M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-39.

(1) Number of pages 48

(2) Date of repair 31-3-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 268 Press / [✓ Mis.]

Dated 6 – 5 – 1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
6th May 1876.

Pension.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collector of the Godavery District, dated 27th October 1875, No. 240.
,, Officiating Accountant-General, ,, 31st March 1876, ,, 89.

ORDER THEREON, 6th May 1876, No. 268.

Under Sections 50 and 63 (*c*) of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition), His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Chekka Surappa *alias* Suraya, late Shroff in Ramachendrapoor Taluq, Godavery District, an invalid pension of Rupees (4) four per mensem, to which he is entitled for his inferior service amounting to thirty-seven years, eight months, and five days, payable from the 18th June 1875, and under Section 88, Rule 2, of the above Code, to sanction its payment in arrears to his son Venkutaratnam from that date until the date of his decease.

2. The Collector of Godavery will be informed that the Government have already ruled* that the exception in Clause (*h*), Rule 1, Section 39, of the above Code, as to Shroffs in Madras who were in the service on the 22nd May 1856, applies only to those who drew on that date more than 10 Rupees a month.

(True Extract.)

Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of the Godavery District.
,, Officiating Accountant-General.

Exd. A. S. Rodgers.

---

* Pension G.O., dated 21st May 1872, No. 419.

Govt. of 1876, Madras.

PENSION.

To
The COLLECTOR of the
GODAVERY DISTRICT,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated May, 6th
Issued
Recd. Encl.

G.O., 6th May 1876, No. 268.

PAY.

Pension.—Granting an invalid pension of Rupees 4 per mensem to Chekka Surappa *alias* Suraya, late Shroff, Ramachendrapoor Taluq, Godavery District.

APPLICATION FOR { ~~PENSION~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Chekka Surappa alias Suraya

2. Father's name. Chekka Rajulu

3. Sect or Caste. Banian

4. Residence. Ramachendrapore

5. Present or last employment. Shroff on 15 Rupees in Ramachendrapore Taluk

6. Date of beginning of service 12th April 1828

7. Date of end of service 17th June 1875

	Y. M. D
8. Length of service including breaks	47-2-13
of which superior	12-11-3
inferior	24-6-12
non-qualifying and breaks	9-8-28

9. Class of {pension gratuity} applied for, and cause of application.* Superannuation Gratuity from old age.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. 15 Rupees

11. Proposed pension.

12. Proposed gratuity. 180 Rupees

13. Date from which to commence. "

14. Place of payment. Ramachendrapore

15. Age of applicant on this date. above 68 years about 1807

16. Height. Feet 5 - Inches 3

17. Marks. a sore mark on the breast close to the left shoulder

18. Date. 22d October 1875

W S Foster
Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Chikka Surappa alias Suraya

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Management Establisht.	Shroff in Challapilly Mutta Pittapur Estate	4	-	.	"	12 April/28	30 June/28	-	-	-	-	2	19	Inferior	Affidavit	
						1 July 1828	30 June/29	-	-	.	1	-	.	Do.	Record	
						1 July 1829	31 July 1829	-	-	-	-	1	.	Do.	Affidavit	
						1 Augt. 1829	31 Augt./29	-	-	-	-	1	.	Do.	Record	
						1 Sept 1829	30 Sept/29	-	-	-		1	.	Do.	Affidavit	
						1 Octr 1829	31 Octr 29	-	-	-		1	.	Do.	Record	
						1 Novr. 1829	30 Novr./29	-	-	-		1	.	Do.	Affidavit	
		6	.	.	.	1 Decr./29	31 March/31	-	-	-	1	4	.	Do.	Record	
× Reduced from 6 to 5 Rs.		×5	-	-	.	1 April/31	30 June/35	-	-	-	4	3	.	Do.	Do.	
						1 July/35	30 June/36	-	-	-	1	-	-	Do.	Affidavit	
						1 July/36	31 Aug. 1836	-	-	-	-	2	.	Do.	Record	
		Total period of {superior / inferior} service......				1 Sept. 36	30 Sept. 36	-	-	-	-	1	.	Do.	affidavit	
						1 Octr /36	6 Octr. 36	-	-	-	-	.	6	Do.	Record	
						7 Do.	17 Feby/37	-	-	-	-	4	11	without employ owing to the abolition of the Office he held		
	Do	5	-			18 Feby 1837	31 May 1837	-	-	-	-	3	14	Inferior	Record	
					1 June 1837	1 June 1837	31 Augt. 1837	-	-	-	-	3	-	Do.	Affidavit	
						1 Septr 1837	19 Octr 1837	-	-	-	-	1	19	Do.	Record	
Collector's Establisht.	Shroff Amalapur Taluk	10	-	.	..	20 Octr 1837	31 Augt. 1839	1	10	11	-	-		Inferior	Do	Service from 20th October 1837 to 17th June 1875 has been verified partly from the records of the Collr. and partly from the applicant's affidavit and statements of his contemporary
						1 Sept 1839	30 Septr 1839	-	1	-	-	-		Do	Evidence	
						1 Octr/39	30 June 1841	1	9	-	-	-		Do	Record	
						1 July 41	30 June 1842	1	-	-	-	-		Do.	Evidence	
						1 July/42	8 July 1852	10	-	8	-	-		Do.	Record	
	Salt Shroff Karapa Division	10	-	-		9 July 52	23 Decr 53	1	5	14	-	-	-	Do	Do.	
	Shroff in Cota Ramachendrapur Taluk	10	-	-	-	24 Decr 53	30 June 55	1	6	6	-	-	-	Do	Do	
						1 July 55	31 July 55	-	1	-	-	-		Do.	Evidence	
						1 Augt./55	end of Feby 1856	-	7	-	-	-	-	Do.	Record	
						1 March 56	31 March 1856	-	1					Do	Evidence	

		1 April 56	30 Novr. 58	2	8	-	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	employees. the verification being confirmed from the records of this Office for the term subsequent to 29th April 1862.
		1 Decr 58	End of Feby 1859	-	3	-	-	-	-	Do	Evidence	
		1 March 59	31 March 59	-	1	-	-	-	-	Do	Record	
		1 April 59	31 May 59	-	2	-	-	-	-	Do	Evidence	
		1 June 59	17 July 59	-	1	17	-	-	-	Do	Record	
		18 July 59	27 July 59			10	-	-	-	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		28 July 59	23 Augt. 59	-		27	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		24 Aug. 59	26 Augt. 59	-	-	3	-	-	-	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		27 Augt. 59	8 Jany. 60	-	4	12	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		9 Jany. 60	11 Jany 60	-	-	3	-	-	-	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		12 Jany 60	9 Feby 1860	-	-	29	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		10 Feby 60	16 Feby 60	-	-	7	-	-	-	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		17 Feby 60	28 Dec 60	-	10	12	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		29 Dec 60	5 Jany. 61	-	-	8	-	-	-	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		6 Jany 61	13 March 61	-	2	8	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		14 March 61	21 March 61	-	-	8	-	-	-	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		22 March 61	31 March 61	-	-	10	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		1 April 61	31 May 61	-	2	-	-	-	-	Do	Evidence	
		1 June 61	4 Sep 61	-	3	4	-	-	-	Do	Record	
		5 Sep 61	14 Sep 61	-	-	10	-	-	-	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		15 Sep 61	30 Sep 61	-	-	16	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		1 Octr 61	31 Oct 61	-	1	-	-	-	-	Inferior	Evidence	
		1 Nov. 61	8 Apl. 62	-	5	8	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
		9 April 62	13 Apl. 62	-	-	5	-	-	-	Inferior	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	
		14 Apl. 62	28 Apl. 62	-	-	15	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	
Shroff in Ramchundrapur Taluk. under Mr. Pelly's Scale	15 -	29 Apl. 62	6 Aug. 62		3	8	-	-	-	Superior	Record	
		7 Augt. 62	16 Aug. 62	-		-	-	-	10	Leave on forfeiture of half pay	Record	
		17 Aug. 62	20 Sep 62	-	1	5	-	-	-	Superior	Record	
		21 Sep 62	30 Sep 62	-		-	-	-	10	Leave on forfeiture of Full pay	Record	
		1 Octr 62	31 Oct 62	-	1	-	-	-	-	Superior	Evidence	
		1 Nov. 62	17 July 63	-	8	17	-	-		Do	Record	
		18 July 63	18 Augt. 63	-		-	-	1	1	Leave on forfeiture of full pay	Do	
		19 Augt. 63	31 Augt. 63	-	-	13	-	-	-	Superior	Evidence	
		1 Sep 1863	3 May 64	-	8	3	-	-	-	Do	Record	
		4 May 64	31 May 64	-	-	-	-	-	28	Leave on forfeiture of full pay	Record	
		1 June 64	30 June 64	-	1	-	-	-	-	Superior	Evidence	
		1 July 64	31 Octr 65	1	4		-	-		Do	Record	
		1 Novr. 65	30 Nov. 65	-	1	-	-	-		Do	Evidence	
		1 Decr. 65	31 Octr 66	-	11	-	-	-	-	Do	Record	
		1 Novr. 66	30 Nov 66	-	1	-	-	-	-	Do	Evidence	
		1 Decr. 66	31 Jany 67	-	2	-	-	-	-	Do	Record	

1 April 56	30 Nov. 58	2	8	-	-	-	-	Superior	Record	employes. the verificar
								Do.	Evidence	
1 Feby 67	End of Feby 1867	-	1	-	-	-	-	Superior	Evidence	
1 March 67	31 Augt 67	.	6	-	-	-		Do.	Record	
1 Sep 67	30 Sep 67	-	1	-	-	-		Do.	Evidence	
1 Octr 67	31 Decr 67	-	3	-	-	-		Do.	Record	
1 Jany. 68	31 Jany 68	-	1	-	-	-		Do.	Evidence	
1 Feby. 68	End of Feby 68	-	1	-	-	-		Superior	Record	
1 March 68	31 March 68	-	1		-	-		Do.	Evidence	
1 April 68	31 May 68	.	2	-	-			Do.	Record	
1 June 68	30 June 68	-	1		-			Do.	Evidence	
1 July 68	30 Novr. 68	.	5	-	-	-		Do.	Record	
1 Decr. 68	31 Decr. 68	-	1	-	-	-		Do.	Evidence	
1 Jany. 69	31 May 70	1	5	-	-	-		Do.	Record	
1 June 70	30 June 70	-	1	-	-	-		Do.	Evidence	
1 July 70	17 June 75	4	11	17	-	-	.	Do.	Record	
		37	5	15	9	6 8	8 28			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Reduced to be Shroff of Rajahmundry Taluk on 10 Rupees per month as he failed to report the fact of 2d Shroff having taken bribe knowing it fully well or to prevent the same. vide order of Sub Collector dated 7 June 1875 No. 423 (Translation Enclosed).

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. "

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it, "

5. Any other remarks. "

W S Foster
Collector
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No. 89.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {inferior ~~superior~~} grades has been duly proved for — 37 — years — 8 — months and 5 days; and that an Invalid {pension ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 4 -,- per mensem, is admissible under Section 63 (c) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified.

The applicant is reported to have been 68 years of age at the time of his retirement - but the Collector who appears to have allowed him to retire on that account has not certified that he was incapacitated for further service owing to age - this he omitted to do believing that the applicant was a Superior Servant (vide his reply memo: of the 10th December last accompanying) - When the fact of the applicant having been an Inferior Servant was pointed out to him he replied "that as Chekka Surayya (the applicant) is dead no Board or invaliding committee can examine him".

The applicant cannot be classed as Superior Servant under Section 39 (b) of the Code in as much as his pay on the 22d May 1856 was not in excess of Rs. 10 -,- per mensem but for the above Section the applicant will be entitled under Section 64 to a gratuity on the superior Scale of Rs. 180 -,- for his service of years 12 - 11 - 3 on Rs. 15 per mensem as recommended by the Collector.

The applicant having died on the 23d November 1875 the arrears of pension if sanctioned will become payable to his son Venkataratnam -

Fort Saint George
Acctt. General's office
31st March 1876.

Ew. Rule -
Offg. Accountant Genl.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

1 April 56	30 Nov. 58	2	8	-	-	-	-	Inferior	Record	employee.

Pension 1876 Deptn
No. 230

APPLICATION FOR {~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY— Gratuity

Date of application. 22 October 1875

Name of applicant. Chekka Surappa alias Surayya

Last appointment. Shroff Ramachendrapur Taluk

Class of {~~pension or~~ gratuity. Superannuation gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

O. No. 268 of 76

6th September 1875

Camp Ramachendrapur

Deposition given on solemn affirmation by Chekka Surayya of Ramachendrapur

On the 12th April 1828, I was appointed as Shroff on a salary of 4 Rs in the Challapalli Muttah under management. I held that Office for 3 years - While in that post, I was paid 4 Rs for 6 months, and 6 Rs from the 4th November 1829 to the 4th May 1830 - The Challapalli Muttah was abolished and I was appointed as Shroff of Amalapur Taluq - I was a Shroff on Rs 5 per mensem from 5th May 1830 to 7th October 1836 - It is not a Taluq Shroff's Post - The Office of Shroff at Challapalli was removed from that place and I was ordered to do the work of that Muttah, staying at Amalapur and I did so. The then Collector —

Chekka Surappa alias Surayya
Banian
Ramachendrapur
Chekka Rajulu
Chittrada

(I recollect it was Mr. Grant) reduced as unnecessary 2 clerks & myself the Shroff on that management Establishment, from 8th October 1836 to 17th April 1837. I was not removed for any fault. Afterwards, when Mr. James Hamilton Bill came as Collector, he enquired into the matter and as the reduced clerks were not then present, he restored me on the 18th April 1837, to that management Shroff's place on Rs 5, on a petition presented by me. I held that Post till the 21st October of the same year. From the 22nd October 1837 to the 8th July 1852, I acted as Shroff on Rs 10 in the Amalapur Talug. From the 9th July 1852 to the 23rd December 1853, I was the Salt-Shroff of Pittapur on Rs 10. I was also a Shroff in the Ramachendrapur Talug on a salary of Rs 10 from the 24th December 1853 upto the arrangement of the Talug, and on 15 Rs. Since I was

---

13

never suspended nor dismissed. None of those who held Offices Contemporaneously with me when I acted in the former Talugs is now alive. The orders issued to me when I got the said shroff's post were burnt when the house in which I lodged at Draksharama, took fire. I heard this deposition. It is correct.

(Signed) Chekka Surayya

Deposed before me

(Signed) S. Venkatagopal Rao
Tahsildar

P. S.

When I was a shroff at Amalapur, Vegi Janikiramnow and Sungaram Venkatanarayana, now peons in this Talug, were there as peons. I therefore request that they may be examined. I heard this. It is correct.

(Signed) Chekka Surayya

Deposed before me
(Signed) S. Venkatagopal Rao
Tahsildar

(A true translation)

W S Foster

Collector

Recd So 23/1/76

135

9th September 1875

Ramachendrapoor

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Srungaram Venkatanaroyna, Batta peon of Ramachendrapur Taluk.

I served as a peon in the Amlapur Taluk for 6 or 7 Years Commencing with the Year Plava. Before I entered there, Chakka Surayya was in the Amalapur Taluk as Shroff on 10 Rupees. I know his having held the Shroff's post all the time I was in that Taluk. I heard that he held that post for many days before I got into Service in that Taluk - At no other place we worked together - While I was there, Suraya was never suspended nor dismissed - I am now 60 Years old. I heard this. It is correct -

(Signed) Srungaram Venkata-narayna

Deposed before me

(Signed) S Venkata Gopalrow

Tahsildar

9th September 1875
Ramachendrapore

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Vegi Janakiramudu peon of Ramachendrapur Taluk.

About 20 years ago, I was entertained as a peon in the Amalapur Taluk - I served there for 17 years - Before I was entertained, Chekka Suraya was there as Shroff on 10 Rupees. After I got into service he was there for 6 or 7 years and was afterwards transferred to Karapa - While I was at Amalapur I used to take my pay from him - he was not suspended nor dismissed -

I heard this - It is correct.

Mark of Janakiramudu.

Deposed before me
(Signed) S Venkatagopalrow
Tahsildar

17

6th October 1875
Witness Ramachendrapoor

Deposition given on Solemn affirmation by Sobharam peon of Ramachendrapur Taluk.

Bikkavole and Ramachendrapur Taluks were arranged and grouped into one Taluk and the Taluk Cutchery was held at Drackaram and I was transferred from Bikkavole as Taluk peon of Ramachendrapore. I arrived at Drackaram 14 years ago. Before my arrival at the place, Chekka Suraya was there as Shroff. Ever since he had held that office continuously up to June last, when he was reduced - He was never suspended nor dismissed.

I heard this - It is correct.

(Signed) Sobharam

Deposed before me
(Signed) S Venkatagopalrow
Tahsildar

6th October 1875

Witness.

Ramachendrapore

Deposition given on solemn Affirmation by Goolam Mohadin, peon of Ramachendrapoor Taluk -

Bikkole and Cota Ramchendrapur Taluks were joined together and formed into Ramchendrapur Taluk - I was transferred from Bikkole to Dracharam 14 years ago - Before my arrival at Dracharam, Chekka Surayya was there as Shroff. He held that office continuously at Dracharam and Ramchendrapur until his reduction in June last - He was never suspended nor dismissed.

I heard this - It is correct.

Mark of Goolum Mohadin.

Deposed before me

(Signed) S. Venkatagopalrow

Tahsildar -

True Translation

W S Foster

Collector

Rev: Cu. No. 2311/76

No. 423

Translation of an order issued to the Tahsildar of Ramachendrapur by the Acting Head Assistant Collector in charge of the Sub division Godavery District

I have perused your report dated the 2d Instant No. 372 and its Inclosures on the subject of the Inquiry held by you under Regulation 9 of 1822, in the case in which the Second Shroff of your Taluq is accused of having Collected from the Bantadars (Workmen) at the rate of 4 & 2 annas, when money is paid to them on cheques issued by the Engineer Department. From this, it is clear, beyond doubt, that the Second Shroff, Gundu Lakshmayya really Collected for his own use from some Bantadars of the D. P. W. - Certain

belonging to Tank diggers' caste.

Sums amounting to Rs 2–8–0. It is also clear that the Head shroff Chakka Sirayya was fully aware of this fact, but that he omitted either to report the same or to prevent the 2nd shroff from making the Collections. The Second shroff says, he knows nothing about it. But the case is fully proved against him. Had he fully admitted his guilt, he would have been a fit object for pardon to some extent.

It is therefore ordered that he should pay a fine of Rs 2–8–0 being the amount equivalent to the Collections irregularly made by him and that he should further be dismissed from Service

The Head shroff Chakka Sirayya is reduced to the post of Second shroff. You must immediately Collect the fine

Chakka Sirayya, Head Shroff under you is reduced as 2nd Shroff of Rajahmundry Taluq × × × ×

7th June 1875
(Signed) W. P. Austin
Ag Head Asst Collector
Incharge of Sub Divisn

(A true translation)

W S Foster
Collector

1875 Sept

In a letter from the Collector Godavery Dt

to the Accountant General

Fort St George

Dated 27 Octr/75

Recd

No 240

Translations of 6 Depositions.

Recd No. 230/76

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Chekka Surappa alias Surayya	Baniam	Ramachendrapur	Chekka Rajulu	Chittrada	About in 1807	Above 68	"	"	5	3	A sore mark on the ~~left~~ breast close to the left shoulder	30th September 1875		W S Foster Collector

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Shroff in the Challapalli Mutta-Pittapore Estate-Management Establishment —	Substantive —	"	4	"	12 April 1828		From 7th October 1836 to 17 February 1837 - Without employ owing to the abolition of the office he held — 18th July — 1859 to 27 July — 1859 - Leave on forfeiture of half pay — 24 August do — 26 August do — do — do — 9 January 1860 — 11 January 1860 — do — do — 10 February 1860 — 16 February 1860 — do — do — 29 December 1860 — 5 January 1861 — do — do — 14 March — 1861 — 21 March — 1861 — do — do — 5 September — 1861 — 14 September 1861 — do — do — 9 April — 1862 — 13 April — 1862 — do — do — 7 August — 1862 — 16 August — 1862 — do — on full pay — 21 Septr — 1862 — 30 September — 1862 — do — do — 18 July — 1863 — 18 August — 1863 — do — do — 4 May — 1864 — 31 May — 1864 — do — do	W J Foster Collector	30 Novr 1829	The salary of the same ~~promotion~~ appointed was raised.	W J Foster Collector
Do —	do —	"	6	"	1 Decr 1829				31 March 1831	Do do reduced	
Do	do	"	5	"	1 April 1831				6 Octr 1836	The post was abolished	
Do —	do	—	5	—	18 Feby 1837				19 Octr 1837	Promoted	
Shroff in the Amlapore Taluk, Colls Establishment	do —	"	10	"	20 Octr 1837				8 July 1852	Transferred	
Do — Salt Department, Corapa Division	do —	"	10	"	9 July 1852				23 Decr 1853	Do	
Shroff in the Kota Ramachendrapore Taluk —	do	"	10	"	24 Decr 1853				28 April 1862	Promoted	
Shroff in the Ramachendrapur Taluk under the Hqtr. Mr. Pelly's Scale	do	"	15	"	29 April 1862				17 June 1875	Removed from service.	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	ignature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Chekka Surappa alias Surya

Caste or Race. Banian

Residence. Ramachandrapuram

FATHER'S. Name. Chekka Rajulu

FATHER'S. Residence. Chittoorla

Date of birth. About in 1807

Pro: Ch/ No 230 F/76

Regd No 5327 10/11/75

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
3rd November 1875.

FROM THE ~~DEPUTY~~ Asstt to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Godavery

VIDE your Office application for Gratuity on behalf of C. Soorapah alias Suriya -

The undersigned has the honor to request to be informed ~~request explanation on the following points~~ :-

1. Whether the applicant was an acting or permanent Shroff of Amalapur Taluq from Octr/37 to July 1852. The applicant in his affidavit states that during the above period he acted.
2. & to state That a certificate of the Hd of the office or a medical certificate of applt: incapacity for further service ~~may~~ should be furnished as required under section 60 of the C. P. Code. The applicant cannot be held as a superior servant ~~[illegible]~~ under Sec: 39. 1(h) C. P. Code and hence a superannuation gratuity is not admissible -
3. That some more marks for personal identification of the applicant ~~may~~ should be given -
4. That a Copy of G Order No 259. d 11 February /75 referred to in para 5 of Collr's letter No 240. of 27 Octr/75, and a Copy of takeed ordering the applicant to retire before he joined his new appointment ~~may~~ should be sent for reference -

C E Crawley

Asstt to the Acct Genl

1. The applicant was permanent Shroff from 1837 to /52 in the Guntapur Taluk —

2. The applicant is a "superior servant" under Sec. 39 (b) — because he was in the service on the 22 May: 1856. — No certificate of incapacity was sent because his services were dispensed with under the following circumstances. He attained the age of ~~55~~ 68 yrs years in May /75, but as he was reported efficient, he was allowed to remain in the service — It was then found that he was implicated in some frauds. There was no enough to convict him, but the Sub. Collector considered that he had forfeited his claim to the favour shown him by permitting him to remain in the service, and he directed him to apply for pension —

3. He died ~~ten~~ 18th days ago suddenly; identification marks are not now required —.

4. Copies of the G.O, and the Takid are enclosed.

To the Sub Collector,
12-11-75.

John Weliale.
Ag. Sub. Colr (in charge

Dec: 10/75

EP

Rec: de. No 2230/76

Accountant General's Office
Recd 27 }
Ansd __ } 12.1875

DCb

17820

Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Read the following:

Proceedings of the Madras Government,
Judicial Department
2nd February 1875

Munsifs No 31

Read the following letter from J. Weir Esqr. Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Appellate Side, to the Hon'ble D. F. Carmichael, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Madras, 16 January 1875 No 92.

In forwarding to Government the accompanying letter from the District Judge of Tinnevelly, dated 4 January 1875, with its enclosure, I am to state, with reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 28 February 1872, No 248, that the High Court see no reason for retaining Mahalingum Iyer in the Public Service beyond the period sanctioned by the rules of Government. —

From F. C. Carr Esqr. District Judge of Tinnevelly, to the Hon. D. F. Carmichael, Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George, dated Tinnevelly 4 January 1875 No 1

I have the honor to forward the medical Certificate required by Government in their Order, No 518 dated the 24 March last.

2. As before represented by me, in my letter of the 11 Idem, I know of no special reason in the case of Mahalingum Iyer to bring him under any exception to a general rule requiring Judicial Officers to be less than fifty five years of age.

No 32 Order thereon, 2nd February 1875
No 224. —

The attention of the High Court will be drawn to the terms of Section 53, Civil

Pension Code.

2 The High Court appoints District Munsiffs and the words "High Court" may therefore be read for "head of the Office" in paragraph 2 of the Section.

3. The Government understand it to be intended that, where an Officer is sixty years old, the controlling authority may compel him to retire without assigning any reasons; but that where an Officer is between fifty-five and sixty, the controlling authority, in requiring his retirement, is bound to certify that he is inefficient, i.e., from a decay of his powers other than would be discernible by a Surgeon's examination, but within the knowledge or belief of the controlling authority.

(True extract)

(Sd) D. F. Carmichael
Ag: Chief Secretary

To the Hon'ble the Judges of the High Court.
" Revenue Department.-

Order thereon 11 February
1875 No 257

Communicated to the Board of Revenue for their information and for communication to Collectors.

(True Extract)

(Sd) J. Sturrock
Ag Under Secy: to Government

True copy

John Kelsall

Ag Sub Collector in charge.

Pro: Col: No 230/76
1/c

Exd

Translation of an order issued by the Ag Head Assistant Collector in charge of the Sub Division to the Alamur Dy Tasildar in charge of Ramachandrapur Taluk.-

In a demi official letter Mr Kelsall says that though Chakka Surayya formerly the Head Shroff but lately degraded as second shroff had past the 55th year of his age, he was recommended in February last to continue in Service for some time more as it was then represented that he was a man of good conduct. He (Mr Kelsall) directs that Surayya should now be called upon to apply for pension at once. You must therefore inform this to Surayya and obtain from him an application for pension and send the same to me together with his Service Register. A Statement for his pension prepared in the accompanying form should also be forwarded

Rajahmundry
25 June 1875.

(Sd) W. Austin
Ag Head Assistant
Collector in charge
of the Sub Division

True

31

(True copy)

(Sd) Jno J Kelsall

Ag Sub Collector

a true translation

John Kelsall

Ag Sub Collector

in charge

Exd R. R. [illegible]

B. Rev. No 230/76

11/1/76

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

10/1

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
5th January 1876.

FROM THE Asst. to the ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Collector of Godavery

VIDE *your Application for* gratuity *on behalf of* C. Soorapah alias Suraya and the Reply Memo: of 10th December last in connection with it.

THE undersigned has the honor to state that as the applicant was not on receipt of pay exceeding Rs 10 a month on the 22d May 56, and with reference to certain G. O. passed in a similar case, he cannot be styled a "Superior Servant" and he should therefore be certified to be unfit for further service by the Head of the Office under Sec: 50 of the Civil Pension Code.

The exact date of the applicant's demise and the names of the legal heirs entitled to receive the arrears of pensionary allowance that may be sanctioned by Government should be stated.

C. A. Crawley.
Asst. to the Accountant Genl.

No. 72.

The Sub Collector states that as Chekka Surayya is dead, no Board or invaliding Committee can examine him

Surayya died on the 23rd November 1875 leaving his son Venkataratnam his heir

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Cocanada
22d March 76

L. Ache
Acting Collector

Exd. R. R. Evans

E.R

Accountant General's Office
Recd 27 }
Ansd __ } 3 1876

24049

Dis. Ltr. P. 230/76

45

No. 240

Godavery District
Collector's Office Cocanada
27th October 1875

From
W. S. Foster Esquire
Collector

To
R. W. Sodwick Esquire
Accountant General
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an Application for Superannuation Gratuity of 180 Rupees on behalf of Chekka Surappa alias Surayya, late a Shroff in the Ramachendrapore Taluk on a monthly salary of 15 Rupees, and who retired from service on the 18th June 1875.

2 A great portion of the Applicant's services is inferior and the rest superior, but the Applicant requested under Section 64 of the Civil Pension Code that Gratuity might be given him calculated on the superior scale.

Y. M D
Qualifying — 37-5-15.
Superior 12-11-3
Inferior 24-6-12
Non qualifying & breaks 9-8-28
47-2-13

3. The total length of the Applicant's services extends over $\overset{Y}{47}-\overset{M}{2}-\overset{D}{13}$ as shewn in the margin.

4. Of the qualifying service of $\overset{Y}{37}-\overset{M}{5}-\overset{D}{15}$, $\overset{Y}{34}-\overset{M}{7}-\overset{D}{2}$ viz: Superior $\overset{Y}{11}-\overset{M}{11}-\overset{D}{20}$ & Inferior 22-7-12 have been verified by records, and the rest by the evidence of 4 Contemporary Employees. Translations of the Applicant's affidavit as

DLG

well as the depositions of Witnesses and the Service book of the Applicant are Enclosed.

5 As Surayya is above 60 years old, no medical Certificate was obtained nor is it necessary under G.O. dated 11th February 1875 No. 259 R.D. to assign any reason for his removal from the Service.

6 For some misconduct, he was reduced in June 1875 from the Shroff's post of 15 Rupees of the Ramachandrapore Taluk to that of 10 Rupees of the Rajahmundry Taluk, but before he joined his new appointment, he was ordered to retire.

7. The delay in sending this Application is attributable to the records of several former years having had to be examined.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant
W S Foster
Collector.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 27 11.1875
Ansd

E. V. Ramachendrarow
Hd Clerk

1875 Dept
From
the Collector
Godavery Dt
To the Accountant General
Fort St George
Dated 27th Octr/75
Recd
No 240
Enclo 5
No

Forwarding an application for Gratuity on behalf of Chekka Surayya Shroff

14814

Pen: Su: No 230 of /76

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letters from
the Collector Godavery District, dated 27th October 1875 No 240
" Offg: Accountant General, dated 31st March 1876 No 89 "

Order thereon. 6th May 1876 No 268.

Under Sections 50 & 63 (c) of the Civil Pension Code (2d Edition) His Grace the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Chekka Surappa alias Surayya late Shroff, in Ramachendrapore Taluq Godavery District, an invalid pension of Rs (4) four per mensem to which he is entitled for his inferior service amounting to 37 yrs, 8 ms, & 6 days, payable from the 18th June 1875 and under Section 88 Rule 2 of the above code to

8/5

to sanction its payment in arrears to his son Venkataratnam from that date until the date of his decease.

2 The Collector of Godavery will be informed that the Government have already ruled* that the exception in Clause (h) Rule 1, Section 39 of the above Code as to Shroffs in Madras who were in the service on the 22d May 1856, applies only to those who drew on that date more than ten rupees a month.

* Pension G.O. 21st May 1872 No. 419.

To the Collector Godavery District
" Offg. Accountant General with Rep.

Due

des/d
19/5/78 C.O/m

Govt. of {1876} Madras.

Pension.

To The Accountant Genl.

Dated 6th } May 1876, No. 268
Recd.

Nos.

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 4 per mensem to Chekka Surappa alias Suraya late Shroff, Ramachendrapoor taluq Godavery District