M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages X + 34

(2) Date of repair 1 2 41

(3) Initials of the Referencer

G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	82	Press / Mis.
Dated	11—2—	1875.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Translation of an order issued by E. B. Thomas Esqr Collector, to Subraya Pillai, Salt Javabunavis in the Huzur Cutcherry.

Collector's office No. 168 dated 11th May 1845.

On receipt of Complaints stating that You and others have received personal benefits from the hands of the ryots of Arasady, Manjanakulam, Tuticorin and Palayacoil Salt pans, they (the Complainants) were sent for and examined. all of them have stated that they have given bribes to You and every one of them has deposed to that effect. The accounts of the Shopkeeper, who deals with the ryots of Tuticorin Salt Pans, and those of the above ryots, which were examined, verify their statements, and further the accounts appear to be true. Under these circumstances You are dismissed and as Nallasawmi Pillai is appointed in your stead, You are directed to deliver over to him the Arzis, diaries, accounts and all other papers you have.

(True translation)

Offg. Collector

Compd.

[illegible] No. 8 of 75.

Translation of an order issued by C. J. Bird Esq. Collector, to Subaraya Pillai, Deputy Javapuniees in the Hozur Cutchery.

Collector's office No. 321 dated 11th June 1855

Your incorrigible laziness is intolerable and until you became aware that for this very reason You have not been made Head Javabunenis. You very frequently absented yourself on the plea of illness. Even while attending the office regularly, You discharged the duties in such a slovenly manner that people might form an unsatisfactory opinion of the office and cry out that justice was not rendered. Further it appears that many cases were left undisposed of and petitions unseen. You are therefore reduced to the office of Sayer Javabunenis, on a salary of 5 Pagodas a month, held by Streenevasa Iyer, who is now promoted in Your stead as Deputy Javabunenis and You are directed to deliever over the records &c in Your possession to the Head Javabunines and to join forthwith the Head Assistant Collector's office and do the duties of Steener as a ayer Carefully and Correctly under the orders of the Head Assistant Collector

(True Translation)

Compd

Ser. No. No 38 of 75.

Translation of an order issued by E. Starr Esq^re^, Head Assistant Magistrate, to Subraya Pillai Sub magistrate of Sattur.

H^d^ assistant Mag^te^ office No 175 dated 25^th^ December 1865

It has been proved to my satisfaction that in the late Mourder case, which was Committed at Pommayapuram in Sattur Taluq and which was under your investigation, You have acted very illegally in Concealing the evidence and allowing witnesses to keep backward, and in not trying to detect the offence and to bring offenders to law. You are therefore suspended from this date and directed to deliver over the records &c to the sheristidar. Further procedure will be taken against You on receiving instructions from the District Magistrate who will be informed of all the facts of the case

(True translation)

Ag. Collector

Comp^d^

Proceedings of the High Court of Judicature at
Madras, 18th June 1866.

Read again letter dated the 9th March last, from the Magistrate of Tinnevelly bringing to notice the conduct of Subbaraya Pillai, subordinate Magistrate of Sáttur and Saravanamuttu Pillai, subordinate Magistrate of Virdupatty, and recommending the removal of the former from the Magistracy

Read also petitions of the abovenamed subordinate Magistrates, appealing against their suspension by the Head Assistant Magistrate

1. The High Court have carefully perused the record of this case and after hearing all the arguments of the Vakil for the 1st and only appealing prisoner, they have dismissed his appeal and confirmed the sentence passed upon him by the Court of Session.

2. As regards the subordinate

P. [illegible] No 58 of 76.

Magistrate of Sáttur, the High Court are of opinion that he displayed great dilatoriness, arising perhaps from Corrupt motives, perhaps from incapacity or want of Courage to Counteract the strong police and Zemindary influence obviously used to screen the prisoners.

3 The case was one which called for the most prompt procedure. While it is the duty of all Courts to protect the police in the performance of their duties and not to listen lightly to imputations against them, it is peculiarly important in the same interests of justice that where, as in this case, they are clearly proved to have cruelly abused the Authority Confided to them, they should be unsparingly punished. Imperfect as the case might have been at the Commencement of the Subordinate Magistrate's operations, there were before him — statements supported by unmistakeable physical indications, which should have led him to act promptly and not display the absolute supineness which the record of his own proceedings — developes. The High Court are of opinion that he ought to be removed from the office of Subordinate Magistrate, and they remove him accordingly; but they have no desire to prevent his re-employment in some capacity, in which the qualities of which he has shown the want are not so absolutely essential.

4 The Subordinate Magistrate of Virdupatty is also much to blame, but the period of suspension which he has already undergone, appears to the High Court a sufficient punishment for the mis-conduct which has been established against him

(True Extract)
(signed) P. P. Hutchins
Registrar appellate side

(True Copy)

Collector

No. 5,592.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th August 1866.

Read the following letter from R. K. PUCKLE, Esq., Collector of Tinnevelly, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 30th June 1866, No. 245:—

Agreeably to the orders of the High Court, (herewith enclosed,) the Tahsildar of Sattur, lately suspended, is prohibited from exercising Magisterial functions in future; subject to the Board's approval, I propose reducing this Tahsildar to the grade of Serishtadar in another Taluk, and appointing Sesha Iyengar, now Sub-Magistrate of Kayitar, to be Tahsildar of Sattur. Sesha Iyengar is a man of long service, and bears testimonials of ability from many Covenanted Officers, who have served in this District. Enclosed is a list of his services; he has not passed the Special Tests, but would pass the Modified Test in a year. There are two passed candidates for the grade of Tahsildar now serving in this District; one, Narayanaswami Mudali, without confirmed appointment, is now acting Serishtadar in charge of the Sattur Taluk; and the other, Ranga Chari, Sub-Magistrate of Kulasegarapatam, was lately in charge of the Tinnevelly Taluk. These officers are both young in the service, and can well afford to wait and gain experience, before being confirmed as Tahsildars. I enclose lists of their services, also, for the Board's inspection.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Proceedings of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, 18th June 1866.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the services of Sesha Iyengar, the Sub-Magistrate of Kytar, District of Tinnevelly.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the services of D. Narayanasawmi Mudali, Acting Serishtadar of Sattur Taluk, District of Tinnevelly.

ENCLOSURE No. 4.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the services of V. Ranga Chari, Sub-Magistrate of Kulasegarapatam, District of Tinnevelly.

---

1. The Board observe that, as the High Court have removed the Tahsildar of Sattur from the Magistracy, he cannot be employed as Taluk Serishtadar, in which position he would necessarily act as a Sub-Magistrate, occasionally.

2. The Collector is requested to report, whether he cannot nominate some other person for the vacant Tahsildarship who is qualified under the rules. Neither of the persons mentioned by him as having passed the Special Test are qualified by service for the post, and the Board are averse to sanction the nomination of unpassed men, unless it is absolutely necessary.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of Tinnevelly.

Exd. J. Wright.

1866. Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No.

Dated 11th August 1866.

No. 5,592.

Informing Collector of Tinnevelly that late Tahsildar of Sattur Taluk cannot be employed as Taluk Serishtadar, and requesting to nominate some individual to vacant Tahsildarship besides those recommended.

15

To be returned with reply on reverse.

No 2395

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

~~No.~~

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
16 December 1874.

FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

TO THE Acting Collector of Tinnevelly.

VIDE your application for Pension. on behalf of C. Subbaraya Pillai.

THE undersigned has the honour to ~~request~~ Enquire why the applicant is shewn in the History of Service to have been Salt Gumasta from July 1848 to December 1852 while in the Annual Returns of 1 May for that period his name does not appear in the Huzur ~~Salt~~ Establishment & to request that copies with translation of orders on the subjects below noted may be furnished—

1. Regarding the limitation of the suspension period up to 25 November/66 as the order of December/65 specifies no time—
2. Regarding the degradation to the post of Ver. Clerk on 35 Rs on the 26 Nov/66—

W Cooke
Dy Accountt Genl

No. 133

Reply

I The applicant was Gumastah and Javabnaviss on Rs 17-8-" in the Javabnaviss Department in the Huzur cutcherri from 10th July 1848 to 9th December 1852 and it is therefore requested that the history of his Service may be corrected accordingly.

II (1) No Order limiting the Suspension period upto 25th November 1866 was passed. The applicant was Suspended agreeably to the Head Assistant Magistrate's Order No. 175 dated 20th December 1865 and his conduct was brought to the notice of the High Court who in their Proceedings data 18th June 1866 ordered his removal from the Magistracy and re-employment in a post not involving Magisterial functions. In accordance with the above order of the High Court and the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 11th August 1866 No. 5592, the applicant was re-employed on the 26th November 1866 as Vernacular clerk on 35 Rs.

(2) A copy of the Order appointing him as Vernacular clerk on 35 Rs is enclosed with translation.

No. 2395

Tinnevelly
14th January 1875

S. R. Lacke
Deputy Collector

Accountant General's Office
Recd 20 } 1 .1875
Ansd __ }

Comp. R. V.

16502

[illegible] No 5580 of 75

நெ 17/234

ஜாந்தா மாஜி தாசில்தார் சுப்ப
ராயன் அவர்களுக்கு கொடுக்கிறது.

என்னவென்றால் இப்
போது காலியாயிருக்கிற நெ 1 ரூ
ரூ 35 சம்பளமுள்ள [illegible]
வேலையால் உம்மை [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
பார்த்துவர [illegible]
[illegible] உம்மை [illegible] வேலை
யை சரியாய் நடக்கிறதுமா
யும் பார்த்து வரவும்.

26. 11. 66. }
~~[illegible]~~ }

(Signed) R. K. Puckle
Collector

(True copy)

S. R. Lacke
Deputy Collector

Comp. R. V.

19

Translation of an Order issued by Mr. R. K. Puckle, Collector, to Subbaroya Pillai, late Tahsildar of Sattur.

No. 234

As you are from this date appointed to act in the vacant post of Huzur clerk on a Salary of Rupees 35 -- per mensem you will at once attend the office and discharge your duties correctly and carefully.

(Signed) R K Puckle
Collector.

Pudugudi
26th November 1866

(True Translation)

S. R. Locke
Deputy Collector

Compd R.V.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's		The entries in these columns are to be reattested every five years; each attestation should be carefully ruled off the date may be clearly shown.									
			Name.	Residence.	Date of birth.	Age.			Exact Height.		Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
						Years	Ms.	Days.	Feet.	In.				
C. Sellaoyappillai	Vellala	Tinnevelly	C. Salapathia Pillai	Tinnevelly	5th November 1815	58	2	26	5	5	2 Scars. one on the left Knee & another on the Shin. No nail on the great toe of the right foot – Bamboo colour.	1-2-74		Ew Connor. Collector

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Huzur Javabnavis	Permanent		17-8-"		2-7-37	
Do Salt Javabnavis	Do		17-8-"		23-8-41	
Salt Gumastah	Do		17-8-"		10-7-48	
Dy Javabnavis	Do		26-8-"		10-12-52	
Head Javabnavis	Actg	Dy Javabnavis	26-8-"		12-11-53	
Dy Javabnavis	Permanent		26-8-"		18-11-53	
Sayar Javabnavis	Do		17-8-"		17-6-55	
~~Magistrate Rozanam~~	~~Actg~~	~~Sayar Javabnavis~~	~~17-8~~	~~[illegible]~~	~~15-[illegible]-57~~	
~~Sayar Javabnavis~~	~~Permanent~~		~~17-8-"~~		~~11-1-58~~	
Huzur Gumastah	Do		21-"		1-3-59	
Mazumdar Panchamaul Talug	Do		30-"		12-5-59	
Tahildar, Sattur Talug	Do		85-"		1-3-60	
Do Do	Do		150-"		7-10-62	
Huzur Clerk	Do		35-"		26-11-66	
Do Do	Do		70-"		5-12-66	

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
		22-8-41	Transfer	
		15-5-45	Dismissed	
		9-12-52	Promotion	
		11-11-53	Do	
		17-11-53	Return of permanent incumbent	
		16-6-55	Degradation	
		28-2-59	Promotion	
	Dy Collector	~~10-1-58~~	~~Return of permanent incumbent~~	Dy Collector
		~~30-12-58~~	~~Promotion~~	
		11-5-59	Promotion	
		28-2-60	Do	
		6-10-62	Do	
		19-12-65	Suspension & Degradation	
		4-12-66	Promotion	
		31-8-74	Removed on account of old age	

If your Son Subbaraya Pillai wishes for employment again, Send him to me here, & I will Speak to him & Consider -

4-7-48. (Sign) E B Thomas

To Subbaraya Pillai Gumastah.

For entry in the Service register you ask me for a testimonial as I have known you a long time. When I was Head Asstt you were the Salt Moonshi & dismissed from that post by Mr Thomas who afterwards re-employed you - Whilst under me then & now you conducted yourself to my satisfaction & have my best wishes for your promotion.

Shermadevi
5th January 1858

(Signd) [illegible]
Sub Collector

My Dear Ames.

Subbaraya Pillai was reading the Head Asst Magistrate's Urzi to me, if he goes to you treat him kindly poorman he is sick & is afraid to ask for leave & is at present not well up to his work. I have known him a long time, he is a good & respectable man.

Please have Compassion on him.

He has nothing to depend on except his Government employ.

Shermadevi
January 7th

(Signd) [illegible]
Sub Collr

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
							Rather slow in his work. Character good (Signed) J. Silver 27th January 1865 Collector. A steady old man who was appointed Revenue mohurir at a time when it was difficult to find a person suited for the post. (Signed) R. H. Pickle 15th July 1869. Collector. A steady old man of the old schools. (Signed) C. J. Longley 30th Septr 1870. Collector Out of employ from 18th May 1845 to 9th July 1848 Sick leave from 22 January 1857 to 14th March 1857 Do —— from 19th January 1858 to 2d March 1858 Private affairs leave from 20th December 1862 to 19 March 1863 Under suspension from 20th December 1865 to 25th November 1866. Private affairs leave from 22d October 1868 to 21st January 1869 Do —— from 1st February 1869 to 30th April 1869 Do —— from 1 October 1870 to 4th February 1871 Dy Collector				

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e. *g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION ~~GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Chellumbaram Subbaraya Pillai

2. Father's name. C. Sabapatie Pillai

3. Sect or Caste. Vellalah

4. Residence. Tinnevelly in Tinnevelly Taluq

5. Present or last employment. Vernacular Head clerk Collector's office

6. Date of beginning of service 12 July 1837

7. Date of end of service 31st August 1874.

		Y. M. D
8. Length of service including breaks	... ...	37-1-19
of which superior	... ...	23-9-4
inferior	... ...	– –
non-qualifying and breaks	... ...	13-4-15

9. Class of {pension ~~gratuity~~} applied for, and cause of application.* ... Superannuation

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 70.

11. Proposed pension. Rs 23-5-4.

12. Proposed ~~gratuity~~.

13. Date from which to commence. 1st September 1874.

14. Place of payment. Tinnevelly Huzur Treasury

15. Age of applicant on the date of discharge 58-9-26.

16. Height. 5 feet 5 Inches.

17. Marks. 2 Scars one on the left knee & the other on the shin No nail on the great toe of the right foot - Bamboo Colour.

18. Date. 30th November 1874.

Accountant General's Office
Recd II ... 2 1874
Ansd ...

A. Collector
SIGNATURE OF THE HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

DCC

31

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF Chellumbaram Subbaraya Pillai

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.			Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Collectorate of Tinnevelly	Jevobnevis	17	8	–	–	12th July 1837	22 August 1841	–	–	–	4	1	11	(a) Dismissed for corruption vide Collector's order No 168 of 1845	By the Huzur Records	(a) Vitiated by dismissal
	Salt Do	17	8	–	–	23rd August 1841	15th May 1845	–	–	–	3	8	23			
	Out of employ	–	–	–	–	16 May 1845	9 July 1848	–	–	–	3	1	24			
	Salt Gumastah	17	8	–	–	10 July 1848	9 December 1852	4	5	–	–	–	–			
	Dy Jevobnevis	26	8	–	–	10 December 1852	11 November 1853	–	11	2	–	–	–			
	Asst Head Do	26	8	–	–	12 November 1853	17 Do Do	–	–	6	–	–	–			
	Dy Jevobnevis	26	8	–	–	18 Do	16 June 1855	1	6	29	–	–	–	Degraded for laziness vide Collector's order No 321 of 1855		
	Sayer Munshi	17	8	–	–	17 June 1855	1st January 1857	1	6	15	–	–	–			
	Sick leave	"	–	–	–	2nd January 1857	14 March 1857	–	–	–	–	2	13			
	Sayer Munshi	17	8	–	–	15 March 1857	18 January 1858	–	10	4	–	–	–			
	Sick leave	–	–	–	–	19 January 1858	2nd March 1858	–	–	–	–	1	14			Verified from the records of this Office
	Sayer Munshi	17	8	–	–	3rd March 1858	28 February 1859	–	11	28	–	–	–			
	Huzur Gumastah	21	–	–	–	1st March 1859	11 May 1859	–	2	11	–	–	–			
	Muzumdar of Nanguneri Taluq	30	–	–	–	12 May 1859	28 February 1860	–	9	19	–	–	–			
	Tahsildar of Sattur	85	–	–	–	1st March 1860	6 October 1862	2	7	6	–	–	–			
	Do Do	150	–	–	–	7 October 1862	19 December 1862	–	2	13	–	–	–			
	Private affairs leave	–	–	–	–	20 December 1862	19 March 1863	–	–	–	–	3	–			
	Tahsildar of Sattur	150	–	–	–	20 March 1863	19 December 1865	2	9	–	–	–	–			
	Under Suspension	–	–	–	–	20 December 1865	25 November 1866	–	–	–	–	11	6	Suspended for irregularity in a magisterial case vide Head Assistant Magistrate's order No 175 of 1865		
	Vernacular clerk	35	–	–	–	26 November 1866	4 December 1866	–	–	9	–	–	–			
	Do Head clerk	70	–	–	–	5 December 1866	21 October 1868	1	10	17	–	–	–	Degraded for Do vide High Courts' Proceedings dated 18 June 1866 & Board's Proceedings No 5592 dated 11 August 1866		
	Private affairs leave	–	–	–	–	22nd October 1868	21 January 1869	–	–	–	–	3	–			
	Head clerk	70	–	–	–	22 January 1869	31 Do Do	–	–	9	–	–	–			
	Private affairs leave	–	–	–	–	1st February 1869	30 April 1869	–	–	–	–	3	–			
	Head Clerk	70	–	–	–	1st May 1869	30 September 1870	1	5	–	–	–	–			
	Private affairs leave	–	–	–	–	1st October 1870	14 February 1871	–	–	–	–	4	14			
	Head Clerk	70	–	–	–	15 February 1871	31 August 1874	3	6	16	–	–	–			
	Total period of {superior / inferior} service...						....	23	9	4	13	4	15			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. vide No 2: otherwise, the conduct of the applicant has been good.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Translations of the orders connected with the applicant's dismissal, first degradation and suspension and copies of the Proceedings of the High Court and the Board of Revenue connected with his second degradation, are enclosed.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX. –

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. –

5. Any other remarks. Service book of the applicant is herein enclosed. Incapacitated for further service from old age.

Ag Collector
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

No. 595

Certified that (~~subject to the remarks below recorded~~) qualifying service in {~~inferior~~ superior} grades has been duly proved for 23 years 9 months and 4 days; and that a superannuation {pension ~~gratuity~~} not exceeding Rs. 23-5-4 per mensem, equal to 1/3rd of his pay is admissible under Section 57 of the Civil Pension Code. (The calculations have been duly verified.) Subject to the reduction which the Government may order on account of the applicant's suspension from the Office of Subordinate Magistrate in 1866 and reduction of Salary from Rs 150 to 35 under the circumstances detailed in the Proceedings of the High Court dated 18th June 1866.

H Cooke
for Accountant-General.

Fort Saint George,
Accountt. Genl's Office,
29th January 1875.

To The Under Secretary to Government Pension Depart.

23-5-4

Application for {Pension or Gratuity}—

Date of application, 30th November 1874

Name of applicant, Chellumbaram Subbaroya Pillai

Last appointment, Vernacular Head Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly

Class of {pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

With an order No 82.

008 Pension 1875 Dept
No 58

33

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

READ the following papers:—

From the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 30th November 1874

" Deputy Accountant-General, dated 29th January 1875 No 595

ORDER THEREON, 11th February 1875 No 82

Under Sections 53, 56(b) & 57 of the Civil Pension Code (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Chellumbaram Subbaroya Pillay late Vernacular Head Clerk in the Collector's office Tinnevelly District a Superannuation pension of Rupees (23-5-4) Twenty three Annas five & pice four per mensem to which he is entitled for his qualifying Superior Service of 23 years, 9 months & 4 days, payable from the 1st September 1874.

To the Accountant General. with Regr.
" Collector of Tinnevelly

Despd
11-2-75.

Govt. of {1875} Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated 11th February 1875

Des. " " "

G. O., 11th February 1875 No 82.

Granting a Superannuation pension of Rs 23-5-11 per mensem to Chellumbaram Subbaroya Pillai late Vernacular Clerk in the Collectors office Tinnevelly

C: 8th Feby
Rd 11 —