M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages____________________

(2) Date of repair____________________

(3) Initials of the Referencer____________________

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 236 Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated 8-5- 18[illegible].

Not to be folded or roughly handled

K.V.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

8th May 1877, No. 236.

Pension.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of Salem, dated 5th January 1877, *No.* 33.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th January 1877, *No.* 1294, *Miscellaneous.*
Petition from N. Streenevassa Iyengar, dated 16th February 1877.
Report of the Officiating Deputy Accountant-General, dated 13th March 1877, *No.* 932.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM No. 9.

The undersigned is directed to request the Collector of Salem to forward the certificate required by Section 56, Rule 3, in the case of the application for a superannuation pension on behalf of N. C. Streenevassa Iyengar, he having petitioned the Government to effect that he is anxious to continue in their service.

Fort St. George, (Signed) H. B. GRIGG,
27*th March* 1877. *Acting Under Secy. to Govt.*

To the Collector of Salem.

From C. T. LONGLEY, Esq., Collector of Salem, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government, dated Camp Royacottah, 22nd April 1877, *No.* 225.

With reference to your Official Memorandum, No. 9, dated 27th ultimo, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the certificate required by Section 56, Rule 3, of the Civil Pension Code, in the case of the application for superannuation pension on behalf of N. C. Streenevassa Iyengar, late Tahsildar of Trichengode.

Enclosure No. 1.

CERTIFICATE.

I do hereby certify that the applicant, N. C. Streenevassa Iyengar, is, owing to old age and being above 56 years old, unfit for further service.

Royacottah, (Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
22*nd April* 1877. *Collector.*

Order thereon, 8th May 1877, No. 236.

Under Sections 56, 59 (*c*), and 60 of the Civil Pension Code (3rd Edition), His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Nullan Chuckravarthe Streenevassa Iyengar, late Tahsildar of Trichengode, in the Salem District, a superannuation pension of Rupees (110-7-1) one hundred and ten, Annas seven and Pie one per mensem, to which he is entitled for his qualifying superior service of 30 years and 4 days, payable from the 5th December 1876.

2. Streenevassa Iyengar's request to be reinstated on the ground that he is physically fit for further service and that he is prepared to undergo any medical examination if the Government deem it necessary cannot be complied with as the Collector has now certified, under Section 56, Rule 3, of the above Code, that he is unfit for further service owing to old age.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" the Collector of Salem.
" N Streenevassa Iyengar.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Govt. of 1877 Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated May, 8th.
Issued
Recd.

G.O. 8th May 1877, No. 236.

MAY.

Pension.—Granting a superannuation pension of Rupees 110-7-1 per mensem to N. C. Streenevassa Iyengar, late Tahsildar of Trichengode, in the Salem District.

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~}.

1. Name of Applicant. Nullan Chackravarthe Streenivassiengar

2. Father's name. N. Achalu Iyengar

3. Sect or Caste. Bramin

4. Residence. Salem.

5. Present or last employment. Tahsildar of Trichengode

6. Date of beginning of service 12th July 1837

7. Date of end of service 4th December 1876

		Y.	M.	D.
8.	Length of service including breaks	39	4	21
	of which superior	30	"	3
	inferior	1	2	28
	non-qualifying and breaks	8	1	23

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* ~~D~~ Retiring pension having completed requisite period of Service

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. — — — Rs. a. p. 220 - 14 - 2

11. Proposed pension. — — — 110 - 7 - 1

12. ~~Proposed gratuity~~.

13. Date from which to commence. 5th December 1876.

14. Place of payment. Salem.

15. Date ~~Age~~ of Applicant birth by Christian era ~~on this date~~. 1st September 1820

16. Height. — — — 5 ft 2 inches.

17. Marks. a longitudinal scar on the nape of the neck. a round scar on the chin - a small pit mark on the right side of the nose and a small mole below and inner part of left knee.

18. Date. 5th January 1877.

C. J. Longley

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Nullan Chackravarthi Streenivassiengar

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Razepur Talug	Sawyer Nevesuntha	7	〃	〃	〃—〃—〃	12th July 1837	9th October 1838	〃	〃	〃	1	2	28	Inferior grade		This period does not count being service rendered in inferior and Acting appointments
Out of Employ						10th October 38	11th May 40	〃	〃	〃	1	7	2	〃		
S. Arcot Collector's Office	Ag Hazur Sampathe	14	〃	〃		12th May 1840	11th September 1840	〃	〃	〃	〃	4	〃	Acting		
Out of Employ						12th Sept. 1840	31st Octr. 46	〃	〃	〃	6	1	20	〃		
Darampury Talug	Police Royasam	10	8	〃		1st Novr 46	31st January 47	〃	3	〃	〃	〃	〃			Verified from the records of the Collr of Salem, the verification being Confirmed partly from the Annual Returns and partly from Audit Registers on record in this Office.–
Salem Talug	Do	10	8	〃		1st Febry 47	30th Novr 49	2	10	〃	〃	〃	〃			
Utengheri Talug	Javabnevis	10	8			1st Decr 49	6th January 50	〃	1	6	〃	〃	〃			
Oosoor Talug	Police Royasam	10	8	〃		7th January 50	20th Febry 51	1	1	14	〃	〃	〃			
Sub. Collr's Office	Gumastah	20	〃	〃		21st Febry 51	22nd April 51	〃	2	〃	〃	〃	〃			
Do	Do	21	〃	〃		23rd April 51	31st Octr 51	〃	6	8	〃	〃	〃			
Do	Do	35	〃	〃		1st Novr 51	30th April 60	8	6	〃	〃	〃	〃			
Do	Do	30	〃	〃		1st May 60	30th Novr 60	〃	7	〃	〃	〃	〃			
	Total period of {superior ~~inferior~~} ~~service~~...															
Sentery Droog	Tahsildar	100	〃	〃		1st Decr 60	31st Decr 61	1	1	〃	〃	〃	〃			
Do	Sub-Magte	50	〃	〃		1st January 62	31st January 62	〃	1	〃	〃	〃	〃			
Collector's Office	2nd Clerk	50	〃	〃		1st Febry 62	24th Decr 62	〃	10	24	〃	〃	〃			
	Ag Tah: of Utengheri	50	〃	〃	100—〃—〃	25th Decr 62	30th March 63	〃	3	6	〃	〃	〃			
	2nd Clerk	50	〃	〃	〃—〃	31st March 63	28th April 63	〃	〃	29	〃	〃	〃			
	Sub-Magte of Saramungalum	50	〃	〃		29th April 63	30th April 64	1	〃	2	〃	〃	〃			
	Do of Sentery Droog	50	〃	〃		1st May 64	4th October 64	〃	5	4	〃	〃	〃			
	Ag: Tah: of Utengheri	50	〃	〃	100—〃—〃	5th October 64	31st Decr 65	1	2	27	〃	〃	〃			
	Tah: of Utengheri	150	〃	〃		1st January 66	19th October 69	3	9	19	〃	〃	〃			
	Sick leave					20th October 69	20th Novr 69	〃	〃	〃	〃	1	1			
	Tah: of Utengheri	150	〃	〃		21st Novr 69	17th June 70	〃	6	27	〃	〃	〃			
Ag	Tah: of Tripatore	150	〃	〃	50—〃—〃	18th June 70	30th June 70	〃	〃	13	〃	〃	〃			
	Tah of Tripatore	200	〃	〃		1st July 70	30th Septr 72	2	3	〃	〃	〃	〃			
	Tah of Trichengodu	225	〃	〃		1st Octor 72	4th Decr 76	4	2	4	〃	〃	〃			
							Total Service	30	〃	3	9	4	21			
							Superior									

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Fair -

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. "

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. "

5. Any other remarks. "

Collector

SIGNATURE.

Compd.

No 932

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ / superior} grades has been duly proved for 30 years ~~months~~ and 4 days; and that a Retiring {pension / ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 110-7-1 per mensem equal to half of his average pay is admissible under Section 61 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

Fort Saint George,
Accountt. Genls. Office,
13th March 1877.

W. Donald
Offg. Dy. Accountant-General.

To, The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Pension 1877 Depts.
No. 165.

Application for {Pension ~~or~~ ~~Gratuity~~

Date of application.
5th January 1877

Name of Applicant.
N. C. Streenevasaiengar

Last appointment.
Tahsildar

Class of {pension ~~or~~ ~~gratuity~~

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Encl. 1

In a letter from the Collector of Salem to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1877, No. 33.

Endt. No. 695/77

Application for retiring pension on behalf of Nallan Chakravarthi Streeni-vasaiengar late Tahsildar of Trichen-gode Taluq.

Walk order No. 236

Enclosure 29

No. 50.

9

To be returned with reply on reverse.

Audit Department.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
2d February 1877.

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

To The Collector of Salem.

Vide *your Application for* Pension *on behalf of* Nallan Chakravarthi Streenevasa Iyengar

The undersigned has the honor to request information on the following points —

1. Cause of "August 1835" having been entered as the date of applicant's employment in the Annual Returns of 1 May '51. and subsequent years up to '56. and in that of 1st May '60 —
2. Why was the Applt. shewn in the History of service to have been a Gumastah from 1 Novr. '51 to 30th April '60. while from the Annual Returns of 1 May of each year from 1851 to 1860. he appears to have been a Jarabinvis —
3. When did the Applicant return to duty from his Sick leave. Whether

whether on the morning or Evening of 20th November '69 – and what allowance he drew for the 20th.

4. Whether the applicant is known by the name of Nullan Chakravarthi Salem Streenivasiengar as his name was so entered in some of the Audit Registers on record in this office –

An early reply is solicited –

Moung Hla Oung

Asst to the Acct Genl

Reply

1. The cause of the entry August 1835 in the annual returns of 1851 to 1856 is not traceable from the records of this Office.

2. The applicant held the post of Jammabunnavis and not Gumasta from 1st November 1851 to 30th April 1860. The entry Gumasta is a clerical error, it is requested to be rectified.

3. The applicant returned on the 20th November but there is no record to show whether in the morning or evening. He drew his full pay for the 20th. The entry 20th November and 21st November are to be altered to 19th and 20th.

4. The applicant is known both by the name of Nullan Chakravarthi Streenevassiengar and Nullan Chakravarthi Salem Streenevassiengar.

Salem Collector's Office
10th March 1877

Compd B.K.

Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 13)
Ansd ___) 1877

25313

Lr No. 165 of 77

Acct Dept

			FATHER'S		THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.									
Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Name.	Residence.	Date of birth.	Age.			Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
						Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	In.				
Nallan Chackravarthi Sreenivasaiengar	Brahmin	Salem	A. Achalu Iyengar	Salem	1st September 1820	56	3	1	5	2	A longitudinal Scar on the nape of the neck. A round Scar on the chin. A Small pit mark on the right side of the nose and a small mole below and inner part of left Knee.	1st December 1876.		

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Sawyer Neresuntha Razipoor Taluq	Permanent	"	7 — — —	— — —	12 July 1837	S. Ry. Balasawmy pillay	S. Streenivasa Iyengar	C. D. Kaughey Collr	9 October 1838	dismissal	C. D. Kaughey Collr
Hazur (Gumastah) Sampratti South Arcot Dt.	Acting		14 — —		12 May 1848				11 September 1840	Return of Permanent incumbent	
Police Royasam (Gumastah) Darampoory Taluq	Permanent		10 – 8 –		1st November 1846				31st January 1847	Transfer	
Do. Salem Taluq	Do.		10 – 8 –		1st February 1847				30 November 1849	Do.	
Javabnavis. Ittengheri Taluq	Do.		10 – 8 –		1st December 1849				6 January 1850	Do.	
Police Royasam (Gumastah) Oossoor Taluq	Do.		10 – 8 –		7 January 1850				20 February 1851	Promotion	
Gumastah Sub Collr's office	Do.		20 — —		21st February 1851				22 April 1851	Do.	
Do.	Do.		21 — —		23d April 1851				31st October 1851	Do.	
Do.	Do.		35 — —		1st November 1851				30 April 1860	Revision of Estt.	
Do.	Do		30 — —		1st May 1860				30 November 1860	Promotion	
Tahsildar of Sunkerydroog	Do.		100 — —		1st December 1860				31st December 1861	Revision of Estt.	
Sub Magistrate of Do —	Do.		50 — —		1st January 1862				31st January 1862	Transfer	
2d Clerk Collector's office	Do.		50 — —		1st February 1862				24 December 1862	Promotion	
Tahsildar of Ittengheri Taluq	Acting	2d Clerk Collr's office	50 — —	100 — —	25 December 1862				30 March 1863	Return of Permanent incumbent	
2d Clerk Collr's office	Permanent		50 — —		31st March 1863				28 April 1863	Transfer	
Sub Magistrate of Taramungalum	Do.		50 — —		29 April 1863				30 April 1864	Do.	
Sub Magt. of Sunkerydroog	Do.		50 — —		1st May 1864				4 October 1864	Promotion	
Tah: of Ittengheri Taluq	Acting	Sub Magt. of Sunkerydroog	50 — —	100 — —	5 October 1864				31st December 1865	Do.	
Do	Permanent		150 — —		1st January 1866				17 June 1870	Do.	
		Privilege leave for one month from 3d November 1868 and Sick leave for one month from 20 October 1869.									
Tahsildar of Tripatore	Acting	Tah: of Ittengheri	150 — —	50 — —	18th June 1870				30 June 1870	Do.	
Do	Permanent		200 — —		1st July 1870				30 September 1872	Do.	
Tahsildar of Trichengode	Do.		225 — —		1st October 1872				4 December 76	Applied for pension	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signatu & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination e. g., *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Nullamchakravarthi Streenivasa Iyengar

Caste or Race. Braminn

Residence. Salem

FATHER'S.	
Name.	T. Achala Iyengar
Residence.	Salem

Date of birth. 1st September 1820

Sd/ [illegible] 29/1/77.

Encl. 2.

In a letter from the Collector of Salem to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1877, No. 33.

Service Book of Nullam Chakravarthi Streenivasa Iyengar late Tahsildar of Trichengode Taluq.

Sd/ [illegible]

1585

21

Revenue Department.

Mis: No: 1294

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Dated 29th Jan: 1877.

Read the following:—

No: 33.

From.
The Collector of Salem.

To.
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application for a retiring pension under Sec: 54 of the Civil Pension Code on behalf of Nullam Chakravarthi Streenivassa Iyengar, late Tahsildar of Trichengode & to request that the Board of Revenue will be pleased to submit the same for the sanction of Government through the Accountant General.

2. Streenivassa Iyengar's service book is enclosed & his services were verified by the Treasury Dy Collector from the record of this office.

3. Streenivassa Iyengar's service began on ~~the~~ 12th July 1837 & his service up to 31st October does not reckon, having either held acting appointments or been without employment. The cause of his dismissal from Neersunthai place is not known as there are no records to shew it.

4. Streenivassa Iyengar's service from 1st November 1846, is uninterrupted & qualifies. His reductions from Rs 35 to Rs 30 on 1st May 1860, & from

Rs 100 to Rs 50 on 1st January 1862 were owing to the revision of the Huzur & Taluq establishments which took place in the year 1860, & 1862 respectively.

Salem Collr's office
Jan: 1877

I have &c
(Sd) C. J. Longley
Collector

Transferred to the Accountant General to whom the application should have been forwarded direct.

(True copy of Extract)

Ag Sub Secretary

To
The Accountant General, with 2 Encls.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 20 1 1877
Ansd ___

4 88
25

3A

Certificate

I do hereby certify that the applicant N. C. Streenevasa Iyengar is owing to old age and being above 56 years old unfit for further service.

C. Longley
Collector

Salem Collr's Office
Camp Royacotta
22nd April 1877

Proceedings of the
Bd: of Rev.

Dated 29th Jan: 1877.

Pension application —
late Sheristadar of
Trichengode — Taluk of —
Forwarding to
Acctt. Genl: —, sub-
-mitted by the Collr;
21355

Rev: Ca No. 165/77

No. 225.

Salem Collector's Office
Camp Royacotta
22nd April 1877

From
The Collector
of Salem

To
The Ag Under Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memo. No. 9 dated 27th Ultimo I have the honour to forward herewith the Certificate required by Section 56 Rule 3 of the Civil Pension Code in the Case of the application for superannu-ation

---

In a letter from the
Collector of Salem to the
Ag Under Secretary to Govt.
No. 225 dated 22d April 1877

Certificate

In No. 267 App

21338

Pension on behalf of
N. C. Streenevasa Iyengar
late Tasildar of Trichengode.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
your most Obedient Servant
C. J. Longley
Collector

Pension 1877 Dept

No. 267.

From The Collector of Salem

To The Actg. Under Secretary to Government

Dated 22d
Despd 24 } April 1877
Recd 26

No. 225

Enc: 1

Forwarding the certificate required by Section 56 Rule 3 of the C. P. Code in the application for superannuation Pension on behalf of D. C. Srinivasa Iyengar late Tahsildar of Trichengode

---

Original G.O. of the Pension Department of 8th May/77 No. 236 with its enclosure taken for Rev. Cl. No. 4733/77

Mayor

29 November/77

501
17/2/77
Gove. Encl.

To

His Grace the Most Noble the Duke of Buckingham and Chandos, Governor in Council, Fort Saint George.

The Humble Petition of N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR, *2nd Class Tahsildar of Trichengode Taluq, Salem District.*

Most Respectfully Sheweth.

That this is an appeal against the accompanying orders of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd ultimo, passed on Petitions, Nos. 3065, 3127 and 170, presented to them by Petitioner, in reference to the Collector Mr. Longley's compelling Petitioner to retire from the Government service. He begs respectfully to enclose, herein, the Petitions and their accompaniments for the information of your Grace's Government.

2. In their Endorsement now appealed against, the Board of Revenue seem to believe that the Petitioner had himself voluntarily applied for Pension and they, therefore, say that his "request to be permitted to withdraw his application for Pension and to remain in the service cannot be complied with." The Petitioner would beg leave to point out that, where a person is disabled either by sickness or general physical debility, he would naturally be expected to apply for and retire on Pension; but the Petitioner is, in no way, so incapacitated, and the Members of the Board of Revenue themselves have personally seen Petitioner, and are satisfied of his strength and physical fitness for further service of Government. The Petitioner is ready to undergo any Medical examination to be reported fit by competent Medical authorities, if your Grace's Government be pleased to deem it necessary. The Petitioner is a servant of 30 years' standing, efficient in work, and unblemished and spotless in character, as would be seen from the many certificates granted him by several gentlemen. While the Petitioner is, in every way, qualified for further service, how could he be reasonably expected to have applied *voluntarily* to retire from service and if, as the Board seem to believe, he has willingly volunteered to retire, he would not have now come forward to complain; but, on the other hand, he should be glad to retire on his well-earned Pension. These are matters to which the Petitioner would particularly beg to draw the attention of your Grace in Council. But it may reasonably be asked why the Petitioner should have made an application at all for Pension. The only answer that the Petitioner could make is, that the so-called voluntary application is no other than the result of coercion and force brought to bear upon him by the Collector Mr. Longley. Thinking that the Petitioner had com-

pleted a thirty years' service and was 55 years old, the Collector pressed upon the Petitioner for an application for Pension. Though the Petitioner informed that officer of his unwillingness to retire from service on account of his strength and physical fitness for further work, he could not be prevailed upon to desist from forcing Petitioner into applying for Pension. The fact is that, simply because the Petitioner believed and feared that a positive refusal to comply with the Collector's requisition, would be the means not only of spoiling the good opinion entertained regarding his past services, but also of endangering his own future prospects by *reduction*, &c., which the Collector's words plainly insinuated, the Petitioner was *unvoluntarily* obliged to put in his application for Pension; trusting, of course at the same time, that his wrongs, if properly laid before the superior officers of Government, will be properly attended to and redressed.

3. The constant pressure brought to bear upon Petitioner to retire from service and the way in which his application for Pension was pressed, are fully detailed in his annexed Petitions to the Board of Revenue, to which the Petitioner begs leave respectfully to solicit the attention of your Grace's Government. The Petitioner, while continuing in his office, was precluded from bringing these circumstances earlier to the notice of the Board of Revenue, lest, in that case, the Collector should be displeased with him and report against him to the Board; and being now out of office, he takes this opportunity of laying his wrongs and grievances before your Grace's Government.

4. Besides the compulsory retirement of the Petitioner, while still physically able and fit for further public service, is not only contrary to the orders of Government, but also it is, by all means, to be deprecated, especially at a time when the Empire is passing through a financial crisis.

5. In conclusion, the Petitioner most respectfully and humbly requests that, under the above circumstances, your Most Noble Grace and worthy Councillors, will be pleased to issue orders for Petitioner's retention in his office of Tahsildar and Magistrate, as long as he is able and physically fit for further duties and efficient service.

For this act of kindness and mercy, your Grace's
humble Petitioner, as in duty bound,
SHALL EVER PRAY.

16th *February* 1877.

N. Streenevassa Iyengar

33836
Blank sheet
37

No. 3065.

To
THE HONORABLE THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF REVENUE, MADRAS.

The Humble Petition of N. STRENIVASSA
IYENGAR, *2nd Class Tahsildar of*
Trichengode, Salem District.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH.

That your humble helpless Petitioner most respectfully begs to submit the following—soliciting the generous attention of the charitable and Honorable Members to the reasonableness of the Petitioner's request, and begs at the same time to enlist their sympathy to his sad and unwilling transaction after an arduous, faithful and continuous service of 30 years under Government.

2. That at the Zamabundy in June last, the Collector (Mr. Longley) first mooted the proposition of the Petitioner's retirement from service, the unfairness of which was so well exhibited by the Petitioner, that the Collector condescended to grant him cumulative privilege leave for 3 months to commence from 1st September 1876. Your Petitioner under fear of being treated with insubordination, accordingly * applied for the leave on the 10th of June, and the leave was sanctioned on the 12th of the same month by the Collector.

* Application for leave and Takeed granting it, enclosed (to be returned).

3. That the Collector subsequently becoming aware of the Rule disqualifying a public servant to strike for Pension till after a lapse of 6 months from the date of his return from privilege leave, issued a Takeed † directing the Petitioner to appear before him on the 14th August which was accordingly done. The Collector then insisted on the Petitioner's getting his sanctioned leave cancelled, which the Petitioner humbly begs to submit he desisted. But the powerful position of the Collector soon prevailed, and your helpless Petitioner had to write down what was dictated to him by the Collector under similar fear of being treated with insubordination.

† Dated 11th August, No. 92, enclosed (to be returned).

This Urzi purporting to cancel the sanctioned privilege leave bears also the date 14th August and delivered it to the Deputy Collector, the Divisional Officer then in company with the Collector, and what crowns this is, that the Collector signifies his approval ‡ on the application addressed to the Deputy Collector, and that on the same date.

‡ Vide Takeed, No. 93, dated 14th August 1876, enclosed (to be returned.)

4. That your Petitioner's service of 30 years completed on the 30th (ultimo) November 1876. About the close of November, both the Collector and the Deputy Collector visited Petitioner's Head Quarters, and verbally intimated to him, that he should retire on the 30th of that month. Finding that his application did not reach him on the due date, the Collector sent the Deputy Collector again and got the application for Pension from your Petitioner, and unwilling as he is to retire, he was forced to write an Urzi (enclosed) purporting his retirement and to deliver over charge of his Taluq.

Takeed—Vide 2nd December 1876, (to be returned.)

In No 501 of 77.

5. That the Collector first granted leave himself by a Takeed, closely followed by another, ordering Petitioner's presence at Salem. Thither repaired the Divisional Officer, and was found in company with the Collector. The application cancelling the Petitioner's leave was addressed to the Divisional Officer, but the approval was signified by the Collector. These concurrent circumstances happening on one and the same day, viz., 14th August 1876, are evidently too significant to escape the notice, even at a glance, of the august Members that force was brought to bear upon your Petitioner in the retirement. Neither was anything wanting in the capacity of the Petitioner as a Tahsildar. No blot has ever been found on his character or capacity by his present or past superiors, to which fact the Honorable D. Arbuthnott will bear testimony, nor is he physically unfit. He is robust and strong and is willing to undergo any medical examination to which the Honorable Board may subject him. Your Petitioner therefore craves that the Honorable Members will be graciously pleased to permit him to continue in his service for sometime longer, which act, he begs to submit, will not only be beneficiently encouraging to employés having long and faithful service, but also curtail additional expense involved by bringing in new and pensioning old servants, unnecessarily giving the Petitioner the benefit of the rules retaining public faithful servants in service till they are capable of doing duty.

Originals of certain testimonials enclosed. Others, it is submitted will be found in the Service Register, (to be returned.)

6. For this act of kindness, your Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

(Signed) N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR.

MADRAS, *9th December* 1876.

ENCLOSURES.

4 Original Takeeds.
3 Copies of Urzies.
7 Copies of Testimonials.

Postscript.—The kind protection and indulgence shewn to Mrs. Bujunga Row, Tahsildar in Chingleput District, Venkataroyloo Naidu, Tahsildar in Negapatam District, and many other faithful servants in similar cases may be extended in Petitioner's favour.

(Signed) N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR.
(A true copy.)

No. 3127.

To

THE HONORABLE THE MEMBERS OF THE
BOARD OF REVENUE, MADRAS.

The Humble Petition of N. STRENIVASSA
IYENGAR, *2nd Class Tahsildar of Trichengode Taluq in Salem District.*

MOST HUMBLY SHEWETH.

That in continuation of his petition, dated 9th December 1876, your Petitioner now most respectfully begs to add that he was promoted in October 1872 to his post of 2nd Class Tahsildarship on salary of 225 Rupees a month, and that to complete 5 years' service needs about a year, so as to entitle him to a half Pension in this

salary; he therefore most humbly prays that this circumstance herein submitted may also be taken into kind consideration in connexion with that craved in the former petition.

For which act of kindness Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever Pray.

(Signed) N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR.

SYDAPETT, *14th December* 1876.

(A true copy.)

No. 170.

To

THE HONORABLE THE PRESIDENT AND MEMBERS
OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

The Humble Petition of N. STRENIVASSA
IYENGAR, *2nd Class Tahsildar of Trichengode in the District of Salem.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH.

1. That Petitioner presented 2 petitions on the 9th and 14th ultimo relative to the compulsory means employed by the Collector for causing Petitioner to retire from the service, submitting papers in support of Petitioner's case.

2. That Petitioner never applied for retirement from the service voluntarily; but owing to the malicious advice of Gettala Sahib, the Acting Deputy Collector, who filled the post of a Deputy Tahsildar of North Arcot District on a small salary, and whose ambition it was to supplant Petitioner, Petitioner was compelled to present an application for retirement from the service, seeing that the Collector himself Mr. Longley was willing to see Petitioner retired, as he had already completed a service of 30 years.

3. That the Collector himself compelled Petitioner repeatedly to resign his Tahsildarship. Fearing that some blame may be attached to Petitioner's character since the Collector was bent upon Petitioner leaving the service, and moreover as that gentleman himself dictated the substance of Petitioner's application for retirement, Petitioner could not but send in the application howsoever reluctantly. In the meanwhile Gettala Sahib having been appointed Tahsildar of North Arcot District, Petitioner's post was not given to him.

4. That on coming to know that Petitioner was in Madras for the purpose of having his grievances redressed by an appeal to your Honorable Board, the Collector sent for Petitioner, and severely reprimanding him, wrote a Report against Petitioner to the Board while his case was pending with the view of ruining Petitioner, which of itself is proof positive of the Collector's antagonism against him.

5. That on Petitioner coming to Madras and presenting his appeal for the resumption of his duties, the Collector sent him the orders of the 11th and 16th December 1876, requiring Petitioner's presence before him. On Petitioner's presenting himself before the Collector, he was very much enraged and threatened to submit his Report to your Honorable Board impugning his fair fame and character so dearly earned for the long period of 30 years and from various great gentlemen under whom Petitioner had, had the honor to serve. Hence the Board clearly perceives that Petitioner did not apply for his retirement from service of his own free will and accord.

6. That the Collector states in his Report that Petitioner is not equal to th task devolving on one connected with the Relief Works; whereas Petitioner in point of fact brought the Taluq to a state of great fertility.

7. That Mr. Longley is a straightforward gentleman, and promoted Petitioner to his present position, but owing to Gettala Sahib's machinations, that good gentleman is now quite opposed to Petitioner's interests.

Under these circumstances Petitioner craves your Honorable Board to order Petitioner to resume the assumption of his duties as Tahsildar, as he is fully competent both physically and mentally to do so, for he has only one year more to complete the term qualifying him for a moiety of Pension on his present salary of 225 Rupees.

For this act of benevolence Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

(Signed) N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR.

MADRAS, 12*th January* 1877.

(A true copy.)

Endorsement on Petitions, Nos. 3065 and 3127 of 1876 and 170 of 1877.

Petitioner's request to be permitted to withdraw his application for Pension and to remain in the service cannot be complied with.

(By order.)

(Signed) A. GALTON,
Ag. Sub-Secretary.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE, MADRAS, 22*nd January* 1877.

(A true copy.)

URZI No. 1.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF SALEM.

The respectful Urzi of the Tahsildar of Trichengode.

SIR,

I beg to inform you that, by 30th November of this year, I complete my 30 years' good service, and that, as I am 55 years old, it is my desire to retire from the service from December next. I am entitled to three months' privilege leave. I request that you will be pleased to grant me 3 months' privilege leave on private affairs from the 1st of September next, and at the expiration of the leave, to recommend me for good service Pension.

I am not only a servant of 30 years' standing, but also have obtained certificates of good character from several European gentlemen, and have carefully and satisfactorily performed the Circar duties. I have no relation of mine employed in Government, and I, therefore, request that you will be good enough to give some employment to any one of my relatives on my retirement.

I am, your most obedient servant,
(Signed) N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR,
Tahsildar.

SUNKAGHERRY, 10*th June* 1876.

(A true translation.)

N. Streenevassa Iyengar.

TAKEED No. 51.

To

N. STRENIVASSA IENGAR,
Tahsildar of Trichengode Taluq.

We have received your Urzi of the 10 instant. Privilege leave for 3 months has been granted to you from the 1st September as requested therein. We are glad to recommend you for good service Pension as requested by you from the 1st of December the date on which the leave expires.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

12*th June* 1876.

(A true translation.)

TAKEED No. 92.

To

N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR,
Tahsildar of Trichengode.

As we have occasion to speak to you, you should appear before us on Monday the 14th instant.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

11*th August* 1876.

(A true translation.)

2ND URZI No. 4.

No. 1.

To

THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR IN CHARGE,
NAMKUL DIVISION.

The Urzi of the Tahsildar of Trichengode Taluq.

SIR,

My good service of 30 years come to a close by the 30th November next. In my Urzi dated 10th June last, I informed the Collector that I would do my duties to the end of August, and that from the 1st of September, he would be pleased to grant me three months' privilege leave to the end of November. The Collector in his Order No. 51, dated 12th idem, informed me that my request would be granted. I do not wish now to avail myself of the said 3 months' privilege leave. I hereby inform you that I myself shall continue to do the same duties of the Tahsildar for these 3 months, and request you that you will be good enough to recommend me, through the Collector, to good service Pension from after the expiry of the said 3 months.

I am, your most obedient servant,
(Signed) N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR,
Tahsildar.

SALEM, 14*th August* 1876.

(A true translation.)

Ind No 501 of 77

TAKEED No. 93.

To

THE TAHSILDAR OF TRICHENGODE.

Your Urzi of the 14th instant has been read out to us.

Permission was granted to you as requested in the Urzi submitted by you on the 10th June last, to the effect that you will retire after the 30th November 1876, the date on which you complete your good service, and that you may be permitted to conduct the duties until the month of August and to obtain 3 months' privilege leave from the 1st of September. Now in your Urzi you state that you do not require the privilege leave, and request that you may be recommended for good service Pension after permitting you to conduct the duties until the 30th of November. Permission is granted accordingly. You should retire on the 1st of December and recommendation will be made from that date for Pension.

14th August 1876.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

(A true translation.)

No. 124.

3rd URZI No. 6.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF SALEM.

The respectful Urzi of the Tahsildar of Trichengode.

SIR,

I beg to inform you that I am 56 years old, and I have, up to date, completed 30 years' service. I have been a careful and trustworthy servant of Government and have given entire satisfaction to my superiors. I request respectfully, therefore, that you will be good enough to recommend me to the superior officers of Government for good service Pension from the 1st of December next.

I am, your most obedient servant,

(Signed) N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR,
Tahsildar.

HEAD QUARTERS, *30th November* 1876.

(A true translation.)

TAKEED No. 100.

To

STRENIVASSA IYENGAR,
Tahsildar of Trichengode.

We are in receipt of your Urzi No. 124 of the 30th November last, wherein you request that you may be recommended for Pension from the 1st instant as you are of 56 years of age, and of 30 years' service.

As that Urzi signifies that you have submitted a resignation for your post, we have accepted the same. Recommendation for your Pension will be made in the usual course.

You should deliver over charge of the Tahsildary to Moonesamy Pillay, who is now doing the duty of Sheristadar, report the same to us, and appear before us.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

2nd December 1876.

(A true translation.)

Order to Strenivassa Iyengar, late Tahsildar of Trichengode Taluq.

Order has already been sent to you requiring your appearance before me, but you did not do so to this moment. On the receipt of this order you will appear before me for the purpose of preparing a Muster roll of your pension. If you fail to attend before me, I cannot submit my recommendation for granting Pension to you—you will know this.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

11th December 1876.

(A true translation).
N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR.

Order to Strenivassa Iyengar, Tahsildar of Trichengode Taluq.

Your Urzi of the 14th instant has been read.

You will not fail to make your appearance before me on Friday the 5th January ensuing—know this.

(Signed) C. T. LONGLEY,
Collector.

16th December 1876.

(A true translation).
N. STRENIVASSA IYENGAR.

N. Streenevassa Iyengar

Pension 1877 [illegible]

Government of Madras.

No. 158. A.

Rev. DEPARTMENT.

Petition No. 501 of 1877.

From N. Sreenevasa Ayengar,

Occupation Second Class Tahsildar of

Village

Talook Trichengode

District Salem

Dated 16th } February 1877.
Received 17th } February 1877.

Enclosures One

ABSTRACT.

Appealing against the accompanying orders of the Board of Revenue, States that pet. did not voluntarily applied for pension, as the Board presume him to have done; that his so-called voluntary application is no other than the result of coercion & force brought to bear upon him by Mr Longley, the Collector; that pet. is physically fit for further service, though he has completed a service of 30 years, and attained the age of 55 years; that he is prepared to undergo any Medical examination if the Govt. deem it necessary; that he is a servant of 30 years standing, efficient in work, & unblemished & spotless in character, as would

would be seen by his
testimonials.)
Prays, therefore,
that the Govt may direct
his retention in the ser-
-vice as long as he is
physically fit for further
efficient service.

Pension

M. C. Streenevassa Iyengar –
Tahsildar – Salem.

The application for pension on behalf of the above official is circulated for orders with reference to His Grace's note on Rt No 501/77 that "the proper certificate of invalidity was not of the rule":

It is observed that the certificate required by Rule 3 of Sect 56 C.S.R. viz – that the chief of his office must certify under head of "Any other remarks" that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity – has not been forwarded

It is submitted that the Collector should be called on to supply the omission – As a rule officers under 60 should be retained – The applicant is about 57 years of age

[illegible] 19.3.77

The proper certificates must always be given in the terms of the rules – or acts to ~~[illegible]~~ before applications come to Govt – [illegible] going only memorandum to the Coll. entirely [illegible] BL 23/3

Make the reference by O.M. not G.O. This is a mere office matter & does not need orders. The rule is express. The Coll. should supply the required compliance. [illegible]

Yes BL 22/3

See Note * Sect 56 Pension Code p. 2.

V P

O. M. No. 9

The undersigned is directed to request the Collector of Salem to forward the certificate required by Sect 56, rule 3, in the case of the application for a superannuation pension on behalf of Mr. C. Sheenivassayyar he having petitioned the Govt to effect that he is anxious to continue in the service ~~of Govt. [illegible]~~ ~~his resignation~~.

Fort St. George
27 March/77

24.3.77

To the Collr. of Salem

c:20/3/77

Pension

Sheenivassa
Superintendent

Salem

As the Coll. has now certified that the applicant is unfit for further service owing to old age nothing can be done — His pension must be passed

26.4.77

O W 236

RD

51

Petition No 501 of 1877

None that I can see

Govt. refuse to interfere — the Collr. is the best judge of the fitness of an old Sub Magt of further service after 55 & the Bd. have had his case before them and have very properly declined the further proposed service. This is not a time when we can safely meddle with the reasons of these superiors & express want of confidence

27/2

Order
Govt. decline to interfere

I am not disposed to

There seems ground for believing that this Tahsildar has been hustled out of the service by the Collr. who sends for him to headquarters, & makes him then & there write a petition that he wishes to be pensioned, as he is 55 years old.

The Rule is as follows:— After 60, an officer may be compelled to retire, without any certificate from the Head of the Office as to his inefficiency from age or infirmity — Between 55 & 60 — unless of course there is a Medical Certificate — the Head of the Office, requiring a subordinate to retire — is bound to certify his inefficiency from age or infirmity. In working this Rule, the Secy of State has desired ~~that~~ great discretion shall be exercised, as "premature retirements impose a needless charge on the "State"

Submitted that the Bd Rev. be instructed to look closely into the case, & to decide whether the Collr. has exercised a proper discretion or not

DG
22-2-7

interfere – as I
presume the proper
certificate of inability
was sent by Colonel

BC 3/3

Petn from a Tahsildar in Salem

Circ

R
C. 14-2-77
again
C. 26-2-77
R. 28-2-77

S.
C. 2-3-77

Pension Department.

P 5

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following ~~letter~~ Papers

From the Collector of Salem dated 5th January 1877 No. 33.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 29th January 1877 No. 1294 Mis.
Petition from N. Sreenovassa Iyangar dated 16th Feby 1877 No. –
Report of the Offg Dy Accountant General dated 13th March 1877 No. 932
Official Memorandum to the Collector of Salem dated 27th March 1877 No. 9.
From the Collector of Salem dated 22d April 1877 No. 225

Order thereon 8th May 1877 No. 236

Under Sections 56, 59 (c) and 60 of the Civil Pension code (3rd Edition) His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Nullam Chackravarthi Streenevassiengar late Tahsildar of Trichangode in the Salem District a Superannuation pension of Rs110-7-1) One hundred and ten Annas Seven and pie one per mensem to which he is entitled for his

his qualifying Superior Service of 30 yrs and 4 days, payable from the 5th December 1876.—

2 Streenevasa Iyengar's request to be reinstated on the ground that he is physically fit for further service and that he is prepared to undergo any Medical examination if the Govt deem it necessary cannot be complied with as the Collector ~~as chief of the Office~~ has now certified under Sec 56 rule 3 of the above code that he is unfit for further Service owing to old age.—

K

To the Accountant General with Regr
" — Collector of Salem
To N. Streenevasa Iyengar
Fue
(despd 16/5/77.

Off Memo, L E & G
26 Copies

[illegible]

Govt of {1877} Madras.

Pension

To The Accountant General

Dated } 8th May 1877, No. 236.
Recd.

Nos.

Granting a superannuation pension of Rs 110-7-1 per mensem to N. C. Sreenevasa=angar late Tahsildar of Trichengode in the Salem District -

2132/77

[illegible]

29-10-77

May

List