

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 5-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer Q

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<u>732</u>	Press Mis.
Dated	<u>25-11-18</u>	<u>76.</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
25th November 1876. No. 732.*

Pensions and Gratuities.

*Read again Proceedings of Government, Pension Department, dated 9th May 1876,
Nos. 277 and 278.*

*Read also the following letter from D. BARBOUR, Esq., Under Secretary to the
Government of India, Financial Department, to the Secretary to the Government
of Madras, Pension Department, dated Simla, 17th July 1876, No. 1565 :—*

In reply to your letter, No. 278, dated 9th May 1876, I am directed to state
that a compensation pensioner who has been re-employed is not entitled to a
separate pension or gratuity for his subsequent service.

2. I am to observe that the ruling in Financial Department's Notification,
No. 2789, dated 2nd December 1875, is not a new ruling. Before the date of that
notification there was no rule by which a compensation pensioner re-employed
could be allowed gratuity or pension for the second stage of service, and the
notification in question merely affirmed a practice which was already in force.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant-General, Madras.

No. 98. ORDER THEREON, 25th November 1876, No. 732.

Communicated to the Accountant-General, with reference to G.O., dated
9th May 1876, No. 277. The Accountant-General is informed that a special
reference has been made to the Government of India on the subject.

(True Extract.)

Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

To,
The Secretary to the Government of Madras
Pension Department

Financial Department

Sir,
In reply to your letter No 278 dated
9th May 1876, I am directed to state that a
compensative pensioner who has been re-
employed is not entitled to a separate
pension or gratuity for his subsequent service.
I am to observe that the ruling in Finan-
cial Department's Notification No 2789 dated
2nd December 1875 is not a new ruling. Before
the date of that Notification there was no rule by
which a compensative pensioner re-employed
could be allowed gratuity or pension for the
second stage of service, and the Notification in
question merely affirmed a practice which
was already in force.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Barbours
Under Secretary to the
Government of India

Sir,
The 17th July 1876.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant General,
Madras.

KCCO
13/7

Oct. 11 1876 Madras.

PENSION.

To
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Dated November, 25th.

Yours

Respectfully

Respectfully

G.O., 25th November 1876, No. 732.

NOVEMBER.

Pensions and Gratuity.—Communicating the
letter from the Government of India stating that a
compensative pensioner who has been re-employed
is not entitled to a separate pension or gratuity
or his subsequent service, and observing that the
ruling in Financial Notification, No. 2789, of the
2nd December 1875, is not a new ruling, and has
affirmed that a further reference has been made
to Government of India on the subject.

Jan: 9th May 1876 No. 278

London 1876 Q. J. Hunt

No. 494

From the Hunter being
to the Earl of Sandwich
and the Earl of Sandwich
and the Earl of Sandwich

1876

Oct 17th July 1876

Stating that a
confirmation of the
order had been received
and put out to the
separate provisions
of gratuity for his
subsequent service
and observing that the
ruling in the matter
was No. 2789 of the
1876 is not a new
ruling.

Not

Order No. 25 August 1876 No. 2732

Communicated to the Accountant
General with reference to S. O. dated
9th May 1876 No. 277. - The ad. has
been paid in the same manner
as the original.

W

To the Accountant General
1876

Govt. of 1876 Madras.

Pension

To The Account General

Dated 25 November 1876
Desp. No. 732

G.O., 25 November 1876, No. 732

Nos.

Communicating the
letter from the Govt. of India
stating that a Compensation
pensioner who has been
re-employed is not entitled
to a separate pension or
gratuity for his subsequent
service and observing that
the ruling in G.O. No. 2789 of 2nd Decr. 76
is not a new ruling and he
informed that a further
reference has been made
to Govt. of India on the subject.