

(1) Number of pages 20

(2) Date of repair 28.5.41

(3) Initial's of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revision DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 48

Press
Mis.

Dated 8-2-1877

Not to be folded or roughly handled

28.5.41

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

8th February 1877, No. 48.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers:—

From the Collector of Chingleput, dated 13th October 1876, No. 103.
" *the Accountant-General, dated 5th December 1876, No. 57.*

ORDER THEREON, 8th February 1877, No. 48.

The application will be refused: the claim having been allowed to sleep for fifteen years.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.
" Collector of Chingleput.

Ed. T. O'Connor.

3

Translation of Kaidah, taken from Moonosamy
 Kaidoo, son of Krishnamah Kaidoo, residing at
 Sappani Pillayarcoil Street, at Siva Conjeveram,
 Conjeveram Taluk, before Dorasamy Iyer, Tah-
 sildar of Conjeveram Taluk.

I had the post of peon and salary
 of one pagoda during the time of Sayer
 in the Town of Conjeveram. At that time I was
 at home at the time. I continued to hold
 this appointment till the abolition of
 the Sayer i.e. for about 20 years. The
 said Sayer was abolished subsequently.
 Orders were then sent to the Taluk to
 provide those, who were thus thrown out
 of employ, with situations. I was
 without employ for 6 months. During the
 time of Srivignesa Row's Tahsilship, I
 got the appointment of peon and one pagoda
 and was promoted to Rs 5 during the
 time of a Venjumbier's Tahsilship. I was
 then employed in the Taluk for about
 20 years. My name in the pay abstract
 was Bundy Moonosamy Kaidoo. I
 lost my appointment in the revision of
 establishments by a Mr. Pelly. After re-
 maining here for four or five months,

Gratuity.—Declining to grant gratuity to Munusamy Naidu, late Peon, Conjeveram Taluk Chingleput District.	
FEBRUARY.	
Bot. of 1877 PENSION.	THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, Secy, Secy, Secy
Dated February, 8th. Issued Recd.	G.O., 8th February 1877, No. 48. Sent.

I left for Mauritius owing to want of a livelihood. I returned here only two months ago I have ^{not} up to this moment applied for either gratuity or pension. My service in the Taluk and the Sayer, amounting to 40 years may be verified on reference to the Taluk records and the old books; and I request that under the circumstances I may be allowed either gratuity or pension. I presented a petition on the subject to the Collector on 18th October.

This is my *Kaifeeb*.

Conjeveram
2. November
1875

Signed Moomoosamy

Signed V. Dorasamy Iyer
Talukdar.

(Free translation)

M. M. M.

Collector.

Recd. L. D. 20. 10. 76

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

To be returned with reply on reverse.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
18th October, 1876.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Conjeveram

VIDE your Application for gratuity on behalf of B. Moomoosamy Iyer

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points -

1. What is the age of the applicant now -
2. Whether the pay bills or acquittances rolls of the Conjeeveram Taluk previous to January 1872 are forthcoming -

Side his Affidavit -

If not forthcoming why the Applicant's statement that he served in the Sayer Department for 20 years which will be from 1824. was not admitted -

3. When was the applicant transferred on Maramut works at Ennore. Please send copies of orders under which he was transferred and allowed to revert to his app't. in the Conjeeveram Taluk -

4. Where has the Applicant drawn his

I left for Mauritius owing to want

his pay during the period he was at
Europe; and in what capacity
has he drawn the same. & whether
as peron of the Marumut Estab^l or
of the Taluk Est^l. Conjevarum -

5. If he drew his pay in his capacity
as peron of the Marumut Estab^l
was that an Estab^l permanent -

Accountant General's Office
Recd 29/11 1876
Ans'd

W. H. H. H.
Accountant General

Reply.

1 The age of the applicant now, judging from appear-
ance is about 75 years.

2 No pay bills or acquittances of the Conjevarum
Taluk previous to Jan^y 1833 are forthcoming. The appli-
cant states that he does not recollect his having been
employed previous to 1833, & that his statement respecting
the 20 years service mentioned in his affidavit was a
mere guess & not the exact period of service.

3 The applicant states that on verbal orders issued
to him, he did his duty temporarily at Commore from July
to September 1851 & then reverted to his appointment in
the Conjevarum Taluk.

4 The pay of the applicant for July 1851 (as appears
from his statement) was sent from Conjevarum to Commore
and for August & September 1851 he drew his pay at Conje-
varum, on return to his own Taluk.

5 He drew pay as peron of the Conjevarum Taluk during
the period he was employed at Commore.

Saidapet
25th November
1876

W. H. H. H.

Collector

17093

No. 103.

Chingleput District, Collector's Office,

Saidapet, 13th October 1876.

From

R. W. Barlow Esq^r

COLLECTOR OF THE

Chingleput District.

To

R. W. Lodwick Esq^r
Accountant General.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Regis-
ter of Application for gratuity on behalf
of one Bundy Munnery Naidoo, late
a peron in the Conjevarum Taluk, and
to request that you will be good enough
to submit the same for the sanction
of Government.

2 The applicant's service has been
verified by public records. It appears
that he was thrown out of employ in
September 1860, during the general reor-
-gani- sion of the Taluk establishment under
Mr. Pelly's scheme, and, as he was not
provided with a place afterwards, he
proceeded to the Mauritius and re-
-turned in October 1875, when he applied
to

to me for a retiring allowance. Vide
enclosed translation of his statement
given before the Subildan of Coje
-veram.

1. The delay in the submission
of this case, was caused by references
made to the Subildan, the SubCollector
and the Treasury Deputy Collector
for the verification of the service.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t Servant

M. M. M.

Collector.

Account of General's Office
Recd 16 10 1873
Ans'd —

Encd L.P.

From
THE COLLECTOR
of Chingtepau.

APPLICATION FOR { ~~PENSION~~
GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Brude Munusamy Naidoo.*

2. Father's name. *Kistunamah Naidoo.*

3. Sect or Caste. *Balijah Viskunvite.*

4. Residence. *Conjeveram.*

5. Present or last employment. *Peon, Conjeveram Taluk.*

6. Date of beginning service. *1st January 1832.*

7. Date of end of service. *25th September 1860.*

8. Length of service including breaks

of which superior

inferior

non-qualifying and breaks... ..

9. Class of {pension } applied for, and cause of application* ...
{gratuity }

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 5.*

11. Proposed pension. *— " —*

12. Proposed gratuity. *Rs 30-0-0.*

13. Date from which to commence. *26th September 1860.*

14. Place of payment. *Taluk Treasury Conjeveram*

15. Age of Applicant *Age by Christian era Unknown.*
on this date.

16. Height. *5 feet 4 inches.*

17. Marks. *Right eye blind, white spots on his
both hands by disease, red cutaneous marks
on his breast.*

18. Date. *13th October 1876.*

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

M. J. J.
Collector.
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF

Munoo ayy Naidoo

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.		Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A. P.				Y.	M.	D.			
<i>Commissioner of Customs</i>	<i>Saper Bar</i>	3	"	"	17 Aug 1832	30 Jan 1834	6	"	"			<i>Service from 1st January 1832 to 30th January 1834 1800 including the period of 18 months during which the applicant was out of employment by abolition of Office in 1844 and the period of 6 months during which he was under suspension - shown in 1854 - has been verified from the records of the Collector of Customs - not being confirmed from the records of this Office for want of details.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	3	8	"	1 July 1834	28 Feb 1836	7	8	"			
	<i>Unemployed</i>				1 March 1844	31 Dec 1844	-	-	0	8		<i>Owing to the abolition of daybooks at this time.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	3	8	"	1 Nov 1844	7 Apr 1847	2	5	7			<i>It appears from the remarks in the Acquittance No. 6 of 1847 that this individual was transferred on business to the at Ennore.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	5	"	"	8 Apr 1847	30 Jan 1851	4	2	23			<i>It appears from the Acquittance No. 6 of 1847 that this individual was transferred on business to the at Ennore.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	5	"	"	1 July 1851	31 Dec 1854	"	4	"			<i>It appears from the Acquittance No. 6 of 1847 that this individual was transferred on business to the at Ennore.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	5	"	"	1 Nov 1851	23 March 1854	2	2	23			<i>It appears from the Acquittance No. 6 of 1847 that this individual was transferred on business to the at Ennore.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	5	"	"	24 March 1854	23 Dec 1854	-	-	-			<i>It appears from the Acquittance No. 6 of 1847 that this individual was transferred on business to the at Ennore.</i>
	<i>Do</i>	5	"	"	24 Dec 1854	25 Apr 1856	6	"	"			<i>It appears from the Acquittance No. 6 of 1847 that this individual was transferred on business to the at Ennore.</i>
Total period of ^{superior} service... inferior							27	4	23	1	2	0

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

Generally good
Case of suspension cannot be ascertained from the records of records, therefore the orders of suspension and re-instatement cannot be furnished.

c None.

That the applicant is inefficient owing to age and infirmity.

M. N. N.

Collector.
 SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in ^{inferior} grades has been duly proved for 27 years 4 months and 23 days; and that a ^{gratuity} ~~gratuity~~ not exceeding Rs. 30-0-0 equal to ^{inferior} ~~inferior~~ is admissible under Section the rules of the Civil Pension Code (The reduction of establishment in 1860. Shortly after which he left this for private time for employment for the *Fort Saint George* *Asst. Quarters Office* *1st December 1876*

and 23 days; and that a Compensation of gratuity
*calculations have been duly verified from the enclosed papers it would appear that the applicant was put out of employment by reduction of establishment in 1860. Shortly after which he left this for private time for employment for the *Fort Saint George* *Asst. Quarters Office* *1st December 1876**
gratuity - The cause of the subsequent delay in the submission of their application is explained by the Collector in the accompanying letter.

Accountant-General.

To The Under Secretary to Government Pension Department.

Fort Saint George
Asst. Quarters Office
1st December 1876

Pension 1876 Dept.
No. 871

APPLICATION FOR { Pension or Gratuity

Date of application.
13th October 1876.

Name of Applicant.
B. Muncey

Last Appointment.
Road

Class of { Pension or Gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

O. No. 48 of 1877.



+ he old "transit" duties - long at school

Refused - he can not receive claims at - lowest of school for 15 years

I think this is the correct principle - I will refuse - M.L.

B.M. 3/2

Pension No. 871

15

The applicant was a Sayer P.M. in the Corporation - he was then out of employ in the reduction of 1860 - his service at that time was upwards of 27 years - he had he applied, he would have obtained a gratuity compensation of Rs. 30 - He however left the country shortly afterwards for Mauritius & only recently returned, when he lost his time in copying.

Orders are requested
whether the claim
may be admitted - The
applicant's expense for
delay must be small,
but if the principle is
assented to ^{compensation} gratuities
are granted to ^{persons} ~~those~~
persons thrown out of
employ means of subsistence
until they can obtain
employment; then the
application is readily
admitted.

As we accept
has no hesitation
in passing the appln -
we need not do so, submit

Dr
(re)

20.1.77

Govt. of 1877 Madras.

Prusson

To the account of

Dated 8th February 1877.

Despo. 21st

G. O. 8th February 1877, No. 48.

Nos.

Declining to grant
gratuity to B.
Munassamy Naider,
late peon Conjeveram
Talug Chingle-
put District.