M.R.O. 1—77,000—6-8-37.

(1) Number of pages 24

(2) Date of repair 25. 6-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 605 Press/Mis.

Dated 6-11- 1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

6th November 1877, No. 605.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers :—

From R. J. MELVILLE, Esq., Acting Collector of Chingleput, to the Accountant-General, dated Saidapet, 29th October 1875, *No.* 126.

I HAVE the honor to forward, for the sanction of Government, a Register of Application for gratuity on behalf of one Ragavier, late a Vernacular Clerk of this office, incapacitated by age and sickness (*vide* medical certificate enclosed), together with his Service book duly filled in.

2. The applicant has a service of twenty-three years, six months, and six days, of which only six years and twenty-seven days are eligible, the rest being made up of breaks and inferior and non-qualifying service, and he is therefore recommended for a gratuity of Rupees 90, equal to six months' pay.

3. Immediately on retiring, the applicant left the district without furnishing information on the points noted in the first page of the Register of Application, or filing his Service book. It was afterwards ascertained that he was living with his son in Tinnevelly, when a reference was made to the Collector of that district, and the necessary information and attestation obtained, and this has caused the delay of eleven months in the submission of this case.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ST. THOMAS' MOUNT, *4th December* 1874.

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined P. Ragaviah, son of P. Narasiah *alias* Appaviah, a Vernacular Clerk in the Collector's Office, Chingleput District. His age is by his own statement fifty-three years, and by appearance nearly sixty years. I consider P. Ragaviah to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs, in consequence to double cataract. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

(Signed) J. E. DICKINSON, Surgeon-Major,
In Medical Charge, Mount.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

Memorandum from the Assistant to the Accountant-General, to the Acting Collector of Chingleput, dated Fort St. George, 3rd November 1875.

Audit Department.

Vide your application for gratuity on behalf of P. Ragavier.

THE undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points :—

1. Why was the applicant entered in the Audit Register of 1866-67 as *acting* clerk? If he was acting,

   (*a*) Was it in a full vacancy?
   (*b*) How was the vacancy caused, and when was the applicant confirmed in it?

2. Why was the applicant shown in the application to have been on leave for six months from 1st December 1866 to 31st May 1867, while from the records he appears to have returned from his leave on the 16th May 1867?

3. Why was the applicant shown to have been on leave from 1st August to 30th November 1871, while from the pay-bills for those months and bill No. 10, paid in January 1872, he appears to have been acting in higher grades in the department during that period and never had been on leave? If he was at all on leave for the above period, copies of orders under which such leave was granted and other records touching it should be sent.

4. Why was the applicant shown to have been on leave from 1st September 1872, while from records in this office he appears to have been on leave from 22nd August 1872.

5. An early reply is solicited.

(Signed) C. E. CRAWLEY,
Assistant to the Accountant-General.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

From A. SMITH, Esq., Deputy Collector, Chingleput District, dated Saidapet, 11th November 1875, No. 197.

(*a*). Applicant was acting as a Vernacular Clerk on Rupees 15, from 1st August 1865 to 8th October 1866, on full pay in the arrangement of Vautt Vencata Row on Rupees 20 who was transferred temporarily on promotion under Special Deputy Collector.

(*b*). He was confirmed from 9th October 1866 in the same post which became vacant by the death of the permanent incumbent, Vernacular Accountant N. Rama Row, on Rupees 30.

2. Ragavier was absent on leave from 1st December 1866 to 15th May 1867, and not up to 31st, as stated in the application.

3. No leave was granted to the applicant from 1st August to 30th November 1871.

4. The leave granted to the applicant is from 22nd August 1872.

Requests that the application may be altered accordingly.

No. 486.

Certificate and Report of Accountant-General, dated Fort St. George, 15th November 1875.

CERTIFIED that qualifying service in superior grades has been duly proved for five years, four months, and twenty-nine days; and that an invalid gratuity not exceeding Rupees 75, equal to five months' pay, is admissible under Section 56 (*a*) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

2. The cause of delay in the submission of this application is explained in the accompanying letter from the Collector of Chingleput, No. 126, dated 29th October 1875.

(Signed) R. W. LODWICK,
Accountant-General.

To the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department.

Official Memoraudum from the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, to the Accountant-General, dated Fort St. George, 19th January 1876, No. 1.

IN returning the accompanying application for a gratuity for Pandy Ragavier, the undersigned is directed to request that the Accountant-General will inquire and report whether the applicant's service, from 1st July 1853 to 31st December 1855, on the Devastanum Establishment, is admissible with reference to the principle enunciated in paragraph 3, dated 13th September 1875, No. 531.

2. The date on which the Government management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in this district should be ascertained.

(By Order.)
(Signed) L. A. CAMPBELL,
Under Secy. to Govt.

From E. RULE, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, to the Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 30th March 1876, No. 814.

Audit Department.

With reference to official memorandum, No. 1, dated 19th January 1876, from the Under Secretary, Pension Department, I have the honor to state that, in the enclosed correspondence, the Collector of Chingleput reports that the Government management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in his district in 1842, and forwards an Extract from the Proceedings* of Board of Revenue authorizing the above relinquishment. On further inquiry the Collector states that the establishment styled "Devastanum Establishment," entertained in connection with Pagoda lands, was not discontinued till so late as the revision of establishments by Mr. Pelly's scheme. The establishment is reported to have been paid from General Revenues and debited to Merah of Pagodas. Till 1842 the duties of the Devastanum Establishment of Chingleput District were those solely connected with the management of Pagodas, while those subsequent to 1842 were the general duties connected with the district. The applicant belonged to the establishment of the latter class. Under these circumstances, it is not clear to this office when the severance of the Government connection with the Pagodas in the Chingleput District was virtually effected. It remains therefore for Government to decide whether the applicant's service, from 1st July 1853 to 31st December 1855, on the Devastanum Establishment, is admissible; if held admissible, the applicant can be granted a pensionary allowance in respect of it, subject to the confirmation by Government of India of the principle enunciated in paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 531, of the 13th September 1875, Pension Department.

* Dated 18th July 1842.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Memorandum from the Assistant to the Accountant-General, to the Collector of Chingleput, dated Fort St. George, 20th January 1876.

Audit Department.

Vide your application for gratuity on behalf of Pandy Ragavier.

THE undersigned has the honor to request that the Collector will report, for the information of Government, in the Pension Department, the date on which the Government management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in the Chingleput District, and that he will also state from what source the applicant, P. Ragavier, was paid for his services as Huzur Devastanum Gumastah, on 14 Rupees per mensem, from 1st July 1853 to 31st December 1855.

(Signed) C. E. CRAWLEY,
Assistant to the Accountant-General.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

Memorandum from the Deputy Collector, Chingleput District, dated Saidapet, 28th January 1876, No. 16.

THE undersigned has the honor to report that the Government management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in this district in 1842 under the orders of the Court of Directors, dated 4th May 1842, paragraph 1, and communicated in the Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 18th July 1842.

2. The pay of P. Ragavier as Huzur Devastanum Gumastah, from 1st July 1853 to 31st December 1855, was disbursed from the Merah allowance sanctioned for the maintenance of the said establishment.

(Signed) A. SMITH,
Deputy Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

Memorandum from the Assistant to the Accountant-General, to the Collector of Chingleput, dated Fort St. George, 3rd February 1876.

Audit Department.

Vide your reply memorandum, No. 16, of the 28th ultimo.

THE undersigned has the honor to inquire from what source (*i.e.*, whether from the General Revenues or from any funds set apart for the purpose) the Devastanum Establishments were paid prior to the severance of Government connection of the Pagoda lands in the Chingleput District in 1842.

2. It is requested that a copy of Proceedings, dated 18th July 1842, of the Board of Revenue communicating the orders of the Court of Directors on the subject of relinquishment of Pagoda lands may be sent to this office for reference.

3. An early reply is solicited.

(Signed) C. E. CRAWLEY,
Assistant to the Accountant-General.

ENCLOSURE No. 4

From A. SMITH, Esq., Deputy Collector, Chingleput District, dated Saidapet, 7th February 1876, No. 21.

No separate funds have been set apart for payment of the Devastanum Establishment, but it is paid from the General Revenues and charged in the accounts on account of Merah of the Pagodas.

2. Copy of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th July 1842, communicating the order of the Court of Directors on the subject of relinquishment of Pagodas from the Circar management is herewith forwarded as required.

ENCLOSURE 1 in No. 4.

EXTRACT from a letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors, dated 4th May 1842, No. 95.

• • • •

PARA. 1. SUPERINTENDENCE OF NATIVE RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS.—We have received your Secretary's letters above noted, and we entirely approve the measures therein reported for withdrawing the interference of the officers of Government with the religious institutions of the natives. We are desirous that similar measures should, with as little delay as practicable, be adopted in all the districts under your Presidency, and should be reported for our information. Any deposits of unappropriated Pagoda funds in the several Collectors' Treasuries should remain until the measures in progress are completed when their amount, and the circumstances under which they have occurred, may form the subject of a separate report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. ORR,
Acting Secy. to Govt., Rev. Dept.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th July 1842.

Ordered that the foregoing extract from the letter of the Honorable Court, bearing date the 4th May 1842, be circulated for general information to the Collectors in the Provinces.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. W. PORTER,
Secretary.

ENCLOSURE No. 5.

Memorandum from the Accountant-General, to the Collector of Chingleput, dated Fort St. George, 6th March 1876.

Audit Department.

Vide your office reply memorandum, No. 21, dated 7th February 1876.

THE undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following point:—

Proceedings of Board of Revenue, dated 18th July 1842, communicating the orders of the Court of Directors on the subject of relinquishment of Pagoda lands.

If, with reference to the marginally-noted Proceedings, the Government management of Pagoda lands were relinquished in your district in 1842 whence was the necessity for the employment of Pandy Ragavier as Huzur Devastanum Gumastah from 1st July 1853 to 31st December 1855. Please explain the nature of Devastanum service both prior and subsequent to 1842.

Early reply is requested.

(Signed) R. W. LODWICK,
Accountant-General.

ENCLOSURE No. 6.

From A. SMITH, Esq., Deputy Collector, Chingleput District, dated Saidapet, 24th March 1876, No. 53.

THE Devastanum Establishment appears to have been retained by the then Collector subsequent to the order of the Court of Directors for the relinquishment of Pagoda lands in 1842, and this establishment seems to have been discontinued after the introduction into the district of Mr. Pelly's Scheme for the Revision of Revenue Establishment.

2. The nature of the Devastanum service prior to 1842 was keeping accounts and conducting the management of the Pagodas, and subsequent to 1842 the general duties connected with the district.

ORDER THEREON, 6th November 1877, No. 605.

Application is made for the grant of a gratuity to Pandy Ragavier, lately a clerk in the office of the Collector of Chingleput. The applicant's total superior service in permanent appointments amounts to twelve years, six months, and sixteen days, but of this a period of nine years, seven months, and eighteen days is disallowed as it is held to have been vitiated by dismissal.

Board's Procs., 17th February 1863, No. 1005.

2. From the papers noted in the margin, it appears that in the year 1862 the applicant and another who were both clerks under the orders of the Collector's Sheristadar were with him dismissed in connection with some remissions that had been irregularly allowed.

Revenue Procs., 20th June 1865, No. 1371.

The Sheristadar appealed, and the Government commuted his dismissal to suspension, but no orders were passed as to the others until the Collector brought their case to notice when their re-employment was sanctioned. There does not seem to have been any intentional difference in the treatment of the applicant and of the Sheristadar of whom he was a mere subordinate, and under these circumstances his dismissal also must be taken as having been quashed and converted into suspension. The period disallowed will therefore be admitted, and Pandy Ragavier will be granted an invalid gratuity of Rupees 180, or twelve months' salary, for his qualifying superior service of twelve years, six months, and sixteen days.

3. The applicant's service of two years and six months in the Devastanum Establishment cannot, under any circumstances, be reckoned as qualifying, and similar service in this district was, it is observed, disallowed in other cases.*

* Pension G.O., 30th August 1870, No. 434.
„ „ 25th June 1874, „ 368.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ Collector of Chingleput.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of 1877 Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated November, 6th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 6th November 1877, No. 605.

NOVEMBER.

Gratuity. — Granting an invalid gratuity of Rupees 180 to Pandy Ragavier, late Vernacular Clerk, Collector's Office, Chingleput.

7

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION / GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Paudy Ragavier
2. Father's name. P. Narasimha Iyer.
3. Sect or Caste. Siva Brahmin
4. Residence Tinnevelly.
5. Present or last employment. Vernacular Clerk, Collector's Office Chingleput.
6. Date of beginning service. 1st June 1851.
7. Date of end of service. 3rd December 1874.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	23	6	6
of which superior	6	0	27
inferior	2	0	0
non-qualifying and breaks...	15	5	9

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ... Invalid.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 15 Rupees.
11. Proposed pension.
12. Proposed gratuity. 90 Rupees. ||
13. Date from which to commence. 4th December 1874.
14. Place of payment. Tinnevelly Collector's Huzur Treasury.
15. Date of applicant's birth by ~~Age of Applicant on this date.~~ Christian era - - - } 24th June 1822.
16. Height. 5 Feet & 6 Inches.
17. Marks. A mole on the breast, a scar on the right leg above the ankle & a black mole on the left lip. Fair colour.
18. Date. 29th October 1875.

A Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

X

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Pauly Rajavist

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Chingleput Collector's Office	Maramuth Hangamy Gumastah	10	8	-	-	1st June 1851	30 June 1851	-	-	-	0	1	0	9-7-21 7-1-18 8-1-28 5-8-6	Verified by acquittance Rolls. 12-6-17	a. Vitiated by dismissal. b. Does not count being service rendered in an acting appointment the permanent incumbent counting service in the office for the time. Vide Sec. 17 Rule 2. c. Verified from the records of the Collector of Chingleput, the verification being confirmed from the records of this Office for the same term.
	Do.	10	0	0	× 0	1st July 1851	30 June 1853	-	-	-	2	0	0			
	Huzur Dewastanum Gumastah	14	0	0	0	1st July 1853	31st Decr 1855	-	-	-	2	6	0			
	Do. Sewspretty	17	8	0	0	1st Jany 1856	27 April 1856	-	-	-	0	3	27			
	Do. Gumastah	21	0	0	0	28 Apl 1856	20 Jany 1857	-	-	-	0	8	23			
	Asst Kalal Do. Do.	28	0	0	0	21st Jany 1857	21st March 1857	-	-	-	0	2	2			
	Kalal Gumastah	21	0	0	0	22d March 1857	15 Octr 1859	-	-	-	2	6	25			
	Huzur Asst Abkari Munshy	28	0	0	0	16 Octr 1859	30 Apl 1860	-	-	-	-	6	16			
	Do. Verna: Clerk	30	0	0	0	1st May 1860	30 June 1862	-	-	-	2	2	0			
	Do. Asst Do.	35	0	0	0	1st July 1862	11 Septr 1862	-	-	-	0	2	11			
	Do. Verna Do.	35	0	-	0	12 Septr 1862	18 Feby 1863	-	-	-	0	5	7			
					※	19 Feby 1863	31st July 1865	-	-	-	2	5	10	Unemployed.		
	Do.	15	0	0	0 ⊗	1st Augt 1865	12 May 1866	0	9	12	-	-	-			
						13 May 1866	16 July 1866	-	-	-	0	2	5	Private affairs leave on forfeiture of half pay.		
						17 July 1866	30 Novr 1866	-	4	15	-	-	-			
						1st Decr 1866	31st May 1867	-	-	-	0	6	0	Sick leave on Do. Do. of half pay.		
						1st June 1867	31st July 1871	4	2	0	-	-	-			
						1st Augt 1871	30 Novr 1871	-	-	-	0	4	0	Do. Do.		
						1st Decr 1871	31st Augt 1872	0	9	0	-	-	-			
						1st Sept 1872	30 Novr 1873	-	-	-	1	3	0	Do. Do.		
						1st Decr 1873	31st Augt 1874	-	-	-	0	9	0	Do. Do. on forfeiture of 3/4 of his pay.		
						1st Sept 1874	3 Decr 1874					3	3	Do. Do. of full pay.		
	Asst Verna Clerk	15	0	0		1 August 65	8 Octr 66	"	"	"	1	2	8			
	Verna Clerk	15	0	0		9 Octr 66	30 Novr 66	"	1	23	"	"	"			
	Do. Do.	15	0	0		1 Decr 66	15 May 67	"	"	"	"	5	15	Sick leave		
						16 May 67	31 Augt 74	5	3	6	"	"	"			
						22 Augt 72	3 Decr 74	"	"	"	2	3	12	Do.		
						Total period of {superior / inferior} service...	....	6	0	27	17	5	9			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Appears to have been satisfactory.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
× Cannot be ascertained the cause of degradation
※ Dismissed from office. Vide Bd's Pro: No. 1005 dated 17 February 1863.
⊗ Reinstated. Vide Bd's Pro: No. 3933 dated 11 July 1865.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. None

5. Any other remarks. None.

7-1-18
5-4-29
12-6-17
1-7-8
2-6
16-2-25

5-4-29
9-8-0
15-0-29

Actg Collector
SIGNATURE.

No. 486.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 5 years 4 months and 29 days; and that an Invalid {~~pension~~ gratuity} not exceeding Rs. 75-0-0 equal to five months pay is admissible under Section 56 (a) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

The cause of delay in the submission of this application is explained in the accompanying letter from the Collector of Chingleput No. 126 dated 29 October 1875.

Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George
Accountant Genl's Office
15 November 1875

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

46

Pension 1875 Sept
No 735

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~OR~~
{GRATUITY—

Date of application. 29 October 1875

Name of Applicant. Sandy Ragavier

Last Appointment. Vernacular Clerk Collectors Office
Chingleput—

Class of {pension or
{gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Oc No 605

Incl.

Pension Department

5 P
11

No 1

Official Memorandum

In returning the accompanying application for a gratuity for Sandy Ragavier the undersigned is directed to request that the Accnt Genl will inquire and report whether the applicants service from 1st July 1853 to 31st Decr 1855 on the Devastanum Establishment is admissible with reference to the principle enunciated in para 3. 13 September 1875 No 531.

The date on which the Govt management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in this District should be ascertained.

(By Order)
(Signed) L. A. Campbell
Under Secy

Fort St George
19 Jany 1876

To
The Accountant General
with 1 Enc.

To be returned with reply on reverse.

[illegible] 19 A

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
20 January 1876.

FROM Asst. to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

THE Collector of Chingleput.

VIDE *your Application for* Gratuity *on behalf of* Paudy Ragavier.

THE undersigned has the honor to request that the Collector will report for the information of Government in the Pension Departt. the date on which the Government management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in the Chingleput District: and that he will also state from what source the applicant P. Ragavier was paid for his services as Huzur Devastanum Goomastah on 14 Rs. per m. from 1 July 53 to 31 December 55.

C. E. Crawley
Asst. to the Accountt. Genl.

No. 6

The undersigned has the honor to report that the Govt. management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in this District in 1842 under the orders of the Court of Directors dated 4th May 1842 para 1 and communicated in the Extract Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 18th July 1842.

The pay of P. Ragavier as Huzür Davastanum Gumastah from 1st July 1853 to 31st December 1855 was disbursed from the Murah allowance sanctioned for the maintenance of the said Establishment.

Chingleput Dt.
Collector's Office
Saidapet 28 January 1876.

Alex Smith
Dy Collector.

Comp
MS

EM

Accountant General's Office
Recd 29
Ansd __ 1 1876

No 29. Govt

From The Acctt Gl

Dated 20th
Recd 22nd Jany/76

No

Further information regarding Pandy Ragavier's gratuity.

19902
Pro. In. No. 222 of 76

To be returned with reply on reverse.

7/8 15

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
3rd February 1876.

Asstt to the
FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Chingleput.

VIDE your ~~Application for~~ ~~on behalf of~~ Reply Memo: No 16 of 28 ultimo

THE undersigned has the honor to enquire from what source (i.e whether from the General Revenues or from any funds set apart for the purpose) the Devastanum Establishments were paid prior to the severance of Government connection of the Pagoda Lands in the Chingleput Distt. in 1842.

It is requested that a copy of Prot: d: 18 July 42 of the Bd of Revenue communicating the orders of the Court of Directors on the subject of relinquishment of Pagoda Lands may be sent to this Office for reference.

An early reply is solicited —

C. E. Crawley

Asstt to the Accountt Genl.

No ~~37~~ 21

No separate funds have been set apart for payment of the Davastanum Establishment, but it is paid from the General Revenues & charged in the accounts on account of Merah of the Pagodas.

Copy of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th July 1842, communicating the order of the Court of Directors on the subject of relinquishment of Pagodas from the Circar Management is herewith forwarded as required.

Chingleput Dt
Collector's Office
Saidapet 3 February 1876

A. Wm. Smith
Dy Collector.

Recd 3 18
Ansd

PA

No 37 Govt.

From
The Acct General.

Dated 3d
Recd 5 } February 1876

No

In No 222 of 76
2659

No. 95. Revenue Department

Extract from a Letter from the Honorable the Court of Directors dated 4th May 1842.

No. 1.

× × ×

Superintendence of Native Religious Institutions.

Para 1.

We have received your Secretary's letters above noted, and we entirely approve the measures therein reported for withdrawing the interference of the Officers of Government with the Religious Institutions of the Natives. We are desirous that similar measures should with as little delay as practicable be adopted in all the Districts under your Presidency, and should be reported for our information. Any deposits of unappropriated Pagoda funds in the several Collectors' Treasuries should remain until the measures in progress are completed when their amount, and the Circumstances under which they have occurred may form the Subject of a Separate report.

(True Extract)

(Signed) John Orr
Acting Secy. to Govt.

Extract

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th July 1842

Ordered that the foregoing Extract from the letter of the Honorable Court bearing date the 4th May 1842 be circulated for general information to the Collectors in the Provinces.

(True Extract)
(Signed) R. W. Porter
Secretary

(True Copy)

Alex Smith
Deputy Collector

Proc. Ab. No. 229/76

79a

9 80/21

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
6th March 1876.

FROM THE ~~Asst. to the~~ ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Chingleput.

VIDE your ~~Application for~~ ~~on behalf of~~ Office Reply Memo: No. 21 d: 7 Feby 76.

THE undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points.

If with reference to the marginally noted Proceedings* the Govt. management of Pagoda Lands were relinquished in your Distt. in 1842 whence was the necessity for the employment of Pandy Raganier as Huzur Devastanum Goomastah from 1 July '53 to 31 December '55. Please explain the nature of Devastanum Service both prior & subsequent to ~~the~~ 1842.

Early reply is requested.

V. W. Rodrick

~~Asst. to the~~ Accountt. Genl.

* Pro: of Bd. of Rev: d: 18 July 42 communicating the Orders of the Court of Directors on the subject of relinquishment of Pagoda Lands.

No 53

The Davasthanum establishment appears to have been retained by the then Collector subsequent to the order of the Court of Directors for the relinquishment of Pagoda lands in 1842 & this establishment seems to have been discontinued after the introduction into the District of Mr Pelly's Scheme for the revision of Revenue Establishment.

The nature of the Davasthanum service prior to 1842 was keeping accounts & conducting the management of the Pagodas & subsequent to 1842 the general duties connected with the District.

Saidapet
24 March 1876
GK.

Allen Smith
Deputy Collector.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 25 } 3 .1876
Ansd ___ }

23939

---

10 P

Audit DEPARTMENT. No. 814

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
30 March 1876

FROM THE Offg ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Chief Secretary to Government
Pension Department

SIR,

With reference to Official Memo No 1 dated 19 January 1876 from the Under Secretary, Pension Department, I have the honor to state that in the enclosed correspondence the Collector of Chingleput reports that the Government management of Pagoda lands was relinquished in his District in 1842, and forwards an Extract from the Proceedings* of Board of Revenue authorizing the above relinquishment - On further enquiry the Collector states that the establishment styled "Devastanum Establishment" entertained in connection with Pagoda lands was not discontinued till so late as the revision of establishments by Mr. Pelly's scheme - The establishment is reported to have been paid from General Revenues and debited to Merah of Pagodas - Till 1842 the duties of the Devastanum Establishment of Chingleput District were those solely connected with the management of Pagodas, while those subsequent to 1842 were the general duties connected with the District - The applicant belonged to the establishment of the latter class - Under these

circumstances

*dated 18th July 1842.

circumstances it is not clear to this Office when the severance of the Government connection with the Pagodas in the Chingleput District was virtually effected. It remains therefore for Government to decide whether the applicant's service from 1st July 1853 to 31st December 1855 on the Devastanum Establishment is admissible if held admissible, the applicant can be granted a pensionary allowance in respect of it subject to the confirmation by Government of India of the principle ennunciated in para 3 of G. O. No. 531 of the 13th September 1875 Pension Department -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,
Edw. Rule.
Offg. Accountant General

Revenue Department 1876

From
The Ag Accountt. Genl. No 222
Madras.

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Revenue Departt. -

Dated 30 March 1876
Recd 31

No 814.
Enclosures - 5.

Affording information
regarding the relinquish-
-ment by part of their
incumbents of Perm-
-anent Establishment
in Chingleput District.

No. 126.

Chingleput District, Collector's Office,
Saidapet, 29th October 1875.

From
R. J. Melville Esqre,
Ag COLLECTOR OF THE
Chingleput District.

To
The Accountant General
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, for the sanction of Government, a Register of application for gratuity on behalf of one Ragavier late a Vernacular Clerk of this office, incapacitated[x] by age and sickness, together with his Service Book duly filled in.

[x] Vide Medical Certificate enclosed.

The applicant has a service of yrs. m. d. 23 - 6 - 6 of which only yrs. m. d. 6 - 0 - 27 are eligible; the rest being made up of breaks and inferior & non qualifying service, and he is therefore recommended for a gratuity of Rs. 90 equal to 6 months pay.

Immediately on retiring, the applicant left the District without furnishing information on the points noted in the first page of the Register of of application or filing his Service book. It was afterwards ascertained that he was living with his son in Tinnevelly, when a reference was made to the Collector of that District, & the necessary information and attestations obtained, and this has caused the delay of 11 months in the submission of this case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t. Servant,

[illegible]
Ag Collector.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 3 } 11 1875
Ansd

From
THE COLLECTOR
of Chingleput.

Dated 29 } Oct 1875
Received

3 Enclosures

No. 126

Forwards an application for gratuity in behalf of Ragavaiah.

St. Thomas's Mount
4th December 1874

7.12.74

Certified that I have carefully examined P. Ragaviah, Son of P. Narasiah alias Appaiyah a vernacular clerk in the Collector's office Chingleput District. His age is by his own statement 53 yrs and by appearance i.e. nearly 60 yrs. I consider P. Ragaviah to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the Department to which he belongs, in consequence of Double Cataract. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

J. E. Dickinson
Surgeon Major I. Med.
In Medl Charge
Mount

T. O.

15/11/75

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
3d November 1875.

FROM THE ~~DEPUTY~~ Asstt to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Acting Collector of Chingleput

VIDE *your Application for* gratuity *on behalf of* P. Ragavier -

THE undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points -

1. Why was the appt: entered in the Audit Register of 1866-67 as acting Clerk - If he was acting
   (a) Was it in a full vacancy -
   (b) How was the vacancy caused and when was the appt: confirmed in it -

2. Why was the appt: shewn in the application to have been on leave for 6 months from 1 Decr/66 to 31 May/67 while from the records he appears to have returned from his leave on the 16 May/67 -

3. Why was the applicant shewn to have been on leave from 1st August to 30 November/71. while from the Pay bills for those months and bill No 10. Paid in January/72 he appears to have been acting in higher grades in the Deptt: during that period & never had been on leave - If he was at all on leave for ~~the~~ the above period copies of orders under which such leave was granted & other records touching it should be sent -

4. Why

4. Why was the applt shewn to have been on leave from 1 Septr/72. while from ~~the~~ ~~Account Register~~ ~~as~~ records in this office he appears to have been on leave from 22 August/72-

An early reply is solicited

C. E. Crawley

Asstt to the Acctt Genl

No 197

(a) Applicant was acting as a Vernacular Clerk on Rs 15 - from 1st August 1865 to 8th October 1866 in full pay in the arrangement of Vauett Vencata Row on Rs 20 who was transferred temporarily on promotion as Special Deputy Collector.

(b) He was Confirmed from 9th October 1866 in the same post which became vacant by the death of the Permanent Incumbent Vernacular Acctt A. Rama Row on Rs 30

2. Rayaneer was absent on leave from 1st December 1866 to 15 May 1867 and not up to 31st as stated in the application

3. No leave was granted to the applicant from 1st August to 30th November 1871.

4. The leave granted to the applicant is from 22nd August 1872.

Requests that the Application may be altered accordingly

Chingleput District
Collector's Office
Saidapet 11th November 75

A. W. Smith
Deputy Collector
DC

15423

Accountant General's Office
Recd 12
Ansd. } 11 1875

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S Name.	FATHER'S Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Pandy Ragavai	Siva Brahmin	Tinnevelly	Narasimha Sastry	[illegible] North street District	24: June 1822 - - - -	53	3	6	5	6	a mole on the breast, a scar on the right leg above the ankle and a black mole on the left hip - Fair Colour.	30-9-75		Ag Collector

The column heading over Date of birth to Signature and designation of the head of the Office reads: THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS ; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
[illegible] Gumastah, Collector's Office, Chingleput	Temporary Substantive		10-8	—	1-6-50	
Do	Do		10		1-7-51	
Huzur Devastanam Gumastah	Temporary Permanent		[illegible]		1-7-53	
Do Sampradi	Permanent		17-8		1-1-56	
Do Gumastah	Do		21		28-4-56	
Do Kalat Head Gumastah	Acting	Huzur Gumastah	28	3-8	21-1-57	
Do Gumastah	Permanent		21		22-3-57	
Munshi Assistant Collector's office	Acting	Do Do	28	7	16-10-59	
Huzur 4th Vernacular Clerk	Permanent		30		1-5-60	
Do 3rd Do	Acting	Huzur 4th Vernacular Clerk	5	5	1-7-62	
Do	Permanent		35		12-9-62	
Vernacular Clerk	Do		15		1-5-65	

8

Character of Officer.

No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.

Endorsement on Petition, dated 10 January 1850.

The writer of this has served as a volunteer in the Head Assistants cutcherry for 3 years and for a short time acted as an assistant Munshi. I have every reason to speak well of him and had an opportunity occurred I intended to have promoted him.

(Signed) A. W. Phillips
Head Asst Collector

Chingleput District
Collector's Cutcherry
Saidapet 12 March 1860

This is to certify that P. Ragavaiah Gumasta of this office is a very smart and hardworking public servant. He possesses a fair knowledge of Revenue and Magisterial business.

(Signed) C. J. Shubrick
Collector

Extract from the Register of Uncovenanted Civil Servants on the Establishment of the Collector of Madras

V. Clerk. Ragavaiah

A very able man, well versed in Revenue matters. He has lately been dismissed by the Board of Revenue but after a while I should have recommended him for re-employment.

20 April 1863. (Signed) B. Cunliffe
Collector

Appears to have been satisfactory.

9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
	30-6-51	Abolition	
	30-6-53	Promotion	
	31-12-55	Do	
	27-4-56	Do	
	20-1-57	Do	
	21-3-57	Return of permanent incumbent	
Ag Collector	15-10-59	Promotion	Ag Collector
	30-4-60	Do	
	30-6-62	Do	
	11-9-62	Confirmation	
	18-2-63	Dismissed, as per B.O.R. Pro dated 17 February 1863 & re-entertained vide G. O. dated 20 June 1865 No 1371, embodied in Revenue Proceedings No 3933, 11 July 1865.	
	3-12-74	Applied for pension with medical certificate from 3 December 1874.	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

SERVICE BOOK
OF

Name. Pandy Ragavier
Caste or Race. Vadi Brahmin.
Residence. Tinnivelly
FATHER'S. Name. Narasimha Sastri.
FATHER'S. Residence. Sattur Taluk Tinnevelly District
Date of birth. 24 June 1822

See in No 385 J/75
G.O. No 605.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~ Papers —"

From the Ag Collector of Chingleput dated 29th October 1875,
——"—— Accountant General dated 15th November 1875
Official Memo: to the Acctt General dated 19th January 1876 No. 1 No 486
From the Acctt General dated 30th March 1876 No. 874.

Order thereon 6th Novr 1876 No. 605.

Boards Proceedings: 17th Febry 1863 No 1005 }

Application is made for the grant of a gratuity to Pandy Ragavier lately a clerk in the Office of the Collector of Chingleput. The applicants total superior service in permanent appointments amounts to 12 years 6 months and 6 days, but of this a period of 9 years, 7 months and 18 days is disallowed as it is held to have been vitiated by dismissal. 2. From the papers noted in the margin it appears that in the year 1862 the applicant and another who were both clerks under the orders of the Collector's Sheristadar were with him dismissed

dismissed in connection with some remissions that had been irregularly allowed.

The Sheristadar appealed and the Government commuted his dismissal to suspension but no orders were passed as to the others until the Collector brought their case to notice when their reemployment was sanctioned. There does not seem to have been any intentional difference in the treatment of the applicant and of the Sheristadar of whom he was a mere subordinate and under these circumstances his dismissal also must be taken as having been quashed and converted into suspension. The period disallowed will therefore be admitted & Pandy Ragavier will be granted an invalid gratuity of Rs 180 or twelve months salary for his qualifying superior service of 12 years, 6 mths and 16 days.

{Rev. Proceedings: 20 June 1865 No 1371

Rev & J.O. 30th Augt 70 No 434
" -- 25 June 74 No 368

3. The applicant's service of 2 yrs & 6 months in the Devastanum Establishment cannot ~~apparently~~ under any circumstances be reckoned as qualifying and similar service in this District was it is observed disallowed in ~~another~~ other cases

To the Accountant General with Register
" -- Collector of Chingleput.

12

Govt. of {1877} Madras.

Pension

To The Accountant General

Dated 6th }
Recd. .. } Novr 1877, No. 605.

Nos.

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs 180 to Pandy Ragavier late Vernacular Clerk Collector's Office Ching[illegible]

Cir: 23/10/77
Rec 6/11/77