M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 49

(2) Date of repair 5-2-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

[illegible] DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 97 Press/Mis.

Dated 22-2-1875.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Enc A

To

The District Court
Madura

The Report most respectfully submitte
by Appasamy aiyan, the late Civil Rec
Keeper of the said Court.

I was appointed to ~~different~~ several minor posts in t
Cuddalore District from 3rd June 1842 to 31st Au
1847 as specified in my Service Register. As I
was transferred very often I was subjected to
great hardship. While I was last employed as Sayer Mushref of
Cundamungalum I was not able to obtain leav
to go to my native place on some urgent priva
affairs and I therefore gave my resignation to
Sayer Amin of the said place in September 184
and I went with my family to Madras an
other places giving up my appointment.

I solemnly declare that what is
stated herein is true to the best of my informa-
-tion.

15th May 1874

(Sigd) T. Appasami Aiy

(True translate)

A/ District Jud

Ch. Co. 31/7/75

1

✓

Enclosure A

[illegible]

[illegible] 1872 [illegible] 3 [illegible] 47 [illegible]

[illegible] 1847 [illegible]

[illegible]

1874ம்
டிஸம்பர் 15ம்

F. Woodroffe
Asst. District Judge

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	FATHER'S Name.	FATHER'S Residence.	Date of birth.	THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.								
						Age.			Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
						Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	In.				
Bramathasum Wooruppatoor Appasamy Aiyar	Brahmin,	Madura.	Bramathasum Wooruppatum Ramaiyar	Bramathasum village in Arcot-Talug. Chittore District	6th September 1818	55	5	28	5	8	A tall man of ordinary size, of dark brown colour, of head somewhat bald and of a small mole on the nose in the left side	4th March 1874		Apparently Correct except Column 12- Pension Application gives his correct marks for identification. With reference to Column 6 see Remarks in a Statement dated 27th July 1871 sent from this Court to the Accountant General as well as letter No 383 dated 22 September 1871. FAWoodroffe Ag District Judge 14th December 1874

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Zuft Amin of Nullattoor Mittah Collrs Office Cuddalore	Temporary	"	Rs 7	"	3d June 1842	
Do of Vanamadavie Do	Temporary	"	8	"	11th Jany 1843	
Sayer Nevisinda of Muddulput Do	Permanent Substantive	"	7	"	15th July 1845	
Head Nevisinda of Moratandichavadi Mundva Do	Permanent Substantive	"	10	"	25th Octr 1845	
Sayer Mooshref of Vallootharoor Do	Permanent Substantive	"	9		4th Augt 1846	
Do of Cundamungalam Do	Substantive Permanent Out of employ	"	9		1st April 1847 1st Septr 1847	
5th Gumasta, Civil Court, Madura	Substantive	"	10		1st Jany 1848	
Head Gumasta Dindigal Mfs Court	Substantive	"	14	"	12th June 1854	
Head Gumasta Paramagudy Mfs Court	Substantive ~~Permanent~~		14	"	18th July 1859	
*6th Gumasta Civil Court, Madura.	Acting ~~Permanent~~		10	"	16th Octr 1860	
2d Gumasta Do	Acting ~~Permanent~~		17½		9th April 1863	
Asst to the receiver & Commissioner of the Sheraganga Zemindary	Temporary	2d Gumasta, (actg) Civil Court, Madura.	65	"	11th Feby 1864	
2nd Gumastah Do	Acting ~~Permanent~~	"	17½		1st Jany 1865	
2nd Gumastah Do	Substantive ~~Permanent~~	"	17½		1st Septr 1865	

Privelege leave for four weeks from 23d May 1855 on full pay.
Privelege leave for 3 weeks from 5th June 1859
Casual leave for 10 days from the 14th August 1871.
Sick leave on half pay for 40 days from the 22d October 1873.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e.g., *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
	Correct subject to remarks in connection with Designation appellation JWWoodroffe As District Judge 14th December 1874	10th Jany 1843	Transfer	JWWoodroffe As District Judge 14th December 1874
		14th July 1845	Transfer	
		24th Octr 1845	Transfer	
		3d Augt 1846	Transfer	
This is to certify that Appasamy Aiyar, 5th Gumasta in the Civil Court of Madura, has given me satisfaction during the period that I had acted as Judge of that Court Madura 30th September 1850 (Signed) S. N. Ward. Ag Civil Judge		31st March 1847	Transfer	
		31st Augt 1847	Resignation	
		31st Decr 1848		
I am glad to certify that Appasamy Aiyar, Head Gumasta of Dindigal Munsifs Court has not only afforded me satisfaction but has also been very honest, quiet and efficient in the discharge of his duties since the 11th July 1854, on which date I took charge of the Court and that his present post of Gumasta is not a suitable appointment for his qualifications (Signed) Soobbanarasiah. Late Dindigal Munsif		11th June 1854	Promotion	
		17th Jul 1859	Transfer	
Appasamy Aiyar whilst I was in charge of the Court assisted in preparing the diary, as well as performing other work pointed out for him. I was satisfied with him in all that came before me March 9th 1866. (Signed) C. N. Pochin Acting Civil Judge.		15th Octr 1860	Degradation	
		8th April 1863	* Mr Cotton the then Civil Judge degraded the officer (at the very word of the Mf and without holding any enquiry) to test personally his knowledge & ability; & subsequently he finding the truth, promoted the said officer & passed a very favorable certificate in his favour.	
		13th Decr 1867		
Appasamy Aiyar, 2d Gumasta, has continued to do his duties satisfactorily as an old servant. I should have been glad to have given him promotion & would have made him Foujdary Record Keeper, had I thought the appointment would be maintained. I think he is qualified and has the best claim for the Civil Record Keepership on a vacancy occurring. 26-3-67. (Signed) R. R. Cotton Civil Judge		31st Decr 1864		
		31st Aug 1865		
		13th Decr 1867	Promotion	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signatu of Officer.
			Rs			
Fauzdaree Record Keeper, Civil Court Madura	acting	2d Gumasta	30	"	14th Decr 1867	Appasamy
Civil Record Keeper Do	Substantive	"	35	"	15th Decr 1868	
Do Do	On Sick leave				22nd October 1873	
Civil Record Keeper Do	Substantive	"	35	"	1st Decr 1873	

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Appasamy Aiyar has performed his duties well as far as I am informed. 3-7-68 (Signed) E. C. G. Thomas Civil Judge.	A S District Judge 14 December /74	14th Decr 1868	Promotion	A S District Judge 14 December 1874
I am satisfied with this servant and procured his two years addl. service 19-10-72. (Signed) I. D. Goldingham Civil Judge.		21st Octr 73 30th Nov 1873		
Appasamy Aiyar has behaved well since I took charge of the Court & fully deserves the pension to which he is entitled by the rule 12 March 74. D. & S. J.		31st Mar 1874	Superannuation	

1 Name of appointment	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

SERVICE BOOK

OF

APPASAMI AIYAR'S

Civil Record keeper

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Appasamy Aiyar

Caste or Race. Brahmin

Residence. Madura.

FATHER'S. Name. Ramayar (decd)

FATHER'S. Residence. Bramathasum

Date of birth. 6th September 1818.

P. Lr No 31 of 75

Filed Oct 31 of 75

Service Book
of
Appasawmy Aiyer

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No.

Fort Saint George.
Accountant General's Office,
13 July 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO THE Acting District Judge
Madura.

VIDE your Office application for pension on behalf of B. U. Appasawmy Iyer.

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points ~~points~~ :

1. What was the applicants permanent appointment while acting as 6th Goomastah in the Civil Court.

2. Why is the applicant shewn in the History of service as acting 2nd Goomastah from 9th April/63 to 31st Augt/65 while in the annual Returns of 1st May 1864 and 1865 he is shewn as permanent 6th Goomastah on a Salary of Rs 10.

3. Whether the appointment of 2nd Goomastah in which he is said to have acted from 9th April/63 to 31 August/65 a full vacancy; was there no other claimant for the post, if so how was the vacancy caused, whether the applicant was allowed the full pay of the appointment; and whether he was confirmed in it with retrospective effect.

4. Whether he had a lien on his permanent appointment of 2nd Goomastah while acting as Foujdary Record keeper.

5. Whether the applicant retired from Service in

the hope of conferring his place on one Ananta Ayyengar as stated in the application, or his service was dispensed with owing to his inefficiency from age; if owing to the latter cause why a certificate to that effect was not given as required by Rule 2. Sec:53 of the C. P. Code (2d Edn).

Why the Service Book of the applicant was not forwarded with the application. The same should be sent for submission to Government.

Please send the several certificates given to the applicant by his official superiors & attested copies of his reports dated 14th Octr/71, 30th March & 1st Apl/74. & the order of the Civil Court dated 22d October/71.

Charles E. Crawley
For Dy Accountt Genl

Regr No. 310

P. Lr No 31/75

Reply I

Up to June 1862 the names of servants drawing less than 16-10-8 are not given. In July & August 1862 the name of one Mahomed Gows Saib appears as Head Gumasta (Permagudy Munsif's Court) and that of applicant as 6th Gumasta. In September, October, November & December 1862 Mahomed Gows appears as Acting Head Gumasta and applicant as Acting 6th Gumasta. The probable explanation of this is that in September the Head Gumasta's pay was raised to 20 Rupees whereupon Mr Davidson then Acting Judge appears to have treated the post as newly created & appointed Gows Saib thereto as acting. Through some ministerial error this was supposed apparently to replace applicant in his former position. No order of appointment as 6th Gumastah however appears and I think it may be fairly presumed that his permanent appointment during these four months was the place of 6th Gumasta.

V

Reply II

It is presumable that applicant was entered in the annual Returns of 1st May 1864 and 1865 as 6th Gumasta though he was acting as 2nd Gumasta from 9th April 1863 to 31st August 1865 in accordance with Civil Paymaster's Chief Assistant's Memo dated 8th June 1864 providing that acting appointment should not appear in the annual Returns.

The appointment of 2nd Gumasta Reply III
was not a full vacancy in the first instance.
There was a claimant for the post and by his promotion to the appointment of Head Gumasta in the newly established Principal Sadr. Amin's Court, the vacancy occurred. The applicant was allowed full pay from the date of his appointment. All that establishment was considered acting till receipt of Government Order No. 924 dated 30th June 1865, when apparently it was confirmed with retrospective effect. The like effect was not expressly given to applicants appointment but presumably he was entitled to the like benefit.

The applicant had a lien Reply IV
on his permanent appointment of 2nd Gumasta while acting as Foujdaree Recordkeeper.

It does not appear that appli- Reply V
cant applied for pension to make way for Anantiengar but simply that Mr. Hutchins conceived that he had found the latter a man who could better discharge the Recordkeeper's Duty. All that Mr. Hutchins has left on record in the matter is as follows:—

From the 1st April the Civil Recordkeeper of the Civil Court × × × will be pensioned. To fill the vacancies so caused I appoint Anantaingar criminal

Criminal Recordkeeper to be Civil Recordkeeper × × ×.

It is presumable that Mr. Hutchins with reference to the previous correspondence about applicant did not consider it necessary to record specifically that applicant was inefficient owing to age. If it is considered necessary I would be prepared to certify to the applicant's efficiency or otherwise.

Reply VI

The Service book was not submitted with the application through oversight. The same now accompanies.

The several certificates given to the applicant are embodied by quotation in the Service Register. Applicants report dated 14th October 1871 is not forthcoming but applicants draft (Enc. 5) with his affidavit beneath is sent herewith. Applicant's report dated 30th March is not forthcoming but applicant states that its contents are more fully given in his report dated 1st April 1874 copy of which (Enclosure K) is herewith sent as requested as is also the copy (Enclosure L) of the order dated 22nd October 1874. A Petition (marked M) submitting explanation regarding Queries 1, 2 & 3 & a verified Statement (marked N) submitting certain explanation about his Service Register are also enclosed by applicant's request.

ountant General's Office
Recd 16 11.1874
Ansd —

Madura District Court
11th November 1874

Woodroffe
Acting District Judge.

C. Subramanian
Dy. Mr.

12

Memo

In submitting the explanation required by the Deputy Accountant General's Memo dated 13th July 1874, the undersigned has the honor to state in explanation of the delay that it was considered advisable to give applicant opportunity for certain personal representation and so he had to come in from a distant locality where he was executing an important Commission under the Court.

Madura
District Court
11th November 1874

J. T. Woodroffe
Acting District Judge.

C. Subramaniaier
Dy. Sn.

Ld. Pr. 31/0/75

13372

Pub No 31 of 75

Recd 27th Nov/74

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
23 November 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Acting District Judge
Madura.

VIDE your application for pension on behalf of Appasamy Iyer.

THE undersigned has the honour to ~~request~~ return the Service Register of the applicant for insertion of the Judge's Signature in the last column of the first page and in the 9th & 12th columns of the 3d & 5th pages.

J. R. Cooke
Dy Accountt Genl

The Service Register has been duly signed and it accompanies.

14th December 1874.

F H Woodroffe
Ag District Judge

Accountant General's Office
Recd 18 . . 1874
Ansd

Regn No 654

Pd. in No 31 of 75

41/13

15/88

Enc B. Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 18th July 1859.

The Civil Judge having suspended Naguvien 1st Gumastah of the Permagudy District Munsif's Court for 3 months directs that Appasamy Head Gumastah of the Dindigul Munsif's Court do proceed forthwith to Permagudy as 1st Gumastah. Naguvien at the expiration of his suspension will proceed to Dindigul as 1st Gumastah of that Court.

(Signed) R. Cotton
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

F H Woodroffe
Ag District Judge

Copied by
F. Johnson

P. L. No 31 of 75

B

15

Enc. C

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 18th February 1864.

The acting 2d Gumastah (Appasami Aiyar) being appointed to assist the Comm[illegible] and Receiver. Naranier to act as 2d Gumastah

× × ×

(Sigd) J D Goldingham
Civil Judge

The appointment to have effect from 11th February.

(Sigd) J. D. G.

(True copy)

Ag Dist Judge

Encl. D

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 4th January 1865.

The Receiver & Commissioner Muttusami Chetti having been dismissed by order of the Court dated 22d Ultimo, his Gumastah Appasami Aiyar will revert to his appointment as 2d Gumastah of the Civil Court.

× × ×

This order will take effect from the 1st Instant.

(Sigd) C. R. Pelly
Ag Civil Judge

(True Copy)

F. W. Woodroffe
Ag District Judge

Hd Mr

Pd in Re 31 of 75

Enc. D

Enc. E

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura dated 16th October 1860.

It having been brought to the Court's notice that Appasamy Iyen Head Gumastah of the Paramagudi District Munsif's Court is unfit for his position and that business is retarded owing to his inefficiency the Court resolves to direct that he be sent to attend the Civil Court where he will be employed as Acting 6th Gumastah vice Ghouse Saib who is appointed to act Head Gumastah Paramagudi Munsif's Court.

x x x x

(True Extract)
(Signed) R. Cotton
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

F. W. Woodroffe
Ag Dist Judge

Hd Mr

மதுரை மகா-௱-௱-ஸ்ரீ டிஸ்திரிக்கட்டுக் கோர்ட்டாரவர்கள் சமுகத்துக்கு.

மதுரைக் கோர்ட்டு சிவில் ரிக்காட்டு கீப்பராயிருந்த அப்பாசாமி அய்யன் மிகவும் வணக்கத்துடனே சலாம் செய்து 1868௵ அக்டோபர் மீ த்தேதியில் நான் பரமக்குடி முனிசீபு கோர்ட்டு ஹெட்மாஸ்தர் வேலையிலிருந்து டிகிரிடேஷனதைப் பற்றி யெழுதிக்கொண்ட எக்ஸ்பிலேனேஷன் யென்னவென்றால்.

மதுரை ஹெட்டு மாஸ்தர் வேலைக்கு நான் தகுதியற்றவனென்றும் என்னுடைய அசமார்த்தியத்தினாலேதான் வேலை அபாயப்பட்டுக்கொண்டு வருகிறதென்றும் மேஸ்தர் காயஸ்துரையவர்களுடைய உத்தரவில் டிகிரிடேஷனுக்கு கண்டெழுதிய முகாந்திரமாயிருக்கிறது. இந்த வகை யதின்மையப்பற்றி யானாலோ செல்லாதவையப்படி வெள்ளா ல்லெ முனிசீபுதார் கேட்டுச் சிவில் ஜட்ஜிதுரையவர்களுக்கு இந்தசா த்திரமை ஸ்ரீயுகை யானோ எழுதி மூலமானதல்ல வேண்டியதையும் ஆனையால் முனிசீபுதாருடைய ரிப்போர்ட்டு எதாவதிருந்தால் அது ஆதாரமற்றதாயிருக்கிறதென்று கோர்ட்டாரவர்களுக்கு நா விஷப்படுத்திக்கொள்ளுகிறது ஒருவித வேறே மார்க்கமாயில்லை. நான் சிவில் கோர்ட்டு 6வது மாஸ்தர் வேலை பார்த்ததில் மதுரைசிவில் ஜட்ஜிதுரையவர்கள் என்னை சாமார்த்தியமுள்ளவனென்று பார்க்கராவத்தில் தெரிந்து கொண்டபிறகுதான் சிவில் ரிக்காட்டு கீப்பர் வேலை அதிகாலியில் இருக்கிறதாக எனக்கு வாக்குத்தத்தம் செய்து "7வது மாஸ்தர் அப்பாசாமி ஐயனவர்களுக்கு" என்று ஹெட்காபிக்கும் எழுதியிருந்தார். அதிகாலியாகிற சமயத்தில் மதுரை கோர்ட்டெடுத்ததின் பேரில் மதுரை ஜட்ஜிதுரையவர்கள் ஹெட்டுமாப்ட் லையர் எழுதி மதுரைகடுத்ததை ஆபீசுக்கு அனுப்பும்படிக்கு சியது. அந்த காலத்திய சிரஸ்தார் ஜெ. முத்துசாமிபிள்ளையவர் மதுரை பெரிய செய்யனாதுரையவர்களிடத்தில் எழுதாமையற்றதில் மதுரை சிவில் ஜட்ஜிதுரையவர்கள் ரிக்காட்டுகீப்பர் வேலை வெகுநாளைக்கு நேருமுகமாய் வியதால் சமயத்தப்பி போனதை பற்றி விசனப்பட்டு அப்பொழுது காலியாயிருந்த 2வது மாஸ்தர் வேலையை 6வது மாஸ்தரானான எனக்கு பிரமோஷன் செய்தார்கள். கொஞ்சகால

To

The District Court
Madura

With reference to my degradation from the post of Head Gumastah in the District Munsif's Court, Paramagudy in October 1860, T. Appasamy aiyar, late Civil Record Keeper beg most respectively to submit the following explanation.

The ground for the degradation as stated in the order of R. R. Cotton Esquire is that I was unfit for the post and that business was retarded only to my inefficiency. No enquiry was held on the matter, nor was there any written communication between the Munsif and the Civil Judge on the subject. I have therefore no other alternative than representing to the Court that the Munsif's report, if any, must be unfounded. The Civil Judge was subsequently convinced that I was efficient in the discharge of my duties as Acting 6th Gumastah in the Civil Court. He therefore promised to confer upon me the appointment of Civil Record Keeper when it falls next vacant, and made a note to that effect "See Appasamy Iyer, 7th Gumastah". When the next vacancy occurred, it so happened that the paper had been sent into the Office, a letter having been drafted thereupon, — and when the note was taken to the Judge by the then Sheristadar, T. Muthusami Chettiyar, the Judge was sorry that it was too late, the place of Record Keeper having been filled up; but however he promoted from the place of 6th Gumastah to the 2nd and subsequently appointed me as Assistant

me giving

[illegible] 37½ [illegible] 37½ [illegible]

[illegible] 65 [illegible]

[illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1874 ௵
[illegible] 15 ௳

[illegible]

To

The District Court
Madura

With reference to my degradation from the post of Head Gumastah in the District Munsif's Court, Paramagudy in October 1860, I, Appasamy aiyar, late Civil Record Keeper beg most respectively to submit the following explanation.

The ground for the degradation as stated in the order of R. R. Cotton Esquire is that I was unfit for the post and that business was retarded only to my inefficiency. No enquiry was held on the matter, nor was there any written communication between the Munsif and the Civil Judge on the subject. I have therefore no other alternative than representing to the Court that the Munsif's report, if any, must be unfounded. The Civil Judge was subsequently convinced that I was efficient in the discharge of my duties as Acting 6th Gumastah in the Civil Court. He therefore promised to confer upon me the appointment of Civil Record Keeper when it falls next vacant, and made a note to that effect "See Appasamy Iyer, 7th Gumastah". When the next vacancy occurred, it so happened that the paper had been sent into the Office, a letter having been drafted thereupon, - and when the note was taken to the Judge by the then Sheristadar, Tallamuthusami Chettiyar, the Judge was sorry that it was too late, the place of Record Keeper having been filled up; but however he promoted from the place of 6th Gumastah to the 2nd and subsequently appointed me as Assistant

my joing

to the Receiver and Commissioner of the Shevagunga Zemindary on a salary of 37½ Rs which was immediately increased to 65 Rs by his successor J. D. Goldingham Esquire; and, on his departure home, gave me a most favourable certificate that I had the best claim for the Civil Record Keepership. He also offered me the post of Head Gumastah in Paramagudy when the pay was increased from 14 to 20 Rs, but I declined to accept the same, hoping that I might be in his presence to my great advantage.

In consideration of these facts, I fervently crave that my removal from the post of Head Gumastah may not be considered as a degradation.

I solemnly declare that what is stated herein is true to the best of my information and belief.

(Signed) Appasami Aiyar

P. L. No 31 of 95.

To

W. H. Woodroffe Esquire
Acting District Judge
of Madura.

The Report most respectfully submitted by Appasamy Aiyan, late Civil Record Keeper of your honour's Court

With reference to the three specks in my character as stated in the remark column of my pension application I beg to submit the following explanation containing the remedies of each of those 3 items for your honour's benign and kind consideration.

Ist item:-

Mr. Cotton, the late Civil Judge, at the mere instigation of the Munsif, degraded me as inefficient. After fully examining me for so long a time as two years by entrusting me with cumbrous and responsible works, his honour was kindly good enough to hold an enquiry on the 21st Octr 1862 in the presence of the then Sheristadar for an hour with regard to my degradation, and, having found by experience that I was truly honest and efficient in the discharge of my duty, and further regretting much as to the injustice and evil done to me and my large family, his honour had the kindness to direct me to resume my former duties as Head Gumastah, Paramagudy Munsif's Court, as the salary was increased from 14 to 20 Rs; but I heartily declined to accept the offer, hoping that I might be in his honour's presence to my great advantage. At the same time his honour, promising to offer me the * Civil Record Keepership on a vacancy next occurring, made a note to that effect in the corner of a full paper.

* Vide the full certificate of Mr. Cotton the permanent Civil Judge of this District for about 10 years

Call for this Certificate

On occurrence of next vacancy of Civil Record Keepership on the 9th April 1863, his honour was by chance obliged to draft a * letter to the Govt in the very same paper containing the aforesaid note, mistaking it for a plain paper; and immediately afterwards began to make arrangements in the establishment of the late Prin. Sudr Ameen's Court; and, thus forgetting me owing to the

* Vide letter to the Govt dated 9th April 63 by Mr. Cotton

Here is the [illegible]

missing of the said note from the box to the Writers' Office, promulgated the proceedings of the arrangement of all the posts except the 2nd Gumastah. Afterwards the Head Writer, Mr Johnson, in his confusion as to the wording of the said note in the draft letter, referred the same to the then Sheristadar, who, being present at the taking down of the said note, immediately took it to the notice of the said Judge. His honour, attributing the missing of the said note to my misfortune, appointed me as the 2nd Gumastah at the very moment, and in consequence performed subsequently such other generous deeds as stated in my affidavit dated 15th May 1874. It is on the very day (a) of the taking down of the said note (i.e. 21st October 62) that his honour, having been incensed against the said Munsif on account of his calumnious report as to my degradation, immediately wrote a letter ● Encl I to the High Court totally incapacitating the said Munsif to so heavy a post as Madura Munsif and hence, amongst other things, necessitating his immediate transfer. I can strongly aver with my best possible recollection that the occurrence of both the events — writing the said letter to the High Court and the taking down of the said note — was on the very same day. The * date of my appointment as 2nd Gumastah the moment the said note was taken to the notice of the Judge, and the date of the draft letter to the Govt containing the said note correspond to one another. It has also been clearly evidenced in his honour's certificate in 1867, that the words "I should have been glad to have given him "promotion and would have made him Foujdaree "Record Keeper had I thought the appointment would be "maintained" would not fail to convince your honour that the said note was mainly meant by his honour for my welfare as a redress for my grievances in consequence of the unjust and unreasonable degradation.

● Vide Letter to H.C. by Mr Cotton dated 21st Octr 1862. Encl I

* 9th April 1863

In conclusion I beg to state that from the above corroborated circumstances, the order (Enclosure E) would of necessity be considered by your honour to have been made null and void by his honour's subsequent acts. Hence the necessity for the submission of the said

(a) The word "7th Gumastah" [illegible]
must be [illegible]

note of Mr Cotton and the letter to the High Court aga
the Munsif dated 21st October 1862 for the honourable an
benign consideration of the Govt, with your honour's
further remarks as circumstantial proofs to convince
that there was, in point of fact, an enquiry relating to
the said degradation in the interim.

IInd item:-

It appears from the indefinite remark that I was fined for my carelessness and neglect, which, setting aside all my uniform and inexhaustible trouble and industry during the last 7 years as Civil Record Keeper * with no room for a single mistake, will surely convince the Govt that I was uniformly careless and neglectful, and thus deprive me of the favourable consideration of the Govt.

* Vide the favourable certificates of Mr Thomas, Mr Goldingham and Mr Hutchins and also the letter to Acct General, dated 22nd Septr 1871 and the letter of Mr Hutchins to the Govt dated Augt 73

Call for these

The diary order (dated 22nd October 71) fining me Rs 2 was not at all for my aforesaid character. I submitted to the Court a report (under date the 14th Octr 71) complaining with strong proofs against the suspicious character of my Assistant Gumastah, Govindasamy Raju and lastly pray=ing the Court to give me another trustworthy Assistant in the place of the said Gumastah. The Judge was in=censed against me on account of my "not having kept thin straight and reporting matters to the Court earlier" and so fined me 2 Rs as a token of disapprobation of my conduct and my Gumastah Govindasamy Raju 1 Rupee for his carelessness. When taken into consideration the facts of my ● report and the mere wording of the said ● diary order, your honour will surely be convinced that the said fine was not at all the intent of Mr J. D. Goldingham for my carelessness and neglect in the discharge of my duty as Civil Record Keeper, but solely for my so hazardous an undertaking as to submit to the Court the matters which were so ruinous and prejudicial to the interests of the said Gumastah Govindasamy Raju.

● Vide my report dated 14th October 1871, and the order passed thereon dated 22nd Octr 1871.

Hence the epithets "carelessness" and "neglect" seem to be inappropriate and quite incompatible with the general tenor of my public character.

IIIrd ite

9/3/1863 21

To the Hon. W. W. Arbuthnot
Chief Sec. to Govt

Sir, I have the honor to bring to the notice of Govt that Sedasava Pillay Acting Interpreter Zillah Ct of Madura joined the station on the 12 March last on which date I addressed the Reg. of the High Ct requesting to be informed if the Establishment was to be entertained for him: receiving no answer I again addressed him on the same subject on the 27 March - and on the 6th inst. received for my information an attested copy of ~~the~~ his letter ~~[illegible]~~ to you dated 19 March with the proposed Establishment for the Zillah Court: I have on 23

3rd item:-

With reference to my having afforded your honour a ground for slight dissatisfaction for the missing* of the only paper in the Record Room, I have no other alternative than again referring to the 1st two paras of my report (with its two enclosures) dated 1st April 74 containing the strong explanation of my non-interference as to the missing of the said only paper by my Assistant Gumastah, Govindasami Raju, who, at his own discretion and without giving to my notice, had handed the 2nd Gumasta the bundle on the 23rd March 74 in order to prepare list for the despatch of the said bundle to the High Court and who reported (on the 26th March) to me the missing of the said paper now in search.

* Vide m
2 successi
Reports, dat
30th Mar an
1st April 74
respectiv

I therefore fervently crave your honour's indulgence in submitting this explanation for your honour's benign and kind consideration and in stating that if freed from these specks in my character, I will not fail to gain the favourable consideration of the Govt., so that I may reap the harvests of my past laborious services in the Government.

23rd May 1874.

I beg to remain, your honour's
most obedient and humble servant.

G

Rd 1 June /74

F.H.W.

Explanation from the Civil Record Keeper.

P. in No 31 of 75.

2340

is desirably ~~[illegible]~~ filled up the appointments for this date as there are several Criminal cases pending before the [illegible] & [illegible] Court hence the number of Court clerks - and I have the honor to request that the sanction of Govt to the proposed Establishment for the 9th Court may be granted, or that ~~[illegible]~~ of ~~[illegible]~~ the Govt. are not prepared to do so I may be informed of the fact by Telegram via Trichinopoly -

[illegible]

April 9/63

H

No 93
1863
to The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George
9th April 1863
Entered

re Allowances &c. - 7 Enclosures

Vide Explanation given in the [illegible]
of for 7 Enclosures
& also Remark to given in the [illegible]

P. S. No 31 of 75

Cal No 31 of 75

Madura Civil Court
21st October 1862

From,
R. R. Cotton Esquire
Civil Judge of
Madura

To
The Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature
Appellate Side
Madras.

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the information of the Chief Justice & Judges, that the Appointment of Mr Misquita to act as District Munsif of Madura, made at the recommendation of Mr Davidson pending my return does not meet with my approval.

The State & Character of the Paramagudy Munsif's Court under Mr Misquita, and the unsatisfactory manner in which he has conducted his duties, have hitherto prevented my recommending him for advancement and since his retention of his present appointment, after my return to the District may mislead the Judges and Public, into a belief that I consider him qualified for the post, I lose no time in soliciting, that he may be directed to resume his confirmed appointment at Permagudy. Cassimallé Saib now acting for him there, being as a temporary measure

&

and until a better arrangement can be made appointed to act in the Madura Munsif's Court.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

(Signed) R. R. Cotton
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

F. H. Wardroffe
Acting District Judge.

Copied by
R. Sankara Aiyan

142

COURT FEE
GOVERNMENT
EIGHT ANNAS

25

To
The Accountant General
Madras.

The humble Petition of Appasamyaiyan,
late Civil Record Keeper of the District Cour
of Madura.

Most respectfully sheweth:—

That your honor's poor Petitioner was order
to get pension by the Proceedings of the said Court under
date the 4th March 1874; and his pension application
was forwarded to your honour's office about 10th Instant
after the adjournment of the Court, quite without his know-
-ledge; he, on the 22nd May 1874, submitted to the said Court
a report showing the remedies to each of the 3 specks
his character, as stated in the remark column of his pe
-sion application; and pointing out in the margin th
documents which are indispensably necessary and im-
-portant to gain him the most favorable considerati
of the Govt.

Petitioner hears that some most material
papers (the perusal of which will not fail to justify the
cause of the Petr.) have not, for reasons unknown, accom-
-panied his pension application.

As the production of certified copies of su
records in the present occasion would of course del
(because of the recess of the Court) and thus avoid the su
-mission of them to your honour's consideration withi
a proper time to be serviceable to the Petr.; your honor
humble Petitioner thinks it his duty to submit the

copie

D/B

opies of them, which he has at hand (and the list of which e has herewith appended), leaving it to your honour r call for the original records if your honour should eem fit and necessary.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 4 7.1874
Ansd

And for this act of charity, your honor's ~~petitioner~~ signer, shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

30-6-1874.

PA

List of ~~papers~~ material ~~papers~~ to Petr. not despatched to your honor's Office

Petr's explanatory report (under date 22nd May 1874) containing the remedies of the three specks as specified in the Remark column of the Petr's Pension application.

II Petitioner's report (under date the 14th Octr. 71) complaining against the suspicious character of his Assistant Gumastah and lastly praying the Court to give one trustworthy in his stead Asst. (This is the cause of the Petr's fine of 2 Rs).

Proceedings of the Civil Court of Madura, dated 22nd October 1871, fining the Petr. Rs 2.

Report dated 1st April 1874 for the missing of the only record in the Record Room (which is the cause of Petr's having afforded ground for slight dissatisfaction).

5761

26

To
H. H. Woodroffe Esquire
Acting District Judge
of Madura

The Report most respectfully submitted by Appasamy aiyan, late Civil Record Keeper of your honour's court.

With reference to the three specks in my character as stated in the remark column of my pension application, I beg to submit the following explanation containing the remedies of each of those three items for your honour's benign and kind consideration.

1st item:-

Mr Cotton, the late Civil Judge, at the mere instigation of the Munsif, degraded me as inefficient. After fully examining me for so long a time as two years by entrusting me with cumbrous and responsible works, his honour was kindly good enough to hold an enquiry on the 21st October 1862 in the presence of the then Sheristadar for an hour with regard to my degradation; and having found by experience that I was truly honest and efficient in the discharge of my duty, and further regretting much as to the injustice and evil done to me and my large family, his honour had the kindness to direct me to resume my former duties as Head Gumasta Paramagudy Munsif's Court, as the salary was increased from 14 to 20 Rs; but I heartily declined to accept the offer, hoping that I might be in his honour's presence to my great advantage. At the same time his honour, promising to offer me the * Civil Record Keepership on a vacancy next occurring, made a note to that effect in the corner of a full paper.

full
* Vide the certificate of Mr Cotton, permanent Civil Judge of this Dist. for about e years

On occurrence of next vacancy of Civil Record Keepership on the 9th April 1863, his honour was by chance obliged to draft a ⊗ letter to the Govt. in the very same paper

⊗ Vide letter to the Govt. dated 9th April 1863

containing

"Foujdaree Record Keeper had thought the appointment "would be maintained", would not fail to convince your honour that the said note was mainly meant by his honor for my welfare as a redreſs for my grievances in consequence of the unjust and unreasonable degradation.

In conclusion I beg to state that from the above corroborated circumstances, the order (Enclosure **E**) would of neceſsity be considered by your honour to have been made null and void by his honour's subsequent acts. Hence the neceſsity for the submiſsion of the said note of Mr. C tton and the letter to the High Court against the Munsif, dated 21st October 1862 for the honorable and benign consideration of the Govt., with your honour's further remarks, as circumstantial proofs to convince that there was, in point of fact, an enquiry relating to the said degradation in the interim.

IInd item:—

It appears from the indefinite remark that I was fined for my* careleſsneſs and neglect, which, setting aside all my uniform and inexhaustible trouble and industry during the last 7 years as Civil Record Keeper with no room for a single mistake; will surely convince the Govt. that I was uniformly careleſs and neglectful and thus deprive me of the favourable consideration of the Govt.

*ide the favour-
ble certificates
Mr. E.C.G. Thomas,
r. Goldingham
d Mr. Hutchins;
e letter to the
ccountt. Genl.
ated 22nd Septr
71.
nd Mr. Hutchins'
tter to the
ovt. (dated
ugt. 73).

The* diary order (dated 22nd Octr. 71) fining me Rs. 2 was not at all for my aforesaid character. I submitted to the Court a* report (under date 14th Octr. 71) complaining with strong proofs against the suspicious character of my aſst. Gumastah, Govindasamy Raju and lastly praying the Court to give me another trustworthy Aſsistant in the place of the said Gumastah. The Judge was incensed against me on account of my "not having kept things straight and reporting matters to the Court earlier" and so fined me 2 Rs. as a token of

*ide Report
ated 14th Octr
71) and the
der (dated
2nd Octr. 73)

of

containing the aforesaid note, mistaking it for a plain paper; and immediately afterwards began to make arrangements in the establishment of the late Principal Sudr Amin's Court; and, thus forgetting me owing to the missing of the said note from the box to the Writer's Office, promulgated the proceedings of the arrangement of all the posts except the 2nd Gumastah. Afterwards the Head Writer, Mr. Johnson, in his confusion as to the wording of the said note in the draft letter, referred the same to the then Sheristadar who, being present at the taking down of the said note, immediately took it to the notice of the said Judge. His honour, attributing the missing of the said note to my misfortune, appointed me as the 2nd Gumasta at the very moment, and in consequence performed subsequently such other generous deeds as stated in my affidavit dated 16th May 1874.(a) It is on the very day of the taking down of the said note (i.e. 21st October 62) that his honour, having been incensed against the said Munsif on account of his calumnious report as to my degradation, immediately wrote a* letter to the High Court totally incapacitating the said Munsif to so heavy a post as Madura Munsif, and hence, amongst other things, necessitating his immediate transfer. I can strongly aver with my best possible recollection that the occurrence of both the events — writing the letter to the High Court and the taking down of the said note was on the very same day.* The date of my appointment as 2nd Gumastah the moment the said note was taken to the notice of the Judge, and the date of the draft letter to the Govt., containing the said note correspond to one another. It has also been clearly evidenced in his honor's certificate in 1867, that the words "I should have been to "have given him promotion and would have made him

Foujdaree

(a). The word "7th Gumastah" in the said note is in itself a clear proof that the date of the said entry must be some days later than the 20th August 1862, when I was ranked from the 6th to the 7th Gumastah (in the amalgamation of the Sub Court and Civil Court in July 1862) of disapprobation of my conduct, and my Gumastah, Govinda=samy Raju 1 Rupee for his carelessness. When taken into consideration the facts of my report and the mere wording of the said diary order, your honour will surely be convinced that the said fine was not at all the intent of Mr. J. D. Goldingham for my carelessness and neglect in the discharge of my duty as Civil Record Keeper, but solely for my so hazardous an undertaking as to submit to the Court the matters which were so ruinous and prejudicial to the interests of the said Gumastah, Govindasamy Raju.

Hence the epithets "carelessness" and "neglect" seem to be inappropriate and quite incompatible with the general tenor of my public character

III^rd^ item:—

With reference to my having afforded your honor a ground for slight dissatisfaction for the * missing of the only paper in the Record Room, I have no other alternative than again referring to the last two paras of my report (with its two enclosures) dated 1st April 74, containing the strong explanation of my non-interference as to the missing of the only paper by my Assistant Gumastah, Govindasamy Raju who, at his own discretion and without giving to my notice, had handed the 2nd Gumastah the bundle on the 23rd March 1874 in order to prepare lists for the despatch of the said bundle to the High Court, and who reported (on the 26th March) to me the missing of the said paper now in search.

* Vide my 2 succes reports (30th Mar and 1st Ap 1874 r =pectivel

I therefore fervently crave your honor's indulgence in submitting this explanation for your honour's benign and kind consideration and in stating that if freed from these specks in my character, I will not

fail to gain the favourable consideration of the Govt.,
so that I may reap the harvests of my past laborious
services in the Govt.

22nd May 1874. I beg to remain; your honour's
most obedient and humble servant

(Signed in Tamil) Appasawmyaiyan

30-6-1894 (True copy)

To

J. D. Goldingham Esqre
Civil and Session Judge
Madura.

The Report most respectfully submitted by Appasami ayen, Civil Record Keeper, Civil Court

Govindasami Raju 4th Goomasta of your honor's Court, who is assistant to me in the Record. was in charge of my duty in the month of August last, when I was ill; during that time, the hire due to the Copyists, was not regularly and punctually paid to them, and a greater portion is still due to them. Many are the complaints from the Copyists for the pay still due to them. On my requesting the said Govindasamy Raju to settle the Copyists accounts, he, without yielding to my request, postponed it as if unwilling to do. Thereupon I said to him that I would myself settle the accounts, and so requested him to attend the Court on the 8th instant when there was no Court inasmuch as such a settlement of accounts could not be done in business hours; but for which he positively declined leaving the matter to be decided by myself. Thereupon I myself with the other assistant

---

Enclosure

I

(Copy of)

Applicant's explan
Report under date 22
1874 containing the
[illegible]
his character
[illegible]
Remark column of
Pension - Application

P. in [illegible] 31/8/75.

he, looking me coldly and using arrogant and insolent words, thus wounded my self-respect which in my humble opionion is the summit of human being. Sheristadar will bear witness to these facts which I reported to him.

Moreover the said Govindasamy Raju has, for the last few months, been very backward in the discharge of his duty and so has left the work to accumulate in arrears. However I get the work done well with the aid of Pichu Ayengar a Goomasta of Paramacoody Munsiff's Court without any incovenience to the Public duties. The suspicions which I entertained for the last few months is now strengthened by the circumstances above referred to.

As I am sanguine enough to believe that he will no longer continue to his duty to my satisfaction; and as no trust could be imposed upon him to allow him to enter the Records; and I am ready to submit to your honor his other defects when called upon, I most humbly and earnestly pray that your honor may be graciously pleased to give me another trustworthy assistant in the room of the said Govindasamy Raju and thereby protect me from earning a deskepute by picking a quarrel with him

Govindasamy Raju

assistant, Pichu Ayengar and Alagaroosamy Naidu a Copyist came to the Court on the 8' Inst, and searched with them the Yadasts disposed of by him while acting my post in charge; but they were not to be found. While so searching in the Records all around in quest of them, we found to our great surprise, these in his case, viz 5 Yadasts which were not ordered by the Court, and certain notes which seem to have been directly and privately received by him from the parties for the purpose of revealing them (parties) some secrets or other in the Records. In order to prove such a secret correspondence existing between himself and the parties I immediately handed over them (what were found in his case) to the Sherestadar who returned the same to me to be kept in order to enquire after the same soon after the arrival of Govindasamy Raju. On his coming to the Court the next day, I showed him the said 5 Yadasts alone and asked "How did these come to be in your possession without my knowledge and contrary to law;" and for which he said "I will answer on looking at them"; and so without prejudice, I gave him those Yadasts. Instead of returning them, he with many high words, questioned me as to the propriety of taking the Yadasts from his case & thus boldly refused me to give them up. When I further asked the Yadasts to show to the Sherestadar,

(Govindasami Rajee)

I am your honor's most obedient and
faithful Servant

(Sigd. in Tamil)

Appasami Ayer
Civil Record Keeper.

14 October 1871

(True Copy)

30-6-1874

Enclosure

(Copy of)

Applicants Report under date 14th Oct 1871 complaining against the suspicious character of his assistant Gumasta and lastly praying the Court to give one trustworthy Assistant in the room of the said Gumasta.

This Report is the cause of the Petr's fine of Rs 2.

P. In No 31 of 75

31

Copy of the Proceedings of the District Court Madura dated
22d October 1871

The Court after hearing the matters of the Civil Recordkeeper's complaint, is of opinion that both parties are in fault, the Civil Recordkeeper is not keeping things straight and reporting the matters to the Court earlier and Govindasami not being sufficiently careful, bad feeling exists between the two and each tries to throw the blame on the other. The Civil Recordkeeper I fine 2 Rs as a token of this Court's disapprobation of his conduct & Govindasamy 1 Rupee for his carelessness. In future it is ordered no Yadasts be sent into the Record-Room which do not bear either the Judge's or Sheristadar's signature whether for Copies or for an order to search the Records to see if papers applied for exist. In all these latter cases the Sheristadar will sign an order for search and the Civil Recordkeeper will be held responsible for any disobedience

(Signed) J. D. Goldinghame
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

30-6-1874

Petn No 31 of 75

IV

(Copy of)
Proceedings of the Civil
Court of Madura dated
22 October 1871 fixing
the Petn No 2.

To

F. H. Woodroffe Esqre
Ag. District Judge of Madura.

The Report most respectfully submitted by
Appasamie Ayen, Civil Recordkeeper of your honor's
Court.

The Defendant's deposition taken by this Court
in A. S. 142 of 1873 has not been found in the
bundle intermingling with any other papers either in the
Civil Office or in the Record Room. I was ordered by your
honor on the 30 Ultimo to report on the next Court day
as to which of the Copyists the said paper was given for
copy. It evidently appears from my memo on the
Yadast that the said copy was prepared by the Copyist
Narayanasamie Pillay and that the original was receiv
from him by me instantly.

I beg to submit to your honor that there are
annually about 2300 Yadasts coming in this Court for the
preparation of copies. The heavy task of preparing copies
for the said Yadasts by taking originals from, and replacing
the same to, their respective bureaus, has long been
incumbent on the Civil Recordkeeper. Besides the
Records of this Court is very heavy and extensive. The
Records of this Court from 1802, of the Munsiff Courts
of this District, of the Southern Provincial Court, of
the Ramnad Zilla Court, of the Civil Court of
Tinnevelly District when joined to this District & of
the Munsiff's Courts thereto and all the Diary book
of all these Courts — all these are now exactly filled
in 48 bureaus. There is now no sufficient aid to look
after these cumbrous Records. I have a thorough
knowledge

knowledge of this Court from my long experience of 26 years. About 15 years ago there were 4 Goomastas (one from each Munsiff's Court) assisting the Civil Record and subsequently Mr Cotton the then Civil Judge ordered the return of those Gumastas to their respective Courts. His honor, on report being submitted by the then Recordkeeper (herewith submitted for your honor's consideration) was fully alive to the necessity of the proper aid to the Civil Records and so ordered 2 Gumastas in the Munsiffs Courts and one in the Civil Office to work in the Records. When I took charge of the Civil Records in 1867, there were but 2 Gumastas, the one from the Munsiff's Courts and the other from the Civil Office, and all Records were in utter confusion and disorder. Then I with the aid of two Gumastas arranged all the confused heaps to their Courts and to they Nos. without idling away even the spare hours of the vacation and holidays; by which I was enabled to transact my duty freely, conscientiously and without being subject to any mistakes during the last 7 years. Soon after Mr Hutchins arrival, his honor ordered that there being no work for the Criminal Recordkeeper, the said officer should assist the Civil Recordkeeper in the name of assistant Recordkeeper; and that Munsiff Court Gumasta should return to his station. On my having fully convinced his honor of the indispensable necessity for able and experienced hand in the Civil Records by my Report* and Statement* under date the 17 January 1873, his honor after enquiry ordered that Goomasta to be solely employed on the destruction of

* herewith submitted.

of papers for 1 month and afterwards verbally ordered his detention for some time more so that he may be useful at the removal of Records from the old to the new Record Room. But in the establishment of the Additional Munsiff Court, that Goomasta got a promotion there. In the removal of the said records within a day to this new room by the Courts Order, the Records have become in such a disorder that one years Record intermingling with that of another and all the bundles untied and confounded with others. This being reported to Mr. Hutchins, his honor was good enough to give 2 Gumastas from the Addl. Muffs. Court to arrange the Records and replace them to their several places; by which I have been enabled to arrange only some portion. But the nomination of the Shevagunga Munsiff put an end to their assistance.

It has long been customary for me, or on my order, my Goomasta to take papers from their respective bundles and at the very moment to append to the bundle a list of papers taken for the preparation of copies, or for reference to the Court, and in no case to entrust them to Copyists or to the Court's reference without getting them entered in the receipt books, except on cases of sudden emergency. But when papers were returned to me by the Copyists, it has always been the habit of my Gumasta to replace the same papers to their several places but not without taking away the appended list placed in their stead or not without mentioning in the list the papers wanted to make up the whole bundle.

But in the present case the list must have of course been appended to the bundle for the papers taken to prepare copies and after the preparation of the copies, I after duly collecting from the Copyists the very same day, handed over as usual to my Gumasta, all the originals; and as he had found the papers complete in replacing them, certainly he must have torn the list (there being no such now); or else he would have raised the question as to the incompleteness of the bundle. But all the probability as to the missing of the said deposition No 6, is that it may have been confounded by my Gumasta any how among the Records either in replacing the said paper or in taking the bundle out for the second Gumasta; and if not it may have been confounded by 2nd Gumasta among his Records, who, without giving to my notice and without examining the bundle in my or in my Goomasta's presence, took away the same bundle without due receipts in the receipt book at 2 P.M. 23 Ultimo (as my Gumasta says) and told him (my Gumasta) at 5 P.M. the very same day that the said paper was not in the bundle. The matter did not come to my notice till the 26th Ultimo, since from that time I and my Gumasta have been searching all the Records.

I therefore beg with due respect and reverence that your honor shall graciously be pleased to order the second Gumasta to

to search his own Records and I fervently crave your honor's indulgence in submitting this explanatory Report for your honor's benign & kind consideration.

I am your honors most obedient and
humble Servant.

(Sigd in Tamil)

1st April 1874
Madura

Appasami Ayer.
Civil Record Keeper

30-6-1874 (True Copy)

To 14 10 71

J. D. Goldingham Esqre 27
Civil and Session Judge
Madura

The Report most respectfully submitted by Appasawmi ayen, Civil Record Keeper.
Civil Court

~~I have the honour to present these following lines to your honour's careful perusal and thereby request free enquiry.~~

Govindsawmi Raju, the 4th Gumastah of your honour's Court, who is assistant to me in the Record, was in charge of my duty in the month of August last, when I was ill; during that time, the hire, due to the Copists was not regularly and punctually paid to them, and a greater portion is still due to them. Many are the complaints from the Copists for the pay still due to them. On my requesting the said Govindsawmi Raju to settle the Copists accounts, he, without yielding to my request, postponed it as if unwilling to do. Thereupon I said to him that I would myself settle the accounts and so requested him to attend the Court on the 8th Inst. when there was no court, inasmuch as such a settlement of accounts could not be done in business hours; but for which he positively declined leaving the

Enclosure

II.

(copy of)

The Report dated 1st Apr. 1876 for the missing of the only Record in the Record Room which was the cause of having afforded ground for slight dissatisfaction was specified in the Pension - Application

P. Lr No 31 of 75

the matter to be decided by myself. Thereupon I myself with the other assistant Pichu Ayengar and Alagaroosawmi Naidu, a copist came to the Court on the 8th Inst., and searched with them the Yathasths disposed of by him while acting my post in charge; but they were not to be found. While so searching in the Records all around in quest of them, we found, to our great surprise, these in his case; viz 5 Yathasths which were not ordered by the Court; and certain notes which seem to have been directly and privately received by him from the parties for the purpose of revealing them (parties) some secrets or other in the Records. In order to prove such a secret correspondence existing between himself and the parties, I immediately handed over them (what were found in his case) to the Sheristadar who returned the same to me to be kept in order to inquire after the same soon after the arrival of Govindsawmi Raju. On his coming to the Court the next day, I showed him the said 5 Yathasths alone and asked "How did these come to be in your possession, ~~quite beyond the reach of~~ without my knowledge and contrary to Law"; and for which he said "I will answer on looking at them"; and so without prejudice, I gave him those (Yathasths). Instead of returning them, he

with

with many high words, questioned me as to the propriety of taking the Yathasths from his case and thus boldly refused me to give them up. When I further asked the Yathasths to show to the Sheristadar, he, looking me coldly and using arrogant and insolent words, ~~and~~ thus wounded my self-respect which in my humble opinion is the summit of human being. Sherishtadar will bear witness to these facts which I reported to him.

Moreover the said Govindasawmi Raju has, for the last few months, been very backward in the discharge of his duty and so has left the work to accumulate in arrears. However I get the work done well with the aid of a Pichu Ayenger, a Gumastah of Parambacoody Uffs bout without any inconvenience to the Public duties. The suspicions which I entertained for the last few months is now strengthened by the circumstances above referred to

As I am sanguine enough to believe that he will no longer continue to his duty to my satisfaction; and as no trust could not be imposed upon him to allow him to enter the Records; and I am ready to submit ~~declare~~ to your honour his other defects when called upon, I most humbly

And

To Govindasamy

Question :— When were you in charge of the Records.

Answer :— From the 15th to 25th August.

Q. Why did you not settle the pay of the Copyists.

A. I paid them during that period. I paid the Record keeper in lump as soon as he returned. I paid him 11 Rupees. There were 2 or 3 Rupees which were due to the Copyists which I did not pay as the accounts were not settled.

Q. Why are complaints made.

A. I did not pay them because the Record keeper did not settle the accounts with me. I came last Sunday to the Court but he was not present.

Q. Why did you not come on the 8th when ordered.

A. Because my son was sick

Q. When did you get the 5 yadasts that have not got the Courts sanction to grant copies.

A. I got them from a peon. I do not remember whom. It was a batta peon.

earnestly pray that your honour may be graciously pleased to give me another trustworthy Assistt. in the room of the said Govindasawmi Raju and thereby protect me from earning a disrepute by picking a quarrel — with him (Govindasawmi Raju).

I am yours Most Obedt.
and faithful &c servant

Civil Record Keeper
Civil Court
Madura

Dated
14th Octr
1874

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
1874 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

II

No. 21 - Settlement/74

Encl: J.

Draft of Appusami Aiyar's report dated 24 October 1871 with his affidavit beneath.

O. S. No 31 of 75

hean -

Q. 4 of these Yadasts are dated September 1871, after the Record keeper returned, how did you get them.

A. I received them direct from the Civil Office - One from Balasoobiew I received from the Deputy Writer (The Deputy Writer says he sent it to ascertain if the record was there) -

Q. How did you get in your possession the original Razeenamah in No 175 of 1856.

A. It forms part of the papers I took from the Copyists and arranged - It was dropped in my table when arranging the papers.

(Very unsatisfactory)

Q. How did you get a list of certain records on the file of the Shevagungah Munsif.

A. When arranging the papers according to the pherist I failed to place them on the record with the other papers.

Q. The Civil Record keeper says you were insolent to him.

A. He used bad terms towards me.

Copyist V. Kistnasawmy

Govindasamy did not settle the accounts because he said he wanted to settle them with the Record keeper. The Record keeper once told him to settle the accounts and he said he had business to do. My August & September accounts are not settled. Some advance has been given me that is all. 5 more Copyists make similar complaints.

The case having been sent in for settlement of the accounts in the Record room it was found that 19 Rupees was due to the Copyists and 5 as examining fee, and the Copyists admit having received it.

Order.

The Court after hearing the matter of the Civil Record keeper's complaint is of opinion that both parties are in fault, the Civil Record keeper is not keeping things straight and reporting matters to the Court earlier and as Govindasamy is not being sufficiently careful. Bad feeling exists between the two and each tries to throw the blame on the other. The Civil Record keeper I fine 2 Rupees as a token

To,

F. H. Woodroffe Esquire
Acting District Judge
of Madura.

The report most respectfully submitted by Appasamy Iyer Civil Record keeper of Your honor's Courts.

The Appellant's (Deft) Deposition taken by this Court in A S 142 of 1873 has not been found in the bundle, intermingling with any other papers in either in the Civil Office or in the Record room; I was ordered by Your honor on the 30th Ultimo to report on the next Court day as to which of the Copyists the said paper was given for Copy. It evidently appears from my memo on the Yadaust that the said Copy was prepared by the Copyist Narainasamy Pillai and that the original was received from him by me instantly.

I beg to submit to Your honor that there are annually about 2300 Yadausts coming in this Court for the preparation of copies. The heavy task of preparing copies for the said Yadausts by taking originals from, and replacing the same to, their respective bureaus, has long been incumbent on the Civil

Record

token of this Court's disapprobation of the conduct and Govindasamy 1 Rupee for his carelessness. In future it is ordered that no yadasts be sent into the Record room which do not bear either the Judge's or the Sheristadar's signature whether for Copies or for an order to search the records to see if papers applied for exist. In all these latter cases the Sheristadar will sign an order for search and the Civil Record keeper will be held responsible for any disobedience.

23-10-1878. (Signed) J. D. Goldingham
Civil Judge.

(True Copy)

Acting District Judge

Pl. Re 31 of 75

Comp'd
Angappa Pillai
Ag Dy [illegible]

Copied by
Rungasamy Royer

Encl. I
Copy of the Civil Court's
order dated 23d October/78

Record keeper. Besides the records of this Court are very heavy and extensive. The records of this Court from 1802, of the Munsif's Courts of this District, of the Southern Provincial Court, of the Ramnad Zillah Court, of the Civil Court of Tinnevelly District when joined to this District and of the Munsif's Courts thereto, and all the Diary Books of all these Courts – all these are now exactly filled in 48 bureaus. There are now no sufficient aid to look after these cumbrous records. I have a thorough knowledge of this Court from my long experience of 26 years. About 15 years ago there were 4 Gumastahs (one from each Munsif's Court) assisting the Civil Records and subsequently Mr Cotton the then Civil Judge ordered the return of those Gumastahs to their respective Courts. His honor, on report being submitted by the then Record keeper (herewith enclosed) was fully alive to the necessity of the proper aid to the Civil Records and so ordered 2 Gumastahs in the Munsif's Courts, and one in the Civil Office to work in the records. When I took charge of the Civil Records in 1867, there were but two Gumastahs, the one from the Mf's

Court

Courts and the other from the Civil Office and all records were in utter confusion and disorder. Then, I, with the aid of two Gumastahs, arranged all the confused heaps to their Courts and to their Nos without idling away even the spare hours of the vacation and holidays; by which I was enabled to transact my duty freely and without being subject to any mistakes during the last 7 years. Soon after Mr Hutchins's arrival, his honor ordered that, as there being no work for the Criminal Record keeper, the said officer should assist the Civil Record keeper in the name of Assistant Record keeper and that Munsif's Court Gumastah should return to his station. On my having fully convinced his honor of the indispensable necessity of an able and experienced hand in the Civil Records by my report and statement under date the 17th January 1873 (herewith enclosed) his honor after enquiry ordered the Gumastah to be solely employed on the destruction of papers for 1 month and afterwards verbally ordered his detention for some time more so that he may be useful at the removal of the

Records

not, it may have been confounded by 2d Gumastah with his records, who, without giving to my notice and without examining the bundle in my or my Gumasta's presence, took away the same bundle (as my Gumastah says) without due receipts in the Receipt Book at 2. P.M. 23d ultimo and told him (my Gumastah) at 5 P.M. that the said paper was not to be found in the bundle. The matter did not come to my notice till the 26th Ultimo. Since from that time I and my Gumastah have been searching all the records.

I therefore beg with due respect and reverence that your honor shall graciously be pleased to order the said 2d Gumastah to search his own records; and further I fervently crave your honor's indulgence in submitting this explanatory report for your honor's benign and kind consideration.

I am your honor's most
obedient and humble servant.

1st April 1874.

(Signed) Appasamy Iyer
Civil Record keeper.

(True Copy)

F. A. Woodroffe

Ag District Judge

Compd
Auguppa Pillai
Hd Gy Mr

copied by
Rungasami Raju

Encl. X
Copy of the Report
dated 7 April 187

P. Re. 31 of 95

43

2. 11. 76

F. H. Woodroffe Esqre

Ag District Judge, Madura.

Statement submitted by Appasawmy Iyer, late Civil Record keeper of your honor's Court, containing answers for the first 3 questions raised by the Accountant General with regard to his pension Application.

As to the first and second of the questions above referred to your honor's Petitioner begs to submit that he was the permanent Head Goomasta of Paramacoody Moonsiff's Court and was only acting for the 6th Goomasta of the Civil Court so long as he was holding that appointment. It was on the 16 September 1860 that your honor's Petitioner was appointed as the Ag 6th Goomasta and in the order which gave him that appointment he was only directed to act. In short all the Pay Abstracts he was declared to be an acting. But in a few it appears that the word "Acting" has been left out evidently by mistake. And this mere omission cannot be allowed to be more weighty than the express words "Acting 6 Goomasta" mentioned in the Pay Abstracts and the order a[illegible] referred to. Besides, no further order has been passed to make his acting appointment a permanent one. As to the entry in the Reports for the months of May 1864 & 1865 as permanent 6th Goomasta, your honors Petitioner begs to submit that the entry in the Reports was founded upon no order whatever, since no order has been passed confirming him in his acting Post, and it is for your honors to consider that while his appointment for an Ag Post was reduced to writing, his appointment for a permanent post, if true, would not be left unrecorded. In the Petitioner's humble opinion, as the Petitioner was at the time of the Report &c 2d Goomastah, the mis statement arose from a general impression that if a man be promoted, the post from which he is promoted must be a permanent one.

As to the 2d question your honor's Petr begs to submit that he was promoted from 6th Goomastaship to 2d Goomastaship, vice, Veerasawmy Naidu appointed to act for the Head Goomasta of the Principal Sadr. Ameens Court. In the beginning he only held that appointment, acting. Subsequently an order of Government dated 20 August 1866, was passed on a letter of Mr. A. H. Calton

acknowledging

acknowledging the whole establishment of the Principal Sadr. Ameens Court to be permanent from 9 Apr 63. In consideration of that order the Accountant General acknowledged the said Veerasawmy Naidu to be permanent Hd. Goomasta from 9. April 1863, and thus granted him his pension, which was applied for when he (Veerasawmy Naidu) was a[illegible]. The Petr. who was appointed to act for Veerasawmy Naidu must also be considered as permanent from 9. Apr 1863.

A perusal of the said Govt. Order and Veerasawmy Naidu's Pension Application will convince your honor of the truth of the Petr's statement.

As to the 3d the continuation of the last preceding paragraph might well convince your honor that Veerasawmy Naidu was not in a state to return to his post of 2d Goomasta as the post he was appointed to was considered permanent. Your honor's humble Petitior begs further to submit that he was drawing his full salary as 2d Goomasta.

Under the foregoing circumstances your honors humble Petitioner begs that that your honor will be gracious[ly] pleased to take his case into consideration

and

nd recommend him to the Acct. General
d thus procure his Pension.

For which act of charity and
generosity your honor's humble
Petr shall as in duty
bound ever pray

24 October 74
Madura

P. 1st No 31 of 75

Appd. 2/11/75

F. H. Woodroffe Esqre
Ag District Judge Madura

Statement most respectfully submitted by Appasawmy Iyer late Civil Recordkeeper of your honor's Court

From the Report submitted on the 24 Instant your honor will be convinced that so long as he had been holding the 6th Gumastaship of the Civil Court he was only acting for it and his confirmed post was Head Gumastaship in the Perambacoody Munsiff's Court. So in the 2 copies of his Service-Book opposite to "6th Goomasta Civil Court, Acting," was entered "Head Gumasta of Perambagoody Muff Court" under the head of "Substantive appointment if the new appointment be acting only" When one copy has been submitted for correction, "Head Gumasta Perambagoody Munsiff Court" has been struck off among other corrections. This copy being full of corrections the other copy w[illegible]en to be neatly amended by the person who wrote it. That was the time when Mr. Hutchins was at the point of departing to England. As it was necessary to produce the copy for his perusal as soon as possible and as the Petitioner was ignorant of English, it naturally happened that he scarcely noticed these corrections and handed over the fair copy retaining the rough one believing full well that mere verbal corrections without any alteration in the sense might have been made. And it was not till now that he took notice of it. He therefore begs that your honor will be graciously pleased to allow the "Head Gumasta, Perambacoody Munsiff Court" to be entered in the fair copy.

I solemnly affirm that what is stated herein is true to the best of my recollection and information.

30 October 74
Madura

P. Lr No 31 of 75
Pro 93 —

Enclosure E

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~}.

1. Name of Applicant. Bramadesam Urupatur Appasami Aiyar
2. Father's name. Bramadesam Urupatur Ramaiyar
3. Sect or Caste. Siva sect, Brahmin
4. Residence Madura
5. ~~Present or~~ last employment. Civil Recordkeeper. District Court Madura
6. Date of beginning service. 3rd June 1842
7. Date of end of service. 31st March 1874

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service including breaks	31.	9.	29
of which superior	17.	2.	17
inferior	7.	11.	4
non-qualifying and breaks...	6.	8.	8

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application* ... Vide 5. Remarks by Head of Office

	Rs	A	P
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Per mensem	35	"	"
11. Proposed pension.	11	10	8
12. Proposed gratuity.	"	"	"

13. Date from which to commence. 1st April 1874
14. Place of payment. Collector's Treasury Madura

	y	m	d
15. Age of Applicant on this date.	55	8	10

	Ft.	In.
16. Height.	5	8

17. Marks. A small black mole on the left side of the nose and a small wart in front and middle of left upper arm. Bamboo color. a spare thin [illegible]
18. Date. 15 May 1874

Accountant General's Office
Recd 12 6 874
Ansd —

Ag District Judge
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

DCC

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Appasami Aiyar

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collector of Cudalore Nallatur Mittah	Luft Amin	7	„	„	„ „ „	3rd June 1842	10th January 1843	„	„	„	„	7	8	See appendix (a)		Does not count having been vitiated by resignation
Do Vanamadevi	Do	8	„	„	„ „ „	11th January 1843	14th July 1845	„	„	„	2	6	4			
Do Madalapet	Sayer Nirisindi	7	„	„	„ „ „	15th July 1845	24th October 1845	„	„	„	„	3	10			
Do Muratandi Choultry Mandia	Hd Nirisindi	10	„	„	„ „ „	25th Octr 1845	3rd Augt 1846	„	„	„	„	9	9			
Do Saluttavur	Sayer Musharuff	9	„	„	„ „ „	4th Augt 1846	31st March 1847	„	„	„	„	7	28			
Do Kandamangalam	Do	9	„	„	„ „ „	1st Apl 1847	31st Augt 1847	„	„	„	„	5	4			
	Out of employ					1st September 1847	31st Decr 1848	„	„	„	1	4	„			
Civil Judge of Madura	5th Gumasta	10	„	„	„ „ „	1st January 1849	11th June 1854	~~5~~	~~5~~	~~11~~	5	5	11	See (b) - - - - - -		(a) Does not count for pension in Superior Scale being service in inferior grades.
Dt Mf of Dindigul	Hd Do	14	„	„	„ „ „	12th June 1854	17th July 1859	5	1	6	b					
Do of Permagudy	Hd Do	14	„	„	„ „ „	18th July 1859	15th Octr 1860	1	2	28				See (c)		
Civil Judge Madura	Ag 6th Do	10	„	„	„ „ „	16th Octr 1860	8th April 1863	~~2~~	~~5~~	~~23~~	2	5	23	See (d)		
Do	Ag 2nd Do	17	8	„	„ „ „	9th April 1863	31st Augt 1865	2	4	22				(e) See e		
Do	2nd Do	17	8	„		1st September 1865	13th Decr 1867	2	3	13				2nd Gumasta up to 13 Decr 1867 on full pay		
Do	Ag Foujdaree Recordkeeper	30	„	„	„ „ „	14th Decr 1867	14th Decr 1868	1	„	1	b					(b) The applicant's qualifying service in Superior grades as proved from the records of this office, amounts to 17 yrs 2 m 17 days.
Do	Civil Recordkeeper	35	„	„	„ „ „	15th Decr 1868	21st Octr 73	4	10	7				14 - 9 - 25		
	On sick leave					22nd Octr 1873	30th Novr 1873				„	1	9			
Do	Do	35	„	„	„ — „	1st Decr 1873	31st March 1874	„	4	„						
Total period of {superior / inferior} service...							....	17 ~~15~~	2 ~~7~~	17 ~~21~~	6	8	8	see (f)		

(a) He applicant having resigned the service according to his own statement (Enc. A) had been out of employ from 1st September 1847 and he was not entertained in the public service till after expiry of 12 months. He has thus forfeited his service up to 31st August 1847 under section 45 of the Code.

(b) Inferior grade.

(c) Transfer. Vide enc B

(d) Inferior grade. Vide explanation regarding degradation in the next page.

(e) From 1st February to 31st December 1864 the applicant had been employed on temporary duty i.e. Assistant to the Receiver & Commissioner of Shevagunga Zemindary as shewn by Enclosure C & D but under section 18 of the Code he counts service as if he had remained as Acting 2nd Gumasta on the permanent establishment.

(f) The applicant's service from 1st January 1849 to 31st March 1874 is borne out by the records of the District Court Madura. From the Pay abstract it will appear that between 16th October 1860 and 13th December 1867 the applicant has served for some time as Acting 7th & 3rd Gumastas respectively. The discrepancy is owing to an addl. Head Gumasta having been allowed to the Civil Court during the said period in consequence of the abolition of the late Sub Court which caused a formal degradation of the Gumastas below him.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. With exception of the order (enclosure E) and a fine of Rs 2 imposed for carelessness & neglect not only is there nothing on record against the applicant but it also appears thereby that he has conducted himself satisfactorily and is deserving of the pension recommended. I should add however that once just before his retirement he offered me ground for slight dissatisfaction.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. The only paper on record in connection with this subject is that containing Proceedings of the late Civil Judge Mr Cotton under date 16th October 1860 (enclosure R). The explanation (enclosure F) offered by applicant for the order seems credible and reasonable and I am inclined to believe on the whole that though Mr Cotton had some reason to be dissatisfied with applicant as Head Gumasta, he did not consider it sufficient to warrant his degradation but simply thought it necessary to bring applicant under his own supervision; [illegible] are forwarded [illegible] as requested

* the returned

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. None

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. None

5. Any other remarks. In his objection statement dated 20th June 1873 Deputy Accountant General declined to pass applicant's pay as he appeared to have attained 55 years of age pending communication of Government sanction for his retention in the Service. The late Judge then applied to Government for permission to retain applicant in the service for another year on the understanding that if within the year he should find him less efficient or if he should be able to find a thoroughly efficient substitute he would at once give him a month's warning & then send in his papers. In its order No 1345 dated 25th August 1873 Government sanctioned Mr Hutchins' proposal. In his proceedings dated 4th March 1874 my predecessor dispensed with applicant's service with effect from 1st April 1874 and appointed Ananta Aiyangar in his room apparently with applicant's acquiescence.

As District Judge
SIGNATURE.

No 558.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

CERTIFIED that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 17 years 2 months and 17 days; and that a Superannuation {pension ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 11-10-8 per month, equal to 1/3 of his pay, is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. (The calculations have been duly verified.) Certificate of the Head of the Office required under rule 2, Sec: 53 of the Civil Pension Code has not been insisted upon with reference to G. O. No 1345, dated 25th Augt/73 Judicial & the Judge's reply V dated 11 Nov/74 accompanying.

Fort Saint George,
Accountt Genl's Office
19th January 1875.

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Departt.

R Code
Depy Accountant-General.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

READ the following papers :—

From the District Judge of Madura dated 15th May 1874

" Deputy Accountant-General, dated 19th January 1875 No. 558

ORDER THEREON, 22nd February 1875 No. 97.

B.B. 15/2

Under Sections 53, 56(b) & 57 of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Bramadasam Urufutur Appasami Aiyar late Civil Record Keeper, on the Estt of the District Court Madura a Superannuation pension of Rupees (11-10-8) Eleven Annas ten and pies eight per mensem to which he is entitled for his qualifying Superior Service of 17 years, 2 months & 17 days. payable from the 1st April 1874.

To the Accountant General. with Regts.
" District Judge of Madura
" Collector of Madura.
Desp 22-2-75.
Ing.

Pension 1875 Sept 6

No. 31

Application for {Pension or {~~Gratuity~~

Date of application. 15 May 1874

Name of Applicant. Bramadesam Vrupatur Appasami Aiyer

Last Appointment. Civil Record Keeper District Court Madura

Class of {pension or {gratuity

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With an Order No. 97

4406

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated 13th February 1875

Des, — " — " —

G. O. 2d February 1875 No 97

Granting ~~an~~ Superannuation pension of Rs 11-10-8 per mensem to Bramadesa Urufatur Appasami Aiyar late Civil Record keeper on the Estt of the Distt Court of Madura. —

C. 13 Feby