M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 150

(2) Date of repair 14-5-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

[illegible] **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	702	Press / Mis.
Dated	17-11-	1876

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To be returned with ~~reply on reverse~~ the application.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
25 January 1876.

Asstt to the
FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Acting District Judge
Cuddapah.

VIDE your ~~Application for~~ Letter ~~on behalf of~~ No. 19. of 21 Instt.

THE undersigned has the honor ~~to~~ in returning the Service book which accompanied the above letter to state that the services of G. Ramanjulu Naidoo as detailed therein have been verified partly from the Annual Returns as 1st May of each year and partly from the Audit Registers on record in this Office - Statements of detailed verification of Ramanjulu Naidoo's services in the different Courts in which he served should be obtained and forwarded to this Office with the regular application together with translations of orders in connection with the following -

Sub Court Cuddapah & Chittoor & Vizagapatam Courts -

(a). ~~Regarding~~ the applicants

suspension in October 1851
and restoration in March 1855 –
(b) Regarding the grant of half pay
to the Applicant during the
period of suspension –

Please furnish Explanation
on the following points in Communication
with the District Judge Chittoor –

I. How many translators were
there in the Civil Court Chittoor &
what was the sanctioned
pay of such Appointments –

II. What was the cause of
Applicants degradation in
January 1840 from the appt.
of Translator on 87½ Rs to that
on 52½ Rupees –

III. Why was the applicant
marked in the Annual Return
of 1 May 1839 as acting writer –

C. E. Crawley
Asstt. Li

[illegible]

A

Memorandum shewing the service of G. Ramanjulu
Naidu.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Translator from 1st December 1836 to 24th April 1837 at Rupees	7	-	-
Criminal writer from 25th April 1837 to 10th February 1839 at Rupees	26	4	-
Ag 2nd Writer from 11th February to July 1839	35	-	-
Ag Translator from August to December 1839 at	87	8	-
Translator from January to 24th August 1840	52	8	-
Translator from 25th August 1840 to 15th September 1843	87	8	-
3rd Class District Munsiff of Tiruttoor from 23rd July 1857 to 30th September 1860	100	-	-
do do of Calastry from October 1860 to July 1862 (from July he drew his salary at 200 Rupees)	100	-	-
do do of Sholinghur from August 1862 to July 1863 At Rupees	200	-	-

He drew his pay in this District up to July 1863, when he was appointed as 1st class District Munsiff of Vizagapatam. Vide Fort Saint George Gazette dated 14th August 1863 - Page 272 -

North Arcot }
Distt. Court }
14th June 1876. }

(Signed) C. G. Plumer
District Judge

(True Copy)

Ag District Judge

Rec: Le: No: 7416/76

I. The Pay Abstracts of the late Zillah Court show that a sum of 87½ Rupees was drawn in a lump for translating Circuit papers up to 1834 - From 1835 the said sum was disbursed to 6 persons entering their names in the Abstract as Translators - G. Ramanjulu Naidu drew 7 Rupees from 1st December 1836 to 24th April 1837 as one of them.-

II. G. Ramanjulu Naidu drew Rupees 87½ from 1st August to December 1839 as Ag. Translator and from January to 24th August 1840 he drew Rupees 52½ as Translator the remaining sum Viz Rupees 35 was drawn by one V. Seva Row as an Additional Translator for the above period - Ramanjulu Naidu again drew 87½ Rupees from 25th August 1840 to 15th September 1843 as Translator -

III. G. Ramanjulu Naidu was Ag. 2d Writer from 11th February to July 1839 on a Salary of Rupees 35 so that his name is marked in the Annual

Return of 1st May 1839 as Aty writer.

(Signed) C. G. Plumer
District Judge

North Arcot
District Court
17th February 1876.

(True Copy)

A. [illegible]
Ag District Judge

Rec: the 6th/46 Feby/76

Rec: the 10th/1409 Feby/76

Name G. Ramanjoolu Naidu

Establishment	Date	Appointment	Salary	Period of service in each appointment			Remarks regarding punishments severe and formal reprimands and all special commendations or marks of approbation.
				years	Months	Days	
1	2	3	4	5			6
Subordinate Court Cuddapah	28th September 1843	Sheristadar	90 "	7	3	18	
Civil & Session Court Cuddapah	16th January 1851	Ag. Do	100 "	"	1	21	
Subordinate Court Cuddapah	10th March 1851	Sheristadar	90 "	"	6	29	
Suspension	9th October 1851 to 5th March 1855			3	4	25	
Subordinate Court Court	6th* March 1855 to 22nd July 1857	Sheristadar	90 "	2	4	17	
				13	9	20	

*: From the Pay Bill for April 1855 it would appear that this officer joined his duties on the 11th April but it is not ascertainable whether he had leave from the 6th March to the 11th April 1855 the date on which he rejoined his post after suspension.

All these items have been verified from Pay Bills.

(Signed) S. R. Daws
Subordinate Judge

(True Copy)

Ag. District Judge

D

9

Extract from the Proceedings of the Seſsion Court dated 8th October 1851.

Having for some time had under consideration the Report of the Acting Subordinate Criminal Judge upon the Fraudulent misappropriation and Embezzlment by the servants of his Court during the time of his Predeceſsor of unclaimed property and certain sale proceeds thereof, the Seſsions Judge, who is about to make a report upon the subject to the Higher Court would not feel justified in permitting the Sheristadar G. Ramanjulu Naik, the Nazir Chittambra Row and the Head gumastah Pedda Achary to continue in their present situations and therefore directs that the above said three officers who have been personally and deeply concerned in the said frauds be forthwith suspended from all pay and employment until the completion of the examination or the final orders of the Court of Foujdary Adalut.

Ordered that Extract from these Proceedings be sent to the Sub Criminal Judge for his information and guidance.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Session Court of Cuddapah this 8th October 1851.

(Signed) W. Elliot
Session Judge

(True Copy)

Ag. Session Judge

Compd.
A.C.
Ag. 2d Wr

F

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut under date the 9th March, 1855.

Read again order of Government dated 5th September, 1851, forwarding for enquiry and report a Petition presented by C. Chennappah of the Zillah of Cuddapah bringing to notice an embezzlement in the unclaimed property deposited in the Subordinate Court.

Read again also return dated 10th October, 1851 from the Civil Judge of Cuddapah to the precept of the Sudr Udalut under date the 12th September, 1851, directing him to transmit copies of the reports made upon the above mentioned embezzlement by the Subordinate Court and of the orders passed thereon by the Civil Court.

Read again also return dated

26th January 1852, and Supplemental return dated 30th April, 1852, from the Civil Judge of Cuddapah to the precept of the Sudr Udalut under date the 14th November, 1851, directing him to forward lists of the property received, sold, and carried to the account of Government in the Subordinate Court of Cuddapah and to explain the circumstances under which the lead referred to in the foregoing reports which appeared to have been seized as Military stores by the Collector, was forwarded to the Court, instead of being disposed of in the way prescribed by Clause third, Section XV, Regulation I of 1812.

Read again also Calendar of Case No. 29 of 1853 on the file of the Session Court of Cuddapah.

Read again also Miscel:laneous Petition presented on the

16th January, 1854 by S. Teroomala:charry Vakeel on behalf of Malahari Row prisoner in the foregoing case.

Read again also return under date the 15th February, 1854, from the Subordinate Criminal Judge in charge of the Session Court of Cuddapah to the Precept of the Foujdaree Udalut dated the 9th February 1854, calling for certain documents connected with the foregoing case.

Read also letter under date the 23d June, 1854, from the Session Judge of Cuddapah submitting an English Translation of the record of Case No. 30 of 1853 on the Calendar of the Session Court.

Read also Miscellaneous Petition presented on the 23d November, 1854, by Alexander M. Ritchie, Esquire Vakeel on behalf of G. Ramanjoolu

Naidoo, late Sheristadar in the Subordinate Court of Cuddapah.

1. The Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Udalut in their proceedings of the 5th May 1853, directed that the Head Goomastah and Nazir of the Subordinate Court of Cuddapah should be put upon their trial for having fraudulently embezzled portions of the unclaimed property referred to in the foregoing correspondence, they at the same time resolved to defer passing any final orders as to the retention of these individuals and of the Sheristadar in their respective situations pending the result of the trials of the Head Goomastah and Nazir.

2. The Nazir was accordingly put upon his trial on two charges of embezzlement, one relating to the sale of certain silver ornaments, swords, Guns, &ca, a portion of the proceeds of which he was charged with having embezzled, the other to the sale of a Shawl which, having been sold for Rupees 13-10-, the entry of the sale in the sale book was alleged to have been altered by him to Rupees 3-10-. and the balance to have been misappropriated by him.

3. The Head Goomastah was charged with having aided and abetted in the embezzlement which formed the subject of the first of the two charges upon which the Nazir was tried.

4. The Session Judge in concurrence with his Mahommedan Law Officer convicted the Nazir on the first of the two charges preferred against him, considering the charge established by evidence afforded by the Nazir's books, in one of which

the proceeds of sale of the articles specified in the charge was entered at Rupees 79-6-5, while in the other it was entered at Rupees 39-10-11.

5. He was accordingly sentenced to Imprisonment for one year under Clause seventh, Section II, Regulation XV of 1803.

6. On the second charge the Nazir was acquitted but pronounced to be subject to strong suspicion.

7. On appeal the Court of Foujdaree Udalut reversed the sentence passed upon the Nazir being of opinion that no sufficient evidence of actual fraud had been adduced against him. The discrepancy between the two accounts upon which the Session Judge had formed his opinion of the prisoner's guilt, the Court of Foujdaree Udalut considered, might with equal reason be attributed to carelessness as to fraud, and in the absence of satisfactory proof of the latter they deemed it proper to give the prisoner the benefit of the doubt and to reverse the sentence passed upon him.

8. While the record of the trial was under the consideration of the Court of Foujdaree Udalut, the Nazir died in Jail.

9. The trial of the Head Goomashtah resulted in his acquittal by the Mahommedan Law Officer but the Session Judge considering the evidence sufficient for his conviction the case was set down for reference to the Court of Foujdaree Udalut.

10. While the record of the trial was under translation, the

Head Goomastah also died.

11. The record of the trial however has been laid before the Court in order that they might decide whether there were grounds for removing the Sheristadar from his situation.

12. On an attentive perusal of the papers which have been laid before them, the Court of Foujdaree Udalut have seen reason to alter the opinion recorded in their proceedings of the 5th May, 1853, that the frauds, malpractices and irregularities detailed in the report then before them could not possibly go on for a number of years without the knowledge of the Sheristadar.

13. It appears from the records of the trials of the Nazir and Head Goomastah that the entire control of the unclaimed property was vested not in the

Sheristadar but in the Nazir of the Court. It is obvious that whenever a sale of unclaimed property took place, the proceeds of such sale should have been at once delivered to the Sheristadar, and as one of the sales referred to, was of property of considerable value, the Court were in the first instance under the impression that the circumstance of no money having been paid into Court on account of such sale, could not fail to have attracted the attention of the Sheristadar and should have been by him reported to the Subordinate Judge. The Court were led to entertain this opinion on the supposition that the sale in question was begun and ended in one day and the money at once paid by the purchasers. This however it appears was not the case. It is in evidence that the sale was extended over several days and that the sums for which the property was knocked down was paid to the Nazir, not on the day of sale, but when it suited the convenience of the purchasers.

14. Under such circumstances and especially adverting to the utter want of care evinced by the several Subordinate Judges who had presided over the Court for some years previous to the discovery of the abuses brought to notice, the Court of Sudr Udalut are of opinion that the Sheristadar cannot with justice be visited with the extreme penalty of dismissal, and considering that his suspension from Office for upwards of three Years is a sufficient punishment for the carelessness of which he has been guilty, they resolve to direct that his restoration to Office from the date of his reporting himself to the Subordinate Court of Cuddapah.

15. The disposal of the Sheristadar's case has been repeatedly postponed at the request of the Pleader employed by him in this Court.

Ordered, that Extract from these proceedings be sent to the Chief Secretary to Government for the purpose of being laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.

Order also, that Extract from these proceedings be sent to the Civil Judge of Cuddapah for communication to the Subordinate Judge by precept returnable within XV days from and after its receipt.

(True Extract)

(Signed) F. Lushington

Dy. Register

(True Copy)

Ag. District Judge

Copied by
Ag 3d Wr.

Rec: Cu: No. 746 of 76

11-3-76

2

Extract from the Proceedings of the Sudder Udalut.

Under date the 6th March 1855.

Read again Schedule of Monies under the Official custody of the Subordinate Court of Cuddapah at the close of the half year ending 31st December 1854.

Read also return to the Precept of the Sudder Udalut under date the 7th February 1855, calling for explanation in regard to the foregoing Schedule.

1. The Civil Judge in Charge has submitted a statement exhibiting the particulars required by the Court. The 1st item is the "full salary of the Sheristadar Ramanjooloo Naik, from the 1st August to the date of his suspension" from office and the 2nd is the same officials' "half salary from the 9th October 1851 to November 1854". In any case Ramanjooloo Naik would be entitled to receive the amount under the 1st head and, as he has now been restored to his post, the sum of both items will be paid to him total Rs 1901 — 9 — 9.

*   *   *

Ordered that Extract from these

Proceedings be sent to the Civil Judge in Charge of the Subordinate Court of Cuddapah for his information.

(True Extract)

D. F. Carmichael

Head Assistant Register.

(True copy)

Subordinate Judge.

Exd [illegible]

Recd: No 746/76

To be returned with reply on reverse.

25/7/76

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
25th July 1876.

Asst to the
FROM THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
TO THE Acting District Judge.
Cuddapah

VIDE your application for Pension on behalf of G. Ramanpillai Naidoo.

THE undersigned has the honor to return the Applicant's Service Book for the Judge's signature in the last column of the first page & in the 9th & 12th columns of the 3rd, 5th, 7th and 9th pages of it; and to request that information on the following points may be furnished in communication with the District Judge North Arcot & Sub Judge Cuddapah.

1. Whether the applicant had a lien on his permanent post of Criminal Writer when he acted as 2d Writer and Translator between 10 February & 31 Decr: 1839.

+2. Whether the post of Translator was a permanent appointment sanctioned by Govt:- If permanent whether 87½ Rs was the pay fixed for that apptt: by Govt - or what was the fixed pay of the post.

+3. Whether the division of the pay of the permanent post in January 1840 was reported to Govt and ~~been~~ recognized by them. Please send Copies of orders in connection with the 2d & 3d points.

4. If the post was temporary till 15th September 1843. ~~whether~~ had the Applicant a lien on his permanent Apptt. of Criminal Writer and whether he was transferred to the

temporary apptt. by his then official Superiors – If so under what orders –

5. When did the applicant report himself to the Sub Court Cuddapah subsequent to 9 March/55 the date of Proceedings of Sudar Udalut Court –

6. If he had reported himself before 11 April/55 (vide remark in the enclosure C to the application) & was restored to office on that date it should be ascertained from the applicant whether he was on leave till 11 april, or whether he drew his pay till that date either elsewhere or subsequently. & if so where & when.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 19 | 8 1876
Ansd

Moving Hastings

Asstt. to the Acctt. Genl.

No. 169.

1. The service book of G. Ramanujulu Naidu is herewith returned duly countersigned on the pages specified –

2 Regarding the points alluded to in paras 1 to 4 of the memo, vide the annexed copy of letter (G) received from the District Judge of North Arcot –

3 (Paras 5 & 6 of the memo) The applicant joined on the 11th april 1855, and from the records of the Sub Court it appears that the applicant had not reported himself before he rejoined his post on the 11th April 1855

Rec: Lt No 746 [illegible]

Cuddapah
District Court
18th August 1876

Ag. District Judge

9745

No. 120

North Arcot District Court
Chittoor 15th August 1876

From,
C. G. Plumer Esqre.
District Judge, North Arcot

To,
The Ag. District Judge,
Cuddapah.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 154 of the 31st Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that G. Ramanujulu Naidu, while acting as 2nd Writer, had a lien on his permanent post of Criminal Writer until 1st August 1839, when one Mr. D'Mante was appointed to that post. He acted as Translator on full pay of Rupees 87½ from August to December 1839. On 1st January 1840 he was made permanent Translator and drew out of the said pay of Rupees 87½ a portion amounting to Rs. 52½, the remainder viz 35 Rupees was drawn by one V. Siva Row as Additional Translator up to 24th August 1840. Ramanjulu Naidu again drew the full pay of Translator viz Rs 87½ from 25th August 1840 to 15th September 1843.

2. It appears from the Pay abstracts of the late Zillah Court, that the post of Translator was a permanent appointment sanctioned by Government and had a pay of Rupees 87½ fixed for it. The order of Government sanctioning that appointment is not forthcoming.

3. The Records do not show that the division of it's pay in January 1840 was reported to Government and

and recognized by them.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servant,
(Signed) C. G. Plumer
District Judge

(True Copy)

Copied
Mf
Dr

Actg District Judge

Compared

Head Writer

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Rd No. 405.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
25th July 1876.

FROM THE Asstt to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE District Judge Vizagapatam -

VIDE ~~your~~ Application for Pension on behalf of G. Ramanjulu Naidoo from the District Judge Cuddapah -

THE undersigned has the honor to request that the District Judge will be good enough to cause the services of Gajjala Ramanjooloo Naidoo as detailed in the margin to be verified from his Office records & to report the result to this Office at an early date together with information on the following points -

1. Whether he had any leave during the above period -
2. Whether there were any breaks in the above pd. of Service caused by suspension, dismissal or resignation -
3. Whether the post was permanent -

Murray Hutching

Asstt to the Acct Genl.

---

1 Enclosure in a memo from the Ag District Judge of Cuddapah dated 18th August 1876 No. 169.

Copy of letter from the District Judge of North Arcot to the address of the Ag District Judge of Cuddapah

Recd No. 460 of 1876

No 585

The District Judge of Vizagapatam has the honor to state that the services of Gajjala Ramanjooloo Naidoo as District Munsif of Bimlipatam from 1st August 1863 to 10th October 1867 are verified from the records of this Court, that he had no leave granted during the above period, that there were no breaks and that the post was a permanent one.

Vizagapatam
District Court
16th October 1876.

E. S. Thomas
District Judge

Shr.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 24 10 1876
Ansd

No 57.
Recd 31 of July 76

14646

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S Name.	FATHER'S Residence.	THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN. Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Gajjela Rámánujulu Náyudu	Balija	Cuddapah	Gajjela Dévánáyacum Náyudu	Thiruppapuliyur	1816 Exact date of birth unknown	60	"	"	5	3	The left toe end is somewhat pointed and projected unlike the right and the nail of the same toe has an injured appearance.	~~25th April 1837~~ 7th February 1876	G. Ramanujulu Naidu	Acting District Judge

honr to s
Naidoo
August
of the C
the above
the post
Vizag
Dis
16th Oc

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Chittore Criminal Writer	Permanent	Permanent	26-4-„	„	25th April 1837	G. Ramanjulu Naidu	Ag District Judge	9th February 1839	Promotion	Ag District Judge
2nd Writer	Do	Do	35 „-„	„	10 February 1839			31st July 1839	Do	
Translator	Do	Do	87-8-„	„	1st August 1839			31st December 1839	Do	
Do	Do	Do	52-8-„	„	1st January 1840			24th August 1840	Reinstatement of an individual removed by the then Judge	
Do	Do	Do	87-8-„	„	25 August 1840			15th Septr 1843	Court abolished. On the same date nominated as sheristadar of the Cuddapah sub Court. The interval was for preparation time and time travelled from Chittoor to Cuddapah.	
Cuddapah Subordinate Court Sheristadar	Do	Do	90		28 Septr 1843			15th January 1851	Promotion and Transfer	
Civil and Session Court Sheristadar	Do	Do	100		16th Janry 1851			8th March 1851	Assigned & reverted to the appointment of sub. Court sheristadar on Monday the 10th as the 9th was sunday	
Cuddapah Subordinate Court Sheristadar.	Do	Do	90	„	10th March 1851			8th October 1851		

8

Character of Officer.

No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.

(1) I feel it my duty to grant as it may be your interest to receive a testimonial from me and it affords me pleasure to add my meed of praise to one who I consider is so deserving of it; I only hope you will pursue that straight forward and deligent course which it is my belief has hitherto been your aim and by which you will ensure the esteem and good feeling of your fellow servants no less than your superiors; the one is as essential to our happiness as the other.

(Signed) C. W. Reade
Ag Register
27th February 1841

(2) I have much pleasure in saying that —— is a clever, active and willing servant.

(Signed). T. Onslow
Register
14th December 1841

(3) I have found that to a mild modest and becoming behaviour, —— adds official capabilities of no ordinary stamp. His services are very valuable as begetting a confidence in the correctness of his work. He merits and I trust will obtain a due measure of encouragement.

(Signed) A. E. Angelo
Judge
2d January 1843.

(4) —— is a very valuable servant quick and intelligent and he will continue to deserve the high testimony to his ability and modest deportment which has been given by the late Judge Mr Angelo.

(Signed) H. C. Jellicoe
Register
4th February 1843.

(5) —— has discharged his duties as Translator at Chittoor with deligence, ability and uprightness.

(Signed) G. Scott
Agent to the Govr. at Kurnool
21st October 1843.

(6) I can speak to having always found —— a most zealous active hardworking and efficient public servant. I never knew him absent himself or ask for leave. He was always at work at his post and I shall be truly glad to hear of his promotion to higher Grades of Service.

(Signed) W. B. Hawkins
Ag Sub Judge
2d April 1845.

(7) It affords me great pleasure to certify that the Sheristadar —— has throughout the entire time I had the charge of the Court under very trying circumstances of much and laborious duties given cause for the most unqualified approbation, and he is highly intelligent, efficient and assiduous without falter in conducting his duties and meritorious in all respects.

(Signed) D. Mayne
Ag Sub Judge
31st May 1847.

(8) Having perused the recorded opinion of Mr Mayne relative to the merits of the Sheristadar —— I have real pleasure in now doing so myself to most fully corroborate all what he says in favor of ——; no better more attentive or more efficient man. no one could desire to have to transact business under him, and he is deserving of all confidence, I have never yet met a Native with so good & practical a knowledge of English as the —— in question

(Signed) J. Ratliff
Ag Sub Judge
16th March 1848.

(9) I have always found —— both honest and attentive to his duties. I have never met with any native public servant who has better knowledge of English or of the Regulations. His proficiency in my opinion fits him for any situation which can be held by a Native functionary.

(Signed) T. Onslow
Sub Judge
17th February 1849.

The
honor to st
Naidoo a
August 18
of the Co
the above
the post

Vizag
Dist
16th Oct

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Suspension					9th October 1851 to 5th March 1855		The Civil Judge Mr Elliot ordered the suspension on the 8th October 1851 suspecting privy to the Nazir & Head Gumastah of the sub Court Cuddapah, who were charged with embezzling intestate property; remained under suspension pending the Criminal trial ordered by the late Sudr Court of the two servants. After their acquittal the Sudr Court restored to office by Pro. (9 March 1855) with arrears of half salary (Pro 6th Do.) Vide G.O. No dated 20th March 1855.	Acting District Judge	6th March 1855	Reinstated	Acting District Judge
Subordinate Court Sheristadar	Permanent	Permanent	90		6th March 1855		(10) The few occasions when ——— came immediately under my notice impressed me in his favor. I do not doubt that he will continue to give satisfaction to his superiors and rise hereafter to a higher Judicial appointment. 17th June 1849 (Signed) E. Molley Civil Judge. (11) I have great pleasure in stating that the Sheristadar ———'s energy, integrity, intelligence and natural ability are unsurpassed by the best native official it has been my good fortune to meet, and that in my opinion promotion has rarely been conferred on a more deserving person. 14th November 1850. (Signed) D. Mayne Sub Judge The only servants that have come particularly under my notice are the Sheristadar ——— and × these persons have given entire satisfaction but I must particularly mention the Sheristadar for his general acquirements & aptitude for & knowledge of his duties. (Signed) E. Story 8th March 1851. Ag Civil & Session Judge I have satisfied myself that ——— is a man of respectability, good character & general information. (Signed) W. Elliot Civil Judge 2nd January 1856 I have no hesitation in pronouncing that ——— possesses greater amount of capability than is often met with. I have had every reason to be thoroughly satisfied with his conduct. I believe him to be fully qualified to hold the highest judicial appointment conferred upon uncovenanted servants. (Signed) J. Ratliff sub judge 20th January 1856 ——— is from his character and abilities peculiarly fitted to discharge the duties of Principal Sudder Ameen with satisfaction to Government and the Community. He possesses great general intelligence and good sound judgment and is of good moral character. (Signed) W. J. Blair 2 February 1857 Ag Sub judge 4 × ——— I have known for the last twelve years and I do not believe that Government possesses a more efficient native Subordinate. I have already twice officially recorded my opinion of him — an opinion I observe (from his testimonials) generally corroborated by others under whom he has served. My present suggestion of his name, as a Candidate, was wholly unsolicited on his own part. On receiving the Govt. invitation now responded to, I at once offered the nomination to him, as one of the men of my acquaintance best fitted,		22nd July 1857	Promotion	
Chittoor District Munsiff 3rd Class - Trivattoor.	Do	Do	100		23rd July 185				30th Septr 1860	Transfer	
Do Do Kalastry	Do	Do	100		1st Octr 1860				31st July 1862	Do	
Do Do Sholinghur	Do	Do	200		1st August 1862				18th August 1863	Promotion & Transfer	
Vizagapatam District Munsiff first grade - Bimlipatam.	Do	Do	300		19th August 1863				10 October 1867	Promotion	
Cuddapah Principal Sudr Ameen	Acting	District Munsiff 1st grade Bimlipatam -	300	100	11 Octr 1867				21st Decr 1867	Return of Permanent incumbent	
District Munsiff of Nandialampett -	Permanent	Permanent	300		22nd Decr 1867				6th March 1868	Promotion	
Principal Sudr Ameen	Acting	Dt. Munsiff 1st Grade Nandialampett	300	128-9-1	7th March 1868				23rd March 1869	Return of permanent incumbent	
District Munsiff 1st grade Cuddapah.	Permanent	Permanent	300		24 March 1869				6th January 1876	Retired	

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment	7 Signature of Officer.
						G. Ramanjulu Naidu

8 Character of Officer No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded, suspensions should be noted here when the order of Suspension is passed.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office	10 Date of Termination of Appointment	11 Reason of termination e.g. transfer, Promotion, return of permanent incumbent	12 Signature & Designation of immediate head of the office.
fitted for the appointment of Deputy Collector. Tindivanam ⨯ ⨯ ⨯ (Signed) J. Ratliff 14th April 1859 Ag Collector I have not made a third nomination as the name of one of the individuals I originally brought forward in April last is still before Government viz —— District Munsiff of Trivattoor in Chittoor Zillah and whom I now, as then, consider qualified for the appointment of Deputy Collector. (Signed) J. Ratliff 8th December 1859. Ag Civil Judge ⨯ ⨯ ⨯ I have the honor to bring to notice the name of —— presently District Munsiff of Triputty in the Zillah of Chittoor whom I believe to be thoroughly qualified for the important Office of Principal Sudr Ameen. He is thoroughly a good English Scholar and indeed the best I have ever met with in the Country and is thoroughly acquainted with every thing connected with the duties of a Court. ⨯ ⨯ ⨯ (Signed) J. Ratliff Honore 1st June 1861 Ag Civil Judge I have been led to entertain a very favourable opinion of ——'s intelligence & ability as a public Officer and judging from what I have seen of him & his work I should say that he is thoroughly conversant with all the intricacies & detail of Civil procedure. I have never heard a word to the prejudice of his integrity & moral character & believe that he has the Confidence of the people. (Signed) J. D. Robinson 22nd June 1861. Ag Collector It is but justice to add that since —— has been a District Munsiff he has invariably exhibited exemplary deligence in the discharge of his duties and has I believe gained the Confidence of the people. He is a very efficient District Munsiff. (Signed) G. A. Harris 10th July 1861. Civil Judge The present Munsiff —— is an Officer of great experience, temper & ability, and well acquainted with English. With an increase to his salary befitting his increased responsibility, I feel little doubt he would efficiently discharge the duties of Judge of the Court of Small Causes. ⨯ ⨯ ⨯ (Signed) Charles Collett 10th April 1862. Ag Civil Judge —— at ⨯ present 3rd Class Munsiff of Kalastri is a fit person for the vacant Office of 2nd Class District Munsiff of Sholinghur. I consider him as by far the best qualified person in the Zillah. He possesses a very good knowledge of English and is a highly intelligent and experienced officer. ⨯ ⨯ ⨯ (Signed) Charles Collett 11th July 1862. Ag Civil Judge Among the District ⨯ Munsiffs employed in this Zillah the only one whom I consider to be fitted for the Office of District Munsiff of the 1st class is —— I have had ample opportunity of becoming acquainted with him and I have no hesitation in saying that he possesses very superior Judicial qualifications, an excellent knowledge of English and an unexceptionable character and that he would fill the post I have named with	Acting District Judge			Acting District Judge

1 Name of appointment	2 Nature ie whether substantive or acting permanent or temporary	3 Substantive appointment; if the new appointment be acting only	4 Pay	5 Acting Allowance	6 Date of appointment	7 Signature of officer	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
						S. Ramanujulu Naidu	with credit to himself and advantage to the public Service. I can vouch for his character being of the same high standing as his qualifications as a judicial officer ××× Chittoor 24 March 1863. (Signed) W. T. Blair Ag Civil Judge × × × I have the honor to request that —— may be promoted to the 1st class. I am well acquainted with —— he possesses a very good knowledge of English. I believe that he quite deserves his reputation for integrity and I am satisfied that his abilities and independence of character will render him very useful in this Zillah where trade is very active and the Civil litigation will in future be considerable. × × × Vizagapatam 28th July 1863 (Signed) Charles Collette Civil & Session Judge × × × —— Munsiff of Cuddapah is already 1st Class and it is unnecessary to say more than that the Acting Civil Judge entertains of him the very highest opinion in every way. When acting Principal Sudder Ameen he proved himself a most upright and efficient Officer and were he permitted to resume that post, the appointment would be most beneficial to this District. (signed) W. D. Horsley 12th May 1870. Ag Civil & Session Judge Ramanujulu Naidu has discharged the duties of his office with care and [illegible] & has, I believe, secured the confidence of the people of this place. J. P. [illegible] Ag D. Judge 7th Feb. 76	Acting District Judge			Acting District Judge

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. G. Ramanjulu Naidu.

Caste or Race. Balija.

Residence. Cuddapah.

Father's Name. G. Devanayacam Naidu.

Father's Residence. Tiruppampuliyur.

Date of birth. 1816.

Pen: In No. 746 of 76

43

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION / GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Gajjala Ramanjulu Naidoo
2. Father's name. Gajjala Devanayacam Naidoo
3. Sect or Caste. Balija
4. Residence. Cuddapah
5. Present or last employment. First Class District Munsiff, Cuddap
6. Date of beginning of service 25th April 1837
7. Date of end of service 6th January 1876

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service including breaks	38 – 8 – 13
of which superior	33 – 6 – 11
inferior	1 – 8 – 7
non-qualifying and breaks	3 – 5 – 25

9. Class of {pension / gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ... Retiring Pension Old age
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. (300) Three hundred Rupees
11. Proposed pension. (150) One hundred and fifty Rupees
12. Proposed gratuity. "
13. Date from which to commence. 7th January 1876
14. Place of payment. Collector's Treasury Cuddapah
15. Age of Applicant on this date. About (60). sixty years
16. Height. (5) Five feet (3) Three inches
17. Marks. The left toe end is somewhat pointed and projected unlike the right and the nail of the same toe has an injured appearance.
18. Date. 21st July 1876

Ag: District Judge

SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 22 7 1876
Ansd

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Gajjala Ramanjulu Naidoo 1st Class District Munsiff of Cuddapah.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	Pay. A.	Pay. P.	Acting allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Late Zillah Court Chittoor	Criminal Writer	26	4	"	"	25th April 1837	6 January 39 31st December 1838	"	"	"	1	8	7	Under 22 years of age.	By District Judge of North Arcot. See Enclosures A and B.	Verified from the records of the District Courts North Arcot Vizagapatam and Sub Courts Cuddapah, the verification being confirmed partly from the annual Returns and partly from the Audit Co Registers on records in this Office.
Do	Do	26	4	"	"	1st January 1839	9th February 1839	"	1	9	"	"	"	"		
Do	Ag 2d Writer	35	"	"	"	10th February 1839	31st July 1839	"	5	22	"	"	"	"		
Do	Translator	87	8	"	"	1st August 1839	31st December 1839	"	5	"	"	"	"	"		
Do	Do	52	8	"	"	1st January 1840	24th August 1840	"	7	24	"	"	"	"		
Do	Do	87	8	"	"	25th August 1840	15th September 1843	3	"	22	"	"	"	"		
Subordinate Court Cuddapah	Sheristadar	90	"	"	"	16th September 1843	27th September 1843	"	"	"	"	"	12	Time occupied in joining the appointment.		
Do	Do	90	"	"	"	28th September 1843	15th January 1851	7	3	19	"	"	"	"	By the Subordinate Judge Cuddapah. See Enclosure C.	
Civil and Session Court Cuddapah	~~Dy~~ Do	100	"	"	"	16th January 1851	8th March 1851	"	1	21	"	"	"	Resigned		
Subordinate Court Cuddapah	Do	90	"	"	"	9th March 1851	9th March 1851	"	"	"	"	"	1	The 9th being Sunday took charge on the 10th.		
					Total period of {superior / inferior} service...		....									
Do	Do	90	"	"	"	10th March 1851	8th October 1851	"	6	29	"	"	"			
Do	Do	90	"	"	"	9th October 1851	10 April 5th March 1855	"	"	"	3	4	25	Under suspension adjudged as a penalty.	See Enclosures D. E. F.	
Do	Do	90	"	"	"	11 April 6th March 1855	22d July 1857	2	4	17	"	"	"			
District Munsiff's Court of Trivatoor North Arcot	3d Class Munsiff	100	"	"	"	23d July 1857	30th September 1860	3	2	8	"	"	"	"		
District Munsiff's Court of Kalastry North Arcot	Do	100	"	"	"	1st October 1860	30th June 1862	1	9	"	"	"	"		See Enclosure A.	
Do	Do	200	"	"	"	1st July 1862	31st July 1862	"	1	"	"	"	"			
District Munsiff's Court of Sholinghur North Arcot	Do	200	"	"	"	1st August 1862	31st July 1863	1	"	"	"	"	"			
District Munsiff's Court of Bimlipatam Vizagapatam	1st Class District Munsiff	300	"	"	"	1st August 1863	10th October 1867	4	2	10	"	"	"		The District Judge of Vizagapatam has not furnished a verified statement, though written to twice.	
						Carried over		25	4	7	5	7	15			

Pension Department.

					Brought forward			25	4	1	5	1	15		
Principal Sadr Amins Court Cuddapah	Acting Principal Sadr Amin	300	"	"	100	11th October 1867	21st December 1867	"	2	11	"	"	"		By the Accountant General Madras
District Munsiffs Court of Bimlipatam Vizagapatam	1st Class District Munsiff	300	"	"	"	22nd December 1867	7th January 1868	"	"	"	"	"	17	On private affairs leave Vide Fort Saint George Gazette dated 16th December 1867	
District Munsiffs Court of Nandalumpet Cuddapah	Do	300	"	"	"	8th January 1868	15th January 1868	"	"	8	"	"	"		Verified by the pay abstracts
District Munsiffs Court of Cuddapah	Ag. Do	300	"	"	"	16th January 1868	6th March 1868	"	1	20	"	"	"		
Principal Sad Amins Court Cuddapah	Ag: Principal Sad Amin	300	"	"	128-1-9	7th March 1868	23rd March 1869	1	"	17	"	"	"	"	By the Accountant General Madras
District Munsiffs Court Cuddapah	1st Class District Munsiff	300	"	"	"	24th March 1869	6th January 1876	6	9	14	"	"	"		Verified by the pay abstracts
								33	5	[illegible]	6	15	15		
	Total period of				Superior Inferior	Service		33	6	11	5	2	2		

M

REMARS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Ramanjulu Naidu has discharged the duties of his office with care and assiduity and has, I believe, secured the confidence of the people of this place —— (Signed) T. P. Gordon Acting District Judge. 7th Feby/76

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. — See Enclosures D.E.F.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. "

5. Any other remarks.

Acting District Judge

SIGNATURE.

No 460

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 33 years & 5 months ~~days~~, and that a Retiring {pension ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 160 per mensem equal to half pay, is admissible under Section 58 of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

Fort Saint George
Accountt Genl's Office,
27th October 1876.

R W Roderick
Accountant-General.

Rly

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Pension 1876 Dept.
No. 746

1882

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~OR~~
{~~GRATUITY~~—

Date of application.
21st July 1876

Name of Applicant.
G. Ramanjulu Naidu

Last Appointment.
District Munsiff

Class of {pension ~~or~~
{~~gratuity~~
1.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With order No. 702

From,
The A. Distt Judge
of Cuddapah

To
The Accountant
General, Madras
Dated 21st July 1876
Recd

7 Enclosures.
Application for pension
of G. Ramanjulu Naidu

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

49

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

READ the following papers :—

From the Ag: District Judge, Cuddapah, d/21st July 1876.

-„- Accountant-General, dated 27th October 1876 No. 460.

ORDER THEREON, 17th November 1876 No. 702.

Under Sections 54, 56(c) & 58 of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition), His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Gajjala Ramanjulu Naidoo, late First Class District Munsiff, Cuddapah, a retiring pension ——— of Rupees (150-„-„) One hundred and fifty per mensem, to which he is entitled for his qualifying ~~Superior~~ Service of 33 years, 5 months ~~& days.~~ payable from the 7th January 1876.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
7 u.g. — " A District Judge of Cuddapah
" Collector of Cuddapah.

desp
20/11/76

5-8-90

Govt. of {1876} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated 17th November 1876.

Despd. 20th " "

G. O 17th November 1876 No. 702.

Granting a retiring pension of Rupees 150 per mensem to Gajjala Ramanjulu Naidu, late First Class District Munsiff Cuddapah.

List