M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 82.

(2) Date of repair 8. 6. 41.

(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 326 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 20-6-1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

20th June 1877, No. 326.

Pension.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Tanjore, dated 12*th October* 1875.
„ *Officiating Accountant-General, dated* 10*th April* 1876, *No.* 28.
Petition from T. Renganatha Row, dated — May 1877.

ORDER THEREON, 20th June 1877, No. 326.

Application is made for the grant of a pension to Tanjore Renganatha Row, late Head Gumastah, Shealli Taluq, Tanjore District. His total service is thirty-two years, eleven months, and one day. Of this period the Accountant-General reckons fifteen years and three days as superior, and declares him entitled to one-third pension. The applicant, however, desires to count as superior, service rendered from November 1847 to June 1858, or 10½ years, on the ground that his total salary was not 10 Rupees but 14 Rupees, the difference being made up from Devastanum Funds. If this be allowed, he will be entitled to half pension, or Rupees 10. But the question is, allowing that Devastanum service counts, is the extra service which he performed such service? and of such an independent character as to count under Section 69, Clause 5, of the Civil Pension Code (3rd Edition)? Even had it been such the amount paid does not count for pension under Clause 6 of the same section as the emolument was only 4 Rupees and therefore inferior. The facts show that he drew the allowance as an addition to pay as Shroff, but he does not appear to have had any specific duties to perform, far less an independent post. Further, under Section 70 (*b*) 2 of the Code the allowance must be excluded even had he duties (Devastanum) to perform.

2. In these circumstances, His Grace the Governor in Council, agreeing with the Accountant-General, is pleased to grant to Tanjore Renganatha Row, late Head Gumastah in Shealli Taluq, Tanjore District, a superannuation pension of Rupees (6-10-8) six, Annas ten, and Pies eight per mensem, under Sections 56, 59 (*b*), and 60 of the above Code, for his qualifying superior service of fifteen years and three days, payable from the 5th July 1875.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

Govt. of Madras. {1877}

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated June, 20th
Issued
Recd.
Encl.

G.O., 20th June 1877, No. 326.

JUNE.

Pension.—Granting a superannuation pension of Rupees 6-10-8 per mensem to Tanjore Renganatha Row, late Head Gumastah, Shealli Talug Tanjore District.

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Tanjore Renganatha Row

2. Father's name. Venkasami Pandithar

3. Sect or Caste. Marattah Bramin

4. Residence. Shihali

5. Present or last employment. Head Gumastah in Shihali Taluq Tanjore District

6. Date of beginning of service 1st February 1842

7. Date of end of service — 4th July 1875.

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	33	5	4
of which superior	15	0	3
inferior	17	11	0
non-qualifying and breaks	0	6	3

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application.* Superannuation Pension under class C. Section 53 of Civil Pension Code - (2nd Edition)

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees - As. P. 20 - : - :

11. Proposed pension. 6 - 10 - 8

12. Proposed gratuity. 0 - 0 - 0

13. Date from which to commence. 5th July 1875.

14. Place of payment. Tanjore.

15. Age of applicant on ~~this date~~. 4th July 1875. He was born on 2nd April 1818 - Years. M. D. 57 - 3 - 2

16. Height. 4 Feet, 10 Inches.

17. Marks. Colour brown. Scar on inside of left leg about 3 inches above ankle bone. Mole about 4 inches below left nipple - Scar about 4 inches below right armpit - Scar about 1 inch above right eye-brow -

18. Date. - 12th October 1875

Accountant General's Office
Recd 18 / 10 1875
Ansd

Collector

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Renganatha Row Head Gumastah of the Shihali Taluq.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Mayaveram	Shroff	10	-	-	-	1st February 1842	31st December 1859	-	-	-	17	11	-	Superior Service	From the records of the Collector's Office	Does not count being service rendered in inferior grades.
Division sub-	Janabnavis	14	-	-	-	1st January 1860	29th February 1860	-	2	-	-	-	-			
Collector's Office	Gumastah	17	8	-	-	1st March 1860	30th June 1860	-	4	-	-	-	-			
Shihali Taluk	Head Gumastah	20	-	-	-	1st July 1860	19th August 1864	4	1	19	-	-	-			Verified from the records of the Collector's office, the verification being confirmed partly from the Annual Returns and partly from the audit Registers on record in this office.
	On private affairs leave (half pay)					20th August 1864	30th September 1864	-	-	-	-	1	12			
Shihali Taluk	Head Gumastah	20	-	-	-	1st October 1864	10th March 1865	-	5	10	-	-	-			
	Leave on forfeiture of (Full pay)					11th March 1865	31st March 1865	-	-	-	-	-	21			
Shihali Taluk	Head Gumastah	20	-	-	-	1st April 1865	30th June 1873	8	3	-	-	-	-			
	×(Out of Employ)					1st July 1873	31st October 1873	-	-	-	-	4	-	× Vide G. O. 10th Novr 1873 No 69 Communicated in Board's Procgs: 18th Novr 1873 No 7842 & the accompanying Copies of Correspondence –		
Shihali Taluq	Head Gumastah	20	-	-	-	1st November 1873	4th July 1875	1	8	4	-	-	-			
					Total period of {superior ~~inferior~~} service...	....		15	·	3						

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. None.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. } None.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. } None.

5. Any other remarks. Insufficient owing to age.

(Signed). M. R. Weld.
Hd Hd Asst Collector

Compd. AV

Collector.
SIGNATURE.

No 28

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for — 15 — years — " — months and 3 days; and that a superannuation {pension ~~gratuity~~} equal to 1/3 of his pay not exceeding Rs. 6-10-8. per mensem, is admissible under Section 56(b) of the Civil Pension Code. (The calculations have been duly verified.)

Out of 17 years and 11 months service as Shroff the applicant is reported to have been paid Rs 14-,,-,, a month for more than 10½ years - vizt from November 1847 to June 1858; this amount of Rs 14 was made up of Rs 10-,,-,, from General Revenues and Rs 4 from Devastanum Funds as such it does not appear to this Office that the applicant's pay for the period can be considered to have been more than 10 Government Rupees and his service therefore does not qualify for superior pension under Section 39(b) though he was a Shroff on the 22nd May 1858. With reference to the principle enunciated in para 3 of G. O. No 531 of the 13th September 1875 Pension Department, it will be seen from the enclosed reply memo of the 10th February last that the management of the Devastanum Fund was completely made over to the Trustees in November 1858.

An original petition from the applicant to the address of the undersigned dated 4th instant is herewith submitted. As to his statement therein that his service were in connection with "Nottukurnam Fund", the attention of Government is respectfully drawn to para 8 of the enclosure marked A and the Extract of orders marked G.

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
10 April 1876.

Edw Rules
Offg Accountant Genl.

To
The Under Secretary to Government.

Pension 1876 Dept.
No 25/
13859

Application for {Pension ~~or~~ Gratuity—

Date ~~of application~~.
12 Oct. 1875-

Name of applicant.
Ranganatha Row.

Last appointment.
Head Gumastah

Class of {pension ~~or gratuity~~.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Ex. W. Carter
No 328

Tanjore Hd. Assistant Collector's office
No. 274. Mayaveram 4th October 1873.

From,
M. R. Weld Esquire
Ag Hd. Ast. Collector
of Tanjore

To,
The Honorable D. Arbuthnott
Collector of Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for your consideration and orders the following report regarding the Case of Ranganatha Row, late Head Gumastah of Shialli Taluk.

2. On the 29th March 1873 he submitted his application for a pension on the ground that he is unable to conduct the duties of his post owing to old age (he was 55 years of age on the 5th April 1873). It was forwarded to your office with Mr. Martin's letter of the 1st April 1873, No. 83.

Enclo. 1

3. On the receipt of your answer of the 16th idem No. 347 refusing to accept his application for a retiring pension on the ground that he had not completed 30 years of Superior

Service so as to qualify him for a retiring pension without a medical certificate under Section 51 of the Pension Code; and directing that his case should be treated under section 50 or section 53 of the Code, Ranganatha Row was ordered to appear for examination before the Zillah Surgeon of Tranquebar and the latter officer was requested to furnish the former with a certificate as to his capacity or otherwise for further public Service.

× Enclo. 2

4. On the 29th idem Ranganatha Row produced a certificate to the effect that he is fit for further service. But as he still expressed his willingness to retire on a Superannuation pension and as he had then completed his 55th year, a report in the Tabular form was forwarded to your office by Mr. Martin on the 5th May 1873, No. 121, with a recommendation that his request may be complied with.

5. On the receipt of your endorsement order No. 401, dated 12th June 1873, communicating Board's Proceedings dated 30th May 1873, Miscellaneous No. 3306, to the effect that "Permission to remain in the service after attaining the age of 55 years not being required, the case need not be submitted to Government and directing that Ranganatha Row be permitted to retire from the Service and that the usual application be submitted for the grant of a Superannuation pension to him, he was permitted to retire from 1st July 1873, and the Tahsildar was ordered to send in the list of his services &c for preparing the application. These lists were then forwarded to the Treasury Deputy Collector for verification on the 29th July 1873.

Enclosure 3

6. From the returns made by him it appears that out of the total period of his service of 31 years & 5 months, 13 years, 3 months and 29 days only are of Superior Service, and the remainder 18 years, 1 month and 2 days are of inferior Service.

7. It appeared from the list of Services given by Ranganatha Row, that he was drawing a salary of 14 Rs per mensem as a shroff in the sub Collector's office for a period of 12 years 1 month and 11 days i.e. from 26th November 1847 to 5th January 1860. But the Deputy Collector's return shows that he was drawing

only 10 Rupees per mensem till 1st January 1860 for a period of 12 years 1 month and 5 days.

8. In his letter of the 12th September

× Enclo 4

1873, No 1278, the Deputy Collector informs me that while holding the appointment of shroff on 10 Rupees he was allowed an additional allowance of Rs 4 from the Devastanam fund from November 1847 to June 1858, after which date, the allowance ceased and he remained drawing 10 Rupees only. It would therefore appear that he is entitled only to a gratuity.

9. Ranganatha Row has forwarded

× Enclo 5+6

to me two petitions. In one of these he states that the sanctioned salary of the shroff's post which he held from November 1847, was Rs 10½ although he was drawing only 10 Rs out of it, and that the additional allowance of Rs 4 was paid to him as a special case, that he has not resigned his post, and that the Doctor has certified to his fitness for further service, and requests that if his service for the years during which he was drawing 14 Rs are not to be taken into account as qualifying

qualifying for a Superior pension, he should be restored to his post of Head Gumastah in consideration of his good services for a period of 31 years and those of his father for 40 years.

10. In the 2nd petition he states that the additional allowance paid to him was not from the Devastanam fund but from the Natkaranam fund which eventually merged into the Ayen or general revenues, and that the management of the Devastanams was made over to the Trustees long prior to that time, Viz: in the year 1843 with the Surplus funds then in the Government Treasury, And that he used to give only one receipt for the whole amount of Rs 14 as salary for the post, and requests that if his service for these years are not to be taken into account as superior Service he may be provided with a revenue Inspector's post or any other post of equal pay.

11. All these statements as to his pay have no bearing on the question.

12. As his service in the Superior Grade

amounts to 13 years 3-29, there remains only 1-8-1 to complete a service of 15 years, entitling him to a pension of 1/3 of his average salary emoluments under section 57 of the Code, & as the Civil Surgeon has certified to his fitness for further service while Mr Martin in the Tabular report sent in on the 5th May has expressed his opinion thus. "He is fit for further service at least for 5 years more but he complains of the work exacted from him which extends far into the nights and tries his eyes"; from which it appears that Ranganatha Row wanted to retire only because he was not able to go on any longer with the heavy work of a Treasury head Gumastah and as my Head Clerk tells me that Renganatha Row expressed his willingness to accept a Gumastah's post of even 15 Rs pay. I request that he may be appointed to some post to enable him to complete the Service necessary to qualify for pension.

13. In his letter of the 12th Ultimo, the Treasury Deputy Collector informs me further that "the pay of the applicant from April

to June 1873 which was recovered under instructions from the Accountant General for want of Government Sanction for his retention in the service as he has attained the age of 55 years not having as yet been paid to him, his service during those three months has to be excluded from the Service list." But as he has actually done the duties of his post during those 3 months and as the Board's order in his case was finally communicated to him only on the 20th June and as he was permitted to retire only from the 1st July 1873 as above stated, it is just and proper that he should be paid his salary for those 3 months and that his service should be included in the Service list.

14. I therefore request that you will be pleased to take the necessary measures for effecting the same.

15. All the papers connected with his case are herewith forwarded for your inspection & return.

I have &c
(Signed) W. R. Weld
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector

(True Copy)

H. Thomas.
Collector

Compd. AV

Rec. ch. No. 25/7/96

Tanjore Collector's office
21st October 1893

15

No 1850.

To
The Ag Head Assistant Collector.

Sir,

With reference to your letters of the 6th instant Nos 273 and 274, I have the honor to inform you that I have no appointments to give to the Head Gumastahs of the Mayaveram and Shiyali Talugs, and that, as in these obviously hard cases, the men cannot be kept waiting untill vacancies occur elsewhere, I see no alternative but that of permitting them to resume their respective posts, cancelling the arrangements which have been made in filling them.

2. If the Board's Standing Circular No $\frac{399}{16}$ which requires that, pending the final orders of Government on the application for pension, an acting incumbent only shall be appointed on acting pay has been attended to in these cases, no difficulty

need arise in permitting the ex-incumbents to resume their posts.

3. It certainly is not fair, that men who have served Government for upwards of thirty years should be kept out of employ for no other fault of theirs than that they applied for retiring pension under the erroneous belief that a portion of their services which under the present rules, does not qualify for pension, would reckon as pensionable service; at all events under the Standing order above quoted, it rests with those who have the power of appointment, to so arrange matters that those who apply for but fail to obtain a pension, are not precluded from returning to their posts.

I have &c

(Signed) D Arbuthnot

Collector.

(True Copy)

H. [illegible]

Collector

Compd AH

Tanjore - Head Assistant Collector's Offi
Mayavaram - 24th October 1873

No 292

From.

M. R. Weld Esquire
Ag Hd Asst Collector of
Tanjore.

To.

The Honourable D. Arbuthnott
Collector of Tanjore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 21st Instant, No 1050, I have the honor to inform you that I have, as therein directed, issued the necessary orders both to Narayana Row and Renganatha Row permitting them to resume their respective posts of Head Gumastah in Mayavaram and Shialli Talugs from the 1st November next.

2. I have directed the Shialli Tahsildar to send Vaithiyanadhaiyan back to Combaconam to join his post of Gumastah in the said Talug and request that you will be good enough to issue the necessary orders

Rev: Co: No 2557/1876

Orders to the Combaconam Tahsildar on the subject.

3. As Renganadha Row, Head Gumastah of Shialli, is now over 55 years of age, I request that you will be good enough to obtain the necessary sanction of Government for retaining him in the Service as also for paying him the salary for 3 months (from April to June 1873) which was recovered by the Treasury Deputy Collector under instructions from the Accountant General as stated in paras 13 and 14 of my letter of the 4th Instant No. 274.

I have &c

(Signed) M. R. Weld

Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector

(True Copy)

H. Thomas.

Collector

Ko.

Compd. AV.

Recd. A 2257/96

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Tanjore Renganatha Row.	Mahrattal Brahmin	Combaconum	Venkatasawmi Punditthar	Tanjore	2nd April 1818.	56	8	29	~~5~~ 4	10 ~~4~~	Colour brown. ~~A Mole on the left flank. a double mole on the belly. a scar of a small pox on the left leg.~~ Scar on inside of left leg about 3 inches above ankle bone. Mole about 4 inches below left nipple. Scar about 4 inches below right armpit. Scar about 1. inch above right eye brow.	31-12-74		[illegible] Collector

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Huzúr Saraph Mayaveram Division	Substantive Permanent	"	Rs. 10	–	1st February 1842		On private Leave half pay from 20th August 1864 to 30th September 1864 Do. Stopped Full pay from 11th March 1855 to 31st March 1855 Out of Employ from 1st July 1875 to 31st October ~~1st January 1873~~	Asst Collr	31-12-1859 25th November 1847	Transfer	
~~Do~~	~~Do~~	"	~~14~~	–	~~26.11.47~~				31-12-1859 ~~1.1.60~~	~~Transfer~~	
Huzúr Javabnaviz. Do	Acting Permanent	Huzúr Saraph	14	–	1.1.60				29.2.60	Promotion	
Huzúr Revenue Gumastah, do	Do	Do	17½	"	~~9.2.60~~ 1-3-60				30.6.60	Promotion	
Head Gumastah Shealy Taluq	Substantive Permanent	"	20	–	1.7.60				4.7.75		

3/7/75

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

[illegible] the service during the period being [illegible]
qualifying
the

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Tanjore Renganatha Row.
Caste or Race. Mahrattah Brahmin
Residence. Combaconum
FATHER'S Name. Venkatarama Pundithar
FATHER'S Residence. Tanjore
Date of birth. 2nd April 1818.

Reg. No. 248
Rs. 2/365

Rec. Lr. No. 25/7/76

A. S. Kumar.

2?10.75

31

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
20th October 1875.

FROM THE ~~DEPUTY~~ Asst. to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Tanjore.

VIDE your Office application for pension - - - on behalf of Tanjore Renganatha Row, Hd. Gomastah Shiali Taluq.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points :—

1. Whether the sanctioned pay of the appointment of Shroff Sub Collector's Office which the applicant held from November '47 was Rs. 10½ per mensem – vide para 9. of letter No. 274 dated 4th October '73 from the Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector Tanjore. If the sanctioned pay was Rs. 10½ why the applicant was paid Rs. 10 only. copy of orders on the subject should be sent.

2. Whether it was from Devastanum Fund or from Natkarum Fund that the applicant was paid from November '47 to June '58 an allowance of Rs. 4-0-0 p.m. in addition to his pay of Rs. 10-0-0 p.m. as Shroff. – vide paras 8. and 10. of the above cited letter.

(a.) When was the management of the Devastanum Funds made over to the Trustees.

3. Under what circumstances was the allowance of Rs. 4-0-0 p.m. paid to the applicant in addition to his pay of Rs. 10-0-0 p.m. from November '47 to June '58. full particulars on this point is requested.

4. It is observed that in the Applicant's Service Book he is stated to have been an acting Javabnevis from 1 January to 29 February '60 while in the application he is shewn to have been the permanent incumbent of it and the service during the period being held as qualifying

the

qualifying in the Superior scale. It is requested that the nature of the acting appointment may be explained. vide Section 17. Rule 2 of the Civil Pension Code.

It is further requested that the enclosures referred to in paras 8. and 9. of the Hd. Asst. Collr. letter above cited, may be sent to this Office for reference.

C. A. Crawley
Asst. to the Accountt. Genl.

29-10-75

No 4909
Forwarded to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury who will be good enough to furnish this Office with the ~~desired~~ information therein called for —

(To be returned)

27-10-75

Collector

Forwarded to the Rec. Keeper 30-10-75

42

7393

Rec: In No. 2570 of [illegible]

1

No. 529

33

Reply

Question 1. Sanctioned pay of the Shroff, Sub Collector's Office, was Rupees 10 only, not Rupees 10½ as stated by Ranganatha Row in the petition referred to in para 9 of the Head Assistant Collector's letter.

2. The additional allowance of Rupees 4 was paid to Ranganatha Row from November 1847 to June 1858 from Devastanam Fund.

(a) The management of the Devastanam Fund was completely made over to the Trustees in November 1858 but the Establishment was entirely discontinued from 1st July 1858.

3 This additional allowance appears to have been sanctioned on

the ground that his pay was small in comparison with the work received of him. Vide translation of the Sub Collector's order enclosed.

4. Ranganatha Row was appointed as Acting Javabnavees only, but as he drew full pay of the post his service in that appointment appears to have been shewn as qualifying in the application.

Enclosures referred to in paras 8 & 9 of the Head Assistant Collector's letter are herewith forwarded.

Vallam
10th February 1876
Compd. W

W. Turner.
Collector

Rec. [illegible] 1876

Accountant General's Office
Recd 15. 2. 1876
Ansd ___

Extract from the Diary of the Miscellaneous orders of Mayaveram Division.

To,
Mogabath Agalas Shasthaha
Latchmana Row.
Sheristadar Mayaveram Division.

Rama Row, Huzur Devastanum Gumastah having personally represented that his pay is insufficient to maintain his family while moving on circuit with the Cutcherry and requested that he may be transferred to the vacant post of Gumastah in Mayaveram Taluq, he has been made the Revenue Gumastah of the Taluq, which is now vacant and Rupees 4 out of his salary of Rupees 14 have been added to the 10 Rupees the present pay of Ranganadha Row. Huzur

Nazir Shroff.

It is therefore directed that Rama Row's name be removed from the Sumnavis (Individual or statement) and that of Ranganatha Row substituted for it from this date.

Camp Tharangambadi
24th November 1847

(True translation)

W. Turner.
Collector

compd. [illegible]

Pres. Lr. No. 25

Tanjore 12 September 1873.

No. 1278.

To
The Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector of
Tanjore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the Service list of Ranganatha Row late Hd. Gumasta of Shiali Taluk received with your letter of the 29 July last No. 184, together with the Tamil lists therewith received and to inform you that the corrections therein made in pencil are with reference to the lists recd. from the Vernacular & English Record keepers.

The applicant does not appear to have drawn a fixed salary of Rs. 14 from November 1847 to the date of his appointment as Javabnavis on 14 Rs. in Jany. 1860 but while holding the appointment of Shroff on 10 Rs. he was allowed an additional allowance of Rs. 4 from the Devastanam Fund from November 1847 to June 1858 after which date the allowance ceased and he remained drawing 10 Rs. only.

The Private affairs leave for 10 days stated to have been taken by the applicant from 9 May 1864

and

1864 is not entered in the leave statements kept at this Office -

The pay of the applicant from April to June 1873 which was recovered under instructions from the Accountant General for want of Govt. sanction for his retention in the service as he has attained the age of 55 years, not having as yet been paid to him, his service during those three months has to be excluded from the service list -

I have &c.
(Sigd) P. Seshagiri Row
Dy. Collector
(True Copy)
T. A. Narasimmiengar
Dy. Collector.

T.L.R. ppa

Recd No 235/1876

28

To
M. R. Weld Esquire
Head Assistant Collector
Tanjore district

The petition of Ranganadha Row, Head Gumastah of Shiali Taluq

I was to complete my 55 years on the 3rd April, 1873 when I appeared before Mr. C. W. W. Martin, the late Head Assistant Collector under his orders.

He asked me whether I was able to perform the duties of Head Gumastah or whether I would retire on pension; I told him that I would prefer retiring on pension to continuing as Head Gumastah observing that my duty as such was connected with the Treasury and could not be got through and that the accounts regarding it could not be prepared except with assistance of one privately employed. I also informed him that I was entitled to pension as I then completed a Service of 31 years. Thereupon the head Assistant Collector directed me to produce a medical Certificate as to my fitness or otherwise to continue in employment as out of my service of 31 years, there were only 25 years and 4 months during which I drew more than Rupees 10/-. I then presented myself before the Zillah Surgeon at Tranquebar who gave me a Certificate to the effect that I was fit for further service and I filed it in your office. my service list

having

having been sent to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury for verification. I hear that a service of 12 years from November 1847 to January 1860 was deducted from the above 25 years and 4 months as if during that period I was paid 4 Rupees from Devastanam Funds in addition to my Salary of Rupees 10. The aforesaid 4 Rupees were paid me not from Devastanam but from (Rat kurnam) village service fund. In 1843 the management of all Devastanam in the district was transferred from Government to Punchayat and Adinamdars together with the surplus funds of the Taraf villages belonging thereto and the Establishment therefor in the Government offices were dispensed with. As however Amins. Gumastah, Javatenavis, and Talayets &c thus thrown out were of very great use to the work of the Revenue department. it was proposed to entertain them in the Department and pay them out of Savings under that Kurnam Funds and Boards sanction also seem to have been obtained therefor. Out of the amount thus sanctioned Salvar Gumastahs and Muzar Kurnams were appointed on Rupees 6 each. Besides from the time I had the above increase only one receipt was given for the whole 14 Rupees. It appears further that that Kurnam Funds have been added to Imperial and that the service paid therefrom has been taken to count towards pension. In the same manner I think that I am also entitled to pension

If

If not I humbly request that I may be restored to my former post of Head Gumastah or that some other post of equal salary such as that of Inspector &c. may be conferred on me as detailed in Arzi dated 7th March 1873 from Kaliyana Ramier the late Acting Tahsildar.

Camp Sheali
28 September
1873

(True translation)

W. Thomas.
Collector

Compd AV

Rec. La No. 25/5/76

To

M. R. Weld Esquire
Head Assistant Collector
Tanjore district

The humble petition of Ranganatha Row Head Gumastah Shialli Taluq.

In February 1842 I obtained the place of Huzur Shroff the pay of which was Rupees 10 a month and in November 1847 Rupees 4 were added to it from Devastanam Fund. After a Service of 17 years and 11 months, I was made the Huzur Gumastah for 6 months from January 1860, and in July following, I became the Head Gumastah on Rupees 20 which is the post I held upto June 1873. I have been all along performing my duties correctly. Thus my service

Extends

for further service.

Under these circumstances I respectfully beg that you will be graciously pleased to direct my restoration to the post of Head Gumastah in order that I may serve Government for a few years more and thus maintain myself.

(True translation)

W. Turner.

Collector

Compd. etd.

D. Recd. No 25th 1846

To

The Accountant General

of Fort Saint George

Madras

The humble petition of

Rengan athachow Head Gumastah

of Sheally Taluq, Mayore District

Most respectfully sheweth

That your honor's petitioner humbly begs leave to state that he has been recommended by the Collector to be pensioned.

That your honor's poor petitioner has served in Government for a period of 33 years, of which 13 years were on the "Nollukurnam fund". The latter period has in several instances been counted towards pension and if the same indulgence be allowed to your honor's poor petitioner, he would also get half pension as mentioned in his former petition, and if that period be excluded, he would be entitled to only one third.

Your honor's petitioner is a poor man of la[illegible] consisting of 10 souls dependant on his earnings. He has no other means of subsistance except that which is to be given to him by the gracious Government.

The Medical Officer too in his certificate states that as your honor's petitioner passed 58 years he desires to be pensioned.

In his former petition your honor's poor petitioner has stated that he is not so able as to attend to the duties of Head Gumastah, who has to discharge the business connected with Treasury and would be perfectly willing to do the duties of a Revenue Inspector or a 2d. Gumastah in the Taluq.

He therefore humbly begs your honor to consider his case minutely and recommend him for half pension, and and to allow him to remain on the effective service for a period of three or four years on the effective service in any of the above capacities above stated as he is physically fit, in case of your honor's not disposal to think that he is not entitled to half pension.

For such an act of kindness and charity your honor's petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.

He begs to remain
Sir
Your honor's most obed.
and humble servant.

7th April 1876.

Head Gumastah

Accountant General's Office
Recd 5
Ansd } 4. 1876

Pass Rept

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT. W.S. Menon.
21. 2. 76

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
16 February 1876.

FROM THE Asst. to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Tanjore

VIDE your Application for pension on behalf of Ranganatha Row, & reply memo: No 529 d: 10 instant.

THE undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points.

1. With reference to reply 4. of the above memo: whether the post of Javabnevis which the applicant is stated to have held from 1 January to 29 February 68 was a full vacancy during the period. how was the vacancy caused. was the applicant who appears to have been first appointed as only an acting incumbent of it subsequently confirmed in it. if not confirmed, why?

2. From the copy of letter No 274 d: 4 October 73 from the Ag Hd. Asst. Collr. Tanjore, which accompanied the Register of application, it is observed that the applicant was retained in service after his 55th year solely to complete his service for 1/3 pension: information is therefore requested as to whether the applicant will be allowed to continue in service for a period of two months more, should his service of two months referred to in the first paragraph be rejected ~~with reference to Sec: 17 and its clauses and the replies that may be given by the Colly. in respect of the above enquiries since~~ his qualifying service in superior grades ~~will fall without the above said period of two months~~ will fall short of 15 years. Vide also G. O. No 551. d: 15 July 72 Rev. Dept.

Early reply is requested.

C. E. Crawley

Asstt. to the Accountt. Genl.

D. L. No 2574/146

(92)

1389

No 1404 Reply

53

1. Ranganatha Row appears to have been
appointed to act as Jamabundi on full
pay from 1st January 1860 for a period of
3 months in the arrangements effected
in filling up on probation the post of
Town Munsiff, which was permanently
vacant, and while so acting, he was,
on the 1st March 1860, promoted to the
post of Gumastah on 17½ Rupees per
mensem —

2 The applicant will not be
retained for a further period of 2
months in question, as he has in his
application dated 28th June 1875 (Trans-
lation enclosed) disclosed the truth
of his inability to discharge the duties
appertaining to his appointment.

Conformably to the Medical Opinion expressed in the Accompanying Certificate. The translation of the petition and the Medical Certificate, above referred to, will show that the applicant was not dealt with against the spirit of the G. O. dated 15th July 1872 No. 551 cited in the concluding part of the memo under reply.

If there is any doubt about the two months it will be much more in the true interests of Government to give him the benefit of them than to put him in office again as a cypher not really doing any work. He seems to have felt this himself even so far back as 29th March 1873, when he submitted his application for a pension on the ground of inability to conduct the duties of his post on account of old age – I think it would be very hard that for 2 months he should lose his pension –

6
Tanjore Collector's
office Vallam
4th April 1876.

H. Thomas
Collector

Exd AV

Accountant General's Office
Recd 7 4 .1876
Ansd

1076
From
the Collector of
Tanjore
To
The Accountant General
Madras.
Dated 28
Recd 3 April 1876
Enclosures 5
No. 1404
Reply to Accountant
General's memoran-
-dum dated 16th
February 1876 re-
-specting Ranga-
-natha Row recom-
-mended for
pension
Proceedings No 25
Dated 25/4/76

To

Murugesam pillai, Tahsildar of
Shiali —

The petition presented by Ranganatha Row, Head Gumastah, Shiali —

My service under Government up to June 1875 amounts to 33 years and 4 months and I am now completing my 58th year of age. During the last 15 years I am doing the duty of Head Gumastah under the new arrangement. My superiors are aware that the duties of the post of Head Gumastah — appertain to the Cash &c accounts and demand much more attention memory and labor than those of the appointments of any other Gumastah or Revenue Inspector; I am now unable to discharge such duties owing to old age.

I am, however, able to discharge the duties of any other post on equal pay like any other Officer, provided I can get it —

If it is found to be impossible to provide me with any other post on equal salary, I am ready to retire from my present post of Head Gumastah and pray for your recommending to Higher Authorities to grant me pension from 4th July 1875 —

(True Translation)

H. Tumal.

Collector

Rev: Lr No. 257/76
Exd N

1876
In a letter
From
The Collector of
Tanjore
To
The Accountant General
Madras
Dated 4
Recd } April 1876
No 1404
Petition presented
by Runganatha
Row to the Tahsildar
of Shealy

Pen: Let No 257 of 76

19-7-75

Certified that I have carefully examined Runganath Row son of Venkasawmy Row, head Goomasta of Shealli Talug in the Tanjore District.

His age is by his own statement 58 years and by appearance 58 years I consider Runganath Row to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of old age and infirmity.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular and intemperate habits.

V. B. Thomas
Surgeon
Zillah Surgeon

Negapatam
12th July 1875

Pen: Dt: No 257/76

Pension Dept No 257 65

Circulated for orders –

One T. Rengunatha Row late Hd. Gomastah, Taluk – Tanjore – applies for pension – his total service is ~~32 – 11 – 1~~ 32 yrs – 11 m – 1 day – of this period the Acct. General reckons 15 y – 0 – 3 as superior, & declares the applicant entitled to 1/3 Pension – He however desires to count as superior, service rendered from Nov/47 to June/58 on the ground that his total salary was not 10 Rs. but 14 Rs, the difference being made up from Devastanam Funds – If this be allowed he will be entitled to 1/2 pension – of or – Rs 10 –

10½ years

The case has been lying

over

as it was thought to be affected by the Devastanam Service question, now before the Govt. of India — Vide Correspondence with letter to Gt.
9th April 1877 —

It is however submitted that whatever the decision in that case may be it does not concern this —

The question is — allowing that Devastanam Service counts — is the extra service which he performed such service? — and of such an independent character as to count under clause 5th (b) Sec. 69? —

Even had it been such the amount paid would not have counted for pension under clause 6 of the same section, as the emolument was only 4 Rp. — therefore inferior — The facts show that he drew the allowance as an addition to pay as Sheriff, but he does not appear to have had any specific duties to perform, far less an independent post —

Further under Sec 70 (b) 2 "excludes (2) allowances given for duties performed in addition to the work of a regular appointment" the allowance should, it is submitted, be excluded, allowing even that he had duties (Devastanam) to perform —

Under these circumstances it is submitted that the Acct Genl's recommendation may be accepted

25-5-77

17/6

Pension No 257

C.P. 326 of 77

69

Recd 15-6-76

To

The Accountant General

Fort Saint George

Madras.

The humble Petition of Ranganada Row, late Gumastah Skealle Taluq, Tanjore District.

Most Honored Sir,

That your humble Petitioner's Services were dispensed with in 1875, As he was thought to be unfit for further effective Service, In consequence of his attainment of 55 years of age & the Collector recommended him for Pension. His Case is pending before your honor for the last 22 months, and the distressed Condition to which he is reduced on account of the present Famine, impelled him to apply to your Honor for Relief.

Although he has completed 55 years,

he is quite able to discharge his duty in any Capacity except that of the Hd. Gumastah. His mind is also sufficiently strong to enable him to do this.

He begs your honor to dispose of his application by granting him the pension to which he is entitled or to direct his restoration by permitting him to draw moiety of his Pay, undrawn by the present incumbent.

For such an act of kindness and charity your humble petitioner, as in duty bound, will ever pray.

He begs to remain
Sir
Your honor's most
obedt & humble
Servant
[illegible]

11—4—77

15

Accountant General's Office
Recd 12.4.1877
Ansd.

R.257/76

8

To

The Accountant General

Fort St George

Madras.

1.DEL
MADRAS
APR. 14

Petitioner is informed that the Application for Pension with the Office Report was submitted to Govt. in April 1876

[illegible]

13.4.77

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Fort Saint George

Madras

The humble petition of Runganatha Rou late head Gumastah of Shealy Taluq Tanjore District

Most respectfully Sheweth.

That your humble petitioner begs ~~leave~~ to state that he was dispensed with the Services for having completed 55 years of age, and recommended for pension to your gracious Government about April/76 as stated in the accompanying Endorsement of the Accountant General.

2. He hears now of the solution of the question regarding the Counting of service rendered to the State under the Pagoda funds should Calculate towards pension in their order No. dated. of the Financial Department

3. He humbly begs to request your

to place this petition for the favourable consideration of his the most noble his grace the Governor in Council and your honor ^to grant him his pension

and thus assist the poor old Servant of the gracious Government as he suffers much from the high prices at which the necessaries of life are sold.

For such an act of kindness and charity your petitioner, shall as in duty bound, ever pray.

He begs to remain
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Late Head Gumastah
Shealy Talug
now residing at Palliamangalam
Tanjore Talug

May 1877.

Pension 1877 Depts.

No. 312.

From
T. Renganatha Row.
Late Head Gumastah
Sheally Taluq
Tanjore District

Dated
Recd. 17 } May 1877.

States that his services were dispensed with under the 55 years order; that his application for pension was submitted to Govt. thro' the Accountt. Genl., who reported on it in April 76, and prays that pension may be granted to him.

With order

Pension

List

Order thereon May 1877 No.

Petitioner is informed that his application for pension will be disposed of on receipt of a reply from the Govt. of India to whom a reference has been made.-

To T. Renganatha Row.
Esq.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~letter~~ Papers:-

From the Collector of Tanjore, dated 12th October 1875.
" — Offg Accountant General, dated 10th April 1876, No. 28.
Petition from T. Renganatha Row, dated — May 1877.

Order thereon 20th June 1877 No. 326

Application is made for the grant of a pension to Tanjore Renganatha Row, late Head Gumastah, Shiyali Taluq, Tanjore District. His total service is 32 years 11 months and 1 day. Of this period the Accountant General reckons 15 years and 3 days as superior, and declares him entitled to 1/3 pension. The applicant however desires to count as superior service rendered from November 1847 to June 1858, or 10½ years, on the ground that his total salary was not 10 Rs. but 14 Rs, the difference being made up from Devastanum Funds. If this be allowed he will be entitled to ½ pension on Rs 10. But the question is, allowing that Devastanum service counts, is the extra service which he performed such service? and of such an independent character as to count under Section 69, Clause 5 of the Civil Pension Code (3rd Edition)?

Even had it been such the amount paid does not count for pension under Clause 6 of the same Section as the emolument was only 4 Rs and therefore inferior. The facts shew that he drew the allowance as an addition to pay as shroff, but he does not appear to have had any specific duties to perform, far less an independent post. Further, under Section 70 (b) 2 of the Code the allowance must be excluded even had he duties (Devastanum) to perform.

2. In these circumstances His Grace the Governor in Council, agreeing with the Accountant General, is pleased to grant to Tanjore Renganatha Row, late Head Gumastah in Shihali Taluq, Tanjore District, a superannuation pension of Rs (6-10-8) Six annas ten, and pies eight per mensem, under Sections 56, 59 (b) and 60 of the above Code for his qualifying superior service of 15 years and 3 days, payable from the 5th July 1875.

To The Accountant General with Regr. } despd 4/7/77
" " Collector of Tanjore " }

20.6.77

From orders

[illegible] T. Rengana
late 2d Gumastah,
Shealli Taluq, Tanjore

Govt of 1877 Madras.

Pension

To The Accountt Genl.

Dated 20th June } 1877, No 326
Recd.

Nos.

Granting a Superannuation pension of Rs. 6 " 10 " 8 per mensem to Tanjore Renganatha Row late 2d Gumastah, Shealli Talug of Tanjore District