramanjulu Naidu Ag. Tahsildar

This is to certify that the bearer Runga Charlu was a head gumastah under me in Chendraghiri

---

given me every satisfaction in the discharge of his duties. I should be glad to hear of his promotion to a Revenue Inspector or Taluq Serishtadar. From the testimonials he possesses from some gentlemen. I gather that he also satisfactorily performed the duties of the Manager of Kalloo Palliem during the time he was in charge of Court of wards.

(True copy)

16th June 1873 } (Signed) M. Nummiah Chetty Tahsildar of Chendraghiri

P. Runga Charlu head gumastah of this Taluq asks me for a certificate on my leaving this place I wish therefore to state what I know of him. During the period I remained here I found him working well and zealously - in fact he is one of the two or three hard working gumastahs that this Taluq can boast of - he accompanied me often when I had to perform out door work. Aged though he appears to be he exerts himself equally well on out door work and has given me entire satisfaction in that part of his work — When I first joined this Taluq I found him a little inexperienced in the preparation of accounts but now I see he has made a considerable improvement in that part of his work too he seems to thoroughly understand Revenue work and will do excellently well for a Revenue Gumastah.

(True copy)

Runga Chari, Manager of the Minor Estate of Kulloor seems to be a trust worthy and
intelligent man. His own work is thoroughly conscientious, and carefully performed. Indeed
the only fault I have had to find with him was that he did not keep a sufficiently fair
hand over the Curnams & Moniagars. He has given to me the impression that he would be
better fitted for such a post as that of Sheristadar than of one where he would have the duty
of controlling a number of Subordinates as is the case with a Tahsildar. Again he seems
to be excellently fitted for such a place as that of Vernacular clerk under a European officer
his only disqualification for this being his inability to speak English but as he speaks both
Tamil and Teloogoo well this would not so much matter. I should be glad to see him promoted
in any such capacity as I have mentioned above as his moral character is I believe
impracticable (a very rare quality in this country) I have no doubt whatever that he would
give great satisfaction to his superiors. (Signed) Chamberlain
Acting Collector

Kulloor }
29th May 1871 }

Extract from the certificate book kept in Chendraghiri Talug by the late Tahsildar V. Sreeni-
-vasa Charriyar about the conduct of the Gumastahs attached to the Talug.

Runga Chari, Gumastah Rs 15 - -.

Runga Chari is the ablest of all the Gumastahs in this office. His knowledge of Telugu deserves high
commendation, and he is a beautiful composer of that language. He is ever attentive to his duties, &
has always evinced a most commendable disposition to work. His activity & his indefatigableness
are beyond comparison. He is completely intelligent & has ever shewn a disposition to be highly
faithful to his superiors. He has served as the only instrument in my hand for clearing up the
Talug of all its detestable arrears of Revenue work General Department and I have much pleasure
in recording that in this capacity he gave me the greatest satisfaction possible. He is also a very
good Accountant and it is pity that his prospects have not been sufficiently bettered. He is

possessed

Ag Taluq Seristadar

Chendraghiri }
10th July 1875 }
No. 46 To
W. S. Whiteside Esqr
Acting Collector of
North Arcot
Chittoor

Honoured Sir

In forwarding the enclosed application of Runga Charlu Hd Gumastah of this Taluq, I beg most respectfully to say a few words in bringing his claims for promotion for your kind consideration.

Runga Charlu's service in the Revenue Department extends over a period of 20 years. He has been Taluq head gumastah from 3 years. He was manager in charge of the Kulloor Minor Estate for 21 months. He is experienced in these two offices thoroughly befit him for the Revenue Inspectorship which he now seeks to obtain. The many testimonials which he possesses and of which true copies are sent enclosed do one and all speak very highly of his attainments, and from my own experience of the man I do not hesitate to affirm that his abilities as a Revenue officer are unrivalled by any in the lower grades of the Service and that his general character is beyond all doubt inestimable. Even an Assistant Collector under whom he was working for sometime while a manager of the Kallur Minor Estate supports my opinion of the capabilities of Rung Charlu.

Under all these circumstances I take the liberty of putting

Taluq. He is thoroughly initiated in the Correspondence as well as in the Account Department. He is laborious & business-like gumastah. I can assure that he has faithfully & actively discharged his duties for the times he was under me. He is further a good Sanscrit Pandit. are anxious to see him promoted.

(True copy)
(Signed) Venkatarangamma Naidu
Tahsildar

17th February 1872

Peroor Runga Chariyar served under me as 3rd class Revenue Inspector for a space of about one year and I have known him for the last 10 years. He is intelligent and industrious and gave me entire satisfaction in the discharge of his duties. He is a best Sanscrit Pandit. He was always obedient and ever ready and willing to carry out the instructions of his superior officers. With much regret I am obliged to part with his services.

(True copy)
(Sigd) C. Streenivasa Row
Tahsildar
Chendraghiry Taluq

Vamalcheruvu }
25th December 1877 }

Kurichi Runga Charlu Head Gumastah of Chendraghiry Taluq has worked under me as Revenue Javabmunis and Rayasum during my stay at Chendraghiri. He is very intelligent and hard working. He knows many languages including Sanscrit. He has a very great practical knowledge of his business and has given

8 அ	9 ௯	10 ௧0	௧௧	௧௨
Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ் செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. ஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவு செய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* டிரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னதினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.

SERVICE BOOK
சர்வீஸ் புஸ்தகம்.

OF

Name.
பெயர்.

Caste or Race.
ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம்.

Residence.
இருப்பிடம்.

FATHER'S
தகப்பனுடைய

Name.
பெயர்.

Residence.
இருப்பிடம்.

Date of birth.
ஜனனதேதி.

O.C. No 553
O.C. No 553

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

READ the following papers :—

From the Collector of North Arcot dated 15th November 1879

" Offg Asst Accountant-General, dated 12th April 1880 No. A.D.J. / 238.

ORDER THEREON, 24th May 1880 No. 459

Under Sections 65, & 68 (b) of the Civil Pension Code (4th Edition), His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Polur Runga Charlu late 3rd Class Revenue Inspector of Wallajah Taluq North Arcot Distt a superannuation pension of Rupees (6-10-8) Six annas ten and pies eight per mensem payable at Chendrughiri in the North Arcot Distt from the 20th Sept 79 in consideration of his qualifying Superior Service of Twenty years, two months and eight days.

2. Copy of these B.P.s will be forwarded to the Govt. of India with copy of G.O. 20th July 71 Rev. [illegible] No 1270, ~~of the~~ in view to the latter receiving the

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" Collector of North Arcot
" T.M.G.

Formal confirmation
of the Gov:. of India
Sel

Pension to P Runga Charlu
late 3d Class Rev Insp
of Wallajah Taluq
North Arcot Dist

Govt. of {1880} Madras.

PENSION.

To
F. Wr. 1471 of 80
The Accountant-General,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated 24th May 1880
Despd. 5th June –

G. O., 24th May 1880 No 459

Granting a Superannuation pension of Rs 6-10-8 per mensem to P. Runga Charlu late 3d Class Rev: Inspector of Wallajah Taluq North Arcot Distt

215/5