M.R.O. 1—77,008—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 54

(2) Date of repair 17-10-64

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 408 Press/Mis.

Dated 12—8— 1880.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Pension.

No. 408.

From

C. G. MASTER, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Department of Finance and Commerce.

Sir,

I am directed to furnish a report on the case of Rangasami Naik, late Storekeeper, Pámban Channel Works, as called for in the letter noted in the margin.

15th January 1877, No. 48.

2. In 1873 this Government rejected Rangasami Naik's claim for a retiring allowance on a report from the Controller of Public Works Accounts, but it would now appear that the case is one deserving of some consideration.

3. Rangasami Naik served in inferior appointments in the Jail Department in the District of Madura from the 1st July 1838 to the 28th February 1857, a period of eighteen years and eight months. This service cannot be verified by records, but is proved by affidavit, the evidence of contemporary servants, and a certificate given to Rangasami Naik in 1858 by the officer then in charge of the operations on the Pámban Channel.

4. On the 1st March 1857 Rangasami Naik was made Overseer's writer on Rs. 15 per mensem on the permanent establishment, but lost that appointment on its abolition eight months later, when he was made Store "Conicapillay" and subsequently Store Gumastah. This appointment he held until the 6th December 1861, when it also was abolished. Three months later—on the 6th March 1862—the office was recreated, and Rangasami Naik, who was re-entertained, served in it on salaries ranging from Rs. 12 to Rs. 25 per mensem until 6th September 1872, when, on the reorganization of the Public Works Department, he was finally removed as being no longer fit to hold a post for which a knowledge of English was deemed an essential qualification under the new organization.

5. Rangasami Naik's services thus amounted to eighteen years and eight months on the inferior, and fifteen years, six months, and seven days on the superior, establishment; but apart from the fact of his having been removed from the service for unfitness, only four years and one month of his superior service are strictly qualifying, as during the remaining period the establishment to which he belonged was technically "temporary."

6. Under the old rules Rangasami Naik is not entitled to any retiring allowance either for his inferior service or for his permanent superior service between 1st September 1858 and 6th December 1861, and, as already shown, his later superior service does not qualify owing to the nature of its termination and its want of (technical) permanency.

7. It appears that after the reorganization of the Public Works Department, already alluded to, the establishment on which Rangasami Naik had been serving became permanent, and, had he been retained on it, his entire services from the date of his re-entertainment on the 7th March 1862 would apparently have been reckoned as qualifying under Rule 1, Section 27, Civil Pension Code.

8. Under these circumstances, and bearing in mind that the establishment in question though at one time called "temporary" was throughout practically permanent, this Government recommend that some indulgence be shown to Rangasami Naik, who, during all his service, bore an excellent character. It appears that during* the last five years of his service he was in receipt of Rs. 25 per mensem, so that if all his superior service were allowed to qualify he would be entitled to a pension of one-third that amount, or Rs. 8-5-4; but looking to his qualifications and the nature of his service, the case would, I am to state, be adequately met by permitting Rangasami Naik to count his whole service as inferior towards the maximum monthly pension of Rs. 4 admissible on the inferior scale.

* From the 8th May 1867.

9. I am to express the regret of this Government at the protracted delay which has occurred in furnishing the information called for in the letter under reply, but the verification, &c., of Rangasami Naik's services necessitated many cross-references, and the Accountant-General's final report, which was submitted in February 1879, was sent to the wrong department and there lost sight of.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Govt.

OOTACAMUND,
12th May 1880.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

1880.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From
The Secretary to the Govt.
of Fort St. George,
To
The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Dept. of Finance and Commerce.

Dated 12*th May* 1880.

Issued

Recd.

No. 408.

Enclosure.

Pension.—Reporting on the case of Rangasami Naik, late Storekeeper, Pámban Channel Works, and recommending the grant to him of a pension of Rs. 4 per mensem on the inferior scale.

Pension Deptt

No. 31.

Official Memorandum

In returning the accompanying case of one Rangasami Naidoo the Under Secretary is directed to request that the Accountant General will have a pension application prepared showing all the applicants service and submit it with his own report on the admissibility of the claim in the usual manner.

Fort St George }
12th November 1877 }

(Signed) L. A. Campbell
Under Secretary

To
The Accountant General with Enc

---

are in this Office.

2.

Pension Papers

Memo: No 21

Dated 12 Nov 1877

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
20th November 1877.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Examiner of Public Works Accounts
Madras

VIDE your ~~Application for~~ this Letter ~~on behalf of~~ to Government No 49.W. dated 21 June last. forwarding the application on behalf of Rungasamy Naidoo –

THE undersigned has the honor to return the above and the application with other papers connected with it and to request that you will be good enough to have a pension application prepared shewing all the applicants' service from July 1838. and forwarded to this Office with your report at an early date for submission to Government –

W Donald
Actg Dy Accountant Genl

are in this Office.

2.

AINER
22 NOV 77
ACCOUNTS

NOV 77
AINER

L No 445

Copy of letter from the Exr P. W. Accts to the District Engineer Madura No 118 M.D. dy 30 November 1877.–

–"–

The undersigned has the honor to forward the enclosed pension application (to be returned) of Rungasamy Naidu, late Store Gumasta on the Paumben Establishment and to request that the District Engineer will be good enough to submit a revised application for pension including in it the applicants services as lascar, Lance Naique and Gumasta on the Jail Establishment of Madura and Paumben from 1st July 1838 to 28th February 1857. Papers regarding the verification of the applicants services during the above period are in this office.

2.

2. Information should be furnished as to whether the applicants services from 1st March 1857 to 6th September 1872 detailed in the enclosed application have been duly verified from public records and if so it should be stated what pay was drawn by the applicant in the Capacity of Overseers writer from 1st March to 31st October 1857 and under what circumstances his services were dispensed with on the 31 October 1857. Any voucher throwing light on the subject of his discharge on this date should be forwarded to this office.

3. The periods during which the applicant may have been employed on the work or temporary Establishments should be distinguished from those on the permanent Establishment in the history of Service of the revised Application which it is requested may be submitted with the other information asked for in the memo: as soon as possible to admit of the applicants papers being submitted to Government at an early date —

(True Copy)
H. Telfer
Supdt. Correspondence
Branch.

15/11

A. Johnson
15.11.

In C No 445.

15

Copy of letter from the Examiner P. W. Accounts to the District Engineer M. D. dated 29th June 1878.

The undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the pension application which the verification of the applicant's services for the period marginally noted has been effected, may be forwarded to this Office for reference.

1st November 1857 to 31st August 1858
1st May 1859 to 30th April 1860
6th December 1861 to 30 April 1862.

13

Madura Division Office
Camp Tiroochooly 18 March/78

B 4 78

No. 4725.

From:
The Assistant Engineer
Madura Division

To:
The District Engineer
Madura

Despd. 20th March 1878

Enclos: 2

Sir,

With reference to your Nos. 2356 and 2961 dated 15th December 1877, and 13th February 1878. I have the honor to forward herewith a revised application for pension of Rengasami Naidu. The original application is herewith returned.

I have &c.

(Signed) H. B. Hutchings
Assistant Engineer

Enclos: 2 (True Copy)

No. 926.

Forwarded to the Examiner of P. W. Accounts Madras for information in continuation of this Office No. 803 dated 26th ultimo.

W. Harrington
District Engineer
Madura

Madura
March 1878

The

the late Store Goomastah of the Paumben Establish-
=ment, the same having been received from the
Talug Overseer Paumben on the 5th Instant. The
applicants Services in the jail Establishment from
1st July 1838 to 28th February 1857, have been included
in the application.

2 With reference to para 2 of the Examiner's
letter No 118 Mr. D communicated with your number
under reference. I have the honor to refer you to
the remarks made by the Talug Overseer in the
History of Service of the applicant. The Salary
drawn by the applicant from the 1st March to
31st October 1857 was Rs 12 as entered in the history
of the Service. The Services of the applicant were
dispensed with by the late Superintending Engineer
for the reasons given in para 4 of the Remarks
by head office

3 The information required in para 3 is
not available.

Copy of letter from the Examiner P. W. Accounts to the District Engineer M. D. dated 29th June 1878.

The undersigned has the honor to return the papers connected with the pension application of Rungasawmy Naidu and to request that the District Engineer will be good enough to state the sanctioned pay of the appointment of Overseer's Gumastah, which appointment is said to have been held by the applicant from 1st March to 31st October 1857

2 It is observed from the applicant's affidavit, herewith sent, that he was in receipt of Rs 15 per mensem, while employed as Overseer's Gumastah, whereas the Madura Divisional Officer's letter No 4725 dated 10th March 1878 shows that the applicant was drawing Rs 12 only per mensem. It is requested that any documents which may be forthcoming to show that the applicant drew Rs 12 and not Rs 15 as stated by him, may be forwarded to this Office for reference.

3. Information should be furnished as to what, was done with the Establishment employed under Sub Conductor Elder after his death in October 1857; whether abolished, or transferred to other district or placed under the orders of any other Officer or Subordinate. It is requested that the records from which the verification of the applicant's services for the period marginally noted has been effected,

1st November 1857 to 31st August 1858
1st May 1859 to 30th April 1860
6th December 1861 to 30 April 1862

may be forwarded to this Office for reference.

The

The nature (whether permanent or temporary) of the establishment employed under the Superintendent of Operations at Paumben and the authority under which the establishment was entertained should be stated.

As the entries in the Service Book of the applicant do not agree with those made in the 2nd page of the Revised application, it is requested that the necessary addition may be made in the former so that the entries in both the service book and revised pension application may correspond.

The applicant's affidavit and the statements of contemporary servants are herewith returned with a view to the same being retransmitted with duly attested English translations.

The following papers are herewith returned

1. Revised pension application
1. Service book
1. Vernacular affidavit of the applicant
2. do - Statements of contemporary servants
1. Original application

(True Copy)

In a No 1448

Superintendent Correspondence Branch

L.Y.
16/11

15/11
13.11

17

No 108

21st August 1878
Paumbom

From

The Overseer
Incharge of Operation Paumbom

To

The Assistant Engineer
Madura Division

Enclosures 14

Sir

With reference to your, No 1504, dated 13th July 1878 I beg to submit the following.

To state the sanctioned pay of the appointment of Overseer's Goomasta which appointment is said to have been held by the applicant from 1st March to 31st October 1857

Para 1. I beg to state that the sanctioned pay of Overseer's Goomasta is Rs 15. This was verified from "The scale list of office Establishment in the Moffussil filed among the Government orders of 1857 which appears to be the accompaniment of G.O.G. dated 28th January 1857 referred to in the District Engineers letter No $\frac{173}{4-4-57}$ to Captain C. Gile the then Superintendent of Operations Paumben, Sanctioning the entertainment of Overseer's Establishment

To forward for reference any document which may be forthcoming to show that the applicant was drawing Rs 12 and not Rs 15 as stated by him

x enclosed

Para 2. There is no document in the office that can show that the applicant was drawing Rs 12 as Overseer's Goomasta. But the detailed Statement x of Salaries of different Establishment of Paumbom, as they stood on 1st May 1857 shows that the applicant was drawing Rs 15 in the same Capacity.

To furnish information as to what was done with the Establishment employed under Sub Conductor Elder after his death in October 1857 whether abolished or transferred to other District or placed under the orders of any other officer or Subordinates

Para 3. From examining the Correspondences forwarding Salary Bills for 1857 it is found that the Pay Bills for Overseer's and their Establishments were Submitted in the names of Messrs. Elder and Maggridge until 31st May 1857; and after this, the Salary Bills have gone only in the name of Mr. Elder and his Establishment until his death or 31st October 1857, in Consequence of Mr. Moggride having been employed on general duties in the District from June 1857. Further, in November 1857. Mr. Maggridge* Succeeded Mr. Elder and the Subsequent pay bills from that Month have gone for Mr. Moggridge and his Establishment alone without any Mention being made of what has become of Mr. Elder's Establishment. On the other hand the office record will show that Mr. Moggridge has brought with him his own Establishment (vide Pay abstracts from December 1857) From this it Can only be inferred that Mr. Elders Establishments were not retained after his death.

* vide E.M.C. No. $\frac{5138}{11-11-57}$ regarding his appointment

That the records from which the verification of the applicants Services for the periods below noted have been effected may be forwarded."
(a) From 1st November 57 to 31st August 1858"
(b) " 1st May 59 to 30th April 1860"
(C) " 6th December 1861 to 30th April 1862.

Para 4 (a) The pay abstract for November 1857 could not be found But those from December/57 do not contain the name of the applicant up to 31st August 1858. The statement of Establishment that accompanied the Salary Bill for April 1860 Shows that he had a continued Service from March 1857. as it Says that his Service in the Department up to that period was 3 Years and 2 Months.

A.

Another statement showing the Service of natives employed in the office of the Superintendent of Operations Paumbom on the 1st May 1860' Corroborates the above statement by mentioning that his former Service up to 25th August 1858 was 1½ years. and a Similar statement for the official Year ending 30th April 1863 shows that his former Service was 20 years up to the above Said period or August 1858.

(b) 1st May 1859 to 30th April 1860. During this period the applicant served as a storegoomastah on a Salary of Rs 12 per Month. [vide pay bills (one file) from September 1858 to November 1859 and (another file) from December 1859 to March 1861].

(C) 6 December 1861 to 30th April 1862. The Pay Abstract book from April 1861 to the end of April 1862 does not include the applicants name from the 7th December 1861 to 6th March 1862: and his name appears again in the pay list from the 7th March 1862 as storegoomasta on Rs 12. But from the Government order* No. $\frac{1926}{19-10-61}$ I infer that his Service as well as those of Several others were dispensed with; and they were reentertained as per G.O. No. $\frac{371}{7-3-62}$.

* not found among office records

The following records having reference to the question of this para are herewith forwarded.

× These five original documents to be returned.

×I Pay Abstract book from September 1858 to November 1859
II Do December 1859 to March 1861
III Do. April 1861 to April 1862
IV. A Book Containing Miscellaneous statements Submitted to the Head office from April 1860 to 30th April 1863.
B. Detailed statements of Establishments for 1857/58 (vide para 2)

To state the nature (whether permanent or temporary) of the Establishment.

Para 5 From Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 347 dated 21st February 1857. as well as G.O. No. $\frac{818}{30-7-78}$

employed under the Superintendent of Operations Paumbum and the authority under which the authority was entertained.

EXAMINE
No
9 OCT 78

it is observed that the office of the Superintendent of Operations was an Extra Establishment. And the G O No. $\frac{3449}{2\text{-}2\text{-}64}$ and No. $\frac{1394}{8\text{-}5\text{-}67}$ Shew that the office Establishment is temporary and the G O No. $\frac{1586}{1\text{-}7\text{-}72}$ says that the Paumbum Office is special. From the Government Orders above quoted as well as from Mr. Fonseca's letter No. $\frac{16}{28\text{-}4\text{-}76}$ embodied in G O No. $\frac{1230}{19\text{-}5\text{-}76}$ I understand that the office Establishment is Temporary.

The authority Constituting the Establishment, as stated in the detailed statement of the Establishment on the 1st May 1857" quoted in para 2 of this letter appears to be the Government order dated 6th May 1845*.

* Not found among office records.

To make the entries in the Service book of the applicant correspond with those in the 2d page of the Revised applications by necessary additions

Para 6. Necessary additions required have been made to make the applicants Service Register correspond with the 2d page of the Revised application.

To retransmit the affidavits of the applicant & his contemporary Servants with duly attested translation

Para 7 The affidavits have been translated and forwarded herewith duly attested.

Para 8 The papers received with your letter under reply are also sent herewith. 2 Pension applications, 1 Service book & 3 Affidavits.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obt. Servant
(Signed) Kundamungalum C. Visvanatha Iyer
Overseer D.P.W.
Incharge of operations Paumbum

In No. 45
(True Copy)
Assistant Engineer
Madura Division

Madura Division Office
Camp Poovenudy 18th September 78
Despd 19th Do

No. 2437.

Forwarded to the District Engineer with enclosures with reference to his No. 697 of 14th July 1878. The information required by the Examiner of Public Works is fully given in this letter.

H.B. Hutchins
Assistant Engineer
Madura Division

Enclosures viz:
1 Revised Pension application
1 service Book
1 Vernacular affidavit of the applicant with its Translation
2 Do statements of contemporary servants with Do
1 original application
Documents marked I, II, III, IV A, & IV B.–

EXAMINER
No 22189
9 OCT 78

No Regrs.

2649
20.9.78

No 2627.

Madura Division Office
Camp Chuckoody 30th Sept/78-
Despd 1st October 1878-

From,

The Assistant Engineer
Madura Division-

To,

The District Engineer
Madura-

Sir,

Encls: 11

Adverting to your No 1412 dated 23d September 1878, I have the honor to return the Service Registers in question supplying the omissions and with regard to other entries made in pencil I have the honor to observe that they were not done in this Office..

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obt Servant
(Signed) H. B. Hutchings
Assistant Engineer
Madura Division-

(True Copy)

No. 562

Encls. 16

Forwarded to the Examiner of P. W. Accounts Madras with reference to his No 83 M. D. dated 29th June last-

Madura Division

EXAMINER
No. 8.2.
9 OCT 78
ACCOUNTS

The required explanation is given in the accompanying Copy of the Paumben Overseer's letter- The pencil Corrections in the application for pension appear to have been made in the examiner's Office

W. Harington
District Engineer
Madura-

Held 9/10

Madura
2nd October 1878.

---

25 No 342

D
D

FROM THE EXAMINER P. W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,

MADRAS.

NO. 322/10 CHEPAK, 18th NOVEMBER 1878.

SIR,

I HAVE THE HONOR TO FORWARD HEREWITH FOR THE SPECIAL CONSIDERATION OF GOVERNMENT A REVISED REPORT ON THE PENSION APPLICATION OF RUNGASAWMY NAIDU, LATE A STORE GUMASTAH ON THE PAUMBEN OPERATIONS MADURA DISTRICT.

2. THE SERVICES OF THE APPLICANT AS LASCAR, LANCE NAIGUE, AND GUMASTAH ON THE JAIL ESTABLISHMENT OF MADURA AND PAUMBEN FROM 1ST. JULY 1838 TO 28TH. FEBRUARY 1857 ARE ENTERED IN ONE LINE IN THE PENSION APPLICATION AS NO DETAILS ARE FORTHCOMING; BUT AN AFFIDAVIT WITH STATEMENTS OF CONTEMPORARY SERVANTS HAS BEEN OBTAINED IN SUPPORT OF THE SAME UNDER RULE 4 SECTION 74 OF THE CIVIL PENSION CODE. THE SERVICES RENDERED IN THIS ESTABLISHMENT BEING INFERIOR, IT WILL NOT COUNT TOWARDS PENSION OR GRATUITY UNDER SECTION I. OF THE [illegible] RULES PUBLISHED AT PAGE 78 OF THE SAME CODE.

3. THE SERVICES RENDERED BY THE APPLICANT IN THE SUPERIOR ESTABLISHMENT AMOUNT TO 15 YEARS 6 MONTHS 7 DAYS

ALTOGETHER OF WHICH ONLY 4 YEARS AND I MONTH AS DETAILED IN THE ACCOMPANYING STATEMENT QUALIFY, AND FOR WHICH, THE APPLICANT IS NOT ENTITLED TO ANY GRATUITY UNDER THE OLD RULES.

4. IT IS LEFT HOWEVER TO RECOMMEND TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA THE GRANT AS A SPECIAL CASE OF A GRATUITY OF RS.45 EQUAL TO 4 MONTHS PAY AT RS.12 PER MENSEM UNDER SECTION 50 : A : OF THE CIVIL PENSION CODE, OR OF RS.300 AS STATED IN PARA 15 OF THIS OFFICE NO.49.W.DATED 21ST.JUNE 1877.

5. THE ENCLOSURES TO YOUR MEMORANDUM OF THE 20TH.NOVEMBER 1877 ARE HEREWITH RETURNED AND THE FOLLOWING PAPERS ACCOMPANY THE REVISED APPLICATION.

I. COPY OF THIS OFFICE NO.118.W.D.DATED 30TH. NOVEMBER 1877 TO THE DISTRICT ENGINEER, MADURA.

I. ORIGINAL MEMO NO.926.DATED 23RD.MARCH 1878 FROM THE DISTRICT ENGINEER, MADURA.

I. COPY OF THIS OFFICE NO.83.W.D.DATED 20TH.JUNE 1878.

I. ORIGINAL MEMO WITH AN ENCLOSURE RECEIVED FROM THE DISTRICT ENGINEER, MADURA.

I. AFFIDAVIT WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

2. STATEMENTS OF CONTEMPORARY SERVANTS WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

I HAVE, ETC. ETC.

L. Conway-Gordon

EXAMINER P. W. ACCOUNTS AND MAJOR R. E.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 18 11 1873
Ansd

ENCLOSURES. 38.

Revised Report on the case of Rungasamy Naidoo, late a Store Gumastah in the Office of Superintendent Paumben Operations — Enclosure to pension application.

Establishment and Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of Ending	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.
Lascar Lance Naigue & Gumastah on the Jail Establishments Madura and Paumben	Under Rs: 10		1st July 1838	28th February 57	.. .. ..	18 8 -	The applicants services for the period cannot be verified from either the records of Madura or of the Paumben Jail Offices. An Affidavit with Statements of Contemporary Servants in support of the continuous employment during the period has however been obtained as required by rule 4 Section 74 of the Civil Pension Code. The applicant according to his own statement drew less than Rs: 10 per mensem during the period and his service has been classed as inferior with reference to Section 41 Chapter VIII of the Civil Pension Code and treated as non qualifying under Section of the old rules published at page 78 of the same Code.
Overseer's Writer	15		1st March 57	31st October 1857	.. 8 ..		In the service book, the applicant is shown to have been employed as a Gumastah on Rs: 12 per mensem under Sub Conductor and Overseer Elder for this period. The sanctioned pay of an Overseer's Writer was Rs: 15 and the Officer in charge Paumben Operations being referred to, as to whether the Applicant drew Rs: 12 or 15 during the period, has stated that no records are forthcoming in his Office to show the particular rate of pay drawn by the Applicant but that from a detailed Statement of Salaries of the different Establishments as they stood on 1st May 1857, it appears that the pay of the appointment said to have been held by the applicant is Rs: 15 per mensem. Considering that the Registers of this Office show that a Gumastah on Rs: 15 was employed under Mr. Elder and that the applicant himself asserts in his Affidavit dated 29th September 1877 that he was actually in Receipt of that amount & there being nothing in the Divisional Office records to the Contrary, the period has been classed as qualifying. As service rendered on the Permanent Establishment.
Store Conicopilly Temporary.	Not Known		1st Novr. 1859	31st Augt. 1858		.. 10 ..	The applicants services cannot be verified both from the records of this Office and those of the Divisional Office. From a certificate granted

to

						to the applicant by Captain Gib dated 25th January 1858, it would appear that the applicant lost his Appointment on the death of the Overseer Mr. Elder and was employed as a Store Conicapillay paid for from works. The period has therefore been classed as non qualifying.
Store Gumastah Paumben operation.	12	1st Sept. 1858	6th Decr. 1861	3 3 6		Verified as rendered on the permanent Establishment from the records of this Office and those of the Divisional Office. The period has therefore been classed as qualifying.
Not known		7th Decr. 1861	6th March 1862		" 3 "	The applicants services during the period cannot be verified both from the records of this Office & those of the Division. The Establishment on which the applicant was employed was abolished under G. O. No. 1926 dated 19th October 1861 and was reentertained under G. O. No. 371 dated 7th March 1862. It would appear therefore that the applicant was either out of employ or his pay charged to Estimates for works during this interval.
Store Gumastah	12	7th March 1862	30th Apl. 1862	" 1 24		Verified as services rendered on the permanent Establishment from the records of the Divisional Office. The period has therefore been classed as qualifying.
Do.	from 12 to 25.	1st May 1862	6th Sept. 1872		10 4 6	The Establishment on which the applicant was employed was treated as an Extra or Temporary one and its cost during 1862-63 was defrayed from the Budget allotments provided for the Temporary Establishment vide G. O. No. 1818 dated 30th July 1862. The Establishment was continued to be employed on special application each year until May 1865 when sanction was accorded to the cost of the Temporary Establishment being met from the Budget Grant for the vide G. O. No. 1427 dated 27th May 1865. This Arrangement continued till the reorganization of the Department in December 1872. In G. O. No. 1786 W. dated 26th November 1877, the Government have clearly ruled that the Members of the Imperial Petty Establishment are not eligible to the benefits of the Civil Pension Code. The applicants services have been duly verified but do not qualify for pension or gratuity under the G. O. above quoted.
				4 1	30 1 6	

Conway-Gordon

Examiner P. W. Accounts & Major R. E.

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Rengasawmy Naidoo	Gentoo	Paumben	Kistnappa Naidoo (dead)	Streevilpoottoor	September 1817	55	3	..	5	10	Black spot on right eye	10th December 1872		J. B. Sanderson Supervisor Superintendent of Operations Paumben W. Larrington District Engineer Madura

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
K.C.V. Lascar, Lance Naigue and Goomasta Civil Establishment, Madura and Paumben	Permanent K.C.V.	"	Rs. as. p	"	1st July 1838	K.C.V.	Store Goomastah Rungasawmy Naidoo is a very useful Govt. Servant he attends to the Stores carefully & keeps the accounts regularly I should very much like to see him draw more pay than he now does viz. Rs. 15 per Mensem. W.B.H. Paumben March 1866 } / Sigd/ W. Cunningham Lt. R.E. Supdt. of operations. This is to certify that during the five months I have been supg: the Paumben works, I have found the Store keeper Rungasawmy Naidoo deligent and attentive to his duties. From the long experience he has had I consider him a very useful public official. Paumben 31.1.65 } / Sigd/ J. M. Payne Captn. Executive Engineer. I knew petitioner during the time he refers to, I believe him to be a hardworking & honest man. Ootacamund 3d February 1864 } / Sigd/ F. G. Hankin Captn. The Bearer Rungasawmy Store Goomastah I have known for nearly two years & he has always done his duty well & conducted himself generally to my satisfaction. Paumben March 13th 1863 } / Sigd/ J. J. Byrne Captn. Ag: Supdt. of operations. I do hereby certify that Rungasawmy has been known to me for many years, he was formerly a Sail Peon, Writer & Lance Naigue, was appointed Goomastah to Sub Conductor Elder (the late) by whose death he lost his situation	J.B. Sanderson Supervisor Superintendent of Oper. Paumben	28th February 1857	K.C.V.	J.B. Sanderson Supervisor Superintendent of Oper. Paumben
Taluq Overseer's Writer	Permanent	Substantive	12 15		1st March 1857				31st October 1857 W.B.H.	Death of Overseer Sub Conductor Mr. Elder W.B.H.	
Store Goomastah	Temporary	Do	12		1st November 1857				1st Dec /64	Promotion	
Do	Do	Do	15		2nd Dec /64	W.B.H.			W.B.H. 7th May 1867	Do W.B.H.	
Do	Do	Do	25		8th May /67	W.B.H.			~~6 7th Septr 1872~~ 17th May /67	Aged and unfit for further duty	
Privilege leave			25	"	18th May /67				W.B.H.	18th July /67	
Store Goomastah in the Office of the Supdt. of operations at Paumben	Do	"	25	"	19th July /67	W.B.H.			W.B.H.	19th April /69	
Privilege Leave			25	"	20th April /69	W.B.H.			W.B.H.	19th June /69	
Store Gumastah in the office of the Superintendent of Operations at Paumben			25	"	20th June /69	W.B.H.			W.B.H.	6th Septr /72	

— H.B. Hutchings —

The Officer of whom this is the record of Service has had during his term of service 4 months privilege leave as follows. The first for two months commenced on the 18th May 1867 and terminated on the 18th of July 1867. The second for 2 months commenced on the 20th April 1869 and terminated on the 19th June 1869. The date of commencement of Service after returning from 1st leave was the 19th of July 1867 and termination of same on the 19th April.

The date of commencement of Service after returning from 2nd leave was the 20th of June 1869 between which date and termination of service on the 7th September 1872 no breaks took place from leave or absence.

Beyond the above mentioned periods of leave of absence no other leave of any description is recorded against him

J.B. Sanderson Supervisor
Incharge of Oprs. Paumben.

Asst. Engr.
Incharge of Madura Range

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
							has since been employed on the works as Store Cannicappoly. he is a man of excellent character and deserving of employment. Paumben 25: January 1858 } (Sigd) C. Gib. Captn Supt. of operations True Copies [illegible] Asst. Engr. In ch: of Madura Range	W. Harrington District Engineer Madura			W. Harrington District Engineer Madura

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

No 22 [illegible]
9 10 79
ENG

No
22 11 7
ENG

No 20 [illegible]
7 3 77
ENG

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Rengasawmy Naidoo

Caste or Race. Gentoo

Residence. Paumben

FATHER'S Name. Kistnappa Naidoo (dead)

FATHER'S Residence. Streevilpoottoor

Date of birth. Septr 1817

P. No 416

Inv No 45

P. No 408

To,

The Examiner of P. W. Accounts
Madras.

The humble address of Rengasawmy Naidu
the late Store keeper Paumben Channel
establishment now residing at Madichiam
Madura Taluq.

Most Respectfully Sheweth.

That your honor's humble Petitioner begs to be excused for the liberty of intruding upon your honor's valuable moments by reminding the non-receipt of any orders to his several application for Pension. Now the Petitioner begs to submit that he is given to understand from a Copy of a letter No. I. A. E. dated 14th February 1880 furnished to him by the Executive Engineer Madura that an application for Pension on Petitioner's behalf was submitted to your honor's address on the 17th February 1879, and that no orders thereon have yet been received by him (Accountant General)

Petitioner now begs to state concisely that it is now nearly 8 years since Petitioner was dispensed with his services. Application for Pension was submitted in 1876 and the matter still remains undisposed of. The existing famine compelled the Petitioner to renew his prayers as he is quite unable to endure the hardships attendant upon him during his old age.

[illegible]

Madura.
26th May 1880

3280

I
A

EXAMINER
No
31 MAY 80
ENCS
P.W. ACCOUNTS

E/ No. 191 K dated 4th ~~May~~ June 1880.

Forwarded in original to the Accountant General Madras for disposal — with reference to this Office letter No. 322 P dated 18th November 1878.

Geo Brown
For Offg. Examiner P.W. Accts

SBS.
2·6
3·6

2/6.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFF.
5 JUN 80

31/5

Acctt General's Office
12th June 1880

No. ADJ/6.9

Forwarded to Government, Pension Department, in continuation of this office Report No. ADJ/50 d: 17 February 1880 on the Pension application on behalf of the petitioner

C Hall
Offg. Asstt. to the Acctt Genl.
for Acctt General

T. K.
11/6.

AUG 3

G.O. No. 408 d/12 May/80

Pension 1880 Deptt

No. 1153.

R. [illegible] 30/6/80

From

The Asstt Accountt Genl No. 649.

Dated 12th
Recd 14th } June 1880

Forwards a petition from Rengasawmy Naidu late Store Keeper Paumben Channel in continuation of report No. 50 A.O. of 17th Feby 1879-

---

No. 408

To
The Secretary to the
Government of India
Finan~~tial~~ & Comm. Department.

Sir,

I am directed to furnish a report on the case of Rangasami Naik, late Store Keeper, Pamban Channel Works, as called for in the letter noted in the margin.*

* 15th January 1877, No. 48.

2. In 1873 this Government rejected Rangasami Naik's claim for a retiring allowance on a report from the Controller of Public Works Accounts but it would now appear that the case is one deserving of some consideration.

3. Rangasami Naik served in inferior appointments in the Jail Department in the District of Madura from the

Some expl. of the delay in reply to G of I shd be given - this was one of 3 years! And I think we shd. make [illegible] proposal

1st July 1838 to the 28th February 1857 — a period of 18 years and 8 months. This service cannot be verified by records but is proved by affidavit I, the evidence II of contemporary servants and a certificate III given to Rangasami Naik in 1858 by the officer then in charge of the operations on the Paumben channel.

4. On the 1st March 1857, Rangasami Naik was made Overseer's Writer on Rs 15 per mensem on the permanent Establishment but lost that appointment on its abolition eighteen months later when he was made Store "Conica pillay" and subsequently Store Gumastah. This appointment he held until the 6th December 1861 when it also was abolished. Three months

Mel
I
II } These papers [illegible]
III
[illegible] Tahsildar [illegible]

months later, on the 6th March 1862, the office was re-created and Rangasami Naik who was re-entertained served in it on salaries ranging from Rs 12 to Rs 25 per mensem until 6th September 1872 when, on the re-organization of the Public Works Department, he was finally removed as being no longer fit to hold a post for which a knowledge of English was deemed an essential qualification under the new organization.

5. Rangasami Naik's services thus amounted to 18 years and 8 months on the inferior and 15 – 6 – 7 on the Superior Establishment but apart from the fact of his having been removed from the Service for unfitness only 4 years and one

one month of his superior service are strictly qualifying as during the remaining period the Establishment to which he belonged was technically "temporary"-

6 Under the old rules, Rangasami Naik is not entitled to any retiring allowance either for his inferior service or for his permanent superior service between 1st September 1858 and 6th December 1861 and as already shown his later superior service does not qualify owing to the nature of its termination and its want of (technical) permanency.

8.] It appears that after the re-organization of the Public Works Department, already alluded to, the Establishment on which Rangasami Naik was serving became permanent and had he been retained on it, his entire services from the date of his re-entertainment on the 7th March 1862 would apparently have been reckoned as qualifying under Rule 1, Section 27, Civil Pension Code.

had been

8 ~~7~~. Under these circumstances and bearing in mind that the Establishment in question though at one time called "temporary" was throughout practically permanent + ~~The~~ Government ~~in Council would~~ that recommend some indulgence being shown to Rangasami Naik who, during all his service, bore an excellent character -

I think the order [illegible] from [illegible] suggested.

[illegible] + from the 8th May 67.

It appears that ~~[illegible]~~ during+

the last five
years of his service
he was in receipt
of Rs 25 per mensem
so that if all his
superior service were
allowed to qualify
he wd. be entitled
to a pension of 1/3 that
amount or Rs 8-5-4
but, looking to his
qualifications & the
nature of his service
the case would I
am to state be
adequately met
by permitting
Rangasami Naik
to count his whole
service as inferior
towards the maximum
monthly
pension of Rs 4
admissible on the
inferior scale (Note see 87 G. Code L. S)

9. In ~~in conclusion~~
I am to express the

regret of this Govt.
at the protracted
delay which has
occurred in furnishing
the information called
for in the letter
under ~~the~~ reply
but the verification
ae of Rangasami
Naik's services necessi-
tated many
cross-references & the
Acct. Genl's final
report which was
submitted in February
79 was sent to the
wrong Department
& there lost sight of.

Ootacamund
12th May 1880

21

Recd 25-8-80

Special

Dft letter
To Govt of India
Pub Dept

1 Case for presume [illegible]

8/5/94

Revenue 1880 Despt

The Secy to the Govt of India P. W. D.

Dated 12 } May 1880
Recd 25 }

No. 408

Pensions. Reporting on the Case of Rungasamy Naik late Store-Keeper Paumben Channel Works and recommending the grant to him of a pension of Rs 4 per mensem in the inferior Scale.

Circulated to the
Hon Mr Fuller
with additions as
suggested by him
for approval
6/5

17 10/41

c4/5
R 7/5