

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer g

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revision DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	677	Press Mis.
Dated	22-11-1875.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To be returned with reply on reverse.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George,

Accountant General's Office,

6th September 1875.

18/9
Asst to the
FROM THE DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, MADRAS,

To THE Collector of Tirunel.

VIDE your Application for Pension on behalf of Mohamed Kasim
late Daffadar Bankapur Taluk }

The undersigned has the honor to request explanation on the following points:-

1. What was the nature of the duties of the applicant
as "Police Surcharge" from 1st August '54 to 31st December '54?

2. If the applicant was confirmed in his acting
appointment from 4th October '54 why his name was
not entered in the Annual Return of 1855?

3. Why ^{was} the applicant's service book ~~was~~ kept
in manuscript form while printed forms ~~are~~
procureable from the Superintendent Stationary ~~Board~~?

2. It is also requested that a copy of L.O. No 895
d: 8th October 1830 quoted in the explanation afforded
against

against item No 2 on the 3rd page of the application may be sent.

Therina Kooty Guppi
for list to the Accountant General

Lemo. No 1210
Enclosure 1.

1. The duties of Police Deshcham were similar to those of an Inspector of Police.
2. The Collector of Cuddalore states that names of Deshs were not entered in the Annual Returns prior to 1866.
3. Printed forms are not available in this office. An indent has been sent to the Supt. of Stationery Madras.
4. Copy of C.O. No 895 dated 8th October 1880, received from the Collector of Cuddalore is herewith enclosed.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 19
Ans'd 10 1875

Lemo. No 13906
16th October 1875
Collector of Cuddalore

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY

- name of Applicant. *Mohamed Hasim*
2. Father's name. *Pira Naik.*
3. Sect or Caste. *Shaik, Mohamedan.*
4. Residence. *at present. Markapur.*
5. Present or last employment. *late Daffadar of Markapur Taluk.*
6. Date of beginning of service. *27th December 1844.*
7. Date of end of service. *17th April 1874.*
8. Length of service including breaks Y. M. D.
... .. 49 - 3 - 22
of which superior
inferior 48 - 9 - 24
non-qualifying and breaks 6
9. Class of {pension} applied for, and cause of application. * *Invalid pension*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 8-0-0 under Clause (C) Sec 63 of the P. Act.*
11. Proposed pension. *Rs 4-0-0*
12. Proposed gratuity.
13. Date from which to commence. *10th April 1874*
14. Place of payment. *Markapur Taluk.*
15. Age of applicant on this date. *About 65 years.*
16. Height. *5 - 9 1/2*
17. Marks. *Colour brown, Appearance spare One scar of iron Country of angular form on the spinal Column. Two large black spots on the abdomen. No teeth. Very hollow cheeks.*
18. Date. *30th Aug 74*

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

Accountant General's Office
Recd 4
Ans'd 9 1875

L. Hope
Sd/-
Collector

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING BREAKS) OF *Mohamed Faiz ul Daulat of Conto-pat Sahib.*

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.		Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of end.	Period reckoned as service.		Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
		Rs.	A. P.	Rs.			Y.	M.	D.			
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1875</i>	4	4	—	<i>27 Dec 75</i>	<i>13 Oct 1881</i>	5	10	—			<i>Service from 27 Dec 75 to 13 Oct 1881 has been verified by the records of the Collector of Conto-pat and police from those of the Collector of the Division. The records of the Division confirm the records of the office for the term 1st April 1881 to 13 Oct 1881.</i>
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1882</i>	3	8	—	<i>1 Nov 81</i>	<i>15 April 1885</i>	4	5	—			<i>The applicant's name is available as checked in the annual returns of 1884, 1885, 1886, and 1887.</i>
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1886</i>	3	8	—	<i>15 April 85</i>	<i>15 April 1886</i>	—	—	6			
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1886</i>	4	—	—	<i>15 April 85</i>	<i>15 April 1886</i>	—	—	—			
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1886</i>	6	—	—	<i>15 April 85</i>	<i>15 April 1886</i>	—	—	—			
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1886</i>	6	—	—	<i>15 April 85</i>	<i>15 April 1886</i>	—	—	—			
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1886</i>	14	—	—	<i>15 April 85</i>	<i>15 April 1886</i>	—	—	—			
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1886</i>	14	—	—	<i>15 April 85</i>	<i>15 April 1886</i>	—	—	—			
<i>Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib</i>	<i>1. 1. 1886</i>	8	—	—	<i>15 April 85</i>	<i>15 April 1886</i>	—	—	—			
Total period of service...							48	9	24			

*Pension 1875 Deptt
2 Feb 58*

APPLICATION FOR { PENSION OR GRATUITY }

Date of application. *30 Aug 1875*

Name of applicant. *Mohamed Faiz ul Daulat*

Last appointment. *Subedar of Conto-pat Sahib*

Class of { pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Nothing is reported against him. He is surely said to be a "great work."
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. See Chapter XX.

4. Explanation under Section 47, Rule 1, so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

I also hereby certify that the above named person has been admitted to the service of the Government as a clerk under the provisions of the Civil Pension Code, and is entitled to the same.

W.C.

No. 44

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in {inferior} grades has been duly proved for — 14 — 8 — years — 6 — months and 2 days; and that a {pension} not exceeding Rs. 4 — 0 — 0 per {gratuity} annum is admissible under Section 63(C) of the Civil Pension Code. The calculations have been duly verified. The applicant is certified by the Collector to be unfit for further service owing to age and infirmity. The cause of delay in the submission of this application has been explained by the Collector vide remark in the 3rd page of the application.

E. P. R. L.
In charge of the Office of Accountant-General.

For: Joint Secretary to Government Pension Department

The applicant was ordered by the Collector to retire from 18th April 1874. The service list was first prepared in the District and sent to the Registrar. The necessary examination in the Registrar was made by August 1874. The service list was then sent to Cuddalore for verification and complete information was not received from that District till 14th June 1875. The subsequent delay was due to the reply of the Head Assistant Collector to a reference from this office having been delayed in that office. The service of the applicant being in the inferior grades, verification is difficult and naturally takes much time.

W.C. *X M*

Revenue Department

To The Principal Collector of
Cuddapah
Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue
to transmit for your information & guidance
Copy of the undermentioned Letter.

From the Secretary to Govt. - - 8th October 1830

With Encl^{rs}

Office of the B^d of
Revenue
Fort St. George
18th October 1830
Des^d 29th - -

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most O^bd^t servant

(Sig^d) D. Elliott
Secretary

Fort St. George 8th October 1830.

N^o 895

Revenue Dep^t
Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council to transmit for your
information and for the guidance of the Principal
Collector of Cuddapah the accompanying Extract
from the Minutes of Consultation in the Financial
Department dated 8th Instant, and a List of
the reductions therein mentioned.

To the President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(Sig^d) H. Y. Charnier
Secretary to Govt.

Extract.

Financial Department
No 221.

Extract from the minutes of Consultation dated 8th October 1830.
Submitting Copy of their correspondence with the Principal Collector of Cuddapah together with letters to the B^r. of Revenue and to Mr. G. Russell in Bengal and recommending that the reductions amounting to Rupees 21,226 per annum being 10.27 per cent of the last sanctioned Mozen Zabilah from the Establishment of the Principal Collector of Cuddapah, be carried into immediate effect.

The following letter from the Commissioners for the revision of the Civil Establishments is now recorded - 26th October 1829

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes with much dissatisfaction that the Establishments of the Collector of Cuddapah exceed in per centage the charges of every other Collectorate in this Presidency, & that Mr. Dalzell has afforded the single exception in the whole Civil service of a pertinacious & offensive resistance to the endeavours of the Committee of Revision to effect a salutary reduction.

The Committee observe "there are two modes equally objectionable & mischievous in their tendency, by which the Company's Revenues are sometimes frittered away in the Districts, the first is where a number of English

"shall be carried into immediate effect. 311

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council also entirely agrees in the concluding observations of the Committee.

"It is impossible for the Principal Collector of Cuddapah to have a greater reverence & respect than the Committee feel for the memory of the illustrious character he has thought proper to quote; it requires however the excursive imagination of Mr. Dalzell to conceive how the opinion of Sir Thomas Munro in favour of a liberal treatment of the Natives, can possibly countenance a useless & extravagant expenditure of the Public money. Financial retrenchment, the most severe, has been ordered by the Honorable the Court of Directors since Sir Thomas Munro's lamented death, and it is now known that his valuable life was closed in urging the absolute necessity of such a retrenchment.

"The Committee see no reasons to alter their former opinion as to the only mode in which the Native revenue servants are to be kept honest. In the opinion of the Committee, neither exorbitant salaries nor extended Mozenzabihahs will have this effect. The only real safeguard to the public interests is the personal vigilance of the Collector, so sure are the Committee that this is the only effectual mode to

Vide Vol 20, 230,
31, 32 & 33
Vol 3. 618-19 & 20

July 1237	Native Establish- ment	Native Est. Salary & Commissions.	10% Conting. upon the gross revenue for the former.	10% Conting. upon the gross revenue for the latter.	Difference for the former above.	Difference for the latter above.
Cuddapah	132,987	1,95,481	5-52-5	35	Madura - 2-25	80 2-30
Madura	87,976				Coimbatore 1-33	80 2-21
Subst. reductions	8280					
Net stands at present	124,707					
Coimbatore	42,982					
Subst. reductions	11,647					
Net stands at present	113,060					
	171,678					

The two Collectorates which, in amount of gross Revenue, most nearly resemble Cuddapah are Madura & Coimbatore, the former being last fiscal year Rs 23,26,002, & the latter Rs 27,59,420, the expenses of collecting the Revenues, in Madura, exclusive of European assistance, amounted to Rs 3,00,936, & inclusive, to Rs 3,62,651, the former amount is 12-61 per cent of the gross Revenues, the latter 15-39 per cent. In Coimbatore the charges, without European aid, were Rs 4,28,880, & with it, Rs 4,81,792 the former being 15-35 per cent, the latter 17-29 per cent.

From the marginal Memorandum it will be seen that, the Native Establishment in Cuddapah exceeds Madura in 2-25 per cent, & Coimbatore 1-33 per cent, & that the expense of European aid exceeds both, the former in the sum of Rs 2-30 per cent and the latter in the sum of Rs 2-21 per cent.

It

"It is also to be recollected that from the deplorable state in which the present Principal Collector found the District of Madura when he took charge of it, an additional Establishment to restore order was absolutely requisite - In Coimbatore an expensive Elephant Establishment is kept up, as well as that for the Tobacco Monopoly -"

"We have entered into this detail to show, that, the gross Revenues of the Cuddapah District are realized at a greater expense than in other Districts of nearly equal Revenue, but so far from thinking his Establishment susceptible of reduction, the Principal Collector of Cuddapah states his strong persuasion that even an increase in the salaries of some of the officers composing the superior classes would be desirable, & this too in the very face of his previous admission that the allowance of his Head Sheristadar is high his Deputy Sheristadar considerable & the salaries of the Tahsildars liberal."

* Para 2 to the B? dated 30th Sept 1828.

* Para 2 to the B? dated 30th Sept 1828.

* Do - do

"The Principal Collector of Cuddapah further endeavoured to prove his Mohenzabitah - insusceptible of reduction from the fact of his having employed a large temporary Establishment during the last fiscal - The Committee are of opinion that of all methods of Revenue administration, the employment

[illegible]

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council entirely concurs in the force, & truth of these observations, & in their just applications to the Establishment of the Collector of Andulapah. For the detailed reasons given by the Committee upon the several Heads proposed to be reduced, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council resolves that the reductions enumerated in the List amounting to N^o 21,266 per annum

[illegible]

1 Name of appointment	2 Station is whether sub- stantive or acting or of the nature of the appointment or temporary only.	3 Appointment	4 Pay allowance	5 Rank	6 Date of appointment	7 Signature of officer.
<u>Suddahals District.</u>						
Police from Dupad Sahib	Substantive	permanent	4-1-4		27 Decr 1847	
Do	Do		3-8		10 Novr 1850	
Do	Do		3-8		23 Feb 1851	
do	do		4		5 Feb 1851	
Police Daffadar, do Sahib	do		6		16 Novr 1852	
do. of Surcheshem	Permanent	Police Daffadar	6	4-10-8	1 Sept 1854	
Do confirmed	Substantive	Permanent	14		4 Oct 1854	
<u>Karnool District.</u>						
Do	do	do	14		1 July 1857	
Martapur Sahib	do		8		8 Dec 1860	

This entry is made in the margin of the original entry
 dated 27 Dec 1847

Character of Officer. —
 No entry need be made in this column
 except when there is any thing either good
 or bad to be recorded. Suspensions shall
 be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.

123, 69, 5-2
 Under Suspension from 28 April to 22 October 1845.

8 Signature of officer.	9 Date of termination of appointment	10 Reason of termination e.g. transfer, promotion, return of permanent employee.	11 Signature and Rank of immediate superior.	12 Date of signature.
	31 Oct 1845	Reduction of scale		
	22 April 1845	Suspended		
Under Suspension from 28 April to 22 October 1845.				
	14 July 1849	Promotion		
	15 Novr 1852	do		
	31 July 1857	do		
	3 Dec 1854	Confirmation		
	26 June 1857	Transfer		
	7 Novr 1860	Reduction in the rank of the last.		
	17 April 1854	Retirement		

J. H. H. H.
 Acting Collector

11/14

12M

List Proceedings of the Madras Government. 131M

Read the following papers:—

From the *Ag Collector of Kurnool* dated 30th Aug 1875
Dy. Secy in charge of the office
 " of Accountant-General, dated 19th October 1875 N: 144

ORDER THEREON, 22nd November 1875 N: 1677

Under Sections 50 and 63 of the Civil Pension Code
 (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased
 to grant to *Mohamed Kasim Cate*
Duffadar of Markapur Taluq
Kurnool District an invalid
pension of Rupees (4-0-0) *Four per*
annum — to which he is entitled for
 his qualifying *inferior* Service of 18 years, 6 months & 2 days.
payable from the 18th April 1874.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

" *Ag Collector of Kurnool* } *Dec 12*
1875. } *22-11-75*

See in No 150 of 75
119 of 77.

Govt. of 1875 Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated 22 November 1875

Despd. " " "

G. O. 22 Nov 1875 No 677.

Granting an invalid
pension of Rs 4 per
month to Muhammed
Kasim late Duffadar
of Ellurkapi Taluq
Purnool District