

(1) Number of pages 32

(2) Date of repair 12-1-18

(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revision DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	219	Press Mis.
Dated	13-6-18	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

16

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

13th June 1878, No. 219.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers:—

From J. W. BEST, Esq., District Judge of South Canara, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Mangalore, 20th December 1877, No. 17.

Under the provisions of Sections 50 and 59 (a), Civil Pension Code, 3rd Edition, I have the honor to submit for the sanction of Government an application* for

* With applicant's Service Book.

compensation gratuity, amounting to Rupees (36) thirty-six, being equal to three months' pay at Rupees 12 a-month to Mudbidri Shantappaya, late Amin of the Karkal District Munsifs' Court, who was thrown out of employ by the abolition of that Court from 1st November 1876, under orders of Government, Nos. 2043 and 2269, dated respectively 5th October and 4th November 1876.

2. Applicant could not be re-employed with advantage owing to his advanced age (sixty-three).

3. The delay in the submission of this application is due to the fact of the applicant not having sent in his service book till July last. The subsequent time was occupied in verifying his services.

No. 1458.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Certified that qualifying service in superior grades has been duly proved for three years, one month, and nineteen days; and that a compensation gratuity not exceeding Rupees 36, equal to three months' pay, is admissible under Section 27 note and 59 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. Regarding Section 50 (1) of the Code the District Judge states that the applicant is too old to be re-employed.

2. The delay in the submission of this application has been explained by the District Judge in his letter accompanying.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
15th February 1878.

(Signed) W. DONALD,
Offg. Deputy Accountant-General.

To the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department.

No. 6.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to the accompanying application for a gratuity on the superior scale to M. Shantappaya, lately an Amin in the Court of the Karkal District Munsif, the undersigned is directed to inquire (1) why it is considered that any retiring

allowance is admissible to the class to which the applicant belonged, and (2) why the allowance (if admissible) should be on the superior scale.

(By Order.)

FORT ST. GEORGE,
5th March 1878.

(Signed) LORNE A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, Madras.

From L. C. PROBYN, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government,
dated Fort St. George, 8th March 1878, No. 1549.

Audit Department.

With reference to official memorandum, No. 6, dated 5th March 1878, from the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, I have the honor to state that service on the Process Serving Establishment, appointed under the rules published with G.O., Notification, dated 1st August 1873, to which class the applicant M. Shantappaya belonged, is considered qualifying with reference to the note to Section 27 of the Pension Code.

2. In the rules above referred to there appears no precise definition of the distinction between an "Amin" and a "Peon;" but as they draw more than ten rupees a month, with reference to Sections 41 and 42 of the Code, the service of Amins has hitherto been considered superior. It appears however doubtful whether they should not be considered as officers whose real duties are those of inferior servants, and therefore as inferior under Section 43 (b) of the Code on this point, the decision of which must depend mainly on the nature of their duties of which this department has no certain knowledge, I beg for the instructions of His Grace in Council.

The register of application together with its accompaniments sent along with the memorandum are herewith returned.

No. 7.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Your letter, dated 20th December 1877, No. 17, submitting the gratuity application of M. Shantappaya.

2. The undersigned is directed to inquire (1) what is the nature of the duties of an Amin, and (2) what is the distinction between an Amin and a Peon.

(By Order.)

FORT ST. GEORGE,
14th March 1878.

(Signed) LORNE A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secy. to Govt.

To the District Judge of South Canara.

From J. W. BEST, Esq., District Judge of South Canara, to the Under Secretary to Government, dated Mangalore, 17th May 1878, No. 6.

In reply to your official memorandum, No. 7, dated 14th March* last, I have the honor to state (1) that an Amin's duties

* Received during the recess.

are to execute such processes as require a knowledge of writing, and (2) that the distinction between the duties of an Amin and

those of a Peon is that whilst an Amin has to serve processes of attachment, sale, and delivery of property in execution of decrees, the Peon serves ordinary processes such as summonses and notices.

ORDER THEREON, 13th June 1878, No. 219.

His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Mudbidri Shantappaya, lately an Amin on the establishment of the (late) District Munsif of Karkal, South Canara, a compensation gratuity of Rupees (36) thirty-six for his qualifying superior service of three years, one month, and nineteen days.

2. Under the explanation afforded by the District Judge as to the duties of Amins the Government consider that this class of servant has been rightly considered "superior."

(True Extract.)

LORNE A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" District Judge of South Canara.
" Collector of South Canara.

Exd. J. Wright.

Gratuity.—Granting a compensation gratuity of
Rupees 36 to M. Shantappa, Amin in the late
District Munsif's Court at Karkal, South Canara
District.

JUNE

G.O., 13th June 1878, No. 219.

Recd.

Issued

Dated June, 13th.

To
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Cc, Cc, Cc, Cc,

PENSION.

Exd. of 118781 30 rupees.

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant.

Mudbidri Shantappa

2. Father's name.

Annapappa

3. Sect or Caste.

Saraswat Brahmin

4. Residence.

Mudbidri in South Canara

5. Present or last employment.

Amin in the late District Court at Karkal
in South Canara

6. Date of beginning service.

10th September 1859.

7. Date of end of service.

31st October 1876

8. Length of service including breaks

of which superior

inferior

non-qualifying and breaks

Y. M. D.

17 1 23

3 1 19

" " "

14 " 4

9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application* Compensation gratuity (See
{gratuity} 50459 (a) Civil Pension Code 3rd Edition) Addition of the Karkal Dst

10. [Average] emoluments or pay.

Rupees 12- " - " a month.

11. Proposed pension.

Rupees " " "

12. Proposed gratuity.

Rupees 36- " - "

13. Date from which to commence.

14. Place of payment.

Mangalore in South Canara

15. Age of Applicant on this date.

Yrs 62. Mts 11. Days 28

16. Height.

5 Feet & 3 Inches.

17. Marks.

oil color - no marks.

18. Date.

20th December 1877.

*If the application is for a compensation,
pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of
establishment which has given rise to the claim
should be fully stated.

Signature of the Head of Office.

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application. 20th December 1877

Name of Applicant. Muddidi Shantappa,

Last Appointment. Amin in the late District Munsiff's Court at Karikal in South Canara.

Class of { Pension or gratuity } Compensation.

Amount of pension sanctioned

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Vol. 219. S. Canara

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S		Date of birth.	Age.			Exact height.
			Name.	Residence.		Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.

Muddidi Shantappa	Savarn Brahmin	Karikal in South Canara.	Shantappa	Muddidi in South Canara	22 nd December 1814	62	3	21	5	3
-------------------	----------------	--------------------------	-----------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	----	---	----	---	---

Personal marks for identification.

oil-color. no marks

Date of Entry.

13th April 1877

Signature of Officer.

[Signature]

Signature and designation of the head of the Office.

[Signature]

Decisions 1878 & 1879

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.
Batta Amin in the District Munsif's Court of Karkal.	Permanent	"	Rs 12	10 Sep 1859		
Amin in the said Court.	Permanent	"	Rs 12	18 Oct 1875		
Amin in the District Munsif's Court of Karkal.	Permanent	"	Rs 12	18 Oct 1875		
Amin in the District Munsif's Court of Karkal.	Permanent	"	Rs 12	18 Oct 1875		

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination, e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.				
Shantappa (Amin in the District Munsif's Court at Karkal) has given me satisfaction in the discharge of his duties with honesty.	Signed by Mr. Venkataramana	15 August 1873	Re-organization of Batta Establishment.	
28. 3. 74. (True Copy)		31 March 1874	Trans-fer	
I can fully endorse the opinion expressed by Mr. Venkataramana regarding Shantappa.	Signed by Mr. Subramanyam	31 March 1875	Re-trans-fer	
28 October 1875. (True Copy)		31 Dec 1876	Abolition of the Court.	

13b

No 17

Mangalore 20 Dec 1877
176

From The District Judge
South Canara

To The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Under the provisions of Sections 50 & 59 (a) Civil Pension Code, 3rd Edition, I have the honor to submit for the sanction of Government an application for compensation of gratuity amounting to Rupees (36-...) thirty six - being equal to 3 months' pay @ Rs 12 a month - to Mudbidri Shantappa, late Amin of the Harkal District Munsif's Court, who was thrown out of employ by the abolition of that Court from 1st November 1876 under orders of Govt Nos 2043 & 2269 dated respectively 5 October & 4th November 1876.

Applicant could not be re-employed with advantage owing to his advanced age (63).

The

* with app't
service book

SERVICE BOOK

Name.		Mudbidri Shantappa	
Caste or Race.		Savarna Brahmin	
Residence.		Harkal	
FATHER'S			
Name.	Shantappa		
Residence.	Mudbidri		
Date of birth.	22 December 1814		

In Recd No 107078
@ No 219

The delay in the submission
of this application is due to the fact
of the applicant not having sent
in his service book till July last.
The subsequent time was occupied
in verifying his services.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

District Court } Your most obedt Servt
South Canara, }
21st December 1877 }
W. West
District Judge

Accountants General
Recd 24 12 1877
Ans'd

No 1458

21/2

Certificate and Report of Accountant General

Certified that qualifying service in {Superior} grades has been duly proved for 3 years, 1 month and 19 days; and that a compensation gratuity not exceeding \$336. equal to three months pay is admissible under section 27 Article 1 §9 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. Regarding section 50 (1) of the Code the District Judge states that the Applicant is too old to be re-employed.

The delay in the submission of this application has been explained by the District Judge in his letter accompanying.

Fort Saint George -
Accountant General's Office
15th February 1878

Signed / Mr. Donald
Offg. Dep. Accountant General

To The Under Secretary to Government
Public Department

C51100/1878 3-6-78
RCS dms: A106-78

For 1877/78 24th Feb 1878
Received 1878 24th Feb 1878

No 107

Off. St. Louis

South America

Oct 21st 1877

Mr. D. E. Johnson

Mr. D.

Inclosure - two

Submitting application
for compensation gratuity
amounting to \$336.78
M. D. L. 1878

C51100/1878

22808

Pension Department 23

No 6

Official Memo

With reference to the accompanying application for a gratuity on the Superior scale to Mr. Shantappaiah lately an Ameen in the Court of the Karkal District Munsiff, the undersigned is directed to enquire (1) why it is considered that any retiring allowance is admissible to the class to which the applicant belonged and (2) why the allowance (if admissible) should be on the Superior scale.

(By order)

Port at George }
5th March 1878 }

(Signed) Lorne A. Campbell
Under Secretary

To The Accountant General
Madras.

Audit DEPARTMENT.

No. 1549

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
8 March 1878

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To The

Chief Secretary to Government
Pension Department

SIR,

With reference to official letter No. 6 dated 5 March 1878 from the Under Secretary to Government Pension Department. I have the honor to state that service on the process serving establishment appointed under the rules published with G. O. Notification dated 1 August 1873, to which class the applicant Mr. Shantappa belongs, is considered qualifying with reference to the note to section 27 of the Pension Code.

2. In the rules above referred to there appears no precise definition of the distinction between an "Amrin" and a "peon"; but as they draw more than ten rupees a month, with reference to sections 41 & 42 of the code, the service of Amrins has hitherto been considered superior. It appears however doubtful whether they should not be considered as officers whose real duties are those of inferior servants and

therefore

therefore as inferior under Section 13(6) of the code. on this point, the decision of which must depend mainly on the nature of their duties of which the department has no certain knowledge, I beg for the instructions of His Grace in Council.

3. The Register of application together with its accompaniments sent along with the memo are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your obedient servant

W. P. Coley

Accountant General

Collected by 731-188
No. 100-1700-188

Pension 1877 DEPARTMENT,
ACCT. GENL'S OFFICE, MADRAS.

No. 1549

FROM

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

To The Chief Secretary to Govt

Madras 24/4

Dated 5 May 1878

Recd. 9 No. of answer

Ans'd.

Subject.

Stating that the share of the
Shantappa is considerable and
being with reference to the note &
subseq 27 of the G. O. and
that the share of answer has
been held. Superior under notice
41 and 42 of the G.O. and being
ing instructions as to whether they
should not be considered as
inferior demands. No. 1219.

Tuscan Department

5
No. 7.
Official Memorandum

Your letter dated 24 December 1877
No. 17 submitting the gratuity application
of M. Shantappa.

The undersigned is directed to
inquire 1/ what is the nature of the
duties of an Ameen and 2/ what is
the distinction between an Ameen and
a peon.

(By Order)

(Signed) L. Campbell
Under Secretary

Sent St. George
14 March 1878

To The District Judge of
South Canara.

No 6.

Mangalore, 17th May 1878

From The District Judge
South Canara

To The Under Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir,

<sup>received during
the Recd.</sup>

In reply to your Official Memorandum No 7 dated 14th March last, I have the honor to state (1) that an Amiri's duties are to execute such processes as require a knowledge of writing, and (2) that the distinction between the duties of an Amiri and those of a peon is that whilst an Amiri has to serve processes of attachment, sale, and delivery of property in execution of decrees, the peon serves ordinary processes such as summonses and notices.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t Serv^t

District Court
South Canara,
17th May 1878.

W. B. C.
District Judge

Read 1 L.O.

Application for a patent on
the dipnet sold to the Thantappaga

Pro: and sent d: 15th July 78
Letter from sent sent d: 8th March 78
- Pro: Judge Salt Larama 17 May 78
- - - - - d: 6.

Order thereon 13th June 1878 N^o 219.

Note. Formerly both butta
peons & amins were not
admitted to pension as they
were paid fees but
now apparently it is
different as for the
fees they fixed salaries
the side amins says
the service

"before their appointment
under the Court fees act (70)
did not qualify."

The question in para
2 requires decision.

These amins have
much probability of
on the the execution of
decrees & require the
educated men.

It therefore seems
right they should be
considered superior
servants. Their pay
however exceeds the fees

As far as the Servants
Council is pleased
to grant to handbill
Thantappaga salary
an ammin on the
establishment of the
District Council of
Karkal South Larama
a compensation
patent of Rupees
thirty six for his
qualifying expenses
service of 3-1-19

2. Under the
explanation afforded
by the District Judge
as to the duties of
amins the for
considers that
this class of Servants

231 May 1878 - 23.6.78.
Re: Salt - R.M. - 6-78

Informing, with refer-
ence to the order of
Government. There is no
order, the matter of
the amins' duties, relating
to the ammin, is an
ammin & a person -

106.

Dated 17th 3
Recd 3 May 78

From N^o 290
the 27th July 78

From 1878 12 Oct 78
1878 (1878) 12 Oct 78

Dec 1879.

From 1878

