M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 40

(2) Date of repair 5-8-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 16

Press / Mis.

Dated 18-1- 1879.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

18th January 1879, No. 16.

Pension.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 19*th June* 1878.
,, *Offg. Deputy Accountant-General, dated* 31*st October* 1878, *No. A.D.J.*—112.

ORDER THEREON, 18th January 1879, No. 16.

Under Sections 52, 59 (*b*), and 68, Rule I, of the Civil Pension Code (3rd Edition), His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mayavaram Duraiswami Aiyer, late Third-class Revenue Inspector, Kulitalai Taluk, Trichinopoly District, an invalid pension of Rupees (6-8-0) six and Annas eight per mensem for his qualifying superior service of sixteen years, five months, and fifteen days, with effect from the 29th April 1878, payable at Negapatam, in the Tanjore District.

2. The suspension of the applicant by the Acting Head Assistant Collector on the 8th of April last is cancelled, and he will be considered to have been on leave without allowances from that date until the 28th of the same month.

(True Extract.)

Under-Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
,, Collector of Trichinopoly.
,, Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of 1879 Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 18th.

Issued

Recd. *Recd.*

G.O., 18th January 1879, No. 16.

JANUARY.

Pension.—Granting an invalid pension of Rupees 6-8-0 per mensem to M. Duraiswami Aiyer, late 3rd-Class Revenue Inspector, Kulitalai Taluk, Trichinopoly District.

D No 1

3

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION. ~~GRATUITY.~~

1. Name of Applicant. Mayanaram Duraiswami aiyers

2. Father's name. Mayanaram Sama Aiyer alias Vaithinatha Aiyer }

3. Sect or Caste. Brahmin

4. Residence. Odackerry in Tanjore District

5. Present or last employment. 3rd Class Revenue Inspector.

6. Date of beginning service. 10th October 1859

7. Date of end of service. 7th April 1878

		Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including breaks	... ...	18-5-28
of which superior	... ...	16-5-13
inferior	... ...	1-2-15
non-qualifying and breaks	... ...	.-10-.

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* ... Invalid

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 20-"-"

11. Proposed pension. 6-10-8

12. Proposed gratuity. "-"-"

13. Date from which to commence. 8th April 1878

14. Place of payment. Negapatam Taluk in Tanjore district

15. ~~Age of Applicant on this date.~~ Date of applicant's birth by Christian Era... } 10 June 1824

16. Height. 5 - 3½

17. Marks. 3 Scars on the right side of the neck behind the ear.

18. Date. 15th June 1878.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 21 6 1878
Ansd

Ag Assistt Collr in Charge.

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

*If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Vo[illegible]

HISTORY OF THE (SERVICE SHOWING BREAKS) OF M Duraiswami Aiyer

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as Service.			Period not reckoned as Service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Uttathur Vattam Valicandapuram Taluq	Peshkar	10	"	"	"	10th October 1859	24th December 1860	"	"	"	1	2	15	Inferior grade	Pay Abstracts	This period of service does not count for pension it being service rendered in Inferior Grades
Perambalur Taluq	3d Class Revenue Inspector	14	"	"	"	25th December 1860	30th November 1862	1	11	6	"	"	"			Verified from the records of the Collr of Trichinopoly and the verification has been confirmed partly from the annual returns & partly from the Audit Registers on record in this office
	Dismissal					1st December 1862	28th Febry 1863	"	"	"	"	3	"			
Do	Do	14	"	"	"	1st March 1863	21st Novr/65	2	8	21	"	"	"			
	Leave on stoppage of 1/2 pay					22d Novr 1865	21 Decr/65	"	"	"	"	1	"			
Do	Do	20	"	"	"	22d December 1865	30th Novr 1867	1	11	9	"	"	"			
Kulittalai Taluq	Do.	20	"	"	"	1st December 1867	16th June 1870	2	6	16	"	"	"			
	Suspension				"	17th June 1870	16th Septr 1870	"	"	"	"	3	"			
Do	Do	20	"	"	"	17th Septr 1870	21st March 1872	1	6	5	"	"	"			
Musiri Taluq	Do	20	"	"	"	22d March 1872	14th August 1872	"	4	23	"	"	"			
Kulittalai Taluq	Do	20	"	"	"	15th August 1872	7th January 1878	5	4	23	"	"	"			
	Sick leave					8th January 1878	7th April 1878	"	"	"	"	3	"			
							28					"	21			
Total period of {superior ~~inferior~~} service ...							...	16	5	13	2	"	15			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Has been unfavorably reported on by the Ag Head Asstt Collector in whose Division he was last serving.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Dismissed by Dy Magte on the 1st December 1862 on a charge of having tortured a person suspected of having stolen 70 Rs belonging to him. He was however reinstated by the order of the District Magte on 1st March 1863. Suspended for

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. —"— 3 months on 17th June 1870 for having reported that he had inspected certain lands which he did not inspect & for having wilfully sent incorrect reports.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. —"— Translations of orders regarding the above dismissal & suspension are sent with this.

5. Any other remarks. —"—

Trichinopoly
18th June 1878

Ag Hd Asstt Collr
in charge.

SIGNATURE.

No. ADJ
112

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {inferior superior} grades has been duly proved for 16 years 5 months and 15 days; ~~and~~ but that an Invalid {pension ~~gratuity~~} ~~not exceeding Rs.~~ is not admissible under the provisions of the Civil Pension Code for the reasons stated below ~~, is admissible under Section~~ ~~of the Civil Pension Code.~~ (The calculations have been duly verified) It will be seen from the 2d page of the Register of application and from para 5 of the Collector's reply to this office Memo No. ADJ/9 dated the 3 July last transmitted herewith that on the expiration of the 3 months leave on Medical Certificate granted to the applicant with effect from the 8 January last the Acting Head Assistt Collector of the District suspended him from the service and reported the same stating his reasons for doing so to the Collector, who directed him to send in his application for pension. As the order of suspension was never reversed and as suspension was not followed by reinstatement, the applicant under Section 47 of the Code must be considered as having forfeited his past service and ~~Accountant General.~~ therefore entitled to no retiring allowance.

The Medical Certificate which the applicant produced in support of this application is dated the 29th April 1878 but his service ceased from the 8 Idem, the date after his leave expired, 21 days before the date of the Medical Certificate.

If the Collector had cancelled the order of suspension passed by the Ag Head Asstt Collr and granted the applicant leave without allowances till 28 April 1878, he would have been entitled to an Invalid pension of Rs. 6-10-8 per mensem for his qualifying service of more than 16 years, and in this case, it would have rested with Government to make such reduction in the amount of pension granted to the applicant as it thought proper under Rule 1 Section 68 of the Civil Pension Code with reference to the remarks of the Collector set opposite to No 1 in the 3 page of the Register of application, that the character and past conduct of the applicant have not been at all satisfactory.

Fort Saint George
Acctt Genl's Office
31st Oct 1878

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

W Donald
Offg Dy Acctt Genl.

Pension 1878 2 Sep 11

No 725.

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~OR~~ ~~GRATUITY~~

Enc 10

Date of application. 31 - October 1878.

Name of Applicant. M Duraiswami Aiyar

Last Appointment. 3d Class Revenue Inspector Trichinopoly District

Class of {pension or gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With orders 16.

From

The Collector of
Trichinopoly

To

The Accountant
General
Fort Saint George

Dated 19 } June 1878
Recd }

Application for Pension of M Duraisami Iyer, 3d Class Revenue Inspector Kulitalai Taluq

No 726.

№ 76

Order from Sundram Pillai, the General Deputy Magistrate of Trichinopoly District, to Rayalu Pillai, 2nd class Sub Magistrate of Perumbalur Talug.

Read your charge sheet, your register and other papers connected with the complaint of Sashaiyen, late Acting Curnum of Kumarapalaiyam, against Durasami Iyan, 3rd class Revenue Inspector of your Talug (1) his peon Apparu (2) Subraya pillai, late Curnum of Kumara=palaiyam (3) that they hurt and tortured him as he was suspected by Doraisami Iyan to have stolen the 70 Rs that he had lost. From these papers it appears that, of the two defendants who appeared before you, you have discharged Doraisami Iyen, as the charge was not proved against him, and that you have committed the other Defendant, Apparu, who has been proved to be guilty, to take his trial before me.

Read also your Arzies Nos 93 & 142.

As I found that the principal man in this case was Duraisami Iyen and that the act of torture was caused by his loss of money, I thought that he should also be present during the trial and accordingly had him before me. In the trial it was proved that the offence was committed, solely on account of the lost money of Duraisami Iyen's and that this man was present when the torture was practised, as also that he was principal in the act, the other two being only accessaries. The above facts have also been fully established by the 3d Defendant Subraya pillai who appeared subsequently.

As you have discharged Duraisami Iyen in your Preliminary enquiry, I am now precluded from convicting and sentencing him.

However, as I do not consider it right that a public servant, who was so bold as to commit this cruel action should be retained in the service, I have dismissed him. I have also stated, in my Judgment of 28th November in the case, that I have dismissed him, so his name should be expunged from the list of public servants from that date.

(signed) T. Sundram Pillai
Deputy Magistrate

13th December 1862
Camp Tirumalavadi.

(True Translation)
Hanumanthiengar
As Translator

Ag Head Asst Collector
in charge

No 11.

Order from T. Sundram Pillai, Deputy Magistrate Trichinopoly District, to Rayalu Pillai, 2d Class Sub-Magistrate of Perambalur Taluq;

In my order No 46 of the 13th December last, I informed you that Dorasami Iyen, 3d Class Revenue Inspector of your Taluq, has been dismissed from the Public service for his cruelty in torturing Seshaiyan, late acting Curnam of Kumarapalaiyam with a view to his confessing guilt of theft.

Now yadast, No 2 dated the 9th Instant from the Magistrate, directs that the said Revenue Inspector should be restored to his office, thoug in the copy of the Proceedings of the Sessions Court receive with the above yadast, there is nothing regarding Dorasamy Iyan's reinstatement in office. As it is necessary that I should execute the order of the Magistrate who is my higher authority, I have appointed Dorasami Iyan again to his former post of 3rd Class Revenue Inspector for the present. Final orders will be passed hereafter on the subject. Till then you give him the Sunnad & take work from him: Take his name in the list of public servants and pass his pay from the date of his joining.

(Signed) T. Sundram Pillay
Dy Collector

23rd February 1863
Camp Terumalavadi

(True Translation)

S. Saminathaiyar
Actg Translator

Moore
Ag Hd Asstt Magistrate
in charge

No 202

Order from W. McLeadell Esqr, Acting Collector of Trichinopoly Distt, to Kuppusami Aiyer, the Tahsildar of Kulittalai Taluq.

Read your arzi No 131 of the 16th May last forwarding the deposition taken from Doraisami Aiyer, 3d class Revenue Inspector of your Taluq, regarding the inconsistant reports submitted by him in the Dream case of Tévarmalai Village and recommending that he may be fined according to my discretion.

Read also the 3 reports submitted by the Revenue Inspector and the deposition taken from him.

This Revenue Inspector first submitted a report on 22d April 1868 enclosing a statement showing the extent of channel Puramboke included in the Inam lands in Tevarmalai Village attached to the mosques of Abdul Ibrahim Sahib and Alim Sahib. He submitted his 2d report on 11th May 1869 concerning the same Inam lands with a another statement shewing the extents of Channel & Purambokes, which extents vastly differ from those shewn in the 1st Statement. When asked as to which of these two statements is correct, he submitted his 3rd report on 20th May which was quite in accordance with his 1st report. Whereas in the deposition he has given before you, he has plainly admitted that he wrote his 1st report on the statement furnished to him by the Curnam and that he — submitted his 2d report after careful personal inspection of the lands.

Many revenue cases have to be disposed of, trusting solely in the reports of the Revenue Inspectors. Whilst so in the present case this Revenue Inspector has reported as if he inspected the lands whilst he did not inspect them. This is a serious mistake and shows extreme impertinence in him. The reports of this Revenue Inspector being held to be untrustworthy, another Revenue Inspector was deputed whose statement concerning these Inam lands has been accepted in the Huzur Office. None of the reports above referred to accords with the statement accepted. Although this carelessness in duty demands a severe punishment, yet he is this time suspended for 3 months for this mistake – One fit to act for him during the period of suspension should be recommended.

Sigd/ W. M. Cadell
Ag Collector

9th June 1870
Trichinopoly

(True translation)

S Saminathayar
acting translator

As Hd Asst Collr in charge

Regd No 195 19

To be returned with reply on reverse.

udit Department.

A.D.K. No 9
5.7.78

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
3rd July 1878.

rom The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

o The Collector of Trichinopoly

Vide your Application for Pension on behalf of M. Duraiswami Aiyar late 3rd Class Rev: Inspr. Kullitallai Ty.

The undersigned has the honor to request to be furnished with information on the following points –

Whether any Pay was allowed to the applicant for the period from 1st Decr. 62 to 28th February 63; if not, why?

How is the above period to be treated; whether as period passed under suspension or dismissal. If the latter why the applicant's service prior to that period was shown in the 2d Page of the application as period qualifying for Pension although it is clear from the Provisions of Sectn 48 of the Civil Pension Code, that that period should be entirely omitted from the total service reckoning for Pension –

3

3. Why the applicant's services were dispensed with from the 8th April last while the Medl. Certt. declaring him as unfit for further service is dated so long after as 29th April 78.

The applicant's Medl. Certificate is returned herewith with a request that the information required under Note 2 Sectn. 54 of the Pension Code may be furnished by the Collr. and the Medl. Offr. the applicant's unfitness having been certified to be due to his debility of old age, while he is still under 54 years of age.

W. Donald
Offg. Accountt. Genl.

No 925

II A

21

Trichinopoly, Dy. Collector's Office,
20th August 1878.

No. 918

From
The Treasury Deputy Collector
of Trichinopoly.

To
The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

Referring to the concluding part of your letter No. ADK/9 dated the 3rd ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that Duraiswami Aiyir is absent from the District and it is not known where he is at present. The local authorities have been ordered to send him to this office as soon as he turns up; on his return no time will be lost in sending him to the Medical Officer and furnishing the information called for in your reference under acknowledgment.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 28 3 .1878
Ansd

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
T. Venkataram
Ag. Deputy Collector.

3 In 1862, Doraisawmier and one Appávu were tried under section 336 of Indian Penal Code before the Taluq Magistrate of Perambalore — this officer acquitted Doraisawmi Iyer for want of sufficient proof against him but referred the case as regards Appavu for the orders of the Depy Magistrate. The Depy Magistrate reopened the enquiry in respect to Doraisawmier and tried him & Appavu both. He convicted and punished Appavu with one month's rigorous imprisonmen but acquitted Doraisawmier under section 407 of C C P (Act XXV of 1861) observing that, though according to the 2d enquiry there was sufficient evidence against him, it was impossible to convict him as he had been once acquitted by the Taluq Magistrate for the same offence, but that, as a punishment for his conduct, he (the Depy Magistrate) ordered his dismissal from office.

4 In reviewing the Calendar of the Case the Sessions Judge called for the record and passed proceedings, copy herein enclosed, condemning the evidence adduced against Doraisawmier as "unworthy of belief" & his dismissal from office "manifestly illegal." In communicating these proceedings to the Depy Magistrate, the then Collector Mr McDonell ordered the restoration of Doraisawmier at once to his office, and, in carrying out the order, the Depy Collector directed that Doraisawmier be allowed his pay from the date of his joining his post.

Query 3

5 The Head Assistant Collector suspended the applicant from the 8th April last and stated his reasons for doing so in a letter to me and I called on him, in reply thereof, to ascertain whether the applicant was entitled to pension and if so to direct him to apply for it. The applicant obtained accordingly a Medical Certificate of his unfitness for further service and put in an application for pension. As he was not restored to his office after the 8th April that day was taken to be the date from which his services were dispensed with.

6 The Medical Certificate is herewith sent furnishing therein the information called for.

ACCTS GEN OFFICE
REC -78

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Collector.

T'pore

The Collector of
Trichinopoly

To

The Secretary
General
Fort Saint George

dated 14 } October 1878
Recd. }

No. 1111.

Enclosures Three.
Forwarding replies
to queries contained
in the Secretary's letter
No. 4DK dated
3d Sept 1878.

No 1725.

All this must be communicated
to the Dy Magistrate - and the man
to be restored to his office at once

4th February 1863.

(Sigd) A. R. MacDonald

Extract from the Proceedings of the Session
Court of Trichinopoly under date 2nd of Febru
1863.

Read again Calendar of
Case No. 54 of 1862 disposed of by the Depu
Magistrate T. Soondarum pillai.

Read also letter from the
above Officer forwarding the record of the
said case dated 30th December 1862 No. 16.

(1) The offence charged would
appear to fall under Section 330 and not
under that quoted by the Deputy Magis

(2) This Case was origina
enquired into by a Subordinate Magistra
of the 2nd Class, who not finding sufficien
proof against the 1st Defendant, ordered
him to be released, but referred the ca
as regards the 2nd Defendant, for the
orders of the Deputy Magistrate, that
officer however directed the re-apprehen
of the 1st Defendant and replaced him
on his trial for the same offence.

The explanation afforded
by the Deputy Magistrate, namely,
that

that he reopened the enquiry in respect to the 1st Defendant, under the powers given him by Section 276 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, is unsatisfactory. The Section in question provides that persons whom a Sub-Magistrate from the evidence adduced before him considers guilty and forwards then for trial by the Superior Magistrate such persons may be tried by the Superior Magistrate himself; but there is nothing n this or any other Section of the Code uthorizing a Magistrate with full powers to re-apprehend a person who has once been discharged on due enquiry made by the Subordinate Magistrate, the Proceedings and finding thereon of the Deputy Magistrate relative to the 1st Defendant are therefore contrary to Law and cannot stand.

4 .... The Deputy Magistrate remarks in Column 8 that as the 1st Defendant has been once acquitted it is impossible for him to convict him, but at the same time directed his dismissal from Office as a punishment for an offence of

which he had been found not guilty, this Order is manifestly illegal.

5. .... Adverting to the evidence upon which the Deputy Magistrate considered the Charge against the 1st Defendant proved the Seſsion Judge is of opinion that the testimony abounds in contradictions and is unworthy of belief.

6. ...... It appears to have escaped the notice of the Deputy Magistrate that one witneſs who before the Sub-Magistrate denied having seen 1st Defendant at the time the aſsault on the Prosecutor is alleged to have taken place, distinctly declared before the Deputy Magistrate that he saw him looking on, whilst another witneſs believed he was present because he heard some one speak like him.

7 - - - - Of Seven other witneſses examined not one deposed to the 1st Defendant having been present on the occasion in question.

8. ...... The 1st Defendant produced

a number of witnesses who proved that on the day of the alleged assault the 1st Defendant was absent in another village engaged in reading out a proclamation relative to the cultivati of Cotton.

9. The Session Judge truste that the irregularities pointed out in Paras 3 and 4 of these Proceedings may not occur again.

Ordered that an Extract from these Proceedings be forwarded to the Magistrate of Trichinopoly for communication to the Deputy Magistrate and that the record received from the latter officer be returned.

(True Extract)

(Sigd) J. Harris.

Session Judge.

To

The Magistrate of
Trichinopoly.

(True Copy)

H. Venkatar

Ag. Treasury Dy. Colle

14-10-78

No 725

Compd

31

No. 282

Certified that I J. F. Fitzpatrick M.D. Surgeon, Civil Surgeon Trichinopoly have Carefully Examined Doorasammy Iyer Son of Vythenatha Iyer 3rd Class Revenue Inspector in Kulathali Taluq: His age is by his own statement 54 years and by appearance about 60 years, I consider Doorasammy Iyer to be Completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the Department to which he belongs in Consequence of old age & Debility. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular and intemperate habits.

J. F. Fitzpatrick
Surgeon
Civil Surgeon.

Municipal Hospl
Trichinopoly
29th April 1878

Municipal Hospital
Trichinopoly.
Sept. 3d 1878

No: 378

I certify that I, Donald Elcum, Surgeon - Actg Civil Surgeon, Trichinopoly have carefully Examined Doorasawmy Iyer - Son of Vythenata Iyer, 3d Class Revenue Inspector in Kulathali Taluq. His age is by his own statement 54 years and by appearance about 60 years; I consider Doorasawmy Iyer to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs in consequence of his age and debility as he is unable to walk more than a mile without feeling greatly exhausted, is gradually becoming weaker and losing flesh even though he has been on leave (sick) since January 1878 and not working. His sight shows some weakness but not more than is natural at his age. I detect no organic disease in this man, and consider that his incapacity does not appear to have been caused by irregular and intemperate habits.

D. Elcum - Surgeon
Trichinopoly Ag. Civil Surgeon

The applicant has on account of his advanced age, become utterly useless for further service. The way in which he conducted his duties was highly irregular and anything but satisfactory. In the interests of the state, it was doubtless desirable that he should no longer be allowed to continue in service

Collector

Ntd. 14 October 1878.

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's		Date of birth.	Age.			Exact height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
			Name.	Residence.		Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	Ins.				
Mayavaram Duraiswami Ayyer	Brahmin.	Odachéri in Tanjore District	Mayavaram Sama Aiyar alias Vaithinathan	Odachéri in Tanjore District.	10th June 1824.	49	4	7	5	4	Fair Complexion	16 Octr. 1873		
						54	-	1	5	3½	3 Scars on the right side of the neck behind the ear	11 June 1878	(sigd) Duraiswami Aiyer	Ag. Asst Co in charg

Trichinopoly Collectorate.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination. *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Uttathur vattam Peshkar. Valicandapuram Taluq.	Substantive Permanent.	"	Rs 10	"	10th October 1859	(sig'd) Duraiswamie Aiyer	Dismissed by Dy Magte on 1st December 1862 on a charge of having tortured a person suspected of having stolen 70 Rs belonging to him. He was, however, reinstated by the orders of the District Magte on 1st March/63.		24th Decr 1860	Increase of pay	
3d Class Revenue Inspector Perambalur Taluq.	Do	"	14	"	25th Decr 1860				30th Novr 1862	Dismissal	
Do	Do	"	14	"	1st March 1863				30/11/65 ~~24th Decr 1865~~ 26	Increase of pay	
Do	Do	"	20	"	22d Decr 1865				30th Novr 1867	Transfer	
Do Kulittalai Taluq	Do	"	20	"	1st Decr 1867		Suspended for 3 months on 17th June 1870 for having reported that he had inspected certain lands which he did not inspect and for having wilfully sent incorrect reports.		16 June 1870	Suspension	
Do	Do	"	20	"	17 Sept 1870				21 March 1872	Transfer	
Do Musiri Taluq	Do	"	20	"	22d March 1872				14th August 1872	Do	
Do Kulittalai	Do	"	20	"	15th Augt 1872				7th April 1878	Retired	

Ag H'd Asst Colr

Ag H'd Asst C

Description of leave

Private leave for 1 month from 22d November 1865 on half pay

Privilege leave for 1 month from 21st February 187

Do for do " 21st October 187

Sick leave for 3 months from 8 January to 7th April on half pay.

Ag H'd Asst Collr in charge

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~ papers

From the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 19th June 1878

— " — Offg Dy Accountant General dated 31st October 1878 No. A.D.J./112

Order thereon 18th January 1879 No. 16.

Under Sections 52 and 68 Rule I and 59 (b), of the Civil Pension Code (3rd Edition) His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mayavaram Duraiswami Aiyer late 3rd Class Revenue Inspector, Kulittalai Taluq Trichinopoly District, an invalid pension of Rs (6-8-0) ⊕ Six and Annas eight per mensem for his qualifying superior service of 16 years 5 months and 15 days with effect from the 29th April 1878 payable at Negapatam, in the Tanjore District.—

The

Note

⊕ The full amount admissible is 6-10-8 but the man's character was not good.

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name.	M. Duraiswami Aiyer.
Caste or Race.	Brahmin.
Residence.	Odackey.
Father's Name.	M. Rama Aiyer alias Vythilinga Iyer
Father's Residence.	Odackey.
Date of birth.	10th June 1824

Sl. No 25

Or No 16

2 The suspension of the applicant by the Ag Head Assistant Collector on the 8th of April last is cancelled and he will be considered to have been on leave without allowances from that date until the 28th of the same month.—

Note. The Collr. directed
& this application to be
submitted before [illegible]
formally to cancel
the suspension [illegible]
[illegible]

To the Accountant General with Regr.
—"— Collector of Trichinopoly
—"— Collector of Tanjore—
Tmc.

Despd 27/

[illegible]

Govt. of {1879} Madras.

Pension

To The Accountt Genl.

Dated 18th } Jany 1879, No. 16
Recd.

Nos.

Pension Granting an invalid pension of Rs 6-8- per mensem to M Durai=swami Aiyar late 3rd Class Revenue Inspector, Kulitalai Taluq Trichinopoly District

Pension to the Durai-
swami Aiyar late 3rd Class
Revenue Inspector
Kulitalai Taluq
T. D. [illegible]

13/8/80