M.R.O. 1—77,000—3-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 136

(2) Date of repair 6 8 41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1

Press

[✓ Mis.]

Dated 7—1 1879.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

7th January 1879, No. 1.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Coimbatore, dated 13th April 1878, *No.* 109.
,, *Officiating Deputy Accountant-General, dated* 31*st October* 1878, *No.* 113-*A.D.J.*

Order thereon, 7th January 1879, No. 1.

Under Sections 56 and 59 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code (third edition), His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Coimbatore Zohardeen Saib, late Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashi, Coimbatore District, a superannuation gratuity of Rupees (630) six hundred and thirty, or nine months' pay, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of nine years three months and twelve days.

2. The Collector's recommendation that the applicant's case should be specially treated cannot be entertained.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
,, Collector of Coimbatore.

Exd. A. Grubert.

Govt. of 1879: Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 7th.
Issued
Recd. Encl.

G.O., 7th January 1879, No. 1.

JANUARY.

Gratuity.—Granting a superannuation gratuity of Rupees 630 to Zohardeen Saib, late Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashi, Coimbatore District.

No. 2

Translation of a Takeed from the Collector of Coimbatore to the Aval Daroga of Shikarri Katcherry Dd 4th August 1834 No. 5-

While at Chinnienpolliem on the 30th July 1834, I asked Zohardeen, the Jamadar of Shikarri Katcherry, who was placed in charge of prisoners, & who was near the Choultry there, as to where were the peons. To which he replied that they had been sent in charge of prisoners, & in order to make this statement appear true, he referred to a book and asserted the same. On seeing the prisoners on work, they were in gangs; 9 Convicts being guarded by 1 peon, 16 by 2, 20 by 2, 20 by 4 & so on, thus showing a decrease in the proper number of peons & affording to the Convicts a fair chance of escape. The Order given lays down that for every 4 Convicts, there should be one peon & that they should be sent to work in charge of Duffadars- but Zohardeen acted contrary to this Order & even when questioned in person misrepresented the matter- As he was negligent in his duty & misrepresented the matter, he is dismissed from this date- His father Abdul Kader has been appointed in his room from this date- His

(Abdul Kader's) name should be included in the Pay abstract.

(True Translation)

J Munell
For Collector

[illegible] 7/35

No. 3 Translation of the Remark in the Pay abstract of the Cheyoor Talug Establishment for January 1835

31

Zohardien has been appointed Assistant Gumastah from 29th January 1835, according to the Takeed No. 317 of that date, vice Seetharamiah dismissed on the 5th Idem as per Takeed No. 304 of the 17th.

(True Translation)

J Munell
For Collector

Enc 4

No 4

Translation of Takeed to the Tahsildar of Cheyoor Taluq from the Collector of Coimbatore Dd 7th April 1836 No 379

4

In your Urzee No 475 of 29th March you have stated that you sent to the Huzoor, for enquiry, Zohardeen, the Attasai of the above Taluq & in charge of Dawk Dept at Avenashey & the bill drawn up by him for hire due to the bearers by Major Castor.

Although in the rule relating to the bearers, a set of 14 including a Cavadyman is allowed hire at the rate of 5 Annas per mile, it appears from the enquiry held by Mr Woodget, Assistant Collector, that Zohardeen obtained payments for two sets of 13 bearers each at 5 Annas per set per mile, exclusive of the Cavadyman, & for 4 Coolies in excess; thus misappropriated a sum of Rs 1-12-" to himself. The amount thus misappropriated, i.e Rs 1-12-" should be deducted from his pay & remitted to the Huzoor. He is removed from

Incl No 35

Office as ineligible for Govt. employ from 24th March, the date on which he was sent to the Huzoor, & his name should be struck off the pay abstract from the same date.

(True Translation)

J Murrell

For Collector

Sec No 735

5

No 5 Translation of the remarks in the Pay abstract of Shikarry Katcherry for December 1836 — 51

Zohardeen the Volunteer, has been appointed to the post of Lance Naique on 4 Rs., now vacant, Vide Farkeed No. 63 of 2nd December 1836 —

(True Translation)

J Murrell

For Collector

Ind No 735.

No. 6 Translation of Takeed issued by the Collector of Coimbatore to the Coimbatore Tahsildar Dd 2nd February 1847, No. 634.

With reference to the order of the 21st Instant, you have submitted accounts of waste, Shavi, &ca caused for want of rain in Fasly 1256 after having the lands examined, but a petition having been received from one Chicka Gounden stating that there is a difference in the accounts of Koundampollium and Sanganoor Villages, Perinduray Kishna Iyen, Huzur Hungamy Gumastah & others have examined the fields in the above Villages & submitted accounts for them. On examining these accounts & also the papers connected with the enquiries made in the Huzur on the subject and on seeing the sample plants of Castor oil seed, Bengal grain, &ca produced in proof of their having been cultivated in the several fields, it appears that while Rs. 16-5-6, on 4 fields in Sanganoor Village, and Rs. 57-1-5 on 5 fields in Koundampolliam Village were to be shown as assessment in the Jamabundy accounts, the Taluq Gumastah Zohardeen and the Hungami Gumastah Dharapuram Krishna Rao who had previously examined them, having yielded to the Monigar, Curnum and Ryots of the said villages, falsely entered in the accounts the above lands as Shami, waste, &ca, so as to cause loss of assessment to Govt. - The above two Gumastahs are therefore suspended from this date. As they now appear to be engaged in the examination of some villages in the hobly of Mottambutty, You should immediately on receiving this Takeed, send for them from their work & forward them to the Huzur in charge of a peon. It is necessary that some other trustworthy Officials should be sent to reexamine the villages examined by them and verify the same - Now the said Krishna Iyen, who has brought to light the irregularities caused in the above villages, is appointed to act for Zohardeen, & is sent to inspect Chinnavadampatty & other villages personally. You should therefore

send some other trustworthy Taluq Official to the villages in the Mottampatty hobly &ca, with instructions to make thorough reexamination, field by field, and get correct accounts from him for the same - You should also according to the order of the 19th Instant personally examine them, and on your finding no discrepancy therein, you should, on the completion of the examination of each village, submit a report together with the accounts in the form furnished with the order of 21st November - You should cause the said Krishna Iyen's name to be entered in the Pay abstract from this date - If you had considered the spirit of the latter part of the above order Dd 21st Novr, proceeded to the villages & examined the same in person, there would have been no room for the above Officials who were engaged in inspection duties, to venture to commit such irregularities as would cause loss to Govt. You should therefore attend to the above order, and also to that of the 19th Instant, regarding the villages examination, and examine and submit correct accounts. You should understand that you will be held chiefly responsible for the correctness of these returns. You should get security bonds from the said Krishna Iyen as usual and send the same to the Huzur.

(True Translation)

For Collector

In No 735

No 7

No 2

Coimbatore District
Court. 9th January 1878

From,
F. M. Kindersley Esqre,
District Judge of Coimbatore.

To,
A. Wedderburn Esqre,
Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 614 dated 29th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that from the records of this Court, Mahomed Zohardeen Saib, appears to have served in this Court as 5th Gumastah on Rupees 10 from the 1st January 1850 to 12th May 1854. His name does not appear on the Establishment of this Court previous to 1850.

I have &ca

(Sd) F. M. Kindersley
District Judge

(True Copy)

For Collector

See No 735

No 9 Proceedings of the Madras Government, Rev: Dept, 8th April 1868.

No 200. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Dd 21st March 1868, No 2,025.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 30th January 1868, No 33:—

* * * *

The Board resolve to submit this letter for the favorable consideration of Government.

No 201. Order thereon, 8th April 1868 No 943.

Miscellaneous

In consideration of the punishment already undergone by Zohardin, and in compliance with the special recommendation on his behalf made by the Collector and supported by the Board, the Government sanction his re-employment in the public service in such minor appointment, below the grade of Tahsildar, as the Collector may think fit to confer upon him=

(True Extract)

(Sd-) W. Hudleston
Secretary to Government=

(True Copy)

J Russell
For Collector

In No 735.

No 10.

Translation of a deposition given on Solemn Affirmation before Act. Collector & Dy Magistrate of Coimbatore by Zoharideen Saib, late Dy Tahsildar of Avanashy on 23d March 1878=

It is a fact that I did actually serve in the capacity of Jamadar at the Elephant Hunting Cutcherry at Coimbatore on a monthly salary of 10 Rs in the months of November & December 1833, & during the 6 months from January to June 1834, in the capacity of a Goomastah in the Cheyoor Taluq Katcherry on a monthly salary of 5 Rs; during the months of March & July 1835, as Lance Naique in the above Elephant Hunting Katcherry on a monthly salary of Rs 4; during the months of January and February 1837, and lastly in the capacity of Tahsildar at Andiyoor on a monthly salary of 85 Rs in the month of June 1856. But as I learn that the Pay abstracts relating to the above periods are not forthcoming in the Government Records, I am required to procure ~~[illegible]~~ depositions of officers who served contemporaneously with me when I held the above posts. As the above periods relate to remote time, I am unable to procure the evidence of individuals who served with me at that time and who could depose to my existence in Govt. Service at that particular time. Even if I procure the evidence of such individuals, I do not think they will be able to state the particular periods at & the exact appointments in which I served.

(True translation)

A Murrell
For Collector

L. No. 735

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Coimbatore Zohardeen Saib.
2. Father's name. Coimbatore Abdulkader Saib.
3. Sect or Caste. Mahomedan-Shaik.
4. Residence Bhowany Talug-Coimbatore District.
5. Present or last employment. Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy.
6. Date of beginning service. 24th October 1831.
7. Date of end of service. 30th September 1877.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	45	11	8
of which superior	28	10	2
inferior	6	8	24
non-qualifying and breaks...	10	4	12

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* Invalid pension the applicant being above 60 years old and unfit for further service.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 57-"-".
11. Proposed pension. Rupees 28-8-".
12. Proposed gratuity. "
13. Date from which to commence. 1st October 1877.
14. Place of payment. Bhowany.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. § 21st July 1809.
16. Height. 5 feet and 5 inches.
17. Marks. One scar below the right knee.
18. Date. 13th April 1878.

[illegible]
Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

§ (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Coimbatore Zohardeen Saib late Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy-

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Collectorate of Coimbatore	Overseer of Stallion ponies	7	"	"	"	24th Octr. 1831	30 April 1832	"	"	"	"	6	8		Verified from the records of the Collector's Office, District Court, Neilgherry Commissioner's Office and from the applicant's affidavit herewith sent. Enclosure 10-	Services rendered from 24 October /31 to 19th June /68 do not count for Pension partly being Services rendered in inferior grades and partly being Services vitiated by dismissals for the reasons stated in the correspondence herewith accompanying.
	Do Do	8	"	"	"	1st May 1832	31st Augt. 1833	"	"	"	1	4	"	Post abolished Enc: 1		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	1st Sept. 1833	13 Novr. 1833	"	"	"	"	2	13.			
	Jamadar of Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	10	"	"	"	14th Novr. 1833	1st Augt. 1834	"	8	19	"	"	"	Dismissed Enc 2		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	2 Augt. 1834	28 Jany. 1835	"	"	"	"	5	27	Reentertained Enc: 3-		
	Asst. Gumastah in Cheyoor Talug Cutcherry	5	"	"	"	29 Jany. 1835	23 March 1836	1	1	24	"	"	"	Dismissed- Enc 4-		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	24th March 1836	1st Decr. 1836	"	"	"	"	8	8	Reentertained Enc: 5		
	Lance Naique of Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	4	"	"	"	2nd Decr. 1836	31st May 1837	"	5	29	"	"	"			
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	1st June 1837	2nd June 1837	"	"	"	"	"	2	Probably time occupied in joining new appointment-		
	Gumastah in Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	12	"	"	"	3rd Do	27th May 1846	8	11	25	"	"	"			
	2nd Peshcar in Cheyoor Talug	17	8	"	"	28th May 1846	2nd Septr. 1846	"	3	6	"	"	"			
	Gumastah in Coimbatore Talug	14	"	"	"	3rd Septr. 1846	22 Feby. 1847	"	5	20	"	"	"	Dismissed Enc 6		
Civil Court of Coimbatore	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	23rd Feby. 1847	31st December 1849	"	"	"	2	10	6	Reentertained Enc 7		
	5th Gumastah of Civil Court	10	"	"	"	1st January 1850	12th May 1854	4	4	12	"	"	"			
Collectorate of Coimbatore	Cutwal of Ootacamund Bazar	42	"	"	"	13 May 1854	18 March 1856	1	10	6	"	"	"			
	Tahsildar of Andiyoor Talug	85	"	"	"	19th March 1856	12th Septr. 1856	"	5	25	"	"	"			
	Private affairs leave				"	13 Septr. 1856	22 Do	"	"	"	"	"	10			
	Tahsildar of Bhowany Talug	85	"	"	"	23 Septr. 1856	17 December 1860	4	2	23	"	"	"			
	Do Do	160	"	"	"	18 Decr. 1860	20 March 1864	3	3	3	"	"	"	Dismissed Enc 8-		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	21st March 1864	19 June 1868	"	"	"	4	2	29	Reentertained Enc 9-		This period of Service has been verified from the records of the Office of Commissioner of Neilgherries and the Collector of Coimbatore and the verification being confirmed from the annual returns and Audit-registers on record in this Office-
Neilgherry Commission	Sub Magte. of Ootacamund	50	"	"	"	20 June 1868	20 Septr. 1868	"	3	1	"	"	"	*		
	Writer at the Neilgherry Commissioner's Office	50	"	"	"	21st Septr. 1868	2 Octr. 1868	"	"	12	"	"	"			
Coimbatore Collectorate	2nd Class Revenue Inspr. of Palladam Talug	25	"	"	"	3 October 1868	23 March 1869	"	5	21	"	"	"			
	Sub Magte. & Dy. Tahsildar of Avenashy	35	"	"	"	24 March 1869	31st May 1869	"	2	8	"	"	"			
	Do of Do	50	"	"	"	1st June 1869	31st Decr. 1875	6	7	"	"	"	"			
	Do of Do	70	"	"	"	1st Jany. 1876	30 Sept. 1877	1	9	"	"	"	"			
Total period of Superior / Inferior Service								35	6	26	10	4	12			

13

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Conduct as Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy has been excellent.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Vide enclosures Nos 2, 4, 6 & 8.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None.

4. Explanation under Section ~~47~~ 53, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. None.

5. Any other remarks. The delay in the submission of this application has arisen from the necessity of searching for the records regarding breaks & recontainment & of getting applicant's service verified from the records of the District Court of Coimbatore & Commissioner's Office Cotacamund. The applicant's name while employed in the District Court was erroneously recorded as Mohamed Zoharadeen Sahib, but his proper name is Zohardeen Sahib.

W. Webster
Collector
SIGNATURE.

No ADJ/113

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 9 years 3 months and 12 days; and that a ~~Superannuation~~ Superannuation {~~pension~~ / gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 630 — equal to 9 months pay, is admissible under Section 59 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. (The calculations have been duly verified.)

In submitting this application the Collector of Coimbatore in his letter No 109 dated 13th April 1878, transmitted herewith recommends the grant to the applicant of a monthly pension of Rs 28-8- being half of his average pay, overlooking the 4 breaks (detailed in the Register of application) caused by dismissal from the service and treating the case as altogether special. This recommendation cannot be complied with under the provisions of the Civil Pension Code. Should Govt however be pleased to adopt the recommendation and grant to the applicant any Pension in lieu of gratuity to which alone he is entitled under the rules it is respectfully requested that such grant may be reported to the Government of India for confirmation.

Although the Collector in his remarks set oppose to No 1 in the 3d page of the Register of application has certified that the conduct of the applicant as Dy Tahsildar of Avenashy alone has been excellent, still on perusal of the several papers connected with his several dismissals from service, it will be seen that the past conduct of the applicant has not been at all satisfactory. It rests therefore with Govt to make such reduction in the amount of gratuity that may be sanctioned as it thinks proper under Rule 1 Sec: 68 of the Code.

The cause of delay in the submission of this application has been explained by the Collector in the 3d page of the Register of application.

Agreeably to the Govt of India Circular embodied in G.O. No 1234 d/5 June 1875 Fin. D., the 3 copies of the Register of application received from the Collector are herewith forwarded.

To The Under Secretary to Govt
Pension Department.

Fort St George
Acct Genl's Office
31 October 1878

W. Donald
Offg. Dy Acct General

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR {GRATUITY—

Date of application.

Name of Applicant.

No 113

Last Appointment.

Class of {pension or {gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

L No 735

No 1 Translation of the remark in the Huzur Pay abstract for September 1833 -

15

As the Stallion ponies in have been returned to the Com=missary Deptt at Ossoor, and as the pay of the Servants who went in charge of the same & who received an advance of 32 Rs till the 15th September, will have to be adjusted on their return, the Salary of the Establishment has not been included in this Ab=stract -

(True Translation)

J Mussell
For Collector

No 2 Translation of a Takeed from the Collector of Coimbatore to the Amil Daroga of Shikarry Katcherry Dated 4th August 1834 No. 5.

While at Chinnimpolliem on the 30th July 1834, I asked Zoharudeen, the Jamadar of Shikari Katcherry, who was placed in charge of prisoners, & who was near the choultry there, as to where were the peons. To which he replied that they had been sent in charge of prisoners, & in order to make this statement appear true, he referred to a book and asserted the same. On seeing the prisoners on work they were in gangs; 9 convicts being guarded by 1 peon, 16 by 2, 20 by 2, 20 by 4 & so on, thus shewing a decrease in the proper number of peons & affording to the convicts a fair chance of escape. The order given lays down that for every 4 convicts, there should be one peon, and that they should be sent to work in charge of Duffadars. but Zoharudeen acted contrary to this order and even when questioned in person, misrepresented the matter - As he was negligent in his duty & misrepresented the matter, he is dismissed from this date. His father Abdul Khader has been appointed in his room from this date. His (Abdul Khader's) name should be included in the pay abstract.

(True Translation)

J Murell,
For Collector

In No 735

---

3 No 3 Translation of the Remark in the Pay abstract of the Cheyoor Talug Establishment for January 1835

Zohardeen has been appointed Assistant Goomastah from 29th January 1835, according to the Takeed No 317 of that date, vice Seetharamiah dismissed on the 5th Idem as per Takeed No 304 of the 17th.

(True Translation)

J Murell
For Collector

In No 735

No 4 Translation of Takeed to the Tahsildar of Cheyoor Talug from the Collector of Coimbatore Dd 7th April 1836 No 379.

In your Urzee No 475 of 29th March you have stated that you sent to the Huzoor, for enquiry, Zohardeen, the Ottasai of the above Talug & in charge of Dawk Dept at Avenashy & the bill drawn up by him for hire due to the bearers by Major Castor.

Although in the rule relating to the bearers, a set of 14 including a Cavadyman is allowed hire at the rate of 5 annas per mile, it appears from the enquiry held by Mr Woodget, Assist Collector, that Zohardeen obtained payments for two sets of 13 bearers each at 5 Annas per set per mile, exclusive of the Cavadyman, & for 4 Coolies in excess; thus misappropriated a sum of Rs 1–12–" to himself- the amount thus misappropriated, i.e. Rs 1–12–" should be deducted

from his pay & remitted to the Huzier-
He is removed from Office as inelegi=
=ble for Govt employ from 24th
March, the date on which he was
sent to the Huzoor & his name
should be struck off the pay ab=
=stract from the same date-

(True Translation)

J Munell
For Collector

In No 935

---

5 No 5

Translation of the remark
in the Pay abstract of Shikar=
=ry Katcherry for December 1836-

Zohardeen the Volunteer,
has been appointed to the post
of Lance Naigue on 4 Rs, now
vacant, Vide Takeed No 63
of 2nd December 1836-

(True Translation)

J Munell
For Collector

Sec No 735.

Collector of Coimbatore to the Coimbatore
Tahsildar Dd 2nd February 1847, No 634

With reference to the Order of the 20 21st Instant, you have submitted accounts of waste, shavi, &ca caused for want of rain in Fusly 1256 after having the lands examined, but a petition having been received from one Chickagowndan stating that there is a difference in the accounts of Koundempolliem and Sanganoor villages, Perimduray Kistna Iyen, Huzur Hungami Gumastah & Others have examined the fields in the above villages and submitted accounts for them. On examining these accounts and also the papers connected with the enquiries made in the Huzoor on the subject and on seeing the sample plants of Castor oil seed, Bengal gram, &ca produced in proof of their having been cultivated in these several fields, it appears that while Rs 16-5-6, on 4 fields in Sanganoor village, and Rs 57-1-5

On 5 fields in Koundampollium village were to be shown as Assessment in the Jamabundy accounts, the Taluq Gumastah Zohardoss and the Hungami Goomastah Dharapuram Krishna Rao who had previously examined them, having yielded to the Monigar, Curnum and Ryots of the said villages, falsely entered in the accounts the above lands as Shavi, waste, &ca, so as to cause loss of Assessment to Government. The above two Gumastahs are therefore suspended from this date. As they now appear to be engaged in the examination of some villages in the hobly of Mottampetty, You should immediately on receiving this Takeed, send for them from their work and forward them to the Huzoor in charge of a peon. It is necessary that some other trustworthy Officials should be sent to reexamine the villages examined by them and verify the same. Now the said Krishna Iyen, who has brought to light the irregularities caused in the above villages, is appointed to act for Zohardoss, & is sent to inspect Chinnavadampatty & other villages personally. You should therefore send some other trustworthy Taluq official to the villages in the Mottampetty hobly &ca, with instructions to make a thorough reexamination, field by field, and get correct accounts from him for the same. You should also according to the order of the 19th Instant personally examine them, and on your finding no discrepancy therein, you should, on the completion of the examination of each village, submit a report together with the accounts in the form furnished with the order of 21st November. You should cause the said Krishna Iyen's name to be entered in the Pay abstract from this date. If You had considered the spirit of the latter part of the above order dated 21st November, proceeded to the villages and examined the same in person, there would have been no room for the above officials who were engaged in inspection duties, to venture to commit such irregularities as would cause loss to Government. You should therefore attend to the above order, and also to that of the 19th Instant, regarding the village examination, and examine and submit correct accounts." You should understand that you will be held chiefly responsible for the correctness of these returns - You should get security bonds from the said Krishna Iyer as usual and send the same to the Huzoor -

L No 735

(True Translation)

J. Morell
For Collector

No 7

No 2 -

Coimbatore District Court, 9th January 1878

From, F. M. Kindersley Esqre,
District Judge of Coimbatore -

To, A. Wedderburn Esqre,
Collector of Coimbatore -

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 614 Dated 29th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that from the records of this Court, Mahomed Zohardeen Saib, appears to have served in this Court as 5th Gumastah on Rupees 10 from the 1st January 1850 to 12th May 1854 - His name does not appear on the Establishment of this Court previous to 1850 -

I have &ca
(Sd.) F. M. Kindersley
District Judge -

(True Copy)
J. Morell
For Collector

In No. 735

No. 8

Translation of Takeed issued to the Bhowany Tahsildar by the Hd. Asst. Collector Dated — March 1864 —

The fact of your having, through your Official influence, acquired lands in your son's name, contrary to Government orders and rules, in the Taluq in which you are employed, instead of granting them to Ryots who wished for the same, and also the explanation given by you, were reported to the Board. The Collector has sent me a Memo dated 15th Instant together with the Revenue Board's letter, stating that your explanation is not satisfactory and that you should be removed from your Office as you have acted contrary to rules and orders. You are accordingly dismissed and are directed to hand over the charge of the Office &ca to the Taluq Sheristadar. An Extract from the Board's letter alluded to, is herewith forwarded —

(True Translation)

For Collector

In No 735

No 9

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Rev: Dept, 8th April 1868

No 200. Read the following proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Dd 21st March 1868, No 2,025.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 30th January 1868, No 33:—

* * * *

The Board resolve to submit this letter for the favorable consideration of Government.

No 201. Order thereon, 8th April 1868 No 943.

Miscellaneous

In consideration of the punishment already undergone by Zohardin, and in compliance with the special recommendation on his behalf made by the Collector and supported by the Board, the

Government sanction his re=employment in the public service in such minor appointment, below the grade of Tahsildar, as the Collector may think fit to confer upon him.

(True Extract)
(Sd) W. Hudleston
Secretary to Govern^t

(True Copy)
A Mussell
for Collector.

In No. 35.

No. 10

Translation of a deposition given on Solemn affirmation before P. A. Cole Esq^re, Dy Magistrate of Coimbatore by Zohardeen Saib, late Dy Tahsildar of Avenashy on 23^d March 1878.

25

It is a fact that I did actually serve in the capacity of Jamadar at the Elephant Hunting Cutcherry at Coimbatore on a monthly salary of 10 Rupees in the months of November & December 1833, & during the 6 months from January to June 1834, in the capacity of a Goomastah in the Cheyoor Taluq Katcherry on a monthly salary of 5 Rs.; during the months of March & July 1835, as Lance Naigue in the above Elephant Hunting Katcherry on a monthly salary of Rs 4; during the months of January & February 1837, and lastly in the capacity of Tahsildar at Andiyoor on a monthly salary of 85 Rs in the month of June 1856. But as I learn that the Pay abstracts relating to the above periods are not forthcoming in the Gov^t Records, I am required to procure depositions of Officers, who served contemporaneously with me when I held the above posts. As the above periods relate to remote time, I am unable to procure the evidence of individuals, who served with me at that time and who could depose to my existence in Govt. Service at that particular time — Even if I procure the evidence of such individuals, I do not think they will be able to state the particular periods at, & the exact appointment, in, which I served —

(True Translation)

J Mussell
For Collector

In No 36.

No 1

Translation of the remarks in the Huzur pay abstract for September 1833 —

As the Stallion ponies have been returned to the Commissary Dept at Hossoor, and as the pay of the Servants who went in charge of the same & who received an advance of 32 Rs. till the 15th September, will have to be adjusted on their return, the salary of the Establishment has not been included in this abstract —

(True Translation)

J Mussell
For Collector

In No 735

No 7-

No 2

Coimbatore District
Court 9th January 1878

From,

F. M. Kindersley Esqre,
District Judge of Coimbatore

To,

A. Wedderburn Esqre,
Collector of Coimbatore-

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 614 dated 29th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that from the records of this Court, Mahomed Zohardeen Saib, appears to have served in this Court as 5th Gumastah on Rupees 10 from the 1st January 1850 to 12th May 1854- His name does not appear on the Establishment of this Court previous to 1850-

I have &ca

(Sd) / F. M. Kindersley
District Judge

(True Copy)

For Collector

Inc No 735

No 8.

Translation of Takeed issued to the Bhowany Tahsildar by the Head Assistant Collector dated — March 1864.

The fact of your having, through your Official influence, acquired lands in your Son's name, contrary to Government Orders and rules, in the Talug in which you are employed, instead of granting them to the Ryots who wished for the same; and also the explanation given by you, were reported to the Board. The Collector has sent me a memo dated 15th instant together with the Revenue Board's letter, stating that your explanation is not satisfactory, and that you should be removed from your Office as you have acted contrary to rules and orders.

You are accordingly dismissed and are directed to hand over the charge of the Office, &c, to the Talug taluq Sheristadar. An extract from the Board's letter alluded to, is herewith forwarded.—

(True Translation)

Steele
for Collector.

In No 735.

No 9.

No 2895

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Dated 18th April 1868.

Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 8th April 1868, No 943, Revenue Department:—

Abstract,— Sanctioning the re-employment of Zohardin, in the public service, in such minor appointment, below the grade of Tahsildar, as the Collector of Coimbatore may think fit to confer upon him.

Communicated to the Collector.—

(A true Extract)

(Sd) R. A. Dalyell
Secretary

(True Copy)

Steele
for Collector

In No. 735

No. 10

Translation of a deposition given on solemn affirmation before P. A. Cole Esqre., Dy. Magistrate of Coimbatore, by Zohardeen Saib, late Dy. Tahsildar of Cavenashy on 23rd March 1878.

It is a fact that I did actually serve in the capacity of Jamadar at the Elephant Hunting Cutcherry at Coimbatore on a monthly salary of 10 Rupees in the months of November and December 1833, & during the 6 months from January to June 1834, in the capacity of a Goomastah in the Cheyoor Talug Katcherry on a monthly salary of 5 Rupees during the months of March and July 1835; as Lance Naique in the above Elephant Hunting Katcherry on a monthly salary of 4 Rupees during the months of January and February 1837; and

Application for Pension -

Inc No. 35

In a letter from the Collector
of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to Govt.
R. D. Madras, dated 13th April
1878, No. 109 -

Coimbatore Hd Asst
Collector's office Camp
Tiroopoor 12th Octr 1877-

No, 235-

32

From,

The Ag Hd Asst Collector
of Coimbatore

To,

The Collector of
Coimbatore-

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the Service book of Zoherdeen Sahib, Dy Tahsildar of Avanashy, who has been superannuated from the 1st October-

2- This officer's service, commenced in 1831, and he holds testimonials which show that he gained commendation from his superiors in various posts which he held – From March 1856 he was Tahsildar of the Andiyoor or Bhowany Taluq – In 1864 it was brought to notice that Zoherdeen had acquired land in his own Taluq and the Board in their Proceedings No. 1393 of the 5th March 1864 ordered his dismissal from the service of Government –

3 – Zoherdeen remained out of employ for four years – Your predecessor Mr Grant before leaving this District addressed a strong appeal to Government in his favor and permission was thereupon given for his reemployment in any post below the grade of Tahsildar –

Collector to Board –
30th Jany 1868, No. 33 –
Bd Proceedings –
21st March 1868, No. 2025 –
G.O, R.D.
8th April 1868, No. 943 –

4 – After holding some minor posts, Zoherdeen was appointed in 1869 Deputy Tahsildar of Avanashy where he has remained for more than eight years – Were it not that the supervision of Famine Relief necessitate much outdoor work he might have been permitted to retain his appointment still longer, for, his intellect is as clear as ever although his physical powers are abated by his advanced age –

5 – Zoherdeen's service since his reinstatement is 9 years, 3 months 15 days, so under Section 59 he is entitled to a gratuity of nine months' pay – But he wishes rather

to have a pension, and I have therefore the honor to submit his application. Before his removal he had an uninterrupted list of four years inferior (Clerk on Rs10) and 12 years Superior Service. Since his break of four years he has served for more than 9 years. If as a special act of grace these periods were allowed to be reckoned for pension they would amount to 25 years — entitling him to a pension of half his salary.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
your most obdt Servt.

(Sd) G: Mackenzie.
Ag. Hd. Asst Collector.

In No 735

True copy

In a letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to Govt., R.D. Madras, dated 13th April 1878, No 109-

Copy of Letter from the Ag Hd Assistant Collector Coimbatore, date
12th October 1877, No 235-

Collector

No 183 D D

2- Answers to Questions 3, 4 & 5— The applicant having drawn pay as 2nd Class Revenue Inspector of Palladam from 3rd October 1868 inclusive (on which date he joined his new appointment at Palladam) his pay for that date, as Writer in the Nilgiri Commissioner's Office, was not disbursed to him - Vide copies of Letters from the Nilgiri Commissioner No 22 dated 23rd March And, Collector's reply No 22 dated 3rd April 1869-

3- Answer to Question No 6- The applicant states that he accepted a lower paid appointment in the plains owing to the disagreement of the climate of the Nilgiris with his Constitution - Vide also Extract from the Nilgiri Commissioner's Letter No 274 dated 17th August 1878

4- Duplicate and Triplicate copies of the application are herewith forwarded- As no mention is made in the last Revised Pension Code, for the Submission of such Duplicate and Triplicate copies, they were not forwarded at first-

Coimbatore Collector's office }
6th September 1878 }
R.W. [illegible]
Collector-

Despd 9th

ACCT GENL OFFICE
RECD 11·9·78

Collector

1878
No. 43

From,
The Collector of
Coimbatore -
To,
The Accountant General
Madras -

Dated 6 }
Recd. } Sept. 1878

Enc: 24

Replying certain queries regarding the Pension on behalf of Zohurdeen Sahib late Dy Tahsildar of Avanashi & forwarding duplicate & triplicate copies of the application with enclosures. -

See No. 35

Answered by No. 329
1-5-78

A. McC. WEBSTER
1 MAY 78

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
29th April 1878.

37

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Coimbatore -

VIDE *your Application for* Pension *on behalf of* Zohurdeen Saib. late Dy Tahsildar of Avenashy -

THE undersigned has the honor to Enquire:-

1. Whether the Estabt. of Elephant Hunting Cutchery in which the applt: is shewn to have served for 9 years, was a permanent or temporary Estabt.? When was it constituted and abolished?
2. Whether any who served therein have been allowed to count that service towards pension or gratuity?-
3. Why the Applt. was shewn to have been writer in the Neilgherry Commissioner's Office till the 2d. Octr. 68 only, while from the Pay bills he appears to have served therein also on the 3d. idem & drew pay at 50 Rs. for 3 days. Vide N. C. Estabt. bill for Oct. 68 -
4. Why the Applt. was allowed pay as 2d. Class Rev: Inspr. from 3d. Octr. 68 at 25 Rs. while he drew pay at 50 Rs. for that date in the Commrs. Office - Vide Coim: Salary Estt: bill for Octr. 68 -

5. What was the correct date of the applicant's joining the appt: in Palladum Taluq?

6. Why was the appt. reduced from 50 to 25 Rs. pay in October '68 –

Please send duplicate & triplicate copies of the application with enclosures for submission to Govt. as required by G. I. Circular Order No. 913 of 27 May '75, which it is believed would have been communicated to the Collr. Office either by the Revenue Deptt or by the Board of Revenue –

Asst. Dy. Acct. Genl.

No. 43

No 35

Reply

1. Answers to Questions 1 & 2 – } For information on these points, Vide G. O. No. 290 of 11th June 1877, Pension Department; and this Office Memo, dated 6th October 1876 No. 32, in reply to the Accountant General's No. — dated 11th May 1876 in connection with the application for Gratuity on behalf of Shaik Mohomed alias Mahomed Sah, Peon of the late Anamalai Sub-Magistrate's office –

Rd. 22nd April 1868

38

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
8th April 1868.

No. 200. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st March 1868, No. 2,025.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 30th January 1868, No. 33:—

In their Proceedings of the 5th March 1864, No. 1,393, the Board sanctioned the dismissal of Zohurdin, Tahsildar of Bhowany talook, for transgressing their orders prohibiting Tahsildars from acquiring land in their talooks. No similar case had, I believe, happened before in the district. There is reason to think that the Board's rules are now pretty generally complied with. When Zohurdin was dismissed, he was, according to Native public opinion, considered to have suffered severely for the offence committed, as the acquisition of lands in one's talook was looked on at that time as an offence entailing a minimum of criminality. Since Zohurdin's dismissal, nearly four years have passed, and I confess my sympathies have often been elicited in his favour. He is now in very reduced circumstances. True the fault is his own, but at the same time one cannot help remembering that he served Government for thirty years, and I believe did his duty well and faithfully. I have made frequent inquiries about his conduct since his fall, in the talook in which he was Tahsildar, and all that I have heard about him has been to his credit. He was a man of active habits, constantly moving about the villages, settling disputes, and encouraging the ryots in the extension of their cultivation. He possessed, to a considerable extent, the art of dealing with human nature, and where others would have failed, he often succeeded in settling disputes and reconciling parties. Zohurdin is also, to his credit be it said, a Mahomedan of some mental cultivation; he reads and writes Tamil, English, Hindoostanee, Mahratta, and Telugu. He is now, I may say, in great poverty with a large family dependant on him for support. My object in writing is to solicit the Board to interest themselves in his favour, and to obtain the sanction of Government to his re-employment in the public service, even although it should be in some minor appointment. The clemency of Government will be well bestowed in Zohurdin's case, and relieve him of the poverty under which he now suffers.

The Board resolve to submit this letter for the favourable consideration of Government.

No. 201. ORDER THEREON, 8th April 1868, No. 943.

Miscellaneous.

In consideration of the punishment already undergone by Zohurdin, and in compliance with the special recommendation on his behalf made by the Collector and supported by the Board, the Government sanction his re-employment in the public service in such minor appointment, below the grade of Tahsildar, as the Collector may think fit to confer upon him.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.
,, the Examiner of Claims.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

In c No 735

No. 3,182.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th May 1870.

Read the following letter from H. E. SULLIVAN, Esq., Acting Collector of Coimbatore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Pulladum, 2nd April 1870, No. 73:—

I HAVE the honour to ask the attention of the Board of Revenue to the Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, under date the 8th April 1868, No. 943, passing orders on the case of Zohir-O-Deen, who was Tahsildar of the Bowany Talook from Fusly 1265 to 1273, and who was dismissed under the orders of the Board, conveyed in their Proceedings, dated 5th March 1864, No. 1,393, for having acquired land in his talook.

2. In the order above quoted, the Government were pleased, on the strong recommendation of the then Collector, Mr. Grant, supported by the Board of Revenue, to sanction Zohir-O-Deen's re-employment in the public service, below the grade of Tahsildar. He was very grateful for this modification of his sentence, and when opportunity offered, he was employed first as Talook Sheristadar, and subsequently as Sub-Magistrate and Deputy Tahsildar, which appointment he now holds. I know Zohir-O-Deen well, he having been employed as Tahsildar under me, when I was Head-Assistant at Bowany. Every word that Mr. Grant has said in his favour, in the letter embodied in the Proceedings quoted above, I can thoroughly endorse, and as six years have elapsed since the date of his offence, during the greater portion of which he has been without employment, I venture to ask the Board again to support a recommendation to Government to remove the restriction which prevents his being re-employed in his former grade. He is a man with a large family dependent on him, and is, I know, in great straits, owing to the length of time he was out of employment. He has done good service to the State, and during his administration of the Bowany Talook, the revenue went up Rupees 26,000. As a Mahomedan gentleman who has always borne amongst all classes the highest character, he feels keenly the disgrace which attaches to him, so long as the order prohibiting him from being employed in other than subordinate posts continues in force. I respectfully submit that he has expiated his offence, and taking into consideration that except this one instance of breach of orders, no offence has been laid to his charge, during a lengthened period of service, I trust that the Board will not object to recommend him as a fit object for the clemency of Government.

THE Board are not prepared to support this recommendation.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Acting Secretary.

To the Collector of Coimbatore.

Exd. G. A. Turton.

On a letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, to the Secretary to Govt. R. D. Madras, dated 13th April 1870, No. 105.

1870.

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS, 11TH MAY 1870, No. 3,182.

Received 13. 5. 70 Encl.

24 May 1870

MAY.

No. 3,182.

Sub-Magistrate and Deputy Tahsildar, Zohir-O-Deen,—of Coimbatore District who was dismissed in 1864, from post of Tahsildar of Bowany and subsequently allowed by Government to be re-employed in public service below grade of Tahsildar,—Collector's recommendation for removal of restriction which prevents re-employment of, in his former grade, declined to be supported.

In No. 735

No. 1,393.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 5th March 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Coimbatore, dated 30th January 1864, No. 22:—

1. In the District Gazette dated 8th November 1862, the rules on the subject of Uncovenanted Servants holding and acquiring landed property were published, and a Circular Order on the same subject was issued on the 13th November 1862 to all Tahsildars and Sub-Magistrates. The rules are, I believe, well known to every public servant in the District. As the Tahsildar of Bhowany Zohar Din, has, as it appears to me, transgressed the rules, I beg in accordance with the latter clause of paragraph 3 of the Board's Standing Circular of the 13th October 1862, No. 6,813, to report the same to the Board.

2. The Arcancottah Channel in Suttiamungalum Talook has, during the past year, been extended. Five pieces of Poonjah land have thereby been brought under wet cultivation. Three of them are held on Puttah, the remaining two pieces have by the Tahsildar been given, one piece to one Palni Kounden, and the other piece to Imam Din the Tahsildar's son, who is not in any way connected with the village. There is more waste land which will be brought under wet cultivation as the channel is extended; for this land, there is, as remarked by Mr. Walker (whose letter is transmitted for perusal and return, as well as the translation of the Tahsildar's explanatory Urzee) considerable competition, and there can be no doubt that the competition for the land held by the Tahsildar's son, would have been as great, if not much greater, had not the Tahsildar's official influence been either feared or brought to bear on the people. The Tahsildar did not, as he should have done in accordance with the rules above adverted to, report to this office the acquisition of the land by his son, a young man of 22 years of age only. His explanation is to my mind unsatisfactory in every point of view. I consider that the Tahsildar has, in the name of his son, acquired land contrary to the rules within his Taluk, and that in the acquisition of this land, his official influence has been made use of. If the Rules on the subject of Uncovenanted Servants holding and acquiring landed property are to be of any use, they must be strictly enforced, and I beg to place the matter before the Board for their consideration and orders. The Tahsildar has been thirty years in the service, for eight of which he has held the post of Tahsildar.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From C. G. WALKER, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector of Coimbatore, to P. GRANT, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, dated Bowany, 23rd January 1864, No. 3.

1. I have the honor to lay before you the following case for your notice and orders.

2. The Arcancottah channel, which leaves the Bowany river at Suttiamungalum and passes through a portion of that Taluk, has, during the past year (1863), been extended so as to irrigate land in Perumoogay, the border village of the Bowany Taluk. During the past year 5 Kalies of land have been brought under irrigation.

3. In the end of the month of August I went to Perumoogay and inspected the work in question: nothing was then said about the occupancy of the land.

4. On the 21st instant, the Tahsildar of this Taluk, Bowany, (the settlement of which I have just completed) came to speak to me about the land to be transferred from Punjah to Nunjah in Perumoogay previous to its settlement. He showed me the list of 5 Kalies; three had been held on Puttah previously, the other 2 Kalies were in the names one of Palni Kounden, the other of Imam Din: this man, the Tahsildar informed me, was his son. I was rather surprised; but as the Tahsildar informed me that there was much dispute in the village as to the land, I deemed it better to wait until the village was being settled.

5. Yesterday the village was settled. There are several pieces of land in the same village which will be irrigated as the channel works extend; and for these there was a hot contention. Durkasts had been made for some of them as far back as August, but the Tahsildar had, as he stated, reserved them for settlement by myself.

6. You are now in possession of the facts of the case, which briefly stated, are these—The Tahsildar of Bowany, Zohar Din, in August last disposed of the only 2 Kalis of Circar

waste coming under irrigation from the new branch of the Arcancottah channel in Perumoogay village, by giving one to Palani Kounden, a Ryot of the village, the other to his own son Imam Din, a young man of 22, who has nothing to do with the village.

7. This young man and another son of the Tahsildar hold land in the villages of Nerinjepett and Andioor, also in this Taluk. This the Tahsildar states he has entered in his return of land submitted some time ago.

8. The points for decision are, 1st. Can the Tahsildar be said to hold (in the sense intended by Rule III of the "Rules for Uncovenanted Servants holding landed property" of the Board's Standing Circular, No. 6,843 of the 13th October 1862) land entered in the name of his son aged 2 years, and dependant on this? 2nd. Ought the Tahsildar to have sent in the information requi under Rule V, or have sent in a return on the 15th of January under Rule IV? He has no made the returns, although they are said to have been so made with reference to lands held by his sons in the two other villages Nerinjepett and Andioor.

9. Pending your orders the Puttah for the land will be held in deposit. The land is now under cultivation by Imam Din.

10. This letter will be sent to the Tahsildar, with a Takeed directing him to send such explanation as he has to offer, and the explanation will accompany the letter for your information.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Translation of an Urzee addressed to C. G. Walker, Esq., Acting Head Assistant Collector of Coimbatore, by Zohar Din, Tahsildar of Bowany, dated 24th January 1864, No. 8.

---

1. The Board can come to no other conclusion in this case than that the Tahsildar has been guilty of deliberate violation of the rules in acquiring in the name of his son aged 22 years, valuable land in his own Taluk, for which there was great demand among the villagers, and that he must have abused his official influence to effect the arrangement. They have therefore no alternative but to direct that he be forthwith dismissed from the public service as unworthy of trust.

2. His attempted explanation is most disingenuous, and only strengthens the Board's conviction of his guilt.

3. Ordered that copy of these Proceedings be circulated to all Collectors, *without the papers*, for publication in their District Gazettes.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To P. Grant, Esq., Collector of Coimbatore, with an original enclosure returned.

Copy to All Collectors.

Exd. J. Wright.

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 5th March 1864.
Despatched
Received 18 March 1864

No. 1,393.

Directing the dismissal of a Tahsildar for violating the Rules in acquiring in the name of his son valuable land in his own Taluk which was in great demand among the villagers, and circulating the Proceedings.

Inc No 35.

No 22-

Commissioner's
Office Camp Koondahs
23rd March 1869-

From,
J. W. Breeks Esqre
Commissioner,
Neilgherries.

To,
The Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

No 7/94 I have the honor to forward herewith a Public Service Transfer Receipt for Rs 4-13-5 being salary of Zooherdeen, present Revenue Inspector of the Pulladum Taluq in your District, for the first 3 days of the month of October 1868, when he acted as 2nd English Clerk in my Office-

2- In the event of Zooherdeen having already drawn pay for these ~~three~~ days in his present appointment I a request you will be so good as to return the money in a fresh Transfer Receipt in order that I may carry the amount to the credit of Govt.

I have &ca

(Sd.) J. W. Breeks

Commissioner

Coimbatore Collr.

No. 22. Office 3rd April 1869

From,

The Collector of

Coimbatore

To,

J. W. Breeks Esqre.

Commissioner of the

Neilgherries

Sir,

I have received your letter of the 23rd ultimo No. 22, giving cover to a Public Service Transfer receipt for Rs. 4-13-5,

the salary of Zoharoodin for the first three days in October 1868 as acting English Clerk in your Office

2. Zoharoodin has already drawn pay as Revenue Inspector of Pulladum Taluq from 3rd October 1868, and is therefore entitled to the pay of English Clerk in your Office for the first two days only. I have accordingly ordered the payment to him of Rs. 3-3-7, and I beg to enclose a Transfer receipt for the balance, Viz Rupees 1-9-10.

I have &ca

(Sd.) A. McC. Webster

Actg. Collector

(True Copies)

Collector

Extract from the Neilgherry Commissioner's letter No 274
Dated 17th August 1878.

* * *

* Zohurdeen Saib.

The resignation of the above individual* to the appointment of 2nd English writer of this office was accepted from the 3rd October 1868 and [illegible] whether his resignation was voluntary or whether he accepted a lower paid appointment in the plains to save himself from degradation.

Mr there are no records in office to shew Mr

(True Extract)

Collector

See No 735

No 735.

Duplicate

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY.~~

1. Name of Applicant. Coimbatore Zohardeen Saib.

2. Father's name. Coimbatore Abdulkader Saib.

3. Sect or Caste. Mahomedan - Shaik.

4. Residence Bhowany Talug. Coimbatore District.

5. Present or last employment. Deputy Tahsildar of Annamaly.

6. Date of beginning service. 24th October 1831.

7. Date of end of service. 30th September 1877.

		Y. M. D.
8.	Length of service including breaks	45-11-8
	of which superior	28-10-2
	inferior	6-8-24
	non-qualifying and breaks...	10-4-12

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* Invalid Pension the applicant being above 60 years old & un-fit for further service.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 57-"-".

11. Proposed pension. Rupees 28-8-".

12. Proposed gratuity. "

13. Date from which to commence. 1st October 1877.

14. Place of payment. Bhowany.

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. § 21st July 1809

16. Height. 5 feet and 5 inches.

17. Marks. One scar below the right knee.

18. Date. 13th April 1878.

Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

§ (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Coimbatore Zokardeen Saib, late Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collectorate of Coimbatore	Overseer of Stallion ponies	7	"	"	"	24th Octr 1831	30th April 1832	"	"	"	"	6	8		Verified from the records of the Collector's Office, District Court, Neilgherry Commissioner's Office and from the applicant's Certi= =ficates herewith Encl 10	Services rendered from 24th October/31 to 19 June/68 do not count for Pension partly being Services rendered in Inferior grades and partly being Services vitiated by dismissals for the reasons stated in the correspondence herewith accompanying.
	Do Do	8	"	"	"	1st May 1832	31st Augt 1833	"	"	"	1	4	"	Post abolished Encl 1		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	1st Sepr 1833	13th Novr 1833	"	"	"	"	2	13			
	Jamadar of Elephant hunting Cutcherry	10	"	"	"	14th Novr 1833	1st Augt 1834	"	8	19	"	"	"	Dismissed Encl 2		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	2nd Augt 1834	28th Janry 1835	"	"	"	"	5	27	Re-entertained Encl 3		
	Assistant Gumastah in Cheyoor Taluq Cutcherry	5	"	"	"	29th Janry 1835	23rd March 1836	1	1	24	"	"	"	Dismissed Encl 4		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	24th March 1836	1st Decr 1836	"	"	"	"	8	8	Re-entertained Encl 5		
	Land naigue of Elephant hunting Cutcherry	4	"	"	"	2nd Decr 1836	31st May 1837	"	5	29	"	"	"			
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	1st June 1837	2nd June 1837	"	"	"	"	"	2	Probably time occupied in joining new appointment		
	Gumastah in Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	12	"	"	"	3rd Do	27th May 1846	8	11	25	"	"	"			
	Dy Peshcar in Cheyoor Taluq	17	8	"	"	28th May 1846	2nd Sepr 1846	"	3	6	"	"	"			
	Gumastah in Coimbatore Taluq	14	"	"	"	3rd Sepr 1846	22nd Febry 1847	"	5	20	"	"	"	Dismissed Encl 6		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	23rd Febry 1847	31st December 1849	"	"	"	2	10	8	Re-entertained Encl 7		
Civil Court of Coimbatore	5th Gumastah of Civil Court	10	"	"	4	1st January 1850	12th May 1854	4	4	12	"	"	"			
	Cutwal of Ootacamund Bazar	42	"	"	"	13th May 1854	18th March 1856	1	10	6	"	"	"			
Collectorate of Coimbatore	Tahsildar of Avenashy Taluq	85	"	"	"	19th March 1856	12th Sepr 1856	"	5	25	"	"	"			
	Private affairs leave	"	"	"	"	13th Sepr 1856	22nd Do	"	—	—	—	—	10			
	Tahsildar of Bhowany Taluq	85	"	"	"	23rd Do	17th Decr 1860	4	2	25	"	"	"			
	Do of Do	150	"	"	"	18th Decr 1860	20th March 1864	3	3	3	"	"	"	Dismissed Encl 8		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	21st March 1864	19th June 1868	"	"	"	4	2	29	Re-entertained Encl 9		
Neilgherry Commission	Sub Magte of Ootacamund	50	"	"	"	20th June 1868	20th Sepr 1868	"	3	1	"	"	"	X		X This period of Service has been verified from the records of the offices of Commissioner of Neilgherries & the Collector of Coimbatore & the verification being confirmed from the annual returns & audit registers on record in this office.
	Writer at Neilgherry Commissioner's Office	50	"	"	"	21st Sepr 1868	2nd Octr 1868	"	"	12	"	"	"			
	2nd Class Revenue Inspector of Pulladam Taluq	25	"	"	"	3rd Octr 1868	23 March 1869	"	5	21	"	"	"			
Coimbatore Collectorate	Sub Magte & Dy Tahsildar of Avenashy	35	"	"	"	24th March 1869	31st May 1869	"	2	8	"	"	"			
	Do of Do	50	"	"	"	1st June 1869	31st Decr 1875	6	7	"	"	"	"			
	Do of Do	70	"	"	"	1st Janry 1876	30th Sepr 1877	1	9	"	"	"	"			
Total period of Superior / Inferior Service —								35	6	26	10	4	12			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Conduct as Deputy Tahsildar of Avenasky has been excellent.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Vide enclosures Nos. 2, 4, 6 & 8

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None.

4. Explanation under Section ~~47~~ 50, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. None.

5. Any other remarks. The delay in the submission of this application has arisen from the necessity of searching for the records regarding breaks & re-entertainment &c of getting applicant's service verified from the records of the District Court, Coimbatore, & Commissioner's Office, Ootacamund. The applicant's name while employed in the District Court was evidently recorded as Mohamed Zohardeen Sahib, but his proper name is Zohardeen Sahib.

86—

Collector
SIGNATURE.

No. ADL
113

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 9 years 3 months and 12 days; and that a Superannuation {~~pension~~ / gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 630 — equal to 9 months' pay, is admissible under Section 59 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. (The calculations have been duly verified.)— In submitting this application the Collector of Coimbatore in his letter No. 109 dated 13th April 1878, transmitted herewith recommends the grant to the applicant of a monthly pension of Rs 28-8- being half of his average pay, overlooking the 4 breaks (detailed in the Register of application) caused by dismissal from the Service, and treating the case as altogether a special one. This recommendation cannot be complied with under the provisions of the Civil Pension Code. Should Government however be pleased to adopt the recommendation and grant to the applicant any pension in lieu of gratuity to which alone he is entitled under the rules, it is respectfully requested that such grant may be reported to the Government of India for confirmation

Although the Collector in his remarks set opposite to No. 1 in the 3rd page of the Register of application has certified that the conduct of the applicant as Dy Tahsildar of Avenasky alone has been excellent, still on perusal of the several papers connected with his several dismissals from Service, it will be seen that the past conduct of the applicant has not been at all satisfactory. It rests therefore with Government to make such reduction in the amount of gratuity that may be sanctioned as it thinks proper under rule ~~1~~ sec: 68 of the Code.

The Cause of delay in the Submission of this application has been explained by the Collector in the 3rd page of the Register of application.

Agreeably to the Government of India Circular embodied in G. O. No. 1234 dated 5th June 1875 Financial, the 3 Copies of the Register of application received from the Collector, are herewith forwarded.

W. Donald
Offg: Dy Acct. General

Fort Saint George
Acct. Genl's Office
31st October 1878

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

No 735

No. 1

Translation of the remarks in the Huzoor pay abstract for September 1833-

As the stallion ponies have been returned to the Commissory Dept at Ossoor, and as the pay of the Servants who went in charge of the same and who received an advance of 32 Rupees till the 15th September, will have to be adjusted on their return, the Salary of the Establishment has not been included in this abstract-

(True Translation)

J Steele

For Collector

In c No 7 35

No 2 Translation of a Takeed from the to the Aval Da-roga of Shikari Katcherry, Dd 4th August 183

48

No. 5.

While at Chinniempolliem on the 30th July 1834, I " " asked Zo-hardeen, the Jimadar of Shikari Katche who was placed in charge of prisoners, & who was near the choultry there, as to where were the peons — To which he replied tha they had been sent in charge of prisoners, & in order to make this statement appear true, he referred to a book and asserted the same. On seeing the prisoners on work, they were in gangs; 9 Convicts being guarded by 1 peon, 15 by 2; 20 by 2; 20 by 4, & so on; thus shewing a decrease in the proper number of peons, and affording to the convicts a fair chance of escape. The order

given

lays down that for every 4 Convicts, there should be one peon and that they should be sent to work in charge of Duffadars- but Zohardeen acted contrary to this order, & even when questioned in person misrepresented the matter- As he was negligent in his duty & misrepresented the matter, he is dismissed from this date- His father Abdul Kader has been appointed in his room from this date - His (abdalkader's) name should be included in the pay-abstract-

(True Translation)

In a Nov 35

C Steele
for Collector

No 3.

Translation of the remark in the Pay abstract of the Cheyoor Talug Establishment for January 1835.

Zohardeen has been appointed Assistant Goorumastah from 29th January 1835, according to the Takeed No 317 of that date, Vice Seetharamiah dismissed on the 5th Idem as per Takeed No 304 of the 17th.

(True Translation)

C Steele
for Collector

Incl No 735

No 4.

Translation of Takid to the Tahsildar of Cheyoor Talug, from the Collector of Coimbatore, Dated 7th April 1836, No. 379—

In your Urzi No. 475 of 29 March, you have stated that you sent to the Huzur, for enquiry, Zohordeen, the Attasal of the above Talug and in charge of Dawk Department at Avenashy, and the bill drawn up by him for hire due to the bearers by Major Castor—

Although in the rules relating to the bearers, a set of 14 including a Cavady man is allowed hire at the rate of 5 annas per mile, it appears from the enquiry held by Mr. Woodgot, assistant Collector, that Zohordeen obtained payments for two sets of 13 bearers each at 5 as. p set per mile, exclusive of the Cavady man, and for 4 Coolies in excess, thus misappropriated a sum of Rs 1-12-- to himself — the amount thus misappropriated, i.e. Rs 1-12--, should be deducted from

rom his pay and remitted to the Huzoor - He is removed from Office as ineligible for Government employ from 24th March, the date on which he was sent to the Huzoor, and his name should be struck off the Pay abstract from the same date -

(True Translation)

Steele
For Collector -

See No 735

No 5

Translation of the remark in the Pay abstract of Shikary Katcherry for December 1836 -

Zohardeen, the Volunteer, has been appointed to the post of Lance Naigue on 4 Rupees, now vacant, is Vide Takeed No 63 of 2nd December 1836 -

(True Translation)

Steele
for Collector

In No 73

No 1

Translation of Takeed iſsued by the Collector of Coimbatore to the Coimbatore Tahsildar dated 2nd February 1847, No 634.

With reference to the order of the 21st Instant, you have submitted accounts of waste, Shavi, &c, caused for want of rain in Fusly 1256 after having the lands examined, but a petition having been received from one Chickagounden stating that there is a difference in the accounts of Koundempolliem and Singanoor villages, Perunduray Krishna Ayen, Huzur Humgamy Gumastah and others have examined the fields in the above villages and submitted accounts for them. On examining these accounts and also the papers connected with the enquiries made in the Huzur on the subject, and on seeing the sample plants of Castor oil seed, Bengal gram &c, produced in proof of their having been cultivated in the several fields, it appears that while Rupees 16-5-6, on 4 fields in Singanoor village, and Rs 57-1-5 on 5 fields in Koundempollium village were to be shown as assessment in the jamabundy accounts, the Taluq Gumastah Zoheroodeen and the Hungami Goomastah Dharapuram Krishna Row who had previously examined them, having yielded to the Monigar, Curnum and Ryots of the said villages, have falsely entered in the accounts the above lands as shavi, waste, &c, so as to cause loss of assessment to Government. The above two Gumastahs are therefore suspended from this date - as they now appear to be engaged in the examination of some villages in the hobly of

Mottamputty, you should immediately on receiving this Takeed, send for them from their work and forward them to the Huzur in charge of a peon. It is necessary that some other trustworthy officials should be sent to reexamine the villages examined by them and verify the same - Now the said Krishna Iyen, who has brought to light the irregularities caused in the above villages, is appointed to act for Zoheroodeen, and is sent to inspect Chinnavadamputty and other villages personally - You should, therefore, send some other trustworthy Taluq official to the villages in the Mottamputty hobly &c with instructions to make thorough reexamination, field by field, and get correct accounts from him for the same - You should also according to the order of the 19th Instant personally examine them, and on your finding no discrepancy therein, you should, on the completion of the examination of each village, submit a report together with the accounts in the form furnished with the order of 21st November – You should cause the said Krishna Iyen's name to be entered in the Pay Abstract from this date – If you had considered the spirit of the latter part of the above order dated 21st November, proceeded to the villages and examined the same in person, there would have been no room for the above officials who were engaged in inspection duties, to venture to commit such irregularities as would cause loss to Government – You should therefore attend to the above order, and also to that of the 19th Instant, regarding the village examination, and examine and submit correct accounts – You should understand that you will be held chiefly responsible for the correctness of these returns – You should get security bonds from the said Krishna Iyen as usual and send the same to the Huzoor. –

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton.

Principal Collector

(True Translation)

J. Steele

for Collector.

In No 735

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact height. Feet.	Exact height. Ins.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Coimbatore Zohardeen Saib.	Mahommedan Shaik Sect	Bhowany Taluq Coimbatore District.	Coimbatore Abdulkader Saib.	Coimbatore.	21st July 1809.	64	"	"	5	5	One scar below the right knee.	30th April 1873.	Dy Tsr	Offg Head Asst Collector

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN

55

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
			Rs a p			
Overseer of Stallion ponies	Substantive Temporary	"	7 " "	"	24th Octr 1831	
Do Do	Do	"	8 " "	"	1st May 1832	
Out of employ	"	"	— "	"	1st Septr 1833	
Jamadar of Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	Substantive Temporary	"	10 " "	"	14th Novr 1833	
Out of employ	"	"	"	"	2d Augt 1834	
Assistt Gumastah in Cheyoor Taluq Cutcherry	Substantive Permanent	"	5 " "	"	29th Jany 1835	
Out of employ	"	"	— "		24th March 1836	
Lance Naique Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	Substantive Permanent	"	4 " "		2d December 1836	
Out of employ	"	"	— "		1st June 1837	
Gumastah in Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	Substantive Permanent	"	12 " "		3d June 1837	
Peshcar in Cheyoor Taluq	Actg	Gumastah in Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	17-8 "		28th May 1846	
Gumastah in Coimbatore Taluq	Substantive Permanent		14 " "		3d Septr 1846	
Out of employ	"	"	— "		23d February 1847	
5th Gumastah of Coimbatore Civil Court	Substantive Permanent	"	10 " "		1st January 1850	
Cutwal of Ootacamund Bazaar	Do	"	42 " "		13th May 1854	
Tahsildar of Aravacoorichy Taluq	Do "	"	85 " "		19th March 1856	
Leave on private affairs		"	— "		13th Septr 1856	
Tahsildar of Bhowany Taluq	Substantive Permanent	"	85 " "		23d Do	
Do Do	Do	"	150 " "		18th Decr 1860	
Out of employ	"	"	— "		21st March 1864	
Sub Magistrate of Ootacamund	Substantive Permanent	"	50 " "		20th June 1868	
Writer at the [illegible]cherry Commissioner's Office	Do	"	50 " "		21st Septr 1868	
2d Class Revenue Inspector of Palladam Taluq	Do	"	25 " "		3d October 1868	

8
Character of Officer.

No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.

Zohardeen, you have my permission to accept the above offer of Cootwalship which I think you will perform the duties of officially as your conduct has given me satisfaction 1854 A.D May 17

Sd. R. A. Roupell -

Zoordeen the 5th Goomastah of the Civil Court of Coimbatore, having been transferred to the Revenue Dept to fill the office of Cutwal of Ootacamund, the Civil Judge resolves to record his approbation of the conduct of Zoordeen during the period of upwards of four years that that officer has been permanently attached to the Civil Court.

Coimbatore Zillah Court 17 May 1854 } Sd/ R. A. Roupell Civil & Session Judge

Certify that Zoordeen, Kutwal of Ootacamund, has been very useful and attentive to all orders given to him during most noble the Governor General's stay on the hills -

Ootacamund 27 Octr 1855 } Sd/ C. A. Bouvil A.D.C. to Govr Genl

The bearer of this, Zoordeen Kutwal of Ootacamund has served under me for the last 8 months. I have always found him most attentive, zealous and active in the performance of his responsible duties. I consider him a man worthy of promotion.

Ootacamund 4 March 1856 } Sd/ A. Logan Col [illegible] & Magte

9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
	30 April 1832	Promoted	
	31st August 1833	Post abolished	
	13 Nov 1833	Reentertained	
	1st August 1834	Dismissed	
	28 Jany 1835	Reentertained	
	23rd March 1836	Dismissed	
	1st Decr 1836	Reentertained	
	31st May 1837	Promoted	
	2d June 1837	Do	
	27th May 1846	Promoted	
	7th September 1846	Do	
	22d February 1847	Dismissed	
	31st December 1849	Reappointed	
	12th May 1854	Pay increased	
	18th March 1856	Promoted	
	12th September 1856	Leave given	
	22d Do		
	17th Decr 1860	Pay increased	
	20th March 1864	Dismissed	
	19th June 1868	Reentertained	
	20th September 1868	Transferred	
	2d Octr 1868	Do	
	23rd March 1869	Promotion	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.
Sub Magistrate & Dy Tahsildar of Avanashy Palladam Taluq	Substantive Permanent		35 Rs		24th March 1869	
Do of Do	Do		50 Rs		1st June 1869	
Do of Do	Do		70 Rs		1st January 1876	

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Para 17. I avail myself of this opportunity of bringing to your favorable notice the conduct of Zoharudeen the Tahsildar of Andiyoor. To his unflagging energy & zealous performance of duty the Taluq is mainly indebted for its present prosperous condition. He is constantly travelling thro' his district & has brought to the notice of myself & the Engineering Depth, five old Tanks for the repairs of which, estimates I believe are now being made. When restored they will largely add to the revenue of the Talook. May 3/1858 } True Copy Coimbatore } (Sd) H. E. Sullivan 1st Asst Collr Zoharoodeen has been Tahsildar of Andiyoor Talook during the three years I have been in the Coimbatore District. I have always considered him an active energetic & trustworthy public servant. He is unremitting in his application to his duties, and his management of his Talook has been excellent. He deserves particular credit for his energy in putting down Gang Robberies which had become very rife in the Talook and his exertions to trace out & bring to punishment the offenders. There are many waste villages in the Talook. He has been successful in his endeavours to induce the ryots to take up the cultivation of these neglected lands in many instances, which had led to a gradual increase of the Revenue since he has been in charge in spite of unfavorable seasons. I have much pleasure in giving this testimony to his general character and merits. (Sd) John A. C. Boswell C.S. Bhowany } 11th May 1858 }	Offg Head Asst Collector	31st May 1869 31st December 1875 30th September 1877	Increase of pay Do Retirement	Offg Head Asst Collector Collector

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

In a letter from the Collector of Coimbatore to the Secretary to Govt., R. D. Madras, dated 13th April 1878, No. 109 —

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name.		Zohurdeen Sahib -
Caste or Race.		Mahomedan -
Residence.		Bhowany -
FATHER'S	Name.	Abdul Kader Sahib
	Residence.	Coimbatore -
Date of birth.		21st July 1809 —

In C No. 735

No. 109.

Coimbatore Collector's office
13th April 1878. —

59

From,
A. McC. Webster Esquire,
Collector of Coimbatore. —

To,
C. G. Master Esquire,
Secretary to Government Rev: Deptt.
Madras. — Thro' the Accountant General.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the favourable consideration of Government, an Application for Pension on behalf of Zohurdeen Sahib, lately Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy, and to bring to their notice the following circumstances in connection therewith.

Enclosures 16 -

1 Application with 10 enclosures.
1 Service Regis- ter -
1 Copy of Dt. Coll's. Collr. letter No. 235 of 12th Octr. 1877
1 Rev: Board's pro: No. 1393 of 5th March 1884.
1 G. O. No. 943 of 8th April 1868
1 Rev: Board's pro: No. 3182 of 11th May 1870 -

2. Of the total period of service from 24th October 1831, the date on which he was first entertained in Government service, to 30th September 1877 the date of his retirement, amounting to

	Years	Months	Days
retirement, amounting to	45	11	8,
deducting the inferior and non=qualifying service and breaks of	17	1	6
there remains a superior service of	28	10	2

for which he would ordinarily be

entitled to a pension of Rs 28½ being half the average monthly pay he was in receipt of during the last 5 years of his service.—

But unfortunately there have been 4 breaks in his service by dismissal from Office, of which the

1st break consists in his dismissal due to neglect of orders and false report in regard to the number of Peons detailed to guard the prisoners that were placed in his charge, when sent to work – vide enclosure marked No. 2.—

The 2d dismissal, which occurred while he was in charge of Dawk Department, was for sending in a false Bill for hire due to the bearers employed on account of one Major Castor, and thus misappropriating the excess amount – Vide Enclosure marked No. 4.—

The 3d, was due to his rendering false accounts in connection with the cultivation of lands in certain villages of Coimbatore Taluq, i.e., entering certain lands under Shavi, waste, &c, though they were actually cultivated – Vide enclosure No. 6.—

The 4th dismissal occurred while he was employed as the Tahsildar of Bhowany Taluq, and this punishment was inflicted for his violation of the rules in acquiring in the name of his son, valuable land in his own Taluq – Vide Revenue Board's Proceedings dated 5th March 1864, No. 1393, attached.—

3. Neither Mr Walker the Divisional Officer, nor Mr Grant the Collector, recommended this last dismissal, – they simply reported the breach of orders – The rules prescribe that a breach would lead to dismissal, and the Board awarded that punishment.—

Mr Grant, a late Collector, who was of opinion that the punishment was too severe, subsequently applied for

Zohurdeen's reentertainment, and Government in their Proceedings dated 8th April 1868, No 943. R.D (copy enclosed), sanctioned his re-employment in the service in an appointment below the grade of Tahsildar.- He was thereupon appointed Sub Magistrate of Ootacamund, and on the 24th March 1869, Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy which post he held until he retired.-

4. With the exception of the four cases above noticed, Zohurdeen appears to have given entire satisfaction to his superiors in the discharge of his public duties.- I beg to refer to the testimony borne by Mr Grant in his letter printed in the G.O quoted above, and by Mr H. E. Sullivan in his letter embodied in the Revenue Board's Proceedings dated 11th May 1870, No 3182, copy of which is attached.-

5. Mr G. Mackenzie, Ag Head Assistant

Collector considered that Zohurdeen was disqualified by age for the active duties of his office during the famine, and recommended him to apply for pension.- In submitting the application, Mr Mackenzie notes that the conduct of Zohurdeen, as Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy, has been excellent - and that as a special act of grace these breaks may be overlooked.-

6. Zohurdeen has a very numerous family depending upon him; at his age he cannot be expected to be a burden on the state for any length of time.-

7. Under these circumstances, I have the honor, as a special case to recommend the applicant, for a pension of Rs $28\frac{1}{2}$ a month.-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant,

Collector.-

Accountant General's Office
Recd 16 4 873
Ansd

Revenue 1878 Dept

From No 734.

The Collector of Coimbatore

To

The Secretary to Govt Revenue Department

Thro' the Accountant General, Madras

Dated 13th April 1878

Recd 8th Novr

Recd 16

No 109

Forwarding for favourable consideration of Govt an application for pension on behalf of Yohardeen Saib late Deputy Tahsildar of Bhowany.

With Order 1

31722

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~

1. Name of Applicant. Coimbatore Yohardeen Saib.
2. Father's name. Coimbatore Abdul Kader Saib.
3. Sect or Caste. Mahomedan, Shaik.
4. Residence. Bhowany Taluq. Coimbatore District.
5. Present or last employment. Deputy Tahsildar of Bhowany.
6. Date of beginning service. 24th October 1831.
7. Date of end of service. 30th September 1877.

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including breaks	45-11-8
of which superior	28-10-2
inferior	6-8-24
non-qualifying and breaks...	10-4-12

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* Invalid Pension the applicant being above 60 years old and unfit for further service.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees 57-"-"
11. Proposed pension. Rupees 28-8-"
12. Proposed gratuity. "
13. Date from which to commence. 1st October 1877.
14. Place of payment. Bhowany.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.§ 21st July 1809.
16. Height. 5 feet and 5 inches.
17. Marks. One scar below the right knee.
18. Date. 13th April 1878.

Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

---

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

§ (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Coimbatore Zahardeen Sait, late Deputy Tah=
=sildar of Avenashy—

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collectorate of Coimbatore	Overseer of Stallion ponies—	7	"	"	"	24th Oct. 1831	30th April 1832	"	"	"	"	6	8		Verified from the records of the Collector's Office, District Court and Neilgherry Commissioner's Office and from the Applicant's Official Certificates herewith. Enclosure 10.	Service rendered from 24th Oct. 31 to 19 June 68 do not count for Pension partly being service rendered in Inferior Grades and partly being services vitiated by dismissals for the reasons stated in the Correspondence herewith accompanying
	Do. Do.	8	"	"	"	1st May 1832	31st Aug. 1833	"	"	"	1	4	"	Post abolished Enc: 1		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	1st Sep. 1833	13th Nov. 1833	"	"	"	"	2	13			
	Jemadar of Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	10	"	"	"	14th Nov. 1833	1st Aug. 1834	"	8	19	"	"	"	Dismissed Enc: 2		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	2 Aug. 1834	28 Jany. 1835	"	"	"	"	5	27	Reentertained Enc: 3		
	Asst. Gumastah in Cheyoor Taluq Cutcherry	5	"	"	"	29 Jany. 1835	23 March 1836	1	1	24	"	"	"	Dismissed Enc: 4		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	24th March 1836	1st December 1836	"	"	"	"	8	8	Reentertained Enc: 5		
	Lance Naigue of Elephant hunting Cutcherry	4	"	"	"	2nd December 1836	31st May 1837	"	5	29	"	"	"			
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	1st June 1837	2 June 1837	"	"	"	"	"	1	Probably time occupied in joining new appointment.		
	Gumastah in Elephant Hunting Cutcherry	12	"	"	"	3 June 1837	27 May 1846	8	11	25	"	"	"			
	Actg. Peshkar in Cheyoor Taluq	17	8	"	"	28th May 1846	2nd Sep. 1846	"	3	6	"	"	"			—
	Gumastah in Coimbatore Taluq	14	"	"	"	3 Sep. 1846	22 February 1847	"	5	20	"	"	"	Dismissed Enc: 6		
	Out of employ	"	"	"	"	23 Feb. 1847	31st Dec. 1850	"	"	"	2	10	6	Reentertained Enc: 7		
Civil Court of Coimbatore	5th Gumastah of Civil Court	14	"	"		1st [illegible] 1850	12th [illegible] 1854	4	4	12	"	"	"			
Collectorate of Coimbatore	[illegible] of Ootacamund Taluq	42	"	"	"	13 May 1854	18th March 1856	1	10	6	"	"	"			
	Tahsildar of Andyoor Taluq	85	"	"	"	19 March 1856	12th Sep. 1856	"	5	25	"	"	"			
	Private affairs leave				"	13 Sep. 1856	22 Do.	"	"	"	"	"	10			
	Tahsildar of Bhowany Taluq	85	"	"	"	23 Sep. 1856	17 Dec. 1860	4	2	25	"	"	"			
	Do. Do.	150	"	"	"	18th Dec. 1860	20 March 1864	3	3	3	"	"	"	Dismissed Enc: 8		
	Out of employ	10	"	"	"	21st March 1864	19 June 1868	"	"	"	4	2	29	Reentertained and Enc: 9.		
Neilgherry Commission	Sub Magistrate of Ootacamund	50	"	"	"	20 June 1868	20 Sep. 1868	"	3	1	"	"	"			This period of Service has been verified from the records of the Office of Commissioner of Neilgherries & the Collector of Coimbatore and the verification being confirmed from the Annual returns & Audit Register on record in this Office.
	Writer in the Neilgherry Commissioner's office	50	"	"	"	21st Sep. 1868	2 Oct. 1868	"	"	12	"	"	"			
Coimbatore Collectorate	2nd class Revenue Inspector of Palladam Taluq	25	"	"	"	3 Oct. 1868	23 March 1869	"	5	21	"	"	"			
	Sub Magistrate & Dy. Tahsildar of Avenashy	35	"	"	"	24 March 1869	31st May 1869	"	2	8	"	"	"			
	Do. of Do.	50	"	"	"	1st June 1869	31st Dec. 1875	6	7	"	"	"	"			
	Do. of Do.	70	"	"	"	1st Jany 1876	30 Sep. 1877	1	9	"	"	"	"			
	Total period of Superior / Inferior Service							35	6	26	10	4	12			

to the applicant of a monthly pension of [illegible]
the Case as altogether a Special one - This recommendation Cannot be Complied with [illegible]
=mendation and grant to the applicant any Pension in lieu of gratuity to which alone he is entitled under the rules, it is respectfully requested [illegible]
Government of India for Confirmation
Although the Collector in his remarks set opposite to No 1 in the 3 page of the Register of application has Certified that the Conduct of the applicant as Dy. Tahsildar ~~Accountant General~~.
of Avenashy alone has been Excellent still on perusal of the several papers Connected with his several dismissals from Service, it will be seen

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Conduct as Deputy Tahsildar of Avanashy has been excellent

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Vide enclosures Nos 2, 4, 6 & 8-

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None-

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. None-

5. Any other remarks. The delay in the submission of this application has arisen from the necessity of searching for the records regarding breaks & reentertainment & of getting applicants service verified from the records of the District Court, Coimbatore, & Commissioner's Office, Ootacamund - The applicant's name while employed in the District Court was evidently recorded as Mahomed Zohardeen Saheb, but his proper name is Zohardeen Saheb-

W. M. Mc[illegible]

Collector

SIGNATURE.

No. ADJ/113

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 9 years 3 months and 12 days; and that a Superannuation {~~pension~~ / gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 630 or equal to 9 months pay, is admissible under Section 59(a) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. — In submitting this application the Collr of Coimbatore in his letter No 109 dated 13 April 1878 transmitted herewith recommends the grant to the applicant of a monthly pension of Rs 28-8- being half of his average pay, overlooking the 4 breaks (detailed in the Register of application) caused by dismissal from the Service & treating the case as altogether a special one - This recommendation cannot be complied with under the provisions of the Civil Pension Code. Should Government however be pleased to adopt the recommendation and grant to the applicant any Pension in lieu of gratuity to which alone he is entitled under the rules, it is respectfully requested that such grant may be reported to the Government of India for confirmation

Although the Collector in his remarks set opposite to No 1 in the 3 page of the Register of application has Certified that the Conduct of the applicant as Dy Tahsildar of Avanashy alone has been Excellent, still, on perusal of the several papers connected with his several dismissals from Service, it will be seen ~~Accountant General~~. that the past conduct of the applicant has not been at all satisfactory. It rests therefore with Government to make such reduction in the amount of gratuity that may be sanctioned as it thinks proper under rule 1 Section 68 of the Code.

The cause of delay in the submission of this application has been explained by the Collector in the 3 page of the Register of application

The 3 copies of the Register of application received agreeably to the Government of India Circular embodied in G.O. No 1234 dated 5 June 1875 Financial, are herewith forwarded from the Collector.

Fort Saint George

Acct Genl's Office

31st October 1878

To The Under Secretary to Government

Pension Department

W. Donald

Offg Dy Account Genl

Despatched on the 6 Nov 78

Pension 1878 Dept
No. 735

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~or~~ / ~~GRATUITY~~

Date of application. 31st October 1878

Encl. 40

Name of Applicant. C. Zoharudeen Saib

Last Appointment. Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy

Class of {pension or / gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G. O. No. 1

Pension Departt.

65

For Orders

Application is made on behalf Zohurdeen late Deputy Tahsildar, Avenash, Coimbatore for the grant of a gratuity of Rs 630 for 9-3-12 (yrs mos days) qualifying service

This man entered the Govt. service in Octr. 1831 & has four times been dismissed from the public service and as often reemployed.

His dismissals were for the following reasons:—

1st When a Jemadar (by the Collector) for neglect of orders and mis=representing facts – a clear case.

2d When a Taluq Gumasta (by the Collector) for overcharging for bearers & misappropriating the difference.

The Collector declared him ineligible for reemployment. Some months later however he was entertained in the "Shikarr Kacheri."—

3d. when

I'd give the man all that the rules will allow but I think it is impossible to make a special exception in his favor in excess of the rules.

3d. When again a Taluq Gomasta (by Collector) for sending false returns as to certain lands inspected by him.

4th. When Tahsildar of Bhowani (by the Board of Revenue) for giving away lands to his son, not reporting the fact and giving a disingenuous explanation.

This was in 1864 and in 1868 Mr Grant, then Collector of Coimbatore, asked and obtained the permission of Govt for his reemployment. On the 20th of June 68, he was appointed sub Magistrate, Ootacamund, & he remained in the service until superannuated on the 31st September 1877. For this last service of $\overset{\text{yrs}}{9}-\overset{\text{ms}}{3}-\overset{\text{ds}}{12}$ he is entitled to a gratuity of Rs 630 but the Collector recommends he should get a pension equal to half pay, his various dismissals being overlooked.

This could only be done by the Secretary of State through the Govt of India; and it is submitted that few men have already been so leniently dealt with as Zohurdeen. The Collector lays stress "on the testimony borne by Mr Grant" when recommending Zahurdeen's reemployment but it is clear that Mr Grant was ignorant, or had been misinformed as to, the man's antecedents for he says of him that "he served Govt for thirty years and I believe did his duty well and faithfully" whereas prior to his dismissal by the Board he had been dismissed no fewer than 3 times for serious offences.

Gratuity of Zohardeen
Dy Tahsildar
Coimbatore District

WC 1

1 Grant [illegible] gratuity

2 The Collector's [illegible] [illegible] supplements [illegible] stated cannot be entertained

17/12

[illegible]
Hudleston Carmichael

List from Order

Pension Department.

67

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~ Papers

From the Collector of Coimbatore dated 13th April 1878 No. 109
— " — Offg Dy Accountant General dated 31st October 1878 No. 121/113

Order thereon 7th January 1879 No. 1.

Under Sections 56 and 59(a) of the Civil Pension Code (3rd Edition) His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Coimbatore Zohardeen Saib late Deputy Tahsildar of Avenashy, Coimbatore District a Superannuation gratuity of Rs. (630) Six hundred and thirty or nine months pay in consideration of his qualifying

qualifying Superior Service of 9 years 3 months and 12 days.–

2 The Collectors recommendation that the applicants case should be specially treated cannot be entertained.–

To the Accountant General with Regr.
–"– Collector of Coimbatore
T.W.G.

Despd 16/1/79.

Govt. of {1879} Madras.

Pension

To The Accountt Genl

Dated 7th } January 1879, No. 1.
Recd.

Nos.

Gratuity. Granting a superannuation gratuity of Rs 630 to Zohurdeen Sahib late Depy Tahsildar of Avenashy, Coimbatore District.

Gratuity to [illegible]
Sahib late Depy Tah[illegible]
of Avenashy.

List from order