M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 421

(2) Date of repair 2-10-44

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 179 Press/Mis.

Dated 13-3-1880.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Cla

№ 925

Coimbatore Sub Collector's Office,
Erode. 1st November 1879.

No. 259.

From,
A. T. Arundel Esquire,
Acting Sub-Collector of
Coimbatore.

To,
W. Wilson Esquire,
Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 1357 dated 20th August 1879. I have the honor to report as follows:-

(1) As to the first point noted by the Accountant General no information is forthcoming in this office. The applicant Padmanabiah was called on to report as to this point, but has not replied.-

(2) The applicant was recommended for gratuity apparently under a misapprehension of the meaning of G. O. No. 2,399, dated 30th July 1877, received with Revenue Board's Proceedings of 13th August 1877 ordering application to be sent in. As the Board's Proceedings in question show, only such retiring allowance

as the applicant was entitled to under the rules should have been applied for.-

(3) Padmanabiah was called on in an order dated 9th September last to appear before the Civil Surgeon for examination on the 20th. This order has not been obeyed or replied to although Padmanabiah resides in Coimbatore.

(4) The Service book was returned to the applicant that, as required in Collector's Endorsement No. 1357 dated 20th August last, a fresh copy might be got "from the applicant" - No copy has been sent and no reply received.-

2.- As the applicant is not entitled to any retiring allowance under Section 64 of the Pension Code, and is evidently not prepared to appear again before the Civil Surgeon for a further examination, it would seem that nothing more can be done.-

I have &c,
(Sd) A. T. Arundel
Ag Sub-Collector

(True Copy)

No. 46.-

32

Communicated to the Accountant-General with reference to his Letter No $\frac{\text{A.D.K}}{348}$x dated 25th July 1879.-

x Herewith returned.-

The applicant does not seem to be under the rules entitled to either gratuity or pension.-

There is nothing on record in this office to show why notwithstanding the Civil Surgeon's certificate as to the cause of his incapacity a claim to gratuity was submitted on his behalf.-

Coimbatore Collector's office,
8th November 1879

Collector.-

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
12 NOV 79
MADRAS

From
The Collector —
Coimbatore,
To
The Accountant Genl
Madras —
Dated 8th } Novr 1879
Recd }
No. 46.
Enclosure: One-
Returning letter No
O.D.R. d/ 25th July 1879
348
with answers to the queries
therein contained regarding
the application for granting
on behalf of Pudmana-
bial late Dy Tahsildar
of Kangayam —

La No 1113

No. 11

Enc. to Lmis Dy
No. 181
19-4-79.

Certified that I G. S. W. Ogg M.B. Surgeon Major Civil Surgeon of Coimbatore have, carefully examined P. Puthmanabial, late Acting Tahsildar of Darapoorum, son of Vencatarayer. His age is by his own State=ment 35 years, and by appearance about 35 years. I consider P. Puthmanabial to be completely and permanently incapa=citated for further service of any kind in the Department to which he belongs, in consequence of General Debility with necrosis of the palate. His incapacity appears to me to have been caused by Syphilis, — which however he denies having had —

Coimbatore }
16th April/77 } (Signed) G. S. W. Ogg M.B. Surgeon Major
Civil Surgeon.

(True Copy)

Coimbatore }
17th April/79 } G. S. W. O. Ogg. Surgeon Major
Civil Surgeon.

Encl to his Lr
No 181
19-4-79.

No 113.

72

Medical case of P. Puthbanaliah late Acting Shasildar of Dharapuram

P. Puthbanaliah late Acting Shasildar of Darapuram reported sick on the 20th February complaining of General Debility and Rheumatism, which rendered him unfit for the duty on which he was then employed (Famine Relief work). On examining him I found him to be suffering from necrosis of the hard palate with extensive ulceration of the throat, the result probably of Tertiary Syphilis. Puthbanaliah, however, denies having had syphilis, and states that the necrosis of the palate, and the ulcerations of the throat were caused by two courses of mercury (each lasting only three days) given him two years ago by a native doctor.

Whatever may have been the origin of the disease under which this officer is suffering, his constitution is so undermined that he will never in my opinion be fit for further service, and I have given him a certificate to that effect.

He took medicine here for a short time after his arrival and he states that he was under treatment at Trichinopoly–

Coimbatore }
16th Septr. 1877 }
(Sigd) G. S. W. Ogg. M.B. Surgeon Major
Civil Surgeon.

(True Copy)

Coimbatore }
17th April 1879 }
G. [illegible] Surgeon Major
Civil Surgeon.

B.

In No. 113

A Coimbatore Sub Collector's

No. 105 - Office Erode 6th May 1879

112

From, Fr. A. Nicholson Esqre.,
A. g. Sub Collector of
Coimbatore -

To, G. Mc Watters, Esqre.,
A. g. Collector of Coimbatore -

Sir,

Enclosure, Service Re-gister -

Referring to your letter of the 26th ultimo, No. 743, requesting to be informed on what grounds Padmanabier therein referred to was reduced from Rs. 35 to Rs. 30 on 6th September 1866, I have the honor to state that no order is forthcoming from the records in this Office, which might show the grounds of Padmanabier's reduction. But a reference to the × Tamil copies of the Pay Abstracts for August and September 1866 shows that one Moses Pillai, the 2nd (Vernacular) clerk of this Office on Rs. 30 resigned his post from 24th August 1866. At that time

× herewith enclosed -

Padmanabier was Head Writer on Rs 35 and one Chockanadasawmi Pillai Dy Writer on Rs 20. The post of 2nd Clerk was not filled up till 5th September and the pay of 2nd Clerk for that period, i.e. from 24th August to 5th September was credited to Government. And from 6th September Padmanabier was appointed to the vacant post of Rs 30, and his Assistant, the Dy Writer, Chockanadasawmi Pillai was from the same date appointed to act as Head Writer on Rs 35 while in the vacant Post of Dy Writer one Viswanadier was appointed to act.

2 - These entries in the Pay Abstract seem to show that Padmanabier was not purposely reduced, but that the change in his appointment was in consequence of a permanent vacancy in the post of Rs 30 -

136

3. - An enquiry from persons who remember Padmanabier's service in this Office, shows that Padmanabier was reduced to Rs 30 at his own request. In 1866, the 2nd Clerk was the Magisterial Clerk and Padmanabier seems to have preferred the post of Magisterial Clerk to that of Head Writer. Whether the information is correct or not ~~is~~ I believe may be ascertained from Chockanadasawmi Pillai referred to above who is said to be now an Accountant in your Office.

I have &ca

(Sd) F. A. Nicholson

Ag Sub Collector

Deposition of Royasam Chokanadasamy Pillay, Local Funds Clerk in the Collector's Office Coimbatore -

Deponent Solemnly Affirmed -

I know Bhowany Padma

P

-nabhiah - He was a clerk in the Sub Collector's Office in 1865 & 1866 - I was then Deputy writer in the same Office, Pudmanabhiah being the Head writer on Rs 35 - I remember Pudmanabhia's transfer from the post of Head writer on Rs 35 to the post of Magisterial Clerk in the same Office on Rs 30 - Pudmanabhiah being willing to learn the Magisterial work requested to be transferred to the said post of Magisterial clerk on Rs 30 at a sacrifice of Rs 5 in pay. He was thus transferred to a post on a lower pay at his own request & not owing to any fault on his part.

Sd R. Chockanadasamipillai

L.A. 113

Given in my presence

Sd/ S. Rungacharry
16th May 1879 - Actg Dy Magistrate

(True Copies)

Ag Collector.

B.

Proceedings of the District Magistrate of Coimbatore, Dated 24th November 1869 -

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Session Court of Coimbatore dated 4th October 1869. -

Read Proceedings of the High Court, Dated 13th November 1869, No 2239. -

Read letter Dated 10th November 1869 from the Joint Magistrate submitting the explanation called for by the District Magistrate from the Sub Magistrate of Kongayam, of his having allowed the Police to cross-examine the witnesses in a case before him to which they were not a party -

Read Report submitted by the Assistant Superintendent of Police on the above subject. -

1. In this Case one Colunthay Chetty was accused of fraudulently passing counterfeit Coins. The Police reported that there was not sufficient evidence to proceed with the Case but the Joint Magistrate ordered the Sub Magistrate of Kongayam to hold a preliminary

Enquiry – This was done and the Case committed to the Sessions where it was disposed of on the 11th October –

2 – In his remarks on the Case the Sessions Judge comments more than once on the irregularity of procedure on the part of the Police in the conduct of this Case *before* the accused was placed before the Magistrate which the Superintendent will doubtless deal with departmentally, but whilst the Preliminary Enquiry was going on they took a part in the case which calls for serious notice as does also the conduct of the Sub Magistrate who entirely failed to uphold the dignity of his court or to exercise the authority with which he is invested by law – The attention of the District Magistrate was first directed to what had occurred by the following remark of the Sessions Judge –

"It is I think a question also how far Inspectors or other Police officers are justified in cross-examining witnesses for the prosecution in a case of this kind, not brought against them, the whole tenor of the cross-examination being to break down

the prosecution; to see the Police further against the Magistracy must certainly have a very bad effect – The Police were not retained for the defence and yet had they been so, the cross examination to which the Inspector subjected the witnesses for the prosecution could not have been more severe – I am of course alluding to the proceedings before the Sub-Magistrate." –

3 – The Sub-Magistrate before whom the Preliminary Enquiry was held was at once called on to explain how such conduct had been permitted in his court – He reports that from the commencement of the case "the Police unnecessarily interfered in order to break it down" and that subsequently "when the Enquiry was going on the Police as if they were a party to the case put unnecessary questions, *notwithstanding that I told them not to do so* – The question put by them had a tendency to break down the evidence" – His reasons

for recording the answers to this highly irregular Examination are thus expressed.-

"In order that my former Statement "that the Police unnecessarily interfered with a "view to break down the Case may be proved "by their own questions, I have written down "in the depositions the whole of the Cross Examination "made by them, and I have also made remarks in the "remark Column of my register about their conduct "in having interfered in the Case, while they were "not a party to it- I recorded their Cross Examination "only for the above reasons but not because I thought "they were a party to the Case and had a right to "Cross Examine".-

4- A more pitiable exhibition of incompetency can hardly be imagined- at the Commencement of the Enquiry "when" to use his own words "the depo= "sition from the plaintiff was being taken down "the Police unnecessarily interfered in order to break

down

"down the Case"- The Sub-Magistrate instead of sup=pressing this interference at once and if necessary taking action under Chapter X of the Criminal Procedure Code or Section 228 of the Indian Penal Code, sits down quietly under the contempt, reports what has occurred to the Joint Magistrate and as he says "in order to prove that the Police had unne= "cessarily interfered," suffered his authority to be further contemned and not only permitted the Inspector of Police Moothoosawmy to cross-examine the witness for the prosecution "though he told him not to do so" but actually went to the length of recording the answers thus irregularly elicited- Nothing further is required to show that the individual in question is unfit for the post of Subordinate Magistrate- He knew (Vide his Statement) that the Police had no right to cross-examine or to take any part in the proceedings whatever and yet he permitted it and allowed himself to be bullied into what he was aware was wrong by an Officer of his court. He cannot be allowed to hold a position for which he is so manifestly unfit for a day longer. The Joint Magistrate is requested to transfer him at once to the post of taluk Sheristadar and to appoint an officer of that grade to be Sub-Magistrate of Aravakurchy, transferring the Sub-Magistrate of Aravakurchy to Kongayam.—

5— The District Magistrate is wholly at a loss to understand how the Joint-Magistrate could possibly accept as satisfactory the explanation, if such it can be called, of the extraordinary & undignified conduct of the Sub-Magistrate; conduct which the High Court in their Proceedings of the 13th Instant characterize as "most irregular".—

6— With reference to the action taken by the Police in this case the District Magistrate feels assured that the Superintendent will deal with them in a manner to prevent the recurrence of any such irregularities and disrespect towards the Magistracy for the future. He requests to be informed what course has been adopted in regard to the Inspector Moothoosawmy who should himself ignorant of the ordinary rules of procedure in the first place by presuming to put questions to a witness in a case in which he was neither counsel for the prosecution or for the defence, and in the second by his ignoring the authority of the Magistrate when desired to be silent.—

7— The District Magistrate is pained to observe that the ignorance and insolence manifested by this Subordinate Officer of Police has met with the approbation & support of the Assistant Superintendent – The Superintendent is requested to communicate to that officer the Magistrate's opinion that as Police Officers cannot be retained as counsel for the defence it is not their duty as he states it to be in the last para of his report "to break down by cross "examination evidence (for the prosecution) "which they believe to be false." –

(Sd) H. E. Sullivan
Ag. Distt. Magistrate

In A No. 113

(True Copy)

[illegible]
Ag Collector –

C

No. 2031

Pro: of the Board of Revenue, Dated 15th May 1871 –

Read petition No. 1192 of 1871, from B. Padmanabhiah, late Dy Tahsildar & Sub Magistrate of Kongayam, Coimbatore District –

The Board are of opinion that petitioner must be restored to his post of Dy Tahsildar permanently. –

(A true Extract)

(Sd) J. B. Pennington,
Actg Sub Secretary

To,
The Collector of Coimbatore
with petition –

(True Copy)

[illegible]
Ag. Collector –

Acct. Dept. No. 556 / 13-12-79

126

25L

No. A. D. K. / 543

To be returned with reply on reverse.

Audit Department.

W. WILSON
13 DEC 79

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
10th December 1879.

From the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

To the Collector of Coimbatore

Vide ~~your~~ Application for Gratuity on behalf of B Padmanabhayya late a Dy Tahsildar, and Sub Magistrate of Kongayam & ~~your~~ his Endorsement No. 46 dated 8th Novr. last

The undersigned has the honor to request that the Collector will be good enough to return the applicant's Service Book forwarded to him with this office Memo. No. A.D.K./348 dated the 4th July last accompanied by a Certificate, if possible as to whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life. Vide Rule 5 Section 105 of the Civil Pension Code 4th Edition.

W. Chapman
Offg. Asst. to Account Genl.
for Accountant Genl.

Reply

R.E

Lu c No. 113

No 55 Reply –

A Copy of B. Padmanabiahs letter dated 15th December 1879 is herewith forwarded –

Coimbatore
15th Decr 1879

Collector –

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
17 DEC 79
MADRAS

From
The Collector
of Coimbatore
To
The Accountant Genl
Madras –
Dated 15th Decr 1879
Recd 2
No 55
Encl: one
Returns with reply
to ADK 5723/7 of 13th Decr 1879
regarding Padmanabiahs
application for Gratuity –
on A. No 118

No 1041
27

To
W. Wilson Esquire,
Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

Adverting to your official Memo No 505 dated 13th Instant I beg to state that as I do not want the benefit of the Pension Code I have no mind to appear before the Zillah surgeon for Examination as requested. –

15th December 1879.

I beg to remain, &c,
(Sd) B. Padmanabhiah
Late Deputy Tahsildar of
Kongayam

True Copy

Collector. –

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
17 DEC 79
MADRAS

No 113.

No 400

29

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION.~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Bhávani Padmanabiah.

2. Father's name. Bhávani Venkatarayar.

3. Sect or Caste. Brahmin.

4. Residence. Kongayam.

5. Present or last employment. Deputy Tahsildar & Sub Magistrate of Kongayam

6. Date of beginning service. 10th January 1865.

7. Date of end of service. 31st July 1877.

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	... ...	12	6	22
of which superior	... ...	9	2	23
inferior	... ...	"	"	"
non-qualifying and breaks	... ...	3	3	29

9. Class of {pension gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* Invalid Gratuity the applicant being unfit for further service in consequence of General Debility with Necrosis of the palate - (Vide Medical certificate attached)

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 70 - " -

11. Proposed pension. "

12. Proposed gratuity. Rupees 630 - " - "

13. Date from which to commence. 1st August 1877.

14. Place of payment. Coimbatore

15. ~~Age of Applicant on this date.~~ Date of Applicant's birth by christian Era 21st March 1842.

16. Height. 5 Feet, 3 Inches.

17. Marks. { Good deal of marks from Small-pox on the face, & a big scar on the right knee

18. Date. 5th June 1879.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
7 JUN 79
MADRAS

Ag Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE (SERVICE SHOWING BREAKS) OF Bhavani Padmanabiah late Deputy Tahsildar & Sub-Magistrate of Kongayam

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date o beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period not reckoned as Service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collectorate of Coimbatore	Ag English Clerk Sub-Collector's Office	35	„	„	„	10th January 1865	31st March 1865	„	2	22	„	„	„		Verified from the English and Vernacular Records of the Collector's Office, Coimbatore.—	Verified from the records of the office of the Collector of Coimbatore, and the verification confirmed partly by the annual returns & partly by the Audit Registers on record in this office.
	English Clerk in Do Do	35	„	„	„	1st April 1865	5th September 1866	1	5	5	„	„	„	Transferred at his own request to the post of 2nd Clerk vide En: Marked A		
	2nd Clerk in Do Do	30	„	„	„	6th Sepr 1866	23rd Novr 1866	„	2	18	„	„	„			
	Ag Sub-Magte of Aravakurichi	70	„	„	„	24th Novr 1866	31st Decr 1866	„	1	8	„	„	„			
	Dy Tahsildar & Sub-Magte of Do	70	„	„	„	1st January 1867	15th May 1868	1	4	15	„	„	„			
	Do Do of Kongayam	70	„	„	„	16th May 1868	30th Novr 1869	1	6	16	„	„	„	Reduced to Taluk Sheristadar vide Copy of the Pro: of the Dist. Magte Dt 24th Novr 1869 En: Marked B.		
	Taluk Sheristadar of Karur	60	„	„	„	1st Decr 1869	6th Decr 1869	„	„	6	„	„	„			
	Leave on private affairs	„	„	„	„	7th Decr 1869	16th May 1870	„	„	„	„	5	10			
	Taluk Sheristadar of Karur	60	„	„	„	17th May 1870	19th Octr 1870	„	5	3	„	„	-			
	Sick Leave	„	„	„	„	20th Octr 1870	9th Febry 1871	„	„	„	„	3	21			
	Taluk Sheristadar of Karur	60	„	„	—	10th Febry 1871	23rd March 1871	„	1	14	„	„	„			
	Sick leave	„	„	„	„	24th March 1871	3rd June 1871	„	„	„	„	2	10			
	Dy Tahsildar of Kongayam on sick leave	„	„	„	„	4th July 1871	30th Do	„	„	„	„	„	27	Restored to the Post of Dy Tahr vide Copy of Board's Pro: No 2031 Dt 15th May 1871 Enclosure marked C		
	Dy Tahsildar of Kongayam	70	„	„	„	1st July 1871	6th Sepr 1871	„	2	6	„	„	„			
	Sick Leave	„	„	„	„	7th Sepr 1871	15th Do	„	„	„	„	„	9			
	Private affairs leave	„	„	„	„	16th Do	15th Decr 1871	„	„	„	„	3	„			
	Sick Leave	„	„	„	„	16th Decr 1871	4th Novr 1872	„	„	„	„	10	20			
	Dy Tahr of Kongayam	70	„	„	„	5th Novr 1872	9th Febry 1873	„	3	5	„	„	„			
	Sick Leave	„	„	„	„	10th Febry 1873	21st Octr 1873	„	„	„	„	8	10			
	Dy Tahr of Kongayam	70	„	„	„	22nd Octr 1873	30th Sepr 1876	2	11	9	„	„	„			
	Ag Tahsildar of Dharapuram	200	„	„	40	1st Octor 1876	16th Febry 1877	„	4	16	„	„	„			
	Dy Tahr of Kongayam on Leave	„	„	„	„	17th Febry 1877	31st July 1877	„	„	„	„	5	12			
								9	2	23	3	3	29			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Vide Enclosures A, B & C.—

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. "

5. Any other remarks. The service book is herewith sent— The application for gratuity was received from the Sub-Collector on the 7th November 1878— whereupon the Services of the applicant have been verified both from the English & Vernacular Records of this office— owing to want of information in the above records on certain points, references had to be made to the Sub-Collector and the Civil Surgeon— Hence the delay in the Submission of the application.—

Ag Collector

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

No. ADJ/15 CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 9 years 2 months & 20 days; ~~and~~ but that ~~a~~ no retiring {~~pension~~ / ~~gratuity~~} allowance ~~not exceeding Rs.~~ would seem to be, is admissible under the provisions of Section 64 of the Civil Pension Code. (The calculations have been duly verified) as the applicant's incapacity for further service has been Certified by the Civil Surgeon, Coimbatore, to be due to "Syphilis". Vide Medical Certificate accompanying— Further the applicant himself in his letter to the Collector of Coimbatore dated the 15th Instant, Copy of which is herewith submitted, States that he does not want the benefit of the Pension Code, and that therefore he has no mind to appear before the Zillah Surgeon for physical examination.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Collector in his remarks opposite to No. 5 on the 3rd Page of the application

W. Chapman

Offg. Asst. to Accountt. General
for Accountt. General

Fort Saint George,
Accountt. Genl's. Office,
~~December 1879~~
27 January 1880

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

Pension 1880 Deptt

No. 113

Enc 9

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY—

Date of application. 27th January 1880

Name of Applicant. B. Padmanabiah

Last Appointment. Deputy Thasildar & Sub Mag of Kongayam Coimba District.

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Co No 179

126

Account of No $\frac{339}{28-7-79}$

No. $\frac{\text{A. D. K.}}{348}$

332

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
25 July 1879.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Coimbatore

VIDE *your Application for* Gratuity *on behalf of* B. Padmanabhayya late a Deputy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate of Kongayam

THE undersigned has the honor to enquire as follows.

Sub Cmr.
[illegible] 27 7 79

1. Whether the appointment of English Clerk in the Sub Collector's Office in which the applicant acted from 10th January to 31st March 1865, was permanently vacant and whether any person save the applicant counted service in it during that period.

2. Why the applicant was recommended for the grant of a retiring allowance when he was not entitled to any his incapacity for further service having been certified by the Civil Surgeon Coimbatore to be due to "Syphilitic affections" Vide Section 64 of the Civil Pension Code 4th Edition.

As the amount of Gratuity proposed will on commutation yield a pension of more than Rs 2 a month, the Collector

Collector is requested to be so good as to cause the applicant to be examined by a Medical Officer in view to its being ascertained whether he has or has not the normal prospects of life, and to forward that Certificate to this office at his early convenience - Vide rule 5 Section 105 of the Civil Pension Code -

The Service Book is herewith returned with a request that the Collector will cause a duly attested copy to be made, as most of the entries therein made are not legible, and to retransmit it to this office at an early date. The closing entry of the Document should be attested by the immediate head of the Office -

Chapman
Offg. Asst. to Acct. General
for Accountant General,

√ C.C.E.

Reply attached —

by No. 113.

Hon
A. G. No 2344
d 31 F July [illegible]
When was [illegible] 352
[illegible]
the date of the
medical certificate
[illegible]

Ins
Service book

372

Sir,

G. O. No. 2399 dated 31 July 1877 put up —

No reason is given for the man's employment after the date of the Medical Certificate.

No Service book was received with the application. It will be seen from para 4 of Mr. Arundel's letter to the Collector of Coimbatore dated 1st Novr. 1879 No. 259 that the service book was returned to the applicant for some information, and was not resubmitted.

J.M.G.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~ papers

From the Collector of Coimbatore, dated 5th June 1879.

" " Offg Asstt Accountt Genl, dated 27th January 1880. No. AdG/15.

Order thereon 13th March 1880 No. 179.

Recorded.

2/3/80

Note

This man's case has already been before Govt. Vide G.O. 30th July 1877 No. 2399 (Revenue Departt) and G.O. 16th November 1877 and 6th February 1878 Petition Department.

In 1877 Mr. Ogg considered the applicants incapacity to be due to Syphilis "which however he denies having had" though he admitted having had "two courses of mercuries" He declines to appear again before the Civil Surgeon and states he does "not want the benefit of the Pension Code".

To The Collector of Coimbatore
" Accountant Genl

Despd 13-3-80

Gratuity refused to B. Padmanabiah late Dy Tahsildar & Sub Magistrate Coimbatore Dist.

Govt. of {1880} Madras.

Pension

To

Dated 13 } March 1880, No. 179
Received " }

Nos.

Recorded Papers

Connected to the gratuity of B. Padmanabiah late Dy Tahsildar & Sub Magte of Kangayam Coimbatore District.

Cis: 2-3-80
Rec 4-3-80