

(1) Number of pages 8(2) Date of repair 26-3-41(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

296

Press
Mis.

Dated

17-5-1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled*26-3-41*

Gratuities.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 296.

FROM

THE HON. D. F. CARMICHAEL,
Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to your Assistant's letter of the 27th ultimo, No. 2220, inviting attention to entry No. 2 of Return No. IX, I am directed in reply to state that the age of Arcot Rama Row, late Revenue Inspector, Cumbum Taluq, Kurnool District, on the date of his retirement was according to his own allegation only fifty-four years and twenty-one days, but from the detailed list submitted by the Collector to the Accountant-General from 1869, and from the Service Register kept in the Collector's Office prior to the coming into operation of the Civil Pension Code, it appears that it was fifty-six years. His age in the Service Book was entered after inquiry made from him. As he was unable to produce his horoscope and did not raise any objections on the score of being less than fifty-five years in 1873, when he was first allowed by Government an extension of time, the Collector disbelieved his statement and entered his age as fifty-six years.

2. The Accountant-General when reporting the case remarked that Rama Row was eligible to a superannuation gratuity of Rupees 240, provided Government accepted the explanation afforded by the Collector in the Service Book regarding the applicant's age on the date of retirement.

3. The Government having accepted the Collector's explanation granted a superannuation gratuity to Rama Row; but in preparing the return above referred to in the Accountant-General's Office, the discrepancy in question escaped notice, and the date of birth was erroneously entered as 19th April 1820.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ootacamund,
17th May 1876.

Secretary to Government,

Ed. J. Wright.

1876.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

From
The Secretary to the Government of
Fort St. George,
To
The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated 17th May 1876.

Issued

Recd.

No. 296.

Enclosure.

Gratuities.—Furnishing explanation with reference to entry No. 2 of Return No. IX in the Statement of Gratuities for the quarter ending 31st December 1875.

No. 2220.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Madras,
Pension Department.

Finl Dep^t Sir,

With reference to the Statement of gratuities for the Quarter ending 31st December 1875, received with your endorsement, No. 169, dated 14th March 1876, I am directed to invite attention to entry No. 2 of Return No. IX. The officer named in this entry (Arcot Rama Rao, 3rd Class Revenue Inspector, Combarum, Taluk Nirmamb) was born on the 19th April 1820, and discharged on the 9th May 1874; he was therefore only 54 years and 20 days of age on the date of his discharge. As superannuation pension or gratuity is not admissible to an officer who has not completed the age of 55 years, I am to point out that the grant

(in)

in the present case was not in accordance with rule.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt Servant

Fort William

The 27th April 1876

22/4

M. M. M. M. M.

Asst. Secy to the Govt of India

Enclosed

G.O. No. 1002 of 30 Nov 1875

Pension (1876) No 1002

From

The Government

Dated 27 April 1876

No 1002 of 30 Nov 1875

No 2220

No 3131

Reference to entry No. 2 in the statement of pension and gratuation sanctioned by the Board of Revenue for the quarter ending 31st December 1875 that the grant of gratuation to Rama Rao, 3rd Class Revenue Chokasdar (pension) was not in accordance with rule.

G.O. No. 1002 of 30 Nov 1875

desd 29/5/76

Pension DEPARTMENT.

No. 296

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,

Financial DEPARTMENT.

Sir,

With reference to your Assistant's letter of the 27th ultimo No. 2220 inviting attention to entry No. 2 of Return No. 18 I am directed in reply to state that the age of Asst. Rama Rao late Revenue Inspector Cambam Taluq Annapur District on the date of his retirement was according to his own allegation only 54 yrs. & 21 days. But from the detailed list submitted by the Collector to the Accountant General from 1869, and from the Service Register kept in the Collector's office prior to the coming into operation of the Civil Pension Code, it appears that it was 56 years, the date of birth being

~~being 11th May 1818~~ - His age
in the Service Book was
entered after enquiry made
from himself - As he was
unable to produce his
horoscope and he did
not raise any objections
on the score of being less
than 55 years ^{Jan 1873} when he
was first allowed by Govt
an extension of time, the
Collector disbelieved his
statement and entered
his age as 56 years. -

The Accountant
General when reporting
the case remarked that
Rama Row was eligible
to a Superannuation
gratuity of Rs 240, provided
Government accepted
the explanation afforded
by the Collector in the
Service Book regarding
the applicant's age on the
date of retirement. -

The Govt having
accepted the Collector's
explanation, granted a

Superannuation gratuity to Rama
Row; But in preparing the
Return above referred to in
the Accountant General's
Office, the discrepancy in
question escaped notice and
the date of birth was erroneously
entered as 19th April 1820
~~instead of 10th May 1818~~ -

Order Command
17th May 1876

Copies
for allocation

1

Pension

Schubert
Number 1876 — DEPARTMENT.

No. 296

To
The Secretary to the
Government of India
Financial Department.

Dated *17th May* 1876.

Quadratis - Transmissions
Explanation with reference
to entry No. 2 of Return A.
IX in the statement of
proceedings for the quarter
ending 31st Dec 1875.