

(1) Number of pages 34(2) Date of repair 26-7-41(3) Initials of the Referencer GF

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<u>334</u>	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	<u>25-7-1878.</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Pension.

No. 334.

FROM

C. G. MASTER, Esq.,
Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, AGRICULTURE, AND COMMERCE.

SIR,

I am directed to submit the accompanying pension case for the favorable consideration of the Governor-General in Council.

2. A. U. Kaliyana Rajah entered the Government service as a Taluq peon in the Chingleput District as far back as the 15th May 1827. He served in such capacity until the 30th September 1861, when, on the recommendation of a Tahsildar, he was, with two other men, removed from the service by the Collector of the District, in order that certain other men, whose appointments were abolished, might be retained.

3. The Tahsildar stated that A. U. Kaliyana Rajah was "much given up to drinking" and was dilatory, but there is nothing to show that he had on this account been previously punished or reprimanded, and the main objection to him was probably that he was "advanced in years." He appears to have been punished three times—once for unrecorded reasons and twice on grounds which amounted to little more than suspicion of misconduct—and these faults do not seem to have told against him at the time of his removal.

4. The same Collector who dismissed this peon re-employed him in a similar capacity on the 1st February 1864, and the old man served for thirteen years and seven months until superannuated on the 1st September 1877.

5. A. U. Kaliyana Rajah has thus a total service of no less than forty-seven years, seven months and three days, but owing to the break caused by his removal in 1871, he can count but thirteen years and seven months, and is only entitled to a gratuity of Rupees 24.

6. The present Collector of Chingleput recommends that some indulgence should be shown to this old public servant, and points out that "the faults for which men were punished some thirty or forty years ago were frequently of a very petty nature, and the inquiries into complaints brought against them were as frequently of the most superficial and loose character." This is no doubt correct, and there can be no question that dismissal was formerly resorted to as a punishment for comparatively petty offences and men were restored as lightly.

[2]

7. In the present case, moreover, A. U. Kaliyana Rajah's failings were evidently magnified in order that he might make room for a younger and more active man whose services would otherwise have had to be dispensed with, and under these circumstances His Grace the Governor in Council would recommend his being granted a pension of Rupees 3 per mensem—being half his pay.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

OOTACAMUND,
25th July 1878.

Secretary to Government.

Exd. A. Raitt.

1878.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From
The Chief Secy. to Government,
Fort St. George,
To
The Secy. to the Govt. of India,
Dept. of Rev., Agri., and Comce.

Dated 25th July 1878.

Issued

Recd.

No. 334.

Enclosure.

Pensions.—Recommending, for reasons stated, the grant of a pension of Rupees 3 per mensem to A. U. Kaliyana Rajah, late a Taluq peon in the Chingleput District.

From the Fakred file of the Mayor Taluk for
1857.

No. 45.

To Parthasarady Syengar.

Furthermore your report that this
theft was not committed by outsiders
but by some relation of the complainant
this ill-feeling. If this was the case,
why could the delinquents not have been
apprehended in the event of an immedi-
ate enquiry having been instituted
by yourself, the Taluk head or the
Village Munsiff. Although there is no
proof against the individuals, suspect-
ed by the complainant, the Taluk &
another avers that the Taluk head &
Village Munsiff, after sending the accused
with a report, sent for them again and
gave another report in lieu of the first
one. Further the complainant Egatha
Annaiah states that when the Talukars
were in the first instance, about to take
the suspected individuals to the Taluk,
as directed by the Village Munsiff and
Taluk head Halijanak Rajah, Harman
Rahua

Rantua Pillay came over to his (complaints)
house and asked her for 5 R. to be given
to Munniff; that her husband thereupon
paid R. 5, which he obtained from Calla-
-pat Chetty and the receipt of her other
earring and that the Munniff distin-
-guished the amount between himself,
the Munniff and Tanah peon. Callapat
Chetty afterwards also speaks to the
complaints' husband having obtained
the money from him for the above pur-
-pose. Altho' the Tanah peon & others
deny this & there is no evidence of the
receipt of the money by them, they
are not only open to strong suspicion,
but also guilty of negligence in the detec-
-tion of this case. I therefore send him
the said Tanah peon & Village Munniff.
I should no clue be found to the thief
in question within 15 days, you should
intimate to them that they will be
dismissed from service.

X X X X
Camp, Said apt, 24th Sep. 1857.

(True Extract & translation)

A. Rantua

4th Collector
Said Collector

Ms. A. 357

Takied to Peromal kaicken, Tahsildar of
Ponnari Taluk—

Your Arzi No 724, dated 3rd instant.

Your report that of the peons
of your Taluk, Caliana Rajah is advanced
years and much given up to drinking;—
that he does not, as a rule, promptly
return from the work, on which he and
he sent out; that Musthan Alli Sahib
who is also old is not only poor in
health, but also blind of one eye; that
in consequence of the frequent quarrels
between himself and his wife, he goes
away to Mr Jordon and that Abdullah
Hader and Govinda kaicken are also weak
and decrepid. You recommend, under the
circumstances, the dismissal of these
individuals and the appointment in
their stead of Venkatasami kaicken,
Payilhan, Kuddappa kaicken and Davood
Khan. who were all thrown out in the
recent amalgamation.

I hereby dismiss from service the
said individuals, except Musthan Ali
Sahib and you can nominate those,
mentioned in your Arzi, in their room
from the 1st Current; and take work from
them, entering their names in the Pay
Abstract.

x x x x
Camp Trivellore } (sd) G. Baulusz
18th October 1861 } Collector.
(True Translation)

S. Ramfau
Assistant Collector

Inc No 351

11B
From the Taked file of the Pannari
Taleq for 1864.

No 93.

J. Coopoo Row

Your report, dated 17th Ultimo, No 25.

I send you Katicana Rajah, ap-
pointing him as a peon of your Taleq
on Rs 6 per mensem from the 1st Current,
in the room of Rungappah Naicken, whose
services were dispensed with. You should
take work from him, entering his name
in the Pay Abstract.

Camp Trivellore } (Signed) G. Baulusz.
25th February 1864 }

(True Translation)

S. Ramfau
Assistant Collector
for H. Collector.

Ex² MR

M
18-5-78

R. 196.

13B

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No 1341

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,

17th May 1878.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE *Acting Collector of Cheyerpatt*

VIDE your Application for *fratuity* on behalf of *Kaliaina Rajah* -

The undersigned has the honor to return the Service Book for
insertion in the 11th column of the 3rd page
of the season of termination of
each appointment, and to enquire
of the cause of the break in service
by one month from 24 April to 23rd May 1878.

Donald
Offg. Acct. Genl.

18-5-78


allowance.

Reply-

E. H. 153

Chinghaput Dist Collector's Office
Laid a pet, 29th May 1878

Blue Smith
Dry Collector.



Dr. A. H. 351

351

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.												
Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S		Date of birth.	Age.		Exact Height.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
			Name.	Residence.		Years	Ms. Days.					
Heaven, Munkidze, Stakiyana Rang	Rajak caste	Munkidze.	Stakiyana Rang.	Munkidze.		66	6	5	6 3/4	8 th April 1878.	Frank Roy Stakiyana Rang	Redemicheli Sp. Collector.

allowance

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.
Plonko 16 Kays Salary	Permanent		4		15 th Mar 1827	Wm B of Deligan & Navy.
Do No 53 Do			3-8-		1 st July 1835	
Do No 19 Do			5-		2 nd at Septem- ber 1841	
Do No 51 Do			3-8-		29 June 1851	
Do No 6 Do			5-		13 June 1856	
Do No 6 Do			5		1 st Nov- ber 1857	
Plon, Ponuwy Salary			6		1 st Feb. 1864	

Sick leave granted in Octr 1831 for one month.
 Do. Do. from 17th July to Augt 1877 for
 one month & fifteen days.

Diff-
-ference
of half
pay.

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Was dismissed for age inefficiency and drunkenness after a service of 36 1/2 years during which he was suspended & reappointed & and three years afterwards. Nothing as to the reason of his reinstatement is said. There is nothing further on record against him.	Fredrick Richd M Collector.	30 th June 1835	Transfer	
		20 th Sept 1841	Protection	
		28 th June 1851	Disgraced	
		11 th June 1856	Protection	
		23 rd Dec- 1857	Suspended	
21 st September 1857 to 31 st October 1857 suspended.		30 th Dec- 1861	Disgraced	
Dismissed on the 1 October 1861		31 st Dec- 1877	Retired	

allowance.

2
ature
lesig-
n of
date
of the
ce.

17B

19B

No. 208

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT, COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Camp Red Hills
SANDAPET, 17th May 1878.

From

J. F. Price Esquire,
COLLECTOR OF THE
Chingleput District.

To

L. C. Probyn Esquire,
Accountant General,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an application for gratuity on behalf of Kaliyana Raja, late a Peon upon the establishment of the Tahsildar of Penneri in this District.

2. The applicant, as will be seen from the enclosed translation of a Talsed from the then Collector to the Tahsildar of Penneri, was dismissed on the 1st October 1861, for inefficiency &c. He was, thus, after a service of more than 36 years, in the course of which he was, however, degraded twice and once suspended (vide enclosed copy of Talsed) from 24th September 1857 to 31 October 1857 for a slight fault, removed without any application for compassionate allowance

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S Name. Residence.	Date of birth.

See No. 387

allowance or gratuity being made on his behalf.

3. He was reappointed to office by
Tahsed No 93 dated 25th February 1864 from
the late Collector Mr Banbury to the Tah-
sildar of Ponnéri. In this document, it will
be observed that no allusion is made to the
previous dismissal or the grounds of
restoration.

4. I think it however highly probable,
from what I know of Mr Banbury, under
whom I had the honor to serve, that he put
Kaliyánah Rāja in again from a feeling
that he had, after a long service to the
State, been rather hardly dealt with, in
being turned adrift as he was. The faults
for which men were punished some thirty
or forty years ago were frequently of a
very petty nature and the enquiries into
complaints brought against them were as
frequently of the most superficial and
loose character.

5. The break in service being one of more
than

25B
than a year, obliterates all Kaliyánah
Rāja's service prior to 1861, and he now
appears before Government with an ap-
plication for a gratuity and with a qualify-
ing service of but 13 years 4 months
and 15 days.

6. Still the man has, with shortcomings
such as in the opinion of a former Collector
were not sufficient to stand in the way
of his reemployment, served the State
altogether for a period of nearly 50 years -
the space of an ordinary life time - and
though the facts of his having been in the
first part of his career degraded and
suspended and subsequently dismissed,
do not warrant my recommending him
for a compassionate allowance, I venture
to hope that Government will, in considera-
tion of the total length of his service and
of his not having got into trouble during
the second period of it, not object to the
grant to him of the largest gratuity,
admissible

admissible under the Rules, viz, one of
six months pay.

7. The delay in submitting this appli-
cation has been caused partly by the neces-
sity for verifying Kaliyana Raja's services
from very old records and partly by his
failing to appear in order that his des-
criptive marks &c might be recorded.

8. I may mention, with reference to
this, that since I have taken charge of
the District, I have adopted measures
which will prevent the recurrence of
delays of this kind.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Richard Price

Ag. Collector.

Accountants Office
Recd 10
Ans'd 5 1878

Dated 7th May
Received 8th May
1878.

5 ENCLOSURES.
No. 208

To

No 350

OF CHINGLEPUT.

THE COLLECTOR

THE AGT General
Madras

From 1878 12/11/78

278
Revenue Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter

Papers

From the Collector of Chingleput dated 7th May 1878 No 3190

Offg. by Accountant General dated 7th June 1878 No 3190

Order thereon

1878 A.

For orders

Under Sections 52 and

This case is submitted to you of the Civil Service
pensioners.

The applicant entered Code 3rd Edition the Government
the service on the 15th May in Council is pleased to grant
27th or the 30th Sept 1861 to him, Mupidi Kaliyana
was dismissed in favor of another man who
had thrown out of employ. Sahy Chingleput District

This was done because
the Scleridat reported that an invalid gratuity of
Rs (24) Twenty four or four
"advanced in years & much
given up to drinking" months pay to which he is
"that he does not
as a rule promptly return entitled for his qualifying
from the work on which inferior service of 13 years and
he may be shut out" inferior service of 13 years and
(See below stated 7 months -

The same Coler (see below) who dismissed him
again employed him on
the 1st Feb 64 (recd. an interval
of 2 yrs & 3 months) & the man

Served on for upwards
of 13 years until
invalided on the 1st
Sept. 77.

His dismifal vitated service principally
his previous service because he was a
It was somewhat a vanced in years -
hard step But his
case is not a very
easy one to support -
as he's degraded in his
early service, once for
unrecorded reasons
& a second time for
misappropriating
certain monies.

This was in 1861 -
In 1864 he was re-
employed without
any difficulty being
made about his
aff & served God
13½ years longer -
for this service of

He was also once
suspended for a
month or so days
as he had delayed
to send in certain
arrested persons &
"It was believed but
not proved he did
this in consideration
of a bribe".

The amount of
a patient only is
admissible as stated
in the draft order
Lad

This unfortunate man
was, on the report of
a Palsidar, dismissed
after 36½ years
of service principally
because he was ad-
vanced in years.

This was in 1861.
In 1864 he was re-
employed without
any difficulty being
made about his
age & served for
13½ years longer.

For this service of
50 years he is now
declared entitled
to gratuity of Rs.
24! Mr. Kees pro-
posed to give him
36 Rs. must, the
Accountant Gen-
eral says be sanc-
tioned by the P. of I.

His birthday is
"unknown" but he
cannot be much
under 70 years old.
It seems a case

in which a small
pension might ^{29B}
be recommended
if the p. of J. W.
condone the break
of $2\frac{1}{3}$ years in his
service but the
man's character
is said not to have
been prostrate.
However, Mr Vice
points out that
the faults for which
men were punished
some thirty or forty
years ago were fre-
quently of a very
petty nature and
the enquiries into
complaints brought
against them were
so frequently of the
most superficial,
and loose character

I was about the 1st of Oct.
to conclude the break
I paid a pension of
3 1/2 M. 1871

3 1/2 lb.
47 lb
Semen.
It is so dark
that it is almost
impossible to see
the fruit. I am
comparatively
satisfied. I have
seen as light.

The upper extremities
being nothing, 2 or 3 not
is 2 or 3. 1/2 11 16/7

700

Gratuity to A. W. Halizana
Rajah. —

Bobt. of 1873 **RECEIVED**
 To _____
 Dated _____
 Recd. _____
 Nos. _____
 187, No.

24/6
17

318

Pension DEPARTMENT.

No. 334.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of India,

DEPARTMENT. of
Revenue Agriculture and Commerce.

Sir,

I am directed to submit
the accompanying pension case for
the favorable consideration of the Govt.
General in Council.

2. A. W. Halizana Rajah
entered the government service as a
Jahaz Peer in the Chingleput District
as far back as the 15th May 1827.
He served in such capacity until the
30th Sept. 1861 when on the recommend-
ation of a Tahsildar he was, with
two other men, removed from the
service by the Collector of the District
in order that certain other men, whose
appointments were abolished, might
be retained.

3. The Tahsildar stated that
A. W. Halizana Rajah was "much
given up to drinking" & was dilatory
Cuts

22/7

but there is nothing to show that he had
on this account been previously punish-
ed or reprimanded and the main
objection to him was probably that
he was "advanced in years". He
appears to have been punished three
times once for unrecorded reasons
& twice on grounds which amount
to little more than suspicion of ~~fault~~
~~misconduct~~ and these faults
do not seem to have been told against
him at the time of his removal.

4. The same Collector who dis-
missed this person re-employed him
in a similar capacity on the 1st
February 1864 and the old man
served for 13 years & 7 months until
superannuated on the 1st Sept 1877.

5. A. U. Kaliyana Rajah
has thus a total service of no less
than 47 years 11 months & 3 days, but
owing to the break caused by his removal
in 1861 he can count but 13 years
& 7 months and is only entitled to a
gratuity of Rs 24.

6. The present collector of
Chingleput recommends that some
indulgence should be shown to this
old

+ deducting the 2 yrs 14
months he was out of
employ

Ootacamund } Dated 5/8/78
25th July 1878 — }
H. C. P.

old public servant & point out that the
faults for which men were punished
some thirty or forty years ago were fre-
quently of a very petty nature & the
inquiries into complaints brought
against them were as frequently of the
most superficial & loose character.
This is no doubt correct & there can be
no question that dismissal has formerly
resorted to as a punishment for com-
paratively petty offences and men were
restored as lightly.

7. In the present case more-
over A. U. Kaliyana Rajah's failings
were evidently magnified in order that
he might make room for a younger
& more active man whose services would
otherwise have had to be dispensed with
and under these circumstances I think
the Governor in Council would be
commend his being granted a pen-
sion of 3 Rs per mensem being
half his pay.

L
um

*Person to be kept
in the hands of the
Government.*

For 1878-79
H. P. O. C.
Department of Commerce
1878
Department of Commerce
No. 334

To

The Secretary to the
Government of

Department of
Commerce

Dated *25th* July 1878.
1878

*Persons Recommended for
Honors stated the grant
of a Pension of \$5000
per annum to all
Native-born persons in the
Army or Navy in the
line of duty.*