M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 32

(2) Date of repair 1-5-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 488

Press
Mis.

Dated 7-8-1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
7th August 1876. *No.* 488.

Gratuity.

Read the following papers :—

From the Acting District Judge of Coimbatore, dated 10th March 1876.
,, Accountant-General, dated 13th July 1876, No. 26.

ORDER THEREON, 7th August 1876, No. 488.

Petitioner, in his statement addressed to the Board of Revenue, describes himself as having served for fifty years as a Court and Jail Peon, Coimbatore District, and to have been thrown out of employment *by a reduction of establishment* in 1862. From 1862 to 1866 he states that he served as a peon to one of the Inam Deputy Collectors. "Thrown out" (he does not say how) in the last mentioned year, he represents himself as appealing from one department to another to forward his papers for a gratuity.

2. The truth is shown to be that he served from 1808 to 1854 as Adàlat Peon in Coimbatore, *resigning* in favor of his son. The testimony of two peons of the Inam Department shows also that he served for two years there; but whether as a permanent, or an extra hand, cannot be determined.

3. It is observed that authority to pay gratuities to meritorious public servants of a grade not entitled to pensions was conceded to this Government as far back as 1835 and continued in force up to 1857, when it was withdrawn temporarily, to be restored again in 1860. In the interval between 1835 and 1857, peons, as well as others, were admitted to gratuities; but, as correctly pointed out by the Accountant-General, who refers to G.O., Separate Public, No. 168 of 27th June 1854, their claim was then admitted in special cases only, never where their sons or other near relatives were appointed in their place.

Correspondence in G.O., Financial No. 759, dated 27th November 1857.

G.O., Separate Public, No. 472, dated 5th September 1860.

4. Petitioner therefore, who was succeeded by his son on his retirement in 1854, cannot now establish any claim to a gratuity. His application will be rejected.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Accountant-General.
,, District Judge of Coimbatore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of 1876; Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 7th.

Issued

Recd.

G.O., 7th August 1876, No. 488.

AUGUST.

Gratuity.—Declining to grant gratuity to Ru
garajoo, late Adálat Peon, Principal Sadr Amin
Court, Coimbatore.

Extract from the diary of the late Principal Sudr Ameen's Court of Coimbatore dated 11th September 1854 Page 93.

× × ×

Read Arzee presented by Adawlut Peon Rungarajoo stating that from 1808 up to date he conducted himself deligently and faithfully, that on account of illness and old age he is unable to perform his duties and praying that his son Chinnamarajoo may be appointed in his stead.

Order of the Court.

As Chinnamarajoo continues to act he is confirmed and his name ordered to be entered in the Pay Abstract.

(Signed) C. Vencatachelliah
Acting Principal Sudr Ameen.

(A true translate)

J. G. Horsfall
Acting District Judge

Compd

5A

(Seal)

The bearer Runga Rajoo conducted himself well, as Jail Peon in the Zillah Court of Coimbatore from 8th July 1809 to 31st December 1821. As the Court ceased to exist, his service ceased. Pagodas. - 41. Fanams & 61 pice were stopped from his pay for Native Fund.

1st January 1822.

(Signed) Sept. Money
C. J.
(True Copy)

Acting District Judge

Compd

not entitled to a refund of this amount under notification of 29 Apl/53: vide page 442 of Offl Gazette of 10 May/53 —

Jud: Lr. No. 462 of [illegible]

Rev: Sec: No. 462 of 1846

Ranga Razoo – I don't know how old I am. I am more than 80 – I was thrown out of employ in 31 Dec /21. I was not one day out of employ. I was then employed as a Peon under the Collector. I was sent with Prisoners to Caroor & sent back here by Mr Munro. After certificate was given, I was ordered to go to Trichinopoly with Prisoners: I was sent back from Caroor & told to go to the Collector Mr Sullivan: He sent me to Waliar as a peon on the Ghaut – I was there 4 or 5 years and then went to the Auxiliary Court: I went there in /27 I think.

Abdool Razool – I am about 80 years old. I have known Ranga Razoo for 45 years. When I first knew him he was in the Collector's employ: as peon at Waliar. He was then 4 or 5 years. I was then a peon under the Collector – After Waliar, Ranga Razoo was again appointed as Jail peon. I don't remember in what year

He remained at the Jail for 80 years: and then went to the Sudr Ameen's Court. He was never out of Employ. I was at the Jail as Peon. I went there in Mr. Sullivan's time. I was peon under the Collector for 9 years. I was transferred without any interval. Rungo Razoo was transferred a little while after me. I don't know in what year.

Seshum Razu

Mahomed Eusuf – I have known Rungo Razoo for the last 40 years. He was ~~then~~ a peon at Walia. I was then a young lad. I was a Jail peon: I was peon for 35 years to old: & I got pension 6 years ago. After Walia, Rungo Razu was appointed as Jail peon: he was appointed there before me. He was at the Jail for 40 years: ~~the~~ sometimes ~~at~~ at the Sudr Ameen Court.

J. Rutherford
A.G.

29 Feb/56

There seems no reason to doubt the statement of the applicant, supported as it is by two witnesses, that the applicant was, after being thrown out of Employ on ~~30 Dec/21~~ 31 Dec/21 by abolition of the Zillah Court, entertained by the Collector as a Peon at the Walia Jail years: and that he remained there for some 4 or 5 years when he was again employed as Jail Peon under the Auxiliary Civil Court.

The Roll of the Auxiliary Court does not give the names of peons until 1 Jan/37 on which date applicant's name appears.

J. Rule
A.G.

29 Feb/56

11A

Kotagherry
7th May 1842.

The bearer Runga Rajoo Peon of the Auxiliary Court of Coimbatore, waited at my house for the last 20 days, and found him to be active and attentive

(Signed) J. F. Thomas

(True Copy)

J. M. Kindersley

Acting District Judge

Compd [illegible]

Statement of
Rungarajoo &
his 2 witnesses
B

Rev: Sec No. 462 of [illegible]

Enclosure C

Rec: Lr No. 462 of 76

7.

No
278

13A

The Members of the Board of

Revenue Inam Department

Most Honored Sir

I was employed as a peon for a period of 50 years in the jail and Court in the Coimbatore District and was thrown out of Employment in reduction of establishment in 1862 when I was taken as a peon in the Inam Commissioner's Department under the Dy Collector Tholasilinga Mondeliar from which post I was thrown in 1866. I applied for pension to the Civil Court of Coimbatore; but no notice was taken of my petition and I stated these facts to the Sub Court who directed me to apply to the Department in which I was last Employed to your honors in charge of the Department I was last employed I humbly request your honor will be graciously pleased to award me pension for my long and faithful service and thus protect me

I.

278

I beg to remain
Most honored Sir
Most obedient and humble
Servant.

(Signed) Runga Rajoo
Late Peon Coimbatore

Address
Rungarajoo
Late Peon Coimbatoor
Care of Narain Row
Revenue Inspector
Coimbatoor Taluq
Coimbatoor

Coimbatoor
13 September 1874

Rec: L. No 462

I was also as a peon in 1842 at Ootacamund under ~~[illegible]~~ Lord Bishop and I have the certificate up to this day. I pray therefore to move compassion on me and protect

Pla: D. Ap. 462 of 1876

B Endorsement

There are no records in this Office - If the petitioner can produce proof of his having served under Deputy Collector Tulasinga Mudaliar his claim for pension will be considered by this Department.

A. Arbuthnott
Inam Commr.

Rev: Bd. Office
Inam Dept.
Madras
16 Novr. 1854

Stamped

The Honorable the Member of Board of Revenue
In charge of Inam Department
Madras

I. DELY.
MADRAS
SEP. 16.

I. DELY.
MOUNT ROAD
SEP. 16

17A

To be returned with reply on reverse.

Audit Department.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
14 March 1876.

16 March 76
Regr. No. 218/76

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

To The Acting District Judge, Coimbatore.

Vide your Application for gratuity on behalf of Rungarajoo.
d: 10 March 76.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation re the following points.

In the applicant's petition to the ~~Inam Dept.~~ Board of Revenue it was stated that the applicant was employed in the Coimbatore Dt. till 1862 when he was thrown out by reduction of establishment while from the application his services appear to have ceased in 1854 owing to old age & infirmity - explanation is requested to as to this difference -

2. As regards the applicant's services in the Inam Comr. Departt. ~~whether~~ can be produce

produce any documents in his possession to prove that he was employed in the Department; & if not an affidavit from the applicant supported by the evidence of his contemporary employés should be obtained so as to shew the period and other particulars of his service in the Inam Department.

W.H. Rodrick.
Accountant Genl

Rs: Cu No 462 of 76

194

Memo

Accountant General's memo dated 14th March 1876

The undersigned has the honor to state that the applicant is unable to give satisfactory explanation regarding the difference referred to in para 1.

2. As regards his service in the Inam Department, his statement on solemn affirmation and the evidence of his 2 contemporary servants are herewith sent.

Coimbatore
District Court
1st June 1876.

J. G. Horsfall
Acting District Judge.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 3 6 1876
Ansd

Compd

Pension.

Runga Razu affirmed says.

I was in the Court employ but I donot remember when it was ended. I was in the Inam Commissioner's Department after I left Court. I have no documents to show this. Abdul Khadir and Sreenivasi Getty who were employed in the Inam Department know this. I got 5 Rupees Pay.

Abdul Khadir affirmed — I know Runga Razu Petitioner — I have served in Inam Commissioner's Department when Tolasinga Moodelliar was Deputy Collector here. Runga Razu was then employed. I cannot say when, it was for 2 years, as peon on Rupees 6.-

Sreenivasi Getty affirmed states.

I know Runga Razu — I was employ as a peon in the Inam Commissioner's

Department 10 or 12 years ago. Petitioner was also employed at the same time as a peon upon 6 Rupees a month for two years – I cannot remember the years.

(Signed) J. G. Horsfall
Acting District Judge

Coimbatore District Court 30th May 1876

(True Copy)

J. G. Horsfall
Acting District Judge

Rec: No. 4629

Compd

Register No 374 of 1876

To be returned with reply on reverse.

23A

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

J. G. HORSFALL
8 JUN 76
RECEIVED

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
5th June 1876.

FROM THE Asst. to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Acting District Judge
Coimbatoor

VIDE *your Application for* Gratuity *on behalf of* Rungarajoo

THE undersigned has the honor to request to be informed whether the amount that was stopped from the applicants pay for native Pension Fund was refunded to him, if not why, and to enquire whether the applicants name is not traceable in the acquittance rolls of the Principal Sudder Ameens Court Coimbatore from 1 September 1854.

2 Whether any recorded information is available in ~~prin~~ the records of the Principal Sudder Ameens Court regarding the mans removal owing to old age and infirmity.

C. Crawley
Asst. to the Acctt Genl

Memo.

The Applicant states that the amount of stoppage made from his pay for Native Pension Fund was not refunded to him and there is no record in the Court to shew that the same was refunded to him or not.

The Applicant's name is not tracable in the acquittance rolls of the Principal Sudr Amin's Court after 1st September 1854.

A translation of the diary order of the late Principal Sudr Amin's Court regarding the applicant's removal from service is herewith sent.

J. G. Horsfall
Acting District Judge

Coimbatore
District Court
22nd June 1876.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 27 6 18[illegible]6
Ansd

6129

25

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Rungarajoo (No house name)

2. Father's name. Thumbarajoo.

3. Sect or Caste. Huttarajoo.

4. Residence — Coimbatore

5. Present or last employment. Adawlut Peon late Principal Sudr Amin's Court Coimbatore.

6. Date of beginning service. 8th July 1809.

7. Date of end of service. 31st August 1854.

8. Length of service including breaks ... ... Y. M. D. 45–1–24

of which superior ... ... " " "

inferior ... ... 45—1—24

non-qualifying and breaks... ... ... " " "

9. Class of {~~pension~~ gratuity} applied for, and cause of application* ... Gratuity Old age

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 5 Rupees

11. Proposed pension. "

12. Proposed gratuity. 65 Rupees or 13 months pay.

13. Date from which to commence. "

14. Place of payment. Coimbatore

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.§ Not known - He is now more than 80 years old

16. Height. 5 Feet

17. Marks. Two scars on the right side.

18. Date. 10th March 1876.

Acting District Judge.
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

§ (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Accountant General's Office
Recd 1[illegible]
Ansd [illegible] 3 .1876

22981

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Rungarajoo

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Jail at Coimbatore	Peon	3	8	„	„	8th July 1809	31st December 1821	12	5	24					Verified by a Takeed possessed by the Applicant. A translation of which is herewith sent.	Service from 8th July 1809 to 31st August 1854 has been partly verified from the records of the auxiliary and Principal Sudr Ameens Courts Coimbatore and partly supported by the applicant's affidavit & evidence of contemporary employes and Takeed dated 1st January 1822.
Coimbatore Collectorate.	Do.	3	8	„	„	1st January 1822	24th July 1827	5	6	24	„	„	„	Verified by the affidavit of the applicant & the testimony of his contemporary servants. Vide Enclosure B.		
Jail at Coimbatore	Do.	3	„	„	„	25th July 1827	31st December 1836	9	5	6	„	„	–			
Auxiliary Court Coimbatore	Adawlut Peon	4	„	„	„	1st January 1837	15th September 1843	6	8	15	–	–	–	* Retired on account of old age & infirmity his son having been entertained in his place; he is supposed to have relinquished his claim to gratuity *& now applies on the strength of Notification of Govt. He also served in the Inam Commission as a peon on Rupees but the period of his service there is unknown. Vide endorsement on the Petition D/	Verified from the acquittance rolls of the Auxiliary Court Coimbatore.	
Principal Sudr Ameen Court Coimbatore	Daloyet	6	–	–	„	16th September 1843	13th October 1846	3	„	27	–	–	–			
Do.	Adawlut Peon	5	„	„	„	14th October 1846	31st August 1854	7	10	18	„	„	„		Verified from the acquittance rolls of the late Principal Sudr Ameen Court Coimbatore. published on page 1211 of the Ft. St. Geo. Gazette dated 16th Septr. 1870.	
		Total period of {superior / inferior} service...					....	45	1	24						

45 | 24

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Vide Enclosures B & C.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. The Applicant appears to have been appointed to post of Assistant Peon from that of Dalayet on account of his slowness.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. This rule is not applicable to the present application.

5. Any other remarks. As the rule requiring the service books to be kept was not in force at the time the Applicant retired, no service book is sent up. From the enclosure a Takeed granted by Sept Money Esquire it appears that a stoppage was effected from the pay of the Applicant in order to provide for the Native Pension Fund. Considering the length of service of the Applicant and the fact that the cases of such servants have been favorably noticed by the Government this application is made on his behalf.

F. M. Kindersley
Acting District Engr
SIGNATURE.

No. 26.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {inferior ~~superior~~} grades has been duly proved for 45 years 1 months and 24 days, up to 31st August 1854, but that a gratuity does not seem admissible, as at the time of applicant's retirement no inferior Servants were admissible to gratuity except in special cases vide first para. of G. O. No. 168 dated 27th June 1854 Separate Public Department. The order granting gratuities to Servants in grades not entitling them to pension appears to have come into force only in 1857. Vide Financial notification No. 36 dated 14th August 1857, published in page 426 of the official Gazette of 15th September 1857.

× Vide Court Order G. 11 Sept 1854. herewith sent.

The applicant after resigning his post in 1854 on account of old age and illness appears from his deposition supported by the evidence of contemporary employés to have served between 1863 and 65 as peon in the Inam Department. But it is not known whether he was a permanent or an extra peon as there were 2 peons of the former class, and 8 of the latter class in the Office at the time during which he states he served therein.

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
13th July 1876.

R W Lodwick
Accountant General.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Pension 1876 Depts
No. 462

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY—

Date of application.
10 March 76

Name of Applicant.
Rangarajo

Last Appointment.
Peon.

Class of {~~pension or~~ gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

O No. 488 of 76

Pension Dept

Read appln for Gratuity from Rangarappa -

Here enter Pension No 462 by pr

Order thereon 9th August 1876 No 488

Petr in his statement addressed to the Bd Rev, describes himself as hg served for 50 years as a Court & Jail Peon, Coimbatore district, and to have been thrown out of employt by a reduction of estabt in 1862 - From 1862 to 1866 he states that he served as a Peon to one of the Inam Dy Collrs - "Thrown out" (he does not say how) in the last mentioned year, he represents himself as appealing from one dept to another to forward his papers for a Gratuity.

2- The truth is shown to be that he served from 1808 to 1854 as Adawlut Peon in Coimbatore, resigning in favor of his son - The testimony of two peons of the Inam Depmt shows also that he served for 2 years there; but whether as a permanent, or an extra hand, cannot be determined -

3- It is observed that authority to pay

Pension Dept

Correspondence in G.O. Financial No 759 d/27-11-57

G.O. Separate Public No 472 d/5-9-60

Gratuities to menial or inferior public servants of a grade not entitled to Pensions was conceded to this Govt. as far back as 1835 & continued in force up to 1857, when it was withdrawn temporarily, to be restored again in 1860 - In the interval between 1835 & 1857, Peons, as well as others, were admitted to Gratuities; but, as correctly pointed out by the Acct Genl., who refers to G.O. Separate Public, No 168 of 27th June 1854, their claim was then admitted in special cases only, never where their sons or other near relatives were appd. in their place.

3. Petr. therefore, who was succeeded by his son on his retirement in 1854, cannot now establish any claim to a Gratuity - His appln will be rejected.

- 27-7-6.

To The Accountant Genl. } despd 16/8/76
" District Judge of Coimbatore. }

Aff— for [illegible]

Govt. of (1876) Madras.

Pension

To
The Accountant Genl.

Dated 7 }
Despd } August 1876 No. 488.

Declining to grant gratuity to Rungarajoo late Adaulut peon Principal Sudr Ameen's Court Coimbatore.

Retired in 1856

Precedent

C28.7/c