M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages 42

(2) Date of repair 16.6.61

(3) Initials of the Reformer J
CA

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 114	Press Mis.
Dated 5th May	18 ,

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

2nd March 1877, No. 114.

Pension.

Read the following papers:—

From the Collector of Chingleput, dated 4th August 1876, *No.* 63.
From the Officiating Accountant-General, dated 2nd February 1877, *No.* 62.

ORDER THEREON, 2nd March 1877, No. 114.

As it appears that Malapaliem *alias* Karoongooley Veerasamy Naiken, late Peon attached to Cheyoor Salt Division, Chingleput District, at the time of his retirement from the service was not permanently incapacitated for further employment, but resigned with a view to getting his son appointed in his place which appointment took place in 1860, the Governor in Council is unable to grant him any retiring allowance agreeably to the rules in force at the time of petitioner's retirement.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
„ Collector of Chingleput.

Encl. T. D. Ryan.

Govt of 187[illegible] Madras.

Pension

To

The Accountant Genl

Dated 2nd March 187[illegible] No. 119

Recd.

Nos.

Declining to grant
Camp Retiring Allowance
to Malapolum alias
Karungaly Veerasamy
Invalid Sepoy
attached to Chingleput
Sub-Division Chingle-
put District

Translation of a Yakeed issued by
A. Grove Esqr Collector of Chingleput
to Veerasawmy Row, Tahsildar of Pona-
=mallee Taluq dated 25th March 1842
No 384 Superintendence

Poon Pralapollium Veerasawmy
Naiken on receipt of Rs 6 per annum
attached to the Ponamallee Taluq
has presented a petition stating that
as his mother residing in the Karangoly
Taluq is very old and consequently
he has to look after her he wishes to
be transferred as a Peon on 3½ Rs
pay per mensem in the Karangoly
Taluq in the room of Poon Kavia-
Lack of the latter Taluq, who is
willing to exchange place with him
and the latter individual has also
addressed a petition dated 18th Instant
to the same effect I have passed
orders accordingly and direct that
You will send Poon Veerasawmy Naick
to the Tahsildar of Karangoly Taluq
with

with a letter and send for Peon — Karim Said from that Taluq, enter his name in the pay abstract as a peon on 5 Re pay and also obtain from him a bail bond and record the same.

(Sigd) A. Jones
Collector

(True translation)

S. Spencer.
Asst Collector
for Collector

Post

Transcribed from a Deed signed by Cyf. Lubbock Esqre. Magistrate of Chingleput to Ramasawmy Iyen Dfs of Police, Kasungooty Taluk, dated Sept br. 1st 1857. No 93.

Yours Regd. No 50 dated 30th. Ultimo reporting the receipt of your enquiry into the case of theft committed by Lamb Thimmen in the Cotta Cazanee of Segaraya Chetty and the Cazanee Sheik of Kasungooty a Pucka House on the night of the 26th. Instt by breaking open & entering the Cazanee House by taking off the lid of the box therein Rupees 22 & reading finally considering of such jewels &c as stated at Rs. 222-10-0 Deposited with Cyfoolla in the embankment has been received.

It is clear from the evidence recorded that the theft was committed clearly and it is also admitted by Veerasamy Kambon the Lamb Peon who was then on his night beat that he heard cries within the Cazee's house that the theft was committed, the existence of some body and questioned who the cries, such that was reply by Cazee, Cazee's sons, Cazee's away.

If you see any reason for differing in the above and the fine by Rupees received you will

Collector

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
4th December 1870.

FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS.

To THE

Your Application for [illegible] on behalf of [illegible]

The undersigned has the honor to [illegible]

following points.

1. Whether any recorded explanation is [illegible]
able as to the cause of the [illegible]
removal from service on the 1 July 1870.

2. If removal was due to the causes mentioned
need for further service in letter a
Government Certificate that explanation
was obtained at the time of the
cause ceased to exist & the date
thereof.

3. Should the explanation [illegible]

4. Why were no steps taken by the Chief
Court to recommend the restoration
pretence otherwise should be
sanctioned still be applied for? And
whether was the cause of the applicant
a Ranga [illegible] preferred a claim for
the Salary till February 1873.

5. Cause of the Applicant having been out of employ from 26 August 1831 to 30 April 1835 stated to be occasioned from loss of both Arms & Legs

Declined

Lieut Col Field

No 86 Reply

1. The Salt Superintendent of the Cheyoor Division reports that his records prior to Fasli 1243 are not in good order, some of them having been eaten by white ants & consequently no record of information is traceable as to the cause of the applicants removal from service on the 1st July 1860.

2. The Salt Deputy Collector reports on enquiry, the contemporary employees state that the applicant resigned his appointment, as he was receiving from Government, & that at his request, his son was appointed in his place, who after some short period was discharged from the service having been concerned in a salt theft case, and consequently it is presumed that the applicant did not claim any retiring allowance & no Medical Certificate as to his unfitness for further service was obtained.

3. The applicant was about 80 years of age when he applied for retiring allowance

4. Vide reply to query No 2.

5. The services of the applicant having been only 6 years & 8 months

To 30th April 1835, but none been received
= tained from them. Vide translation
of deposition enclosed.

Chingleput Collector's Office
Dated the 21st Sept 1876

Collector

Accountant General's Office
Read 23 Sep 1876
Answd

To be returned with reply on reverse.

Audit Department.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
25 Sept. 1876.

From The ~~Deputy~~ Accountant General, Madras,

To The Collector of Chingleput

View your Application for Stating on behalf of Venkatasamy Naicken.

The undersigned has the honor to enquire whether with reference to the accompanying affidavit of the applicant his services in the Honourable Salary for the Years of Name from year ending from 26 Aug 31 to 31 April 35 can be verified from the records of his Court Salary Certificate and Records be obtained in support of the applicants Statement.

The affidavit from the applicant setting forth the evidence of his contemporaneous employment should be obtained to prove the cause of

Certificate of applicant's services in 1820 and that he obtained no Returns of Pleasure in respect of his services abroad.

No 122.

Accountant General

Reply.

Upon further search into the records it appears that the applicant was discharged from service on the 26th August 1820 (vide Commissioners of Chelsea Hospital Out Pensioners Roll enclosed) and therefore he must be considered to have claimed of pay for nearly four years i.e. up to 30th April 1825. He received in the Peninsula his Table Tax with been omitted from the list as alleged by the applicant, but is entered in and therefore he is entitled to receive for the Official which he has received returned as unclaimed.

The Original Official which obtained from the applicant together with Commissioners letter of 8th ult. must now be returned by contemporaneous regarding the claim of Certificate dated the 30th ult. which is enclosed.

Received 12 1825
Allowed 3

Colonel 1829

Before Sir Stephen Lushington Knight Judge of the Prerogative Court

Richard Lloyd of Llandovery in the County of Carmarthen Esquire

Sworn before me this 30th day of June 1825

Richard Lloyd of Llandovery in the County of Carmarthen Esquire the Executor named in the last Will and Testament of Thomas Lloyd late of Llandovery in the County of Carmarthen Esquire deceased the Testator in the Pleading named maketh Oath that he believes the Testator died on or about the 20th day of April 1825 and that he verily believes that the Testator died possessed of or entitled to Effects to the amount of Fifty Pounds and upwards and that he this Deponent hath not possessed or received any Effects of the Testator or any Part thereof or any Debts owing to the Testator or any Part thereof or any Security or Securities for the same or any Part thereof or any Bond or Bonds Note or Notes Bill or Bills Mortgage or Mortgages Assignment or Assignments Stock or Stocks Annuity or Annuities Share or Shares Interest or Interests Dividend or Dividends Money or Money's Worth of the Testator or any Part thereof except as hereinafter mentioned and that he this Deponent hath not paid or delivered any Money Stock Annuity Bond Note Bill Mortgage Assignment Share Interest Dividend or Effects of the Testator or any Part thereof except as hereinafter mentioned and that he this Deponent hath not any Knowledge or Belief of any Will Codicil or Testamentary Writing of the Testator other than and except the Will and Codicil thereto of the Testator bearing Date respectively the 20th day of May 1824 and the 2nd day of April 1825 and the Probate thereof granted by the Prerogative Court of Canterbury on the 30th day of June 1825 and that he this Deponent hath not any Knowledge or Belief of any Will Codicil or Testamentary Writing of the Testator bearing Date after the said 2nd day of April 1825 and that he this Deponent hath not any Knowledge or Belief of any Will Codicil or Testamentary Writing of the Testator bearing Date before the said 20th day of May 1824 except a Paper Writing bearing Date the 20th day of May 1824 and purporting to be the Will of the Testator which he this Deponent hath left with the Testator's Will and Codicil and which he this Deponent believes to be the Will of the Testator and that he this Deponent hath not any Knowledge or Belief of any Will Codicil or Testamentary Writing of the Testator bearing Date after the said 20th day of May 1824 except the said Will and Codicil of the 2nd day of April 1825 and that he this Deponent hath not any Knowledge or Belief of any Will Codicil or Testamentary Writing of the Testator bearing Date before the said 20th day of May 1824 except the said Paper Writing bearing Date the 20th day of May 1824 and purporting to be the Will of the Testator which he this Deponent hath left with the Testator's Will and Codicil and which he this Deponent believes to be the Will of the Testator and that he this Deponent hath not any Knowledge or Belief of any Will Codicil or Testamentary Writing of the Testator bearing Date after the said

Taluk (Karunyadi), when Jaghirdars
Pandaru was Labidaar. I do not know
= how the Pattah here year was omitted
on work in the Kaifiat. And already
given. I was never dismissed or suspend-
= ed so as the act of Government only.
The reason for my Coming to Bona-
= vaddi was that when it was found
a Taluk Cutcherry number of Rowries
as already stated by me, at the Capi-
= tary with four each of the other Taluks.
Rowries based this upon to me
and this Cutcherry being in accordance with
= my instructions
Signed Ramaya Naiken
in Canara
1st Sept 1876. Hadwanahalli.
Signed P. Sethadeiy Pandaru
of Labidaar
(True Translation)

(illegible)

(illegible)

Extract from the Acquittance Roll of the Bhagwan Establishment from 1st to 31st August 1831.

Names	Pay	Remarks
Head Capoleen	Rs 3-0	Received pay Rs 3-0 upto 25th August 1831. Head Servt Establishment Rs 1-0 appointed since this date. Pay Rs 1-0 from 26th to 31st August 1831.
Servants Rattan	Rs 1-0	
Total Rs 4-0		

Examined and the Head officiating Cutcherry.

(True Translation & Extract)

(illegible)

Collector

Receipt taken from Rev. Krishnapala Bailey, Peon of the Chegin Salt Division, before the Salt Deputy Collector Chingleput Division, D. J. Saravinya Row, Dated, Madras, 11th November 1876.

I Krishnapala Bailey Godava Peon duly Entered now present. Re ceive employed as a permanent Peon on a Salary of Rs 6 per mensem in the Chegin Salt Division for a period of 2 years from 1876. Rejoined up the service duly, & his inability to do his duties thro paralysis was sent away & his Village. Sais is what I Receive.

Rejoined Kri. Krishnapala Bailey Peon.

Rejoined D. J. Saravinya Row
Dy Collector
(True translation)

W Mauer
Collector

Rs 6-0-0

Scripsi et tradidi ego J. Kirkcaldy Guilielmus
Jones of the Choyan Salt Division, before the
Salt Deputy Collector, Choingput Division D.H.
& Jessejeyad Row, Dated, the 2nd, 11th November
1876.

I Hereby Indemnify & save
Secretary & Sircar now present about
10 years ago he was employed as para-
-nuccah & now on a salary of 20 Rs. per
mensem in the Choyan Salt Division
for a period of 2 years. If I pay up any
money & his security be dead I his heirs
years he had served. This is what
I Have.

Signed Jones Kirkcaldy Guilielmus
Jessejeyad D. H. & Jessejeyad Row
Dy Collector
(Seal Translation)

Collector.

De Montapolieen Ebenezeemy
Neick, of residentof Clark plan
ien Rondreuterkeen Catego of
the Cheegle pet Divisien do
hereby Solemnly declare that I
derived ons a pension of 1/2 d, per mensem
for about two years in the
Cheyer Salt Divisien, and
my services since discontinued
on the 1st July 1860, ons Pensi
on Cancelled with Paralysis, &
personal unfitness for further service.

Sworn before me on the
14th day of October 1876.

Superintendent
Salt Division

To be returned with reply on reverse.

Audit Department

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
the 1st March 1870.

From The Accountant General, Madras.

To The Collector of Chingleput.

With your Application for Gratuity on behalf of Veeraswamy Naicken
dated 29th Nov. 1869 No. 29 Recommitted.

The undersigned has the honor to state that in his application that
accompanied the above Petition, the applicant
does not state whether or not he received any
pensions or gratuity at the time of his retirement;
and to request that you will obtain this information
and also ascertain from him the reason for his not
having applied for the gratuity till lately.

It is also observed that in your Letter
No. 88 of 21st September last the applicant
is reported to have retired from service on account
of infirmity whereas in the applicant's application and
in the certificate of his services produced
it is stated that the retirement arose from

[illegible]
Asst to the Accountant

No 12. Reg Cy.

Has the Collector & Revenue Officer of the Settlement called from the applicant the information required?

The application now clearly asserts that the reason of his retirement from the service is 3 years sic.

Said dept
26 Aug 1877

Accountant General's Office
Recd 30 AUG 1877
Answd

Co Collector

Ent 4/2 accepted
Rent 2/-

Ent
Exd

Exd

Ent
Exd

Ent
Exd

Ent

in the Salary you say that the reason of your quitting the service is paralysis. But in the Report taken before the Salt Dy Collector you explain the reason to be Leprosy. Which of the two assertions is correct.

The reason is paralysis only. I have no Leprosy. Answer
I have lost the toe and the next finger of the right leg. The reason for this is that about ten (10) years ago these fingers struck against a stone and were subsequently cut off by the Doctor. After this I was cured & was doing my duty. It was only owing to paralysis, I had to quit my service.
I have heard this read. It is correct.

Proceedings taken
2d January 1877 (Signed) Veerasamy Naicken.

What have you to say further? Question

As soon as I got paralysis I was unable to Answer
do my duty and so took leave on substitute
and three months after this I took my absent-
-ness in the revision of Establishment by

Mr Petty.

(Signed) Veerasamy Naicken
(Signed) Verada Clarician
Mr Veristadar

Witness
Venkatachellam Naick
(True translation)

Collector.

No. 63

Chingleput District Collector's Office
Received, 4th August 1876.

From

H. F. Barlow Esqr.

COLLECTOR OF THE
Chingleput District.

To

H. F. Lodwick Esqr.

Secretary Govt.

Revenue.

Sir,

(Adverting) to the Rules & Orders for the conduct of Government Officers of applications for gratuity on account of Services & Retirement, & Rules, lately issued to Collectors & Dist. Officers, I have the honor to forward herewith a separate list of the Establishment of this District, the amount of his pay for the last 3 years & the amount of his gratuity.

1. The establishment consists of one Head Assistant, one Sheristadar, one Tahsildar, one Deputy Tahsildar, & one Head Peon, of which Establishment only the Head Assistant is entitled to gratuity.

2. The Head Assistant has served Government for 20 years & 6 months, & his pay during the last 3 years has been Rs. 200 per mensem, & his gratuity for any allowance will be Rs. 200 February 1876, after which his service will be terminated.

3. [illegible]

Name and address recorded to be communicated.

4. The applicant left Service in June 1860, on Service Books being supplied to him.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Accountant General's Office
Recd 3 Aug 1876

Collector.

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION / GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. * Mr Capt Alexr alias Kavangooty Seerawany Pillai.
2. Father's name Ramapah Pillai.
3. Sect or Caste. Hindoo, Vellalen caste.
4. Residence * Mr Capt Alexr
5. Present or last employment. Head Moodeliar (Olyganadu) Division.
6. Date of beginning service. 15th March 1826.
7. Date of end of service. 30th June 1860.
8. Length of service including breaks ... ... Y. 34 M. 3 D. 22
of which superior ... ... " " "
inferior 24 10 3
non-qualifying and breaks 9 5 19
9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ... Invalid.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 4p Rupees.
11. Proposed ~~pension~~.
12. Proposed gratuity. 24 Rupees.
13. Date from which to commence. 31st June 1860.
14. Place of payment. Mr Deputy Collr Talk Treasury.
15. Age of Applicant on this date. Uncertained.
16. Height. About 5 feet. Weepers and having Coopeeram tied his waist to Colombigam & Cattle expenses for encouragement.
17. Marks. 2 cutaneous warts on his Left Right Back of right Cheek Near and adjacent to it. A cutaneous wart on his Left Left hip.
18. Date, 4th August 1876.

Collector.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

Received from the Collector of Chingleput the sum of Rupees Two hundred and Forty only being the amount of Gratuity granted to me.

Dated 4th August 1876.

Witness to signature

Received payment

Signature of Claimant

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE COLLECTOR OF CHINGLEPUT

No. 63

Extract from Register of Service Books.

Name of Servant: Kavangooty Seerawany Pillai

Date of Entry into Service: 15th March 1826

Date of Leaving Service: 30th June 1860

Cause of Leaving Service: Invalided

Character: Good

Remarks: Has received a Service Book

Collector.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Veerasawmy Naiken

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. Rs.	A.	P.	Acting allowance. Rs.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Chief Office & Collectors Office	Peon	5	4	-	-	15th March 1826	25th Aug. 1831	2	-	0	4	5	12		Certificate from the Collector of Jaffna dated 1st Feb. 1871	Service from 15th March 1826 to 25th August 1831 does not count having been intervened by dismissal, vide extract of the Acquittance Roll marked A. accompanying. Service from 1st May 1856 to 30th June 1860 has been verified from the records of the Collector of Chingleput.
						25th Aug. 1831	30th April 1835	-	-	-	3	8	7			
Paraingoody Distt.	Do.	5	-	-	-	1st May 1835	31st March 1838	2	11	-	-	-	-			
Pannaitoddy	Do.	3	8	-	-	1st April 1838	5th Sept. 1840	2	5	5	-	-	-			
Do.	Do.	5	-	-	-	6th Sept. 1840	8th April 1842	1	7	3	-	-	-			
Paraingoody Do.	Do.	3	8	-	-	9th April 1842	11th July 1842	-	3	3	0	0	0			
Do.	Do.	5	-	-	-	12th July 1842	4th June 1857	14	10	24	-	0	2			
						5th June 1857	14th Sept. 1857	-	-	1	0	-	0			
						5th Sept. 1857	6th Oct. 1857	-	1	23	-	-	-			
Pyramoor Salt Division	Do.	4	-	-	-	15th May 1857	6th Oct. 1857	-	4	22	-	-	-			
Mayoor Do.	Do.	4	-	-	-	7th Oct. 1857	30th June 1860	2	8	25	-	-	-			
		Leave on full pay				1st June 1869	31st July 1869	-	-	-	-	2	-			
								25	5	3	9	5	19			
						Deduct		-	2	-						
						Total period of {superior / inferior} service...		24	10	3	9	5	19			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Translations of orders for two years & Deportation are herein enclosed.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

} None.

5. Any other remarks. That the applicant is inefficient owing to age and infirmity.

J. H. Moorhead
Collector
SIGNATURE.

No 62.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {inferior / ~~superior~~} grades has been duly proved for 25 years — months and 1 days; and that a gratuity {~~pension~~ / ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 24-1-4 equal to 6-10-5 monthly pay is admissible under ~~Section~~ the old rule ~~of the Civil Pension Code~~. (The calculations have been duly verified) Should Government be pleased to accept the Collectors recommendation as to the cause of the applicant's Certificate of applicant's unfitness for further service not having been obtained on the retirement of the applicant in 1860. Though such Certificate was indispensible at that time vide para 2 of Fifty Memo No 86 accompanying The applicant appears from the evidence of his Cadjan Vellary Employer to have resigned his appointment owing to his inability to serve longer on account of Paralysis and the Collector reports that the applicant was about 80 years old when he applied for gratuity. The cause of an application for a retiring allowance not having been submitted like the applicant's case the Rule ~~has been~~ is inapplicable by the Collectors Department vide 2nd para of the Fifty Memo No 86.

Offg. Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George
Accts. Genl. Office
2nd February 1871

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Departt.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers

From the Collector of Chingleput dated 4th August 1876 No. 263
Office Accountant General dated 2nd February 1877 No. 262

Order thereon 2nd March 1877 No. 114

Application for {Pension or Gratuity}—

Date of application. 2nd February 1877.

Name of Applicant. Veerasawmy Naidu.

Last Appointment. Head

Class of {Pension Gratuity}

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Pension 2nd March 1877

Gratuity 11th ditto

Pension Abstract 1st March 1877

Petition of Veerasawmy Naidu late Karnangy Peon attached to Chingleput District at the time of his retirement from the Service praying that on account of his being incapacitated for further employment he may be granted a pension equivalent to his salary with a view to getting his son appointed in his place.

The Governor in Council is pleased to grant the petitioner a pension of Rupees 4 per mensem being one fourth of his salary.

To the Accountant General
The Collector of Chingleput
dispo
15/3/77