

(1) Number of pages 26.(2) Date of repair 30-8-41.(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	583	<u>Press</u> <u>Mis.</u>
Dated	15-9-	1879.

Not to be folded or roughly handled*30-8-41*

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
21st February 1879.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
To The Collector of Cuddalore

VIDE your application for *fratuity* on behalf of *S. Rangappaiah* late
Shroff of the Cuddalore Taluk

The undersigned has the honor to enquire

- 1 Why the Claim for fratuity on behalf of the applicant has been preferred when he appears to have lost his appointment on the 7th June 1878 by resignation
- 2 In the Register of applications the applicant's service is shown to have terminated on the 28th May 1878 instead of 7th June 1878 as stated in the Service Book. Please therefore state which of the two dates is correct
- 3 Notes The applicant's service from 11 Oct 1855 to 28 May 1878 after deducting the period spent under suspension amounts to 25 years 7 months and 18 days and not 25 years 9 months and 4 days as pointed out in the Collector's reply Memo of the 2nd instant. It is requested therefore that the necessary alteration may be made in the office Copy of the application
- 4 The Service Book of the applicant is returned herewith in order that the closing entry therein may be duly attested by the Collector and the Book so transmitted to his Office at an early date

On 11th June 1878 the applicant was appointed to the post of Shroff of the Cuddalore Taluk. The applicant's service from 11 Oct 1855 to 28 May 1878 after deducting the period spent under suspension amounts to 25 years 7 months and 18 days and not 25 years 9 months and 4 days as pointed out in the Collector's reply Memo of the 2nd instant. It is requested therefore that the necessary alteration may be made in the office Copy of the application

No 467

279

280
20-6-79

Justy
Nest to be sent

No 1729

Reply-

N 527

D
D

1. The applicant did not tender his resignation, but he only applied to retire from service on pension or gratuity, to which he may be entitled by his service. The entry in his service register that he tendered his resignation is the result of a clerical error.

2. The applicant actually retired from service on the 8th June 1878 and his service terminated from the 7th June, but he was certified by the District Surgeon as unfit for further service on the 28th May 1878 which was entered as the date of termination of his service. The total period of applicant's service thus amounts to 25 years 7 months and 14 days which it is requested to be substituted for the figure already given. The applicant drew salary up to 7th June 1878 inclusive.

3. The Service Register of the applicant is herewith returned, attested by the undersigned at the closing entry in the same.



S. B. Subbiah
Acting Collector

Cuddalore
Collector's Office

7th July 1879.

Enclosed


2/10

No: 2548

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

- Name of Applicant. *Saheba G. Pungappa*
- Father's name. *Saheba Vyasaappa*
- Sect or Caste. *Veishya*
- Residence. *Poddatur*
- Present or last employment. *Cuddalore Taluk Shroff*
- Date of beginning service. *11th October 1851*
- Date of end of service. *28th May 1878*
- Length of service including breaks Y. M. D.
 of which superior
 inferior
 non-qualifying and breaks... ..
- Class of ~~pension~~ {gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ... *Unfit for further service, vide the accompanying District Surgeon's certificate.*
- [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 900 for the last five years or Rs 15 per mensem.*
- Proposed pension. *"*
- Proposed gratuity. *Rs (90) Ninety only.*
- Date from which to commence. *"*
- Place of payment. *Cuddalore*
- Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. *19th June 1827*
- Height. *5 feet, 2 inches.*
- Marks. *A mark of small pox on the left breast and 2 marks on the right hand. A scar on the right neck. A horse bite on the right side of the left elbow.*
- Date. *9th August 1878.*

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
 § (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)



S. B. Subbiah
Collector
THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Takala Pungappa*

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.		Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.		Period reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A. P.			Y.	M.	Y.	M.	D.			
<i>Collector's Office</i>	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	11 th October 51	2	-	-	-	20	-	-	Service from 11 th October 51 to 25 th May 58 has been verified from the records of the Collector's Office and paid, and the verification confirmed by the
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	12 th December 52	1	9	-	-	24	-	-	
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	25 th August 53	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	12 th September 53	-	5	-	-	19	-	-	
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	12 th December 53	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	12 th April 54	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	22 nd May 54	2	6	-	-	24	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	26 th January 58	1	8	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	4 th January 59	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	12 th February 59	2	6	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Collector's Office</i>	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	7 th April 59	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	7 th May 59	1	10	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	17 th June 59	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	17 th August 59	-	11	-	-	26	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	13 th August 59	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th October 59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	24 th November 59	10	1	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	25 th September 60	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	28 th October 60	-	9	-	-	18	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th August 60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
<i>Collector's Office</i>	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	7 th November 60	7	9	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th June 61	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th September 61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th October 61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th November 61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th December 61	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th January 62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th February 62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th March 62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page
	<i>Shroff of 20</i>	10	-	-	16 th April 62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	See 1/3 page

From office records.

11 5 8 8 26

Pension 1899 kept
C 10686

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION-OR
GRATUITY-

Date of application. 2nd August 1899

Name of Applicant. S. Rungappa

Last Appointment. Shroff Buddappa Talag

Class of {pension or
gratuity



Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With order 583

Name of Officer.		Caste or Rank.	Residence.	Name.	Residence.	Date of birth.	Age.		Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.	
							Years.	Ms.	Days.	Feet.					In.
Sakala Rungappa.		Vishaya	Cualah & Annamalaiwadi	Sakala Rungappa	Cualah & Annamalaiwadi	19 th June 1829	48	1	12	5	2	A mark of small pox on the left breast and 2 marks on the right hand. A scar on the right neck. A horse bite on the right side of the left elbow.	31 st July 1895		

86-3-35
M

Certified that I have carefully examined Sakala Rungappa son of Sakala Ayavareppa Head Khroff of Talug Katcherry Cuddapah. His age is, by his own statement 58 years and by appearance about 60 years. I consider Sakala Rungappa to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs, in consequence of old age and defective vision.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Cuddapah }
28th May 1878 }

Capt. L. J. J. J.
Ag. Zillah Surgeon

SERVICE BOOK

Name.	Sakala Rungappa
Caste or Race.	Dravidian
Residence.	Cuddah Mammalundura
FATHER'S Name.	Sakala Ayavareppa.
FATHER'S Residence.	Cuddah Mammalundura
Date of birth.	19 th June 1823

inc 1-6-76
C. H. 1000

Revenue Department

Miscellaneous No 3763

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
dated 25th October 1871 —

Read letter from the Collector
of Ludhiana, dated 26th September 1871
No 195. —

The Board do not consider the
Collector's decision amount to be
an honourable acquittal; and think
that it will suffice if Pungappa is
allowed the actual savings that
have accrued (Rs 35-14-5). —

(True Extract)

Lieut J.B. Pennington

Secretary
(True copy)

W. Morley
Collector

Cond
No 2

82/95

Ludhiana Forest Office
28th September 1871

From Mr D. Harsley Esquire Off Collector of Ludhiana
To the Secy Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Ludhiana.

Sir Rangappa Head Shroff of Jammalunga
was dismissed from the service by the
Deputy Collector on general duty and fined Rs 250 on
26th March 1870. Rangappa appealed to the
Deputy Collector who ordered that the fine should be
remitted and allowed the appellant to return
to his duty. This order in favor of Rangappa
is dated May 31/71.

— Rangappa has now applied to me
for back pay while he was out of office and
also I think he is entitled to. His post
was filled up during his absence except
for a short time and thus only Rs 35-14-5 have
been credited to savings. The rest has been
drawn as salary. I therefore require the
sanction of the Board for the payment to
Rangappa of Rs 223-6-5 being back pay
at the rate of Rs 15 a month from 26th March
to 21st June 1871 the petitioner having returned
to duty on June 22nd.

Enclosed

3. — Enclosed are the petitions presented
by Rangappa & copy of clerical
proceedings on the sentence passed by
the Deputy Collector. The amount claimed
by petitioner has not been correctly
calculated by him.

Shree
(Sg^d) J. D. Horsley
Dy Collector

(True Copy)

W. M. M. M.
Collector

Comd
H. R.

82 379
Proceedings of the Collector of Coimbatore
dated 31st May 1871.

Read petition 3059 of 1870 presented
to the Board of Revenue by Lakala Ram
Rangappa late Head Shroff of Jammaleenagar
Taluk praying that the fine imposed on
him may be refunded and that he may
be restored to his office.

Read records in the case received
from the Dy Collector General Duty.

The Board have permitted this
appeal to be received tho' the regular period
of appeal time has been exceeded.

2. The Dy Collector in this case convicted
the appellant under Regulation IX of 1822
of the offence of levying extra collections
punishable under section 5 clause 4 of
that Regulation - and sentenced him to
pay a fine of Rupees 250. He appears to
have been subsequently dismissed from

3. — The only evidence against the app^t consisted of certain lists
which the clerks of the taluk cutcherry alleged were in handwriting of
the app^t, containing the names of the villages in the taluk with
certain sum entered opposite each. These were sent to the Dy Collector with two

anonymous petitions in which it was stated that the afflt had been in the habit of making
4. - The appellant simply denied that collections from village
the lists were in his hand writing and who brought lists to the
said that they must have been forged - Taluk Treasury & that
these lists showed the
sums collected in each
case. —

5. - The Dy Collector considered that there
was no doubt of the genuineness of the
lists. He found on them certain remarks
which induced him to believe that they
related to collections made where the 1st,
2^d 3^d & 5th lists were brought in. The total
amounts thus supposed to have been
collected amounted to Rs 182-8-6. He had
observed that the village officials were
commonly detained many days before the
remittances brought by them were counted
and brought to credit and he had no doubt
that this was part of a system for making
them pay something to be enabled to
get away.

6. - The Collector has come to the conclusion
that the conviction cannot stand

7. - Though there may be no moral
doubt that the lists show that such
collections were made there has been no
evidence adduced to show that the accused

did actually make the collections, or that
he appropriated the proceeds to his own
use. The lists were not produced by any
complainant nor were they found with the
accused and the only connection between
him & them is their identification as being
in his hand writing. This evidence is by
itself insufficient in the opinion of the
Collector to prove the accused guilty of the
offence imputed to him however strong
the suspicion may be against him.
8. - That the Dy Collector passed his
decision with the best intentions and with a
full persuasion of the guilt of the accused
the collector entertains no doubt, but
the interests of justice demand that more
satisfactory evidence should be furnished
in judicial enquiries than that produced
in the present case.

9. - The Collector therefore directs
that the finding in Revenue Case No 2
of 1870 be reversed and that the fine of Rs 250
inflicted

inflicted on appellant Lakshmi Rungappa
be refunded to him.

(Lg^d) C. G. Cheaster
Collector

(True copy)

W. M. M. M. M.
Collector

Copy

Dec 1886

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
91. 8th October 1878.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,
To THE Collector of Cuddalore

VIDE your Application for Gratuity on behalf of D. Rungappa
late Officer of the Cuddalore Taluk

THE undersigned has the honor to request that the Collector of
Cuddalore will be good enough to furnish
this office with copies of Proceedings on
~~that subject~~ in connection with
the applicant's dismissal from ^{service} and
re-instatement in his appointment
as
Officer in 1870-71.

Enquires why during the period from
11th Oct 51 to 31 Oct 53, the applicant is
absent in the Register of Applications as
being

having been employed as *Ag Shroff*, while the entries in the Service Book show that he held the appointments permanently. It is stated in the Application the appointment was simply an acting one, please state why the period of such employment was not deducted from the total period of the applicant's service.

3. Please explain the circumstances under which the applicant's name is shown in the annual return submitted to this Office for the year 1852 as *Ramanna* in place of *Rungappa*.

4. Enquire why the several periods of applicant's absence on loss of full pay have been rejected from his total period of service contrary to the Provision cont. in Rule 45 of the C. P. Code.

R. Donald
Offy Secy to Govt

Reply

1. Copies of orders dismissing and re-instating *Rungappa* in 1870-71 are herewith sent.
2. The applicant was appointed on the 11th October 1851 in the room of the former incumbent who was dismissed from office - hence he was termed acting in the appointment till date of

of his confirmation on 1st November 1853, and his service during that period was not excluded from the total period of his service - on the above ground.

3. The name of the applicant is entered in the Pay abstracts of both the previous and succeeding months to the date of the compilation of the annual return for the year 1852, as *S. Rungappa* - and the entry of his name as *Ramanna* in the above annual return must have been a clerical error.

4. The several periods of the applicant's absence on loss of full pay have been now included in the total period of service - and it is requested that similar alterations may be caused to be made in the application sent to your office.

* Period reckoned as service 23-9-54
4 m. d.
26-9-18
20 m. d. 20. 1-11-18

Cuddapah Collrs Office

2nd February 1879

R. S. Subbarao
Ag Collector

1 Translation of taken N^o 171 from the Deputy
Collector. Cuddapah. to the Tahsildar in
charge of the Sannalamadugu Taluk dated
26th March 1870. Camp Sannalamadugu.

There was received an anonymous petition
intimating the receipt, by the Taluk Head shroff
Sakala Rungappa, of a list from the village
authorities when remittances arrived from
villages at every kist - The letter gave enclosure
to some lists which contained particulars as to the
amounts collected from different villages during
the 1st and 2^d kists and I held an enquiry into the
matter -

The depositions given by the Taluk Jumas.
state prove that the lists in question are in the
writing of the Taluk Head shroff Sakala Rungappa.
He is therefore suspended from this date -
Final orders will be passed on perusal of the
records -

/Signed/ A. Sudarsana Rao.

Dy Collector

2. Translation of taken N^o 210 from the
Dy Collector. Cuddapah. to the Tahsildar in
charge of the Sannalamadugu Taluk, dated
Ramaniswaram 25th April 1870 -

Done

There were received some lists which showed that Sakala Pungappa, the Taluk Head-shroff, had received a bribe of Rs 182-8-6 from the village authorities when the Khat Money for Jueli 1278 was being shroffed. I held an enquiry into the matter and found Pungappa guilty of the offence with which he was charged. On the 22nd April he was fined Rs 250 under Regulation 9 of 1822. He is suspended pending the disposal of the appeal and the order should be duly carried out.

Signed/ A. Sudarmana Row.
Deputy Collector

3. Translation of Dated A. 326 from the Dy Collector. Cuddapah. to the Tahsildar of the Sammalamadyuctaluk, dated Sammalamadyuct 10 June 1871.

The Collector having on an appeal, reversed my order passed on Sakala Pungappa, the late Head shroff of the Taluk, it would appear reasonable to restore him to his post. He is therefore reinstated on this date, pending the Collector's orders. His name should be included

in the barwan and work taken from him.

Signed/ A. Sudarmana Row
Deputy Collector

True Translations/

Supple
As Collector

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

List

READ the following papers :—

From the *Collector of Cuddalore* dated 9th Aug^r 1878
 „ *Asst. Accountant-General*, dated 2nd Aug^r 1879 N^o 258

ORDER THEREON, 15th Aug^r 1879 N^o 583

Under Sections 61 and 91 (a) of the
 Civil Pension Code (~~4th~~ Edition), His Grace
 the Governor in Council is pleased to grant
 to *Sakala Rungappa Iate*
Shroff on the Establishment
of the Collector of Cuddalore
an invalid gratuity
 — of Rupees (90 — —)

Unity or Six months in
 consideration of his qualifying *inferior*
 Service of *Twenty five* years, *seven*
 months and *four* — days.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

„ *Collector of Cuddalore* } *Recd 15-9-79*
 „ *Asst.*
 „

Obt. of 1874 **STANBURY.**
PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,
 &c., &c., &c.

Dated

15 September 1879

Despd. " _____

G. O., 15 September 1879

Granting an indebtedness
of £290 to J. Stanbury
late Sheriff on the debt
of the Collector of
Dundee.