

(1) Number of pages 1816

(2) Date of repair 11-11-37

(3) Initials of the Referencer Q

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	817	Press Mis.
Dated	9-8	1880.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 473

North Arcot Collectors Office
Chittur 6th October 1879.

From The Deputy Collector
In Charge of Treasury
North Arcot.

To The Collector of
North Arcot

Sir, With reference to your Endorse-

ments N^o 1470 dated 8th August & 1536
dated 22nd Idem on petitions presented
by Arumagiri Kaikken, late peon of
Polur Taluk, I have the honour to submit
the following report.

2. Petitioner states that he was em-
ployed first as a peon in the Arumagiri
in the year 1835 and was transferred 5 years
afterwards to the Polur Taluk, where he
served until 1868 when he gave up his
appointment owing to old age, that his
services under Government extend
over a period of 33 years, that he presented
petitions on several occasions to yourself
and to me applying for pension but that
no orders had been passed thereon, and
requests that such pension may be granted
to him as he is entitled to under the Rules.

herewith
returned

3. On receipt of the above petitions bearing your endorsements, I sent for the petitioner, Annaji Kankhen and examined him personally, and I enclose for your perusal a statement taken by me from him in which he states that he continued to serve in the Police Taluk for 7 or 8 years after the introduction of the new Police (i.e.) until about the year 1868, that as he then began to suffer from a pain in his chest, he gave up his post in favor of his son, Vyapoory Kankhen, who is still employed as a peon in the Police Taluk, that some 3 or 4 years after his retirement, he wrote a petition to me about his pension, that this petition was returned to him but that he does not remember whether it bore any endorsement or not, that he has not got the petition with him at present and that he did not petition any one else about his pension until he wrote to you the two petitions now under report.

4. From the above it will be observed that in these two petitions by Annaji Kankhen alleges that he presented petitions both to you & to myself formerly, & that no orders were passed thereon but in the statement given before me he contradicts these allegations by stating that he remembers

having written to me only on the subject about 3 or 4 years after his retirement and that the petition was returned to him, whether with or without endorsement he cannot remember.

5. In my humble opinion the truth of the matter is this. The petitioner, becoming ill & aged voluntarily resigned his appointment in favor of his son & that he was quite satisfied with the arrangement & made no attempt at the time to claim pension. His present claim is clearly an afterthought & his allegations regarding the petitions said to have been presented on former occasions appear to be a series of falsehoods now put forward to cover his past silence.

6. Granting that the petitioner has 33 years of qualifying service & that he claimed his pension in time, I am of opinion that his petition should be rejected on the following grounds:-

(a) The petitioner's age at the time of his resignation having been about 51 or 52 years (his present age according to his own statement is 62 or 63 years) he should have retired from the Service on a Medical Certificate in order to get an invalid pension. Vide Secs 61 & 62 of the Civil Pension Code.

(b) The petitioner's post having been conferred on his son at his own request, his petition is not entitled to any special consideration, besides there is nothing peculiar in his circumstances to necessitate any recommendation being made on his behalf to Government.

to accept a Medical Certificate bearing a later date than that of his resignation vide Clause 2, Sec 85 Civil Pension Code.

(C) The petitioner has voluntarily resigned his appointment thereby entailing forfeiture of his past services vide Sec 56 of the Civil Pension Code.

7. As under Government Order Pension Department, No 479 dated 3 September 1877, Heads of Departments are, however, not at liberty to refuse to submit applications by their subordinates for pension or gratuity, to Government even through prima facie, no pension or gratuity is admissible under the rules, and as Government have reserved to themselves wholly to reject such applications, I would suggest your submitting the petitioner's claims for the orders of Government. If the Government are pleased to consider favorably the petitioner's claim, which is unlikely, the necessary application can then be submitted to them through the responsible General in the prescribed form.

I have &c
J. A. Marjoribanks
Deputy Collector

(True Copy)

H. S. Whitfield
Collector

W. A. P. 1169

AM

N 258


DD

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION, GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Polur Annagharay Naik*
2. Father's name. *Polur Goppu Naik*
3. Sect or Caste. *Swa Sect*
4. Residence. *Polur*
5. Present or last employment. *Peon of Polur Taluq*
6. Date of beginning service. *11 June 1838*
7. Date of end of service. *22 June 1868*
8. Length of service including breaks

of which superior	...	...	...	...	Y. M. D.
					30 . . . 12
inferior	...	...	...	...	30 . . . 12
non-qualifying and breaks	...	...	...	...	...
9. Class of {pension } applied for, and cause of application* ... *Section 76(B)*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *6* — — —
11. Proposed pension. *Invalid 3* — — —
12. Proposed gratuity. *a* — — —
13. Date from which to commence. *23 June 1868*
14. Place of payment. *Polur Taluq*
15. Age of Applicant on this date. *1816*
16. Height. *5ft. 5 inches*
17. Marks. *Two Pockmarks above left elbow, a mole on the left cheek*
18. Date. *20 February 1880*

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.



H. S. Whitfield
Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

The Service Book is kept by the applicant as he resigns his post on the 22nd January 1868.

HISTORY OF THE (SERVICE SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Blair Cunningham Kirk*

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date o beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service.	Period not reckoned as Service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A. P.	RS.		Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.			
	<i>Range Creek</i>									<i>Verified from the records of the Collector's Office & the annual statement of Service subsequent to 30 April 1866</i>
	<i>Calcutta</i>	38.		<i>11 June 1838</i>	<i>12 February 1844</i>	<i>5 8 2</i>	<i>✓</i>		<i>Include from records of Major & Major's</i>	<i>Verified from the records of the Collector's Office & the annual statement of Service subsequent to 30 April 1866</i>
	<i>Head of Police</i>									<i>Confirmed by the annual statement of Service subsequent to 30 April 1866</i>
	<i>Calcutta</i>	38.		<i>13 July 1844</i>	<i>5 July 1845</i>	<i>16 4 23</i>	<i>✓</i>			<i>Confirmed by the annual statement of Service subsequent to 30 April 1866</i>
	<i>do</i>	5.		<i>6 July 1845</i>	<i>31 Dec 1845</i>	<i>2 5 25</i>	<i>✓</i>			<i>Confirmed by the annual statement of Service subsequent to 30 April 1866</i>
	<i>do</i>	6.		<i>1 January 1846</i>	<i>22 Jan 1846</i>	<i>5 5 22</i>	<i>✓</i>			<i>Confirmed by the annual statement of Service subsequent to 30 April 1866</i>
		Total period of { superior } { inferior } service ...				<i>30</i>	<i>12</i>			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. *Good*

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. *Nil*

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. *Nil*

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. *Nil*

5. Any other remarks. *The applicant is to be commended for his services and for his active service in the army to infirmity from age.*

6. Special opinion of Head of Office whether the applicant is established and that he is admitted or not. *Admitted*

W. Maynards
Deputy Collector

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

No. 1047. 628 *Certified that qualifying service in Superior Grade has been duly provided for 30 years and 12 days but that no retiring allowance is admissible under the provisions of the G. P. C. for the reasons stated by the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury & North India in paras 5 & 6 of his letter No. 773 dated 14 October 1899 herewith transmitted.*

For Liquidation of the Under Secretary of Government. *W. P. White*

7 Dec 1899 *Office of the Accountant General* *10 Dec 1899*

Plum 1880 Sept 11

W. H. G.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY

Date of application.

June 1880

Name of Applicant.

J. P. M. Agberry & Co.

Last Appointment.

Secy. of the Treasury & Co.

Class of pension or gratuity

Retiree

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

C. H. G.

N 772

North West Collector's Office
Chittur, 6th October 1879.

From,

The Deputy Collector
In charge of the Treasury, Chittur.

To, The Collector of the North West.

Sir, In returning herewith the petition to your address, Register No 1455 dated 6th August 1879, from Choodoo Boy, late Tanna Peon of Police Station, forwarded to me under cover, I have the honour to submit the following report:—

2. Petitioner states that he was employed as a Tanna Peon in the Police Station from the year 1844 to 1869 & that he gave up his appointment in the latter year owing to old age & feebleness, that his services extend over a period of 25 years and that soon after his resignation he applied for pension of the Treasury Deputy Collector but received no reply and requests that such pension or gratuity may be granted to him as he is entitled to under the rules.

3. On receipt of the above petition I sent for the petitioner, Choodoo Boy, and examined him personally, and I enclose for your perusal a statement taken by me from him, in which he contradicts his allegations in the above petition by stating that he presented to the Collector two petitions, one about 8 months after his retirement & the other about

a year after the first, that before writing these petitions he addressed one to the late Dy Collector, Syalu Raisu who he states was then in charge of the Palis Taluk and that he also addressed a fourth petition but to whom he cannot recollect. The statement in question it will be observed further discloses that the petitioner reciprocated his appointment in favor of his son named, Mahomed Hussein, who is still employed as a peon, a fact which is confirmed by the Dy Sub Collector Mr. Connors' Laid No 220 dated 19th September 1868, a copy of which has been furnished to me by the Tahildar of Palis Taluk.

4. According to the petitioner's own showing the petition to P. Syalu Raisu must have been addressed within 8 months after the date of his retirement (1869) but I find from that officer's communications now on record that P. Syalu Raisu was in charge of the Gudiatam Taluk until 1872.

5. So far as I can gather from the Petitioner's statement & from other records the truth of the matter appears in my humble opinion, to be this:— The petitioner, Choodoo Beg, becoming old and feeble voluntarily resigned his appointment in favour of his son in September 1868 & not in the year 1869, that he was quite satisfied with the arrangement and made no attempt at the time to claim gratuity or pension. His present claim is

clearly an afterthought this allegations regarding the petitions said to have been presented on former occasions appear to be a series of falsehoods now put forward to cover his past silence. Granting that the petitioner has 25 yrs of qualifying service & that he claimed his pension or gratuity in time, I am of opinion that his petition should be rejected on the following grounds.

(a) The petitioner's age at the time of his resignation having been less than 60 yrs, (his present age from my personal observation being about 60 yrs) he should have retired from the service on a Medical Certificate in order to get an invalid gratuity. Vide Sec 61 & 62 of the Civil Pension Code.

(b) The petitioner's post having been confirmed on his son at his own request petitioner is not entitled to any special consideration and there is further nothing peculiar in his circumstances to necessitate any recommendation being made on his behalf to accept a Medical Certificate bearing a later date than that of his resignation. Vide clause 2 Sec 85 Civil Pension Code.

(c) The petitioner has voluntarily resigned his appointment thereby entailing forfeiture of his past services. Vide Sec 56 of the Civil Pension Code.

6. As, however, in their order No 479 dated 3rd September 1897 Government reserves to themselves wholly to reject all applications for pension or gratuity even though prima facie no pension or gratuity is admissible under the rules, I would suggest your submitting petitioner's claims for the orders of Government.

I have the
100 of a Macfarlane
dy collector
(True copy)

W. L. Whitcomb

Collector

All

Ms. A. 10.31

1. Name of Applicant. *Solour Ghodon Bhay*

2. Father's name. *Pobor Kunna Bhoy.*

3. Sect or Caste. *Mahomedan*

4. Residence. *Paloo*

5. Present or last employment. *Rev of Polar Ldg*

6. Date of beginning service. *15th December 1845*

7. Date of end of service. *18th September 1868.*

8. Length of service including breaks	...	Y.	M.	D.
---------------------------------------	-----	----	----	----

9. Class of {pension }
{gratuity} applied for, and cause of application * Section 76(a)

10. [Average] emoluments or pay.

11. Proposed pension. u ——— u ——— u

12. Proposed gratuity. 36 — a — u

13. Date from which to commence. *a — a — a*

14. Place of payment. *Poland*

15. Age of Applicant on this date. *Written by Christiana Texas - 1844*

16. Height. 5 Feet 3 Inches

17. Marks. One scar on the back, and three on the right
knee, colored red.

18. Date. 15th March 1880

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

H. P. Whitford

Callahan

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE

HISTORY OF THE (SERVICE SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Valan Ghoda Bhai*

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date o beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service.				Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A. P.	RS.		Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.	
<i>North West Frontier Province</i>	<i>Chief Clerk</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>16 Dec 1865</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>Verified from the records of the Collector of the North West Frontier and the verification of the service subsequent to 30 April 1865 confirmed by the Annual Returns on record in this office.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>19 July 1863</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	
	<i>Do</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>1st March 1862</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	
						<i>22</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>"</i>	
Total period of { superior } service ...												
{ inferior }												

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. *Good*
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. *Nil*
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. *Nil*
4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. *Nil*

5. Any other remarks. *The applicant is at present inefficient and unfit for further service owing to infirmity from age. He last pay certificate of the applicant is for month of 1st April 1864. He left by the applicant as resigned and put on the 19 Sept 1864.*

6. Specific opinion of the Head of Office. *As the service of the applicant is not satisfactory and it is found that he is not fit for service.*

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

Certified that service in superior grades has been duly proved for 25 years and 3 days: but that no retiring allowance is admissible under the circumstances mentioned in the Deputy Collector's letter No 772 of the 6 October 1879 Copy of which is herewith submitted and the Collector's opinion given opposite to No 6 in the 3rd page of the application.

Test Saint George
Deputy Secy's Office
28 May 1880

So The Under Secretary to Government Pension Department.

31 5 80

W. J. Whitely
Collector

SIGNATURE.

15

days; and that a	{pension } not exceeding Rs. {gratuity }	, is admissible under Section	of the Civil Pension Code. The Accountant General.
------------------	---	-------------------------------	---

calculations have been duly verified
x The services of the applicant have been recognized
from the records of the Polor Saluk; but under
the circumstance explained in para 5 of the
accompanying copy of letter from Secretary
Collector dated 6th October 1879 no 772 the
Collector is of opinion that the applicant is not
entitled to any pension or gratuity.

Pension 1880 kept
N^o 1031

Order hereon 9th August 1880 c N^o 817

Read also No 1169 D

APPLICATION FOR {Pension or
GRATUITY —

Date of application. 28th May 1880

Name of Applicant. J. Gooden Boy

Last Appointment. Gen of Polon Sahy.

Class of {pension or
gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned

Amount of gratuity sanctioned

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

No 1031. Admin to entertain
three applications submitted
more than eleven years
after the appl^{ts} could have
as there is nothing to
show that they were al-
most time spent for
further service of
the

W. H. H. H.

Col. Secy-tant L. General
Collector of North Proch Bays 9-8-80